

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE
MONTANA STATE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 20, 1985

The meeting of the Education and Cultural Resources Committee was called to order by Chairman Dan Harrington at 5:30 p.m. on February 20, 1985 in Room 312-3 of the State Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present, but two members came after roll call.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 756: Sponsor of this bill, Red Menahan from District #67, introduced it by saying that it would require the taxpayers to vote on the salary of a superintendent of schools if it were proposed to pay the superintendent over \$50,000 per year. He felt the money should go directly towards educating children and the system is overladen with administrators. Teachers are desirous of getting out of the classrooms to acquire the better paying administrative positions. Rep. Menahan explained that he was not against the superintendents making a salary over \$50,000 but he believes the taxpayers should have a right to vote on the issue as the mill levy is connected to the contract.

PROPOSERS: John Meline with the Montana Federation of Teachers spoke in favor of the bill and urged a "do pass".

There being no further proponents, opponents were called for.

OPPOSERS: Jesse Long with the School Administrators of Montana said if the bill seeks to publicize superintendent's salaries, it is too late. Local control by the board of trustees will be eroded by legislation such as this. If the bill is aimed at one district superintendent, it would also effect 35 others. Teachers make up 70% of the budget and perhaps a limit should be set at \$27,350 on teacher's salaries. He feels the bill is unconstitutional as a three year contract may already be in force. The hours put in would certainly compare in the dollar sense to teachers. The superintendents are operating the biggest business in Montana. They should be allowed to pursue a contract which pays them in accordance with their talents. He passed out Exhibits 1 and 2.

Don Waldron, Superintendent of Schools at Hellgate Elementary in Missoula said that in the state it costs \$1,400 to \$9,000 per youngster for education costs. He relayed that he is paid \$46,000 and he pays all his own expenses. Last year those expenses came to \$5,835 and salary is not an indicator of what is being taken home.

Chip Erdmann with the Montana School Board Association said schools are big business and it is necessary to hire competent, professional people. He passed out Exhibit 3 and pointed out that Montana is not out of line with other states and the qualified people will go out of state. It is prohibited negating the standing contracts made with the superintendents. The school board presently makes those decisions and if the public doesn't like the decisions made, they can choose to not re-elect the board member.

Sandra Whitney with the Montana Taxpayer's Association rose in support of the bill.

There being no other opponents or proponents or discussion from the committee, Chairman Harrington asked Rep. Menahan if he would like to close. Rep. Menahan closed the hearing on this bill by saying that this may help keep Montana people on the job rather than hiring from out of state. People are very concerned about costs and should be when a person with a 17 million dollar budget is making more than the Governor of Montana. He said teachers are the ones educating the children and put in a lot more time.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 708: Rep. Red Menahan, District #67, opened the hearing on H.B. 708 which calls for a rolling average of school ANB. Part of the bill has been incorporated in another bill.

PROPOSERS: Terry Minow with the Montana Federation of Teachers spoke support for the bill. The concept addresses the problem of declining enrollment that is felt in the state. The elementary schools may be experiencing an increasing enrollment in some areas while the high schools decline. When the population increase reaches high school age, the district will be prepared to meet the student needs. It is a way to assist the districts that do need the most help.

There being no other proponents and no opponents, Chairman Harrington asked for discussion from the committee. Rep. Thomas asked what the impact would be and Rep. Menahan pointed out that the fiscal note showed 154 elementary and 88 high school districts could use the rolling averages. Deer Lodge County would be the only county which would see a property tax drop. Rep. Eudaily pointed out that the fiscal note shows districts would be worse off with this than the present system. Rep. Menahan did not understand how the fiscal note arrived at that to which Rep. Eudaily said that using a rolling average may be worse than using FY'85 enrollment for some schools. Rep. Menahan closed the hearing on H.B. 708.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 591: Rep. Francis Bardanouve, District #16, spoke as sponsor of this bill which provides a 2.4% and 3% increase in the School Foundation Program funding over the biennium. The total general fund requirement costs would be \$36,662,000. This is the governor's budget and with the fiscal realities we are facing, this is about the best that can be done. If the Foundation Program is funded any more than this, a lot more chopping will have to be done in appropriations.

PROPOSERS: David Hunter, Director of the Office of Budget and Program Planning, told the committee that this bill recommends the governor's proposal. In light of the other recommendations in the budget, this represents a substantial increase for education. For example, the Governor's budget is frozen in operational costs for state agencies; there is a 4% agency savings taken out of the budget; it is recommended that salary increases be 2% in 1986-87, and there is an across the board cut in all state agencies' programs with the exception of the Foundation Program. He felt in this light, 2.4% and 3% is a generous increase. This bill would cost 36.6 million dollars to the general fund and it assumes the governor's revenue estimates. If revenue estimates are assumed as in H.J.R. 9, these are lower than the Budget Office and will cost 42.6 million dollars. Any bill calling for higher increases will add 8.3 million for every percentage point. Since 1981 the school schedules have increased by 76.7 billion dollars annually, which is a 40% increase, despite declining enrollment. Voted levies have increased 46.9 million dollars in the four year period--a 48% increase. The costs per student has climbed from \$1,848 in 1971 to \$2,751 in 1985--a 49% increase. During that time period, inflation has increased about 22%. If schools continue to increase their costs at twice the rate of inflation it will be difficult for the legislature or the taxpayers to catch up. Funding at 7% and 7% assumes there isn't any way for local districts to control their costs. If this legislature insisted through its funding that local school districts engage in budget constraints as other agencies are being asked, then a 2.4% and 3% would be generous.

OPPOSERS: Bill Anderson representing the Superintendent in the Office of Public Instruction commended the Governor and Representative Bardanouve for preparing a bill that supports education. Mr. Anderson said that figures presented in the past differ. The cost of education has been bore by the taxpayers and in the beginning it was 72% of the property tax. The ability to equalize the taxation for funding schools at a level the taxpayers want has changed. They are trying to base the needs on the technological changes, different needs of the society, and what the people want. Surveys have shown that 65% of the people of Montana would spend more money for schools if it were justified. This bill is not sufficient to keep local property taxes down or to allow shools to continue at the level they are now operating.

Chip Erdmann, Montana School Board Association, rose in opposition to H.B. 591. He spoke of an editorial in the Independent Record of this date and quoted from it. In essence it called for a minimum 7% and 7% funding for excellence in schools. It is a constitutional mandate even though there are other agencies that are important, the constitution does not call for them to be funded.

Eric Fever, President of the Montana Education Association, said they have sustained arguments throughout the session in favor of 7% and 7%. The problem with H.B. 591 is that it is not generous, and will deepen the disparity that the school districts have. This bill will worsen the de-equalization of public education in the state and local levys will rise in locales where they cannot meet the demand. In 1950 the voted levy constituted only 8% of the total general budget and at present it is 34% to 35%. 7% and 7% will simply maintain the status quo and 2.3% and 4% will render it much worse.

Jesse Long spoke for the School Administrators of Montana saying they opposed this approach as the taxpayers have to foot the bill for education. They believe the money should come from the general fund with a broader base.

Terry Minow, Montana Federation of Teachers, spoke in opposition to the bill. The 7% increase is essential to simply maintain education at its current level.

Representative Red Menahan from District #67 stood in opposition to this bill and relayed that in his county the tax base has been eroded drastically and if they were to maintain the levy that they had last year, it would bring in a million dollars less. At present they are at 216 mills, one of the highest in the state. The children will suffer as under this proposal there is nothing to help make up for the eroded tax base.

Chris Mattocks, Superintendent of School in Cut Bank, reminded the Committee that the legislative appropriation amounts to 4% of the total cost of education for this year; 14 million dollars out of a 416 million dollar total. In Cut Bank, a 2.4% increase would mean \$30,000 and that is far from generous.

Jake Block, Superintendent of Schools in Missoula District #1, said if they take the rolling average for the last three years, they come within one ANB of what was had for the previous year. If they look at the projected ANB for the year, they are down about 126 ANB and that has a significant impact on the district. It would also impact on 174 other districts, as well. He asked that if both this bill and the rolling ANB concept were considered, they ought to be together. He distributed Exhibits 4 and 5.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

February 20, 1985

Page 5

There being no further opponents, Representative Eudaily asked Rep. Bardanouve if, seeing as he is the Appropriations Committee Chairman, he could guarantee funding at 2.4% and 4%. Rep. Bardanouve replied that the governor's office said the figure would not be cut and he would do all he could with the cooperation of both sides.

Rep. Bardanouve closed the hearing on H.B. 591 saying that the costs for education has gone through the ceiling and somehow they must come down. Cuts can be made and he cited an example of Great Falls closing a junior high school and saving money. He questioned why the cost of education should be twice as high as inflation, and suggested perhaps they have not made the right spending choices.

CONSIDERATION OF H.B. 824: Representative Ralph Eudaily, District #60, introduced this bill by saying it was similar to one earlier in the session dealing with unemployment compensation for school workers. Twelve states have extended the previously heard law to include some contracted services. It came about as university students and others hired by a school bus contractor during the school year are eligible for unemployment compensation when layed off in the summer. He proposed amendments which would make handling easier.

Dan Wanzenried with the Department of Labor said he appeared neither as a proponent or opponent of the bill, but only for informational purposes. He passed out Exhibit 6 and said the bill was not a requirement of Federal law. It says the state may disqualify anyone working under contract with an educational institution. It required employers to provide written assurance that they would be re-hired the following school year.

PROponents: Jerry Perkins, President of the Karst Stage in Bozeman and representing the contractor in the state said they were interested in the bill as the prospective employee knows that they are hired for 180 days. The biggest abuse is that some are claiming holidays for benefits and causing increased rates. They were excluded from H.B. 15 dealing with this issue and do not feel they are that different. They are not set up to subsidize the individuals over the summer and during vacations during the school year.

OPponents: Don Judge, with the Montana State AFL-CIO, asked the committee to refer to testimony on H.B. 15. This bill would hurt low income people. Not every school district has a university located in its town where workers would be taking advantage of this. It is not a Federal mandate and simply excludes additional workers from compensation. They worked for it and have to comply with the provisions of the law meaning they have to apply weekly, be available, and accept work. It affects single parents who are trying to feed their families and does not constitute a large amount of money.

Terry Minow with the Montana Federation of Teachers said the bill is discriminatory and treats school employees differently from others.

There being no further testimony, Chairman Harrington called for questions from the committee. Representative Hammond asked Rep. Eudaily how many students have made claims on this. Jerry Perkins answered that one college student applied last year and the other five claimants were retired adults. He also said that these costs would be put in the contracts in the next school year. There was discussion of how students could be eligible for two day holidays and it was explained by Dave Wanzenreid that if the waiting week were served the summer prior, holidays off during the school year could be claimed.

Rep. Eudaily closed the hearing by saying that there is a problem as was addressed in H.B. 15 that pertains to the non-professional. He felt there was a loophole in the statues and this bill would close it. The contractors are merely looking for an act of fairness.

Chairman Harrington called the Committee into Executive Session.

ACTION ON H.B. 538: Gloria Paladichuk, Richland County Treasurer asked for an opportunity to speak before the committee in regards to allegations made during the hearing of this bill. Ms. Paladichuk referred the committee to the auditor's report, Page 9, which shows Richland County being short \$686,250 in revenue returned to the state. She asked the Legislative Auditor's Office to explain how they arrived at the calculated surplus funds. It was explained that in the amount of funds distributed in high school foundation monies, they used a figure for only one high school, not all four. The difference is \$664,969.10. They also did not take into account any refunds made by the county, which is \$21,642.93. She asked that the committee take a very careful look at the audit report.

Rep. Kitselman hoped that the record did show that there wasn't any "stealing" going on by the counties.

Chairman Harrington moved that H.B. 538 be TABLED. The motion carried unanimously.

RECONSIDERATION OF H.B. 324: The committee looked at the proposed amendments for this bill. Rep. Thomas moved that the committee reconsider that previous action on this bill. Rep. Mercer seconded the motion. Rep. Harbin spoke in favor of the bill as the safety of the children is at stake. It was pointed out by Rep. Kitselman that the school district can declare any specific situation hazardous and, if the family cannot afford the extra transportation costs, the board can waive them. On the motion to reconsider, a voice vote showed only four in favor. The bill goes to the floor with a DO NOT PASS recommendation.

RECONSIDERATION OF H.B. 551: Rep. Kitselman motioned that the committee reconsider action on this bill. The motion carried. Rep. Kitselman moved that the proposed amendments be adopted. The motion carried. Kitselman moved that the bill DO PASS AS AMENDED. A voice vote showed the motion carried unanimously. The amendments are as follows:

1. Page 1, line 10.
Following: the first "district"
Insert: "--limitation for creation"
2. Page 1, line 22.
Strike: "24"
Insert: "50"
3. Page 4, line 15.
Following: line 14
Insert: "(8) for the purposes of this section, the taxable value of the taxable property of the territory proposed to be included in the new district must be at least \$5000,000"

RECONSIDERATION OF H.B. 626: This bill was returned from the floor for further action. Rep. Nelson moved the amendments which spells out procedures for the bill. The amendments motion carried unanimously. Rep. Eudaily motioned that H.B. 626 DO NOT PASS. Rep. Hammond offered a substitute motion of DO PASS AS AMENDED. A voice vote and show of hands indicated the motion carried with Representatives Glaser, Eudaily, Williams, and Brandewie dissenting. (Please see copy of Standing Committee Report for amendments)

ACTION ON H.B. 765: Rep. Harbin moved that the bill be TABLED. Rep. Hammond gave the motion a second and all were in favor except Rep. Hannah. The motion carried.

ACTION ON H.B. 242: Rep. Hammond motioned that the bill DO PASS. Rep. Harbin made a substitute motion to TABLE. The motion carried with Hammond, Nisbet, Harrington, and Brandewie dissenting.

ACTION ON H.B. 211: Rep. Kitselman made a DO PASS motion to H.B. 211. Rep. Mercer seconded the motion. Rep. Williams substituted the motion on the floor with a DO NOT PASS recommendation. There was discussion of whether would be in jeopardy be being left in the committee after transmittal. It was pointed out by Chairman Harrington that in the past the Foundation Funding bills have been held until after transmittal and he would not sign the committee report as he felt this bill should be heard on the floor at a later time. A roll call vote on the substitute motion failed by a vote of 3 to 15. The

roll call vote on the original motion that H.B. 211 DO PASS carried by a vote of 13 for, 4 against, and one abstaining vote.

ACTION ON H.B. 212: Rep. Harbin moved DO PASS and the motion carried by voice vote with Representatives Peck and Montayne opposing.

ACTION ON H.B. 79: Rep. Harbin moved that H.B. 79 be TABLED. A voice vote showed the motion carried unanimously.

ACTION ON H.B. 591: Rep. Harbin moved that this bill be TABLED. A voice vote showed the motion carried with Representatives Peck, Williams, Sands and Montayne opposed.

ACTION ON H.B. 756: Rep. Brandewie moved that H.B. 756 be TABLED. The motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

ACTION ON H.B. 708: Rep. Eudaily moved that H.B. 708 be TABLED. Rep. Harbin seconded the motion and it carried unanimously by voice.

ACTION ON H.B. 824: Rep. Hammond moved a DO NOT PASS recommendation to H.B. 824. Rep. Sands motioned that the proposed amendments be adopted. The amendments are as follows:

1. Page 3, line 21.
Following: "services"
Insert: "exclusively"
2. Page 3, line 24.
Following: "section"
Insert: "if services are performed
exclusively for an educational
institution. For the purpose
of this subsection, reasonable
assurance provided for in sub-
section (2) must be in writing"

The motion to add the amendments to the bill carried unanimously. Rep. Thomas substituted the motion on the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. On the roll call vote of DO PASS AS AMENDED, voting showed 8 for and 10 against. The bill will go to the floor with a DO NOT PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:40 p.m.


DAN HARRINGTON, Chairman

DAILY ROLL CALL

EDUCATION COMMITTEE

49th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1985

Date 2.20.85

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Dan Harrington	✓		
Ralph Eudaily	✓		
Ray Brandewie	✓		
William Glaser	✓		
Joe Hammond	✓		
Thom Hannah	✓		
Raymond Harbin	✓		
Roland Kennerly	✓		
Les Kitselman	✓		
John Mercer	✓		
John Montayne	✓		
Richard Nelson	✓		
Jerry Nisbet	✓		
Ray Peck	✓		
Jack Sands	✓		
Ted Schye	l		
Fred Thomas	l		
Mel Williams	✓		

**S
A
M**

School Administrators of Montana
501 North Sanders
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 442-2510

EXHIBIT I

H.B. 756

2-20-85

February 4, 1985

ADMINISTRATIVE SALARIES

	<u>1980-81</u>		<u>1984-85</u>		<u>Average Percentage</u>
	<u>Average</u>	<u>(Number)</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>(Number)</u>	<u>Increase per year</u>
1st Class Supt.	\$38,385	(16)	\$50,441	(16)	6.28
2nd Class Supt.	28,754	(86)	38,719	(79)	6.94
3rd Class Supt.	23,959	(53)	31,787	(53)	6.54
1st Class H.S. Prin.	33,470	(18)	42,041	(19)	5.12
2nd Class H.S. Prin.	24,024	(65)	33,023	(55)	7.50
3rd Class H.S. Prin.	19,173	(9)	25,968	(7)	7.09
1st Class Elem. Prin.	27,791	(85)	36,185	(93)	6.04
2nd Class Elem. Prin.	23,381	(77)	31,600	(72)	7.03
3rd Class Elem. Prin.	20,298	(12)	27,187	(8)	6.79
CPI (u)					6.60

**S
A
M**

**School Administrators of Montana
501 North Sanders
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 442-2510**

**EXHIBIT 2
H.B. 756
2.20.85**

February 20, 1985

TO: Chairman Dan Harrington
House Education Committee

FROM: Jesse W. Long, Executive Secretary

RE: HB 756 An act to require the trustees of any district to submit to the electors a proposition for authority to expend any General Fund Budget amount for salary and benefits for the District Superintendent that is in excess of \$50,000.

If the purpose of this bill is to publicize a superintendent's salary, I think the bill is to late. The media has long ago provided this information to the patrons of school districts.

HB 756 seems to fly in the face of the powers of the local board of trustees. MCA 20-9-213 and 20-4-401 (1) give trustees the "sole power and authority to transact all fiscal business and execute all contracts in the name of the district" and "the trustees of any school district shall employ and appoint a district superintendent." Local control by board of trustees would be eroded piece meal by this kind of legislation.

It is obvious that HB 756 is aimed at one district superintendent. It is unfortunate that a legislator's anger is displayed in such a discriminatory bill. Approximately 35 other superintendents would be impacted by this bill. If we are going to vote on superintendents' salaries, then let's set a limit of \$27,350 on the salaries of teachers and vote on them as well. Teachers' salaries represent over 70% of district budgets.

HB 756 is certainly designed to discourage growth in the profession by promising young administrators.

School Administrators of Montana is in opposition to HB 756 and ask for a "do not pass."

POSITION	LOCATION	NO. OF SCHOOLS	TOTAL ANNUAL BUDGET	DISTRICT PUPIL ENROLL.	TOTAL NO. OF EMPL.	ANNUAL SALARY
Superintendent	Cheyenne, WY	32	\$59,677,473	12500	1700	\$65,500
Superintendent	Casper, WY	38	\$56,566,518	13572	1550	\$70,000
Superintendent	Cherry Creek, CO	32	\$81,606,307	24831	3000	\$76,200
Superintendent	Littleton, CO	23	\$71,223,337	16232	1600	\$72,900
Superintendent	Boulder Valley, CO	39	\$71,150,000	20427	1850	\$71,161
President	Univ. of Montana	10	\$35,000,000	8140	910	\$68,560
President	MT State Univ.	8	\$44,800,000	10288	1212	\$69,630
Commissioner	MT Univ. System	6	\$114,600,000	26042	2698	\$70,700
Superintendent	Billings, MT	38	\$62,000,000	16880	1842	\$72,925
AVERAGE				16545	1818	\$70,847

MISSOULA SCHOOL DISTRICT #1

Missoula, Montana

A COMPARISON OF ANB & GENERAL FUND STATE EQUALIZATION REVENUES
FOR FY 85 & FY 86

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Grades</u>	<u>ANB</u>	<u>Equalization Revenues</u>	
FY '86	K-6	4001*	\$5,718,280**	
FY '85	K-6	<u>3969</u>	<u>\$5,656,189</u>	
		32	\$ 62,091	Differences
FY '86	7-8	1165*	\$2,281,116**	
FY '85	7-8	<u>1323</u>	<u>\$2,576,516</u>	
		(158)	(\$ 295,400)	

1. The total decrease in equalization revenues in FY '86 for operations would be about \$233,313.
2. If the Foundation Program Schedules are increased 2.4%, the estimated revenues for FY '86 would be \$8,191,484 or a net decrease of \$41,325 when compared with FY '85 revenues.
3. If the Foundation Program Schedules are increased 7%, the estimated revenues for FY '86 would be \$8,559,354 or a net increase of \$326,645 as compared to FY '85 revenues.

* ANB Projections Based on December, 1984 Enrollment

** Assumes No Increase in Foundation Program Schedules

EXHIBIT 5

Three Year Ave. H.N.B.

Current F.P. Schedule

Missile School District #1

H.B. 591
2-20-85

Page 1 of 1
D.L.P.
3/19/85

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7-8	8	9	10	11	12
	K-6	C. Springs	Hawth	Jumbo	Bottle	Wottle	Porter	C. Ty	Porter	H.N.B.		
1	2923	320	303	323	80	227	284	769		5229		
2	2944	335	292	326	72	230	308	785		5292		
3	2901	341	269	320	73	202	189	774	97	5166	7999496	
4	2922	332	288	323	75	220	260	776	97	5293		
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
26												
27												
28												
29												
30												

83
84
85 Proj.

3 yr. Ave.

3 yr. Ave.

Current Schedule 4/60928

2.4%

7.0%

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

7-8 Porter

7-8 C. Ty

H.N.B.

5229

5292

5166

5293

EXHIBIT 6

H.B. 824

2.20.85

HOUSE BILL 824

Amend House Bill 824, Introduced copy as follows:

1. Amend page 3, line 21

Following: "services"

Insert: "exclusively"

2. Amend page 3, line 24

Following: "section"

Insert: "if services are performed exclusively for an educational institution. Reasonable assurance, as referred to in subsection (2), for purposes of this subsection shall be in writing."

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 2 10 19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 324

FIRST reading copy (WHITE)
color

TO PROVIDE BUSING IN UNSAFE LOCATIONS FOR PUPILS WITHIN 3 MILES
OF SCHOOL

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 324

DO NOT PASS

DO PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 20

19 85

SPEAKER

MR.

EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

We, your committee on

HOUSE

having had under consideration Bill No. 531

FIRST

reading copy (WHITE)
color

ESTABLISHING A METHOD FOR CREATION OF A NEW HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 531

BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Page 1, line 10.
Following: the first "district"
Insert: "--limitation for creation"
2. Page 1, line 22.
Strike: "24"
Insert: "50"
3. Page 4, line 15.
Following: line 1:
Insert: "(3) for the purposes of this section,
the taxable value of the taxable property
of the territory proposed to be included in
the new district must be at least \$500,000."

AND, AS AMENDED

DO PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

PAGE 1 of 2

.....FEBRUARY 20..... 195.....

MR. ~~SPEAKER~~.....

We, your committee on.....EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES.....

having had under considerationHOUSE..... Bill No. 526.....

SECOND reading copy (YELLOW)
color

TO REMOVE VOTER TURNOUT REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHOOL BOND ELECTIONS

Respectfully report as follows: That.....HOUSE..... Bill No. 526.....

BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Title, line 5.
Following: "ELECTIONS;"
Insert: "TO REQUIRE PUBLIC NOTICE AND A PUBLIC HEARING
PRIOR TO A SCHOOL BOND ELECTION;"
2. Title, line 6.
Strike: "SECTION"
Insert: "SECTIONS 20-9-422 AND"

DO PASS
.....

.....CONTINUED.....

3. Page 1, following line 9.

Insert: "Section 1. Section 20-9-422, MCA, is amended to read:

"20-9-422. Additional requirements for trustees and trustees' resolution calling bond election. (1) In addition to the requirements for calling an election that are prescribed in 20-20-101 and 20-20-203, the trustees' resolution calling a school district bond election shall:

(a) fix the exact amount of the bonds proposed to be issued, which may be more or less than the amounts estimated in a petition;

(b) fix the maximum number of years in which the proposed bonds would be paid; and

(c) in the case of initiation by a petition, state the essential facts about the petition and its presentation.

(2) When the trustees of any district call a school district bond election, they shall:

(a) within 30 days prior to the day of the election, conduct a public hearing on the bond election at a regular or special meeting of the trustees;

(b) provide for a notice of the public hearing required in subsection (2)(a) by a news story or advertisement concerning the hearing and the bond election in a newspaper of general circulation within the school district; and

(c) within 10 days prior to the day of the election, provide for a notice of the election as provided in subsection (2)(b)."

Renumber subsequent sections.

AND AS AMENDED,
DO PASS

ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE COMMITTEE EDUCATION

DATE 2.20.85 HOUSE Bill No. 211 Time

NAME	YES	NO
Dan Harrington		
Ralph Eudaily		✓
Ray Brandewie		✓
William Glaser		✓
Joe Hammond		✓
Tom Hannah		✓
Raymond Harbin		✓
Roland Kennerly		✓
Les Kitselman		✓
John Mercer		✓
John Montayne		✓
Richard Nelson		✓
Jerry Nisbet		✓
Ray Peck	✓	
Jack Sands	✓	
Ted Schye		✓
Fred Thomas		✓
Mel Williams	✓	
DAN HARRINGTON		✓

Secretary _____

Chairman _____

SUBSTITUTE MOTION

Motion: 00 NOT PASS 3 FOR 15 AGAINST

ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE COMMITTEE EDUCATION

DATE 2.20.85 HOUSE Bill No. 211 Time

NAME	YES	NO
Dan Harrington		
Ralph Eudaily	✓	
Ray Brandewie	✓	
William Glaser		-
Joe Hammond	✓	
Tom Hannah	✓	
Raymond Harbin	✓	
Roland Kennerly	✓	
Les Kitselman	✓	
John Mercer	✓	
John Montayne		✓
Richard Nelson	✓	
Jerry Nisbet	✓	
Ray Peck		✓
Jack Sands		✓
Ted Schye	✓	
Fred Thomas	✓	
Mel Williams		✓
DAN HARRINGTON	✓	

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: DO PASS 13 FOR 4 AGAINST 1 ABSTAIN

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 20

85

..... 19

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

having had under consideration HOUSE

Bill No. 211

FIRST reading copy (WHITE)
color

**INCREASE THE MAX. GENERAL FUND BUDGET SCHEDULES FOR ELEM. AND
HIGH SCHOOL**

Respectfully report as follows: That.....

HOUSE

211

Bill No.

DQ PASS-

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 10 19 95

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 212

FIRST reading copy (WHITE)
color

**TO APPROPRIATE FUNDS TO SUPT. OF PUBLIC INST. FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL
SUPPORT**

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 212

DO PASS

ROLL CALL VOTE

HOUSE COMMITTEE EDUCATION

DATE 2.20.85 HOUSE Bill No. 824 Time

NAME	YES	NO
Dan Harrington		
Ralph Eudaily	✓	
Ray Brandewie	✓	
William Glaser		✓
Joe Hammond		✓
Tom Hannah	✓	
Raymond Harbin		✓
Roland Kennerly		✓
Les Kitselman	✓	
John Mercer	✓	
John Montayne		✓
Richard Nelson	✓	
Jerry Nisbet		✓
Ray Peck		✓
Jack Sands	✓	
Ted Schye		✓
Fred Thomas	✓	
Mel Williams		✓
DAN HARRINGTON		✓

Secretary

Chairman

Motion: DO PASS AS AMENDED 8 FOR 10 AGAINST

FAILED

REVERSED VOTE

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

FEBRUARY 20

19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on EDUCATION AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 324

FIRST reading copy (WHITE)
color

**DENY UNEMPLOYMENT COMP. TO CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF CONTRACTOR
WITH A SCHOOL**

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 324

BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Page 3, line 21.
Following: "services"
Insert: "exclusively"
2. Page 3, line 24.
Following: "section"
Insert: "if services are performed exclusively for
an educational institution. For the purpose
of this subsection, reasonable assurance
provided for in subsection (2) must be in
writing"

AND, AS AMENDED

DO NOT PASS

DO PASS

WITNESS STATEMENT

NAME Don Judge BILL NO. HB 82
ADDRESS Helena DATE 2-20-85
WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT? MT STATE AFL-CIO
SUPPORT _____ OPPOSE X AMEND _____

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

Comments:

- 1) unfair to already low-income earning people
- 2) not part of the compromise package to save UI fund
- 3) could provide difficulties for recruitment of these individuals for this type of job
- 4) we don't exclude other private employers, why this bunch? - cost of doing business
- 5) please refer to my testimony ~~at~~ on HB 15 before this committee earlier in this session for additional arguments
- 6) unlike HB 15, this legislation (HB 824) is not a federal mandate nor is it a compliance question -- it simply is not necessary.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

BILL 591 - INCREASE THE MAX. GENERAL FUND BUDGETDATE 2-20-85

SPONSOR FRANCIS BARDANOUVE

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

BILL 708 - AVERAGING OF SCHOOL ANB

DATE 2-20-85

SPONSOR Red Menahan

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

BILL 756 - VOTER APPROVAL TO PAY SUPER.
OVER \$50,000

DATE 2-20-85

SPONSOR Red Menahan

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

BILL 824 - DENY UNEMPLOYMENT TO CONTRACTED
SCHOOL EMPLOYEES

DATE 2-20-85

SPONSOR Ralph Eudaily

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.