

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
MONTANA STATE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 12, 1985

The meeting of the State Administration Committee was called to order by Chairman Sales for executive action on the bills in the election subcommittee, therefore, he turned the presentation of these bills over to Rep. Harriet Hayne, Chairman of this subcommittee.

ROLL CALL: All members were present.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 262: Rep. Hayne read the title of the bill - setting the primary election date as the first Tuesday after the second Monday in September and eliminating the presidential preference primary. The subcommittee recommended a Do Not Pass on HB 262. Rep. Hayne moved that HB 262 DO NOT PASS. Motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 243: This is an open blanket primary with the two highest vote getters to be nominated. Rep. Fritz said that this bill defeats the primary purpose which is a nomination process to select the parties' candidates.

Rep. Hayne moved HB 243 DO NOT PASS, second received. Rep. Moore asked why they couldn't be on the one ballot. Rep. Fritz said the purpose of the primary is for the party to choose its candidates rather than the other party doing so. A primary is a public party caucus. Rep. Peterson said that the secretary of state wishes to keep the primary.

Rep. Phillips felt that the problem is at the local level - maybe this would be okay for the presidential and congressional but have one ballot for the local level. Rep. Peterson said they had not considered mixing the ballot as suggested by Rep. Phillips.

Rep. Hayne's motion DO NOT PASS CARRIED with Reps. Phillips, Jenkins, Campbell, Sales and Hayne voting "no".

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 288: Rep. Hayne reported that the committee recommended the adoption of the amendments, seconded by Rep. Compton. Motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Rep. Hayne then moved that HB 288 DO PASS AS AMENDED, seconded by Rep. Harbin. Motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 650: A Statement of Intent was drafted to accompany this bill. Considerable discussion was held concerning the policies of the national Democratic party which states that you must indicate party preference when going to vote. There has been a court order to the Democratic party to follow along the lines of the national by-laws. When a person signs to vote, there will be three boxes showing that you are going to vote Republican, Democratic or you are not going to vote in the

presidential primary only. Rep. Peterson stated she was not very happy with the bill but it was a compromise. Rep. Jenkins asked why there couldn't be a primary and the Democrats could have their caucus after the primary.

Rep. Fritz stated that both parties have state conventions and choose their candidates according to the strength of the candidate following the primary.

Chairman Sales asked why the Democrats couldn't just be required to declare their preference since it is strictly a Democratic problem. Mr. Akey said they hadn't considered that as an option. The intent of the third block is simply a way of giving the people a blank to check to complete the precinct register so they can vote for anything below the presidential primary. There would be no way of preventing people checking the third box and then going ahead and voting anyway.

Rep. Nelson asked if anyone had checked with the election administrators. Sue Bartlett said there would be no problem as they do not record it, etc. Rep. Phillips asked how the public would be educated.

Rep. Fritz said this is more of a closed primary. Chairman Sales didn't feel it was fair for the people outside the Democratic party to have to be forced to make a choice when they don't have the problem.

Rep. Pistoria moved that HB 650 DO PASS WITH STATEMENT OF INTENT ATTACHED, seconded by Rep. Harbin. Motion CARRIED with Reps. Jenkins and Sales voting "no". (Following the meeting the chairman instructed the secretary to hold the bill for two days to permit Mr. Akey to check into further options.)

FURTHER DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 327: The rotation of candidates' names on the ballot has been requested as a Committee bill and will be printed shortly. This replaces HB 327 which received a Do Not Pass on February 8, 1985.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 421: Rep. Smith reported on HB 421 after checking with various persons at Workers' Compensation. He agreed the rate is fair but it is not based on flight hours but on total wages. We are talking about flight hours rather than salary. They had a similar problem with Vo-Ed. They are difficult to rate. However, it looks like we are mandated, by law, to cover these people. Rep. Phillips asked if this was a federal mandate. Rep. Harbin said that the courts have said so. The employers of the state are going to be paying for it. Chairman Sales said if it is a State obligation the State should pay for it, not the employers of Montana.

Rep. Harbin suggested that an appropriation be made from the general fund and put it in the Workers' Comp fund.

Rep. Pistoria asked Rep. Smith how he really felt about this bill since he had done the research on it. Rep. Smith said he would have to recommend a Do Not Pass.

Rep. Phillips moved to TABLE HB 421, seconded by Rep. Pistoria. The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Rep. Smith will talk to Rep. Brandewie and see if he can come up with something as an alternative

The hearing then opened at 9:00 a.m. on the following bills.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 274: Rep. Gay Holliday, District #31, sponsor, said that the bill amends the Game Wardens' Retirement Act by changing the minimum retirement age from 55 to 50 and providing that a member's retirement benefit will continue to increase 2% each year for each year of service the member works after completion of the required 25 years. She read from a statement prepared by the Montana Public Employees' Association to explain the changes in the bill and is attached as Exhibit #1. She also distributed proposed amendments to the members.

PROPOSERS: Tom Schneider, Montana Public Employees' Association, and the Fish and Game Wardens' Association, said there are three changes being made by this bill. It would do away with the 55 minimum requirement which would make them equal with the highway patrolmen. The basic cost is in reducing the minimum age from 55 to 50. The amendments are necessary because the title states that there will be an employer contribution and under the funding of the bill that will not be true. There was another bill introduced that would have been a cost-of-living increase for the game wardens but that bill has since been withdrawn.

Jim Flynn, Administrator of the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, spoke in support of HB 274 but did suggest an amendment to the bill deleting the compulsory retirement at age 60 which has been held as unconstitutional by the Supreme Court. He submitted written testimony which is attached as Exhibit #2. He said they had no problem with the concept nor the funding.

There were no further proponents and no opponents present.

Larry Natschein appeared, Administrator of the PERS, as neither a proponent or opponent but merely to explain their position and said that Mr. Schneider had done a good job explaining what the bill intends to do. This bill would change the period of funding from 15 1/2 to 17 1/2 years.

DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 274: Rep. Harbin asked if this was becoming consistent with other retirement systems. Mr. Schneider said it would make the State systems very comparable.

Rep. Peterson asked Mr. Flynn about the last paragraph of his

testimony which referred to management and asked his feelings about this statement. He explained to her it was to give management more flexibility in options for enforcement personnel. They do run into "burn out" and physical concerns that the other professions don't have within the department. He said this was not the motivation, however, for supporting the bill.

There being no further questions, Rep. Holliday closed her presentation of HB 274 and asked that the amendment concerning compulsory retirement at age 60 be included with the other proposed amendments.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 623: Rep. Norm Wallin, District #78, said that this bill would help the committee to manage the health care facility program. It takes considerable time to go through the processes for a Certificate of Need and any delay which would cause the expiration of a Certificate of Need (CON) would be very costly.

Don Allen, Montana Hospital Association, said that this bill was not at the request of the Montana Health Facility Authority as stated on the bill. They did suggest a bill but they had another bill in another committee. He said that there should be a retroactive effective date back to when the original act passed.

Peter Wanderer, Billings Deaconess Hospital, was definitely in support of the bill and explained his reasons, saying that things do change and this would give them the authority to make expenditures.

Jack Nielsen, Montana Health Facility Authority staff, said it allows them to issue bonds before the CON approval is obtained. At the present time, they have to wait for the CON before issuing the bonds. They would, however, still need the CON before disbursing funds to the hospital. The funds could be pooled and then disbursed to different loans. If there were three that were approved and one that was not, they have to delay this pooling or exclude the one without approval. This bill would benefit the Authority.

OPPONENTS: There were no opponents.

DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 623: Rep. Cody asked what would happen in the event the CON is denied. Mr. Nielsen said that it provides for repayment of the bonds with the proceeds that weren't loaned out. Within a certain period of time they would have to start retiring bonds with that money.

In answer to Rep. Cody's question concerning how long it takes to get a CON Mr. Nielsen said he was not very knowledgeable on that point but it could be three months to a year. Rep. Cody then asked how many CON are approved or denied each year. Mr. Wanderer said that 60/75 are applied for, of those maybe 1/3 or 25 would be denied, then they would be appealed.

Mr. Allen said this bill has more to do with the funding and the flexibility than the Certificate of Need.

Rep. Smith asked when they sell a bond issue for a hospital, and these are tax exempt, can they be invested for more than what they are paying for the money? Does the Authority have to come up with a need before the Authority can write a bond issue for them? Mr. Nielson said the CON must be in place before they can authorize a bond issue. Mr. Wanderer said they cannot invest the bond proceeds; they can only invest their own money.

Without further comment, Rep. Wallin closed his presentation.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 501: Rep. John Phillips, District #33, sponsor, said this bill would move the school elections from the first Tuesday in April to coincide with the general election. He said that it would cut some costs which the school districts have to pay and it would create greater voter participation. He said the fiscal note shows only a savings of \$1880 per year. He had asked the secretary of state's office to do some checking and found that in Great Falls, Helena, Red Lodge, and Colstrip, which were picked randomly, it cost \$24,404 for the 1984 April elections. The cost in Great Falls was \$17,758 for high school and elementary elections. This would cut out on April election. There are 388 school districts throughout the state and a considerable portion of the cost could be saved. Voter participation is very low in school elections, rarely over 25%. The school elections would have more interest if held with the presidential election. He said that opponents will argue that the mill levy election can't be in November because they don't know what the budget will be. He said that the school districts could set their budgets and then come to the Legislature and ask for that money. There will be many arguments against the bill.

PROPOSERS: Sen. Larry Tveit, District 11, said he had been involved in school boards in some capacity for the past 14 years and was in support of the bill. He said there will be a lot of opposition to it but said that in some instances the spring elections cause the district to be controlled by a very small handful of people. This bill would help the board members by electing them to office before they get into negotiations and are hit with some very heavy stuff.

Jim Lofftos, Missoula, Montana Fire District Association, was in favor of the bill as it would include all districts in the fall elections.

Rep. Fritz asked to be excused and left his votes for the bills being heard today.

Mr. Lofftos said it would boost participation. He would like to see it the same for all districts for all elections.

Bill Albanito, K-G High School student, attending the meeting as an observer, was in support of the bill.

OPPONENTS: Bob Stockton, Department of Public Instruction, said he sympathized with wanting to hold the election in the fall for greater participation but he said the levy election would still have to be held in the spring. The AND is not known until the first of March and there is no way you can tell what the voter levy is going to be. Technically, the levy election could be held in the fall but if it is still held in the spring and the other election is held in the fall this would be adding another election and would cost the school districts more.

Sue Bartlett, Lewis and Clark County Clerk and Recorder, appeared in opposition to the bill. This bill would not only move the school elections but all other annual district elections. Currently, there are a number of district elections plus elections not held by districts in November. These districts do not conform with each other and all have different boundaries. The problem facing the judges is who is eligible to receive which ballots. She said she would like to see some other methods to encourage greater voter turnout.

Wayne Buchanan, Montana School Boards Association, appeared as neither a proponent or opponent. He said there were a number of good points with the bill and there are also a number of problems with the present system which he would like to see worked out, however, not piecemeal. He said they still have to have the mill levy election in the spring under the present system and that the problem is going to have to be solved. He also said there is no good reason to hold these elections in the spring other than that it the way it has been done.

DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 501: Rep. Phillips, in answer to Rep. Cody asking if the bill addresses the mill levies, said that it does because the school trustees can call an election any time they see fit. He doesn't see it as the big problem that people make it out to be.

Rep. Peterson asked Rep. Phillips how he felt about this going into a study group consisting of the OPI, School Boards Association, Legislative Council, etc. to see if these problems could be worked out. Rep. Phillips said he wants to get the problem out in the open. He said that the public has indicated that something should be done.

In closing, Rep. Phillips asked that the Committee keep in mind having the trustee election in the fall and agreed that there are some technical problems with the bill.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 732: Rep. Ben Cohen, District #3, said this bill was requested by the ex-director of the

Flathead Basin Commission and would allow them to receive and expend funds. They have been doing this up to the present but this would enable them to do it legally.

PROPOSERS: Rep. Clyde Smith, Rep. Richard Nelson and Rep. Ray Harbin wanted to be on the record as proponents of the legislation.

OPPOSERS: There were no opposers.

DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 732: There were no questions from the Committee.

Rep. Cohen closed without further comment.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 514: Rep. Bob Marks, District #75, sponsor, said this would require the State to purchase registered warrants from the counties. The counties have to go to lending institutions at the present time which costs them a considerable amount. He said that there may be some problems with the bill after talking with Jim Howeth of the Board of Investments.

PROPOSERS: There were no proponents.

OPPOSERS: There were no opposers present.

Jim Howeth, Board of Investments of the Department of Administration, said he was not in opposition to the bill but there were some mechanical problems with it. There is a short term investment pool (STIP) which is comprised of approximately 76 participants. About 17-18 of those are local governments who have money in the pool. A participant can buy in or get out at any time. It is all prorata among those in the pool. No one owns any specific investment. They cannot buy a specific investment. This pool is all on computer and the computer doesn't even know what a warrant is. He suggested that the surplus treasury cash or the general fund purchase those warrants rather than the STIP.

DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 514: Rep. Smith asked how much of a problem would it be to have a Committee bill to change this to the general fund or the surplus treasury cash fund. Rep. Marks told the Committee if they felt the bill had merit this would be agreeable to him, however, with the work load of the Committee he would also be agreeable to having the bill laid on the table.

There being no further questions from the Committee, Rep. Marks closed.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 724: Rep. Kerry Keyser, District #74, sponsor, said that this bill would allow hospital districts to hold elections every year. Right now they can only

hold elections every other year. The county commissioners would have to approve this, then the voters would have to approve it. This would allow the elections every year and small hospital districts could be in a lot of trouble if they had to wait two years.

There were no proponents and no opponents present at the hearing.

DISCUSSION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 724: Rep. Cody asked Rep. Keyser how they are handling the situation now. Rep. Keyser said they probably have to go to fund raising or some other type of alternative to get their money as these elections can only be held every other year. This just changes when the elections can be held.

There being no further questions, the Committee then went into executive session.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 274: Rep. Jenkins moved ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENTS, seconded by Rep. Harbin. Motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. See Committee Report for amendments.

Rep. Holliday then moved HB 274 DO PASS AS AMENDED, seconded by Rep. Moore. The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 623: Rep. Garcia moved that HB 623 DO NOT PASS, seconded by Rep. Cody. Rep. Harbin said it looked like they were trying to get a pool of money before they get the Certificate of Need and thought it was getting the cart before the horse. Rep. Smith also expressed problems with the bill. Motion CARRIED with Reps. Phillips, Sales and Holliday voting "no", 15-3.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 501: Rep. Phillips moved HB 501 DO PASS, seconded by Rep. Smith. Rep. Cody expressed concern about the mill levy. Rep. Phillips said the trustees can call an election at any time they wish, however, Rep. Nelson said there is no way of knowing what the budget will be. Motion CARRIED 14-4 with Reps. Harbin, Pistoria, Fritz and Nelson voting "no".

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 732: Rep. Harbin moved that HB 732 DO PASS, seconded by Rep. Peterson. Motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. One of the members remarked that if HB 12 passes this would become statutory appropriation. Lois Menzies, Staff Researcher, said she had read HB 12 and said that if that bill passes these items in HB 732 would become a statutory appropriation and would not have to have Legislative approval.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 514: Rep. Nelson moved TO TABLE HB 514, seconded by Rep. Harbin. The motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 724: Rep. O'Connell moved that HB 724 DO PASS, seconded by Rep. Smith. Motion CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL NO. 423: Rep. O'Connell told the Committee that HB 354, which had been moved from this committee to Business and Labor was to be heard on Friday, the 15th and suggested that the committee wait until the outcome of HB 354 before acting on this bill.

There was considerable discussion concerning the FAA having absolute control over all waterways in the state. Rep. Phillips asked why the necessity of this bill if the FAA has control. The members told him it was because of landing on roads with prior approval of the county commissioners.

Rep. Phillips moved to hold the bill until HB 354 has been heard, seconded by Rep. O'Connell. Motion CARRIED.

There being no further business, the Committee adjourned at 10:55 a.m.



WALTER R. SALES, Chairman

MR. CHAIRMAN.

I must leave today at 9:45 on unofficial legislative business.

Here's my votes:

HB 501 NO

HB 514 NO

HB 623 YES

HB 724 YES

HB 732 YES

HB 774 YES

Alexy F.

(Type in committee members' names and have 50 printed to start).

DAILY ROLL CALL

State Administration COMMITTEE

49th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1985

Date 2/12/85

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Chairman Walter Sales	/		
V-Chairman Helen O'Connell	/		
Campbell, Bud	/		
Compton, Duane	/		
Cody, Dorothy	/		
Fritz, Harry	/		
Garcia, Rodney	/		
Hayne, Harriet	/		
Harbin, Raymond	/		
HolliDay, Gay	/		
Jenkins, Loren	/		
Kennerly, Roland	/		
Moore, Janet	/		
Nelson, Richard	/		
Peterson, Mary Lou	/		
Phillips, John	/		
Pistoria, Paul	✓		
Smith, Clyde	/		

Ex. #1
2/18/85
HB-271

PUBLIC

EMPLOYEES

ASSOCIATION

HOUSE BILL 274

Presented by: Thomas E.
Schneider

House Bill 274 amends the Game Wardens Retirement Act by changing the minimum retirement age from 55 to 50 and providing that a member's retirement benefit will continue to increase 2% each year for each year of service the member works after completion of the required 25 years.

TO EXPLAIN THE BILL

Currently a member of the F & G Retirement System must be at least 55 years of age to draw a service retirement benefit. Members of the Montana Highway Patrol Retirement System can retire at any age. It was the desire of the F & G Wardens to have the same rights as the Highway Patrol. However, there is a bill in to require a minimum age 50 for Highway Patrolmen. Passage of the change in section 1 would accomplish that equality. Police also have a minimum age 50 for service retirement.

Section 2 would change the method of calculating benefits for years of service after 25 by providing a continuation of 2% for each year rather than the present method of calculating the actuarial equivalent of an annuity. The annuity currently provides a benefit of approximately 1.35% but because it is tied to death and interest rates, it does not remain constant. The actuary for the system recommended the law be changed to provide a constant percentage.

It is important to remember that the employee continues to pay a full contribution which, under this bill, would be 7.9% during every year he works so it is only fair that the percent of final salary be the same each year.

COST OF THESE CHANGES

The actuary calculated that the changes would require an increase in contributions of 1.8%. As you can see in Section 3 the bill increases the employee cost from 7% to 7.9% or half of the cost.

After meetings with the PERD Board, administrator, and actuary, it was decided that the employer cost would come from fines and forfeitures. This method would change the period of years necessary to fund the unfunded liability from 15½ to 17½. The standard used by actuaries for public systems is 40 years so this method is sound. With the increases which are being realized in fines and forfeitures, the period of funding may not be increased at all. Thank

Eastern Region

(Mailing Address) 502 Nelson
Billings, Montana 59102
(Phone) (406) 652-3530

Western Region

(Mailing Address) 1420 Jackson
Missoula, Montana 59801
(Phone) (406) 728-4768



Ex. # 2
HB-274/
2/2/85

HB 274

Testimony presented by Jim Flynn, Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks

February 12, 1985

I appear before you today in support of HB 274 which would allow state game wardens to retire at age 50 with a minimum of 20 years of service. This act provides that the amount of the retirement allowance shall be 2% of the member's final salary for each year of creditable service. The 2% provision is consistent with other retirement systems, and the state's contributions will remain at current level with the employees' contribution increasing by .9%.

The department suggests that if this bill passes, subsection (2) under section 19-8-602 be deleted: "Retirement shall be compulsory at age 60." This requirement was ruled unconstitutional by the Montana Supreme Court in a recent decision, and thus is no longer applicable.

This bill allows an employee the option of retiring when he no longer feels mentally or physically capable of handling all phases of his position. It would also allow management more options when an employee's performance falls below acceptable levels.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12 19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on STATE ADMINISTRATION

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 650

First reading copy (White
color)

PARTY PREFERENCE INDICATION REQUIRED TO VOTE IN PRESIDENTIAL
PRIMARY

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 650

DO PASS

STATEMENT OF INTENT ATTACHED

STATE PUB. CO.
Helena, Mont.

Walter R. Sales,

Chairman.

COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Statement of Intent
HB 650

February 12 19 95

It is the intent of the legislature to give electors the opportunity to publicly declare their party preference for the presidential primary ballot only and to have that preference recorded. The secretary of state should prescribe the form of the precinct register, as provided in 13-1-202, to allow designation of preference for any party with a candidate on the presidential primary ballot. The form prescribed by the secretary of state should also give the elector an opportunity to declare that he does not intend to participate in the presidential primary.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12

19 85

MR. **SPEAKER**

We, your committee on **STATE ADMINISTRATION**

having had under consideration **HOUSE** Bill No. **724**

First reading copy (**White**)
color

**ALLOWS VOTE ON SPECIAL LEVY FOR HOSPITAL DISTRICT IN ODD
OR EVEN YEAR**

Respectfully report as follows: That **HOUSE** Bill No. **724**

DO PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12

19 95

MR. **SPEAKER**

We, your committee on **STATE ADMINISTRATION**

having had under consideration **HOUSE** Bill No. **283**

First reading copy (**White**)
color

**EARLIER PREPARATION OF ABSENTEE BALLOTS AND CHANGES IN
RELATED DEADLINES.**

Respectfully report as follows: That **HOUSE** Bill No. **283**

BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1) Title, line 8.

Strike: "AND"

2) Title, line 16.

Following: "MCA"

Insert: "; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE"

3) Page 27, line 6.

Strike: "65"

Insert: "67"

4) Page 27, line 13.

Strike: "65"

Insert: "67"

Strike: "60"

Insert: "62"

~~XXXXXX~~
~~DO PASS~~

Continued on page 2

State Administration
HB 238

February 12

85
19

5) Page 39.

Following: line 25

Insert: "NEW SECTION. Section 42. Effective date. This
act is effective January 1, 1986."

AND AS AMENDED
DO PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12 19 85

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on STATE ADMINISTRATION

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 732

First reading copy (White)
color

**GIVES FLATHEAD BASIN COMMISSION AUTHORITY TO RECEIVE AND
EXPEND GIFTS, ETC.**

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 732

DO PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12

19 85

MR. **SPEAKER**

We, your committee on **STATE ADMINISTRATION**

having had under consideration **HOUSE** Bill No. **591**

First reading copy (**White**)
color

SCHOOL ELECTION DAY TO BE HELD IN NOVEMBER

Respectfully report as follows: That **HOUSE** Bill No. **591**

DO PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12

19 35

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on STATE ADMINISTRATION

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 243

First reading copy (White)
color

OPEN BLANKET PRIMARY WITH TWO HIGHEST VOTE GETTERS TO BE NOMINATED

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 243

DO NOT PASS

~~DO PASS~~

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12 1935

MR. SPEAKER

We, your committee on STATE ADMINISTRATION

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 262

First reading copy (White color)

MOVING PRIMARY ELECTION TO SEPTEMBER, ELIMINATING PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 262

DO NOT PASS

DO NOT PASS

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 12 1985

MR. **SPEAKER**

We, your committee on **STATE ADMINISTRATION**

having had under consideration **HOUSE** Bill No. **274**

First reading copy (**White** color)

REVISING SERVICE RETIREMENT PROVISIONS UNDER GAME WARDENS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Respectfully report as follows: That **HOUSE** Bill No. **274**

BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

1) Title, line 5.

Following: "f"

Insert: "REMOVING THE COMPULSORY RETIREMENT AGE FOR STATE GAME WARDENS;"

2) Title, line 2.

Strike: "AND EMPLOYER"

3) Title, line 10.

Strike: "19-3-504,"

4) Page 1, line 23.

Strike: subsection (2) in its entirety

Renumber: subsequent subsection

XXXXXX
DO PASS

Continued on Page 2

State Administration
HB 274

February 12 19 85

5) Page 2, line 24 through line 8, page 3.

Strike: section 4 in its entirety

Renumber: subsequent sections

6) Page 3, lines 13 through 20.

Strike: section 6 in its entirety

Renumber: subsequent section

AND AS AMENDED
DO PASS

Sponsor Amendments to HB 274

1. Title, line 8.

Strike: "AND EMPLOYER"

2. Title, line 10.

Strike: "19-8-504,"

3. Page 2, line 24 through line 8, page 3.

Strike: section 4 in its entirety

Re-number: subsequent sections

4. Page 3, lines 13 through 20.

Strike: section 6 in its entirety

Re-number: subsequent section

STATEMENT OF INTENT

H BILL NO. 514

A statement of intent is required for this bill because rulemaking is granted to the state board of investments in section 1.

It is intended that the board of investments establish criteria for determining when funds invested under 17-6-204 by counties are available for the purchase of county warrants. It is contemplated that money under that fund may not be available if it is drawn down to a certain point because investing counties may need cash at the same times other counties are registering warrants for state investment. The board should determine when it is fiscally prudent to use other available funds for purchase of county warrants.

Amendments to House Bill No. 623.

1. Title, line 9.

Following: "MCA"

Insert: "; AND PROVIDING A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY CLAUSE"

2. Page 2, line 19.

Insert: "NEW SECTION. Section 3. Effective date -- applicability. This act is effective on passage and approval and applies retroactively, within the meaning of 1-2-109, to any health facility financing undertaken after October 1, 1983."

HOUSE BILL NO. 288
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

1. Page 27, line 6.
Following: "not less than"
Strike: "65"
Insert: "67"

2. Page 27, line 13.
Following: "Not more than"
Strike: "65"
Insert: "67"

3. Page 27, line 13.
Following: "Not less than"
Strike: "60"
Insert: "62"

④ ~~4 27, line 13~~
~~no 65~~
~~Ins 67~~

5 ~~4 27, line 13~~
~~no 60~~
~~Ins 62~~

Effect / ① I 13, 1986

VISITORS' REGISTER

COMMITTEE

BILL NO. HB 623DATE FEB 12 1985SPONSOR Wallin

NAME (please print)	RESIDENCE	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Peter J. Wanders	B. U. 1/2	✓	
Jack Nelson	Helena	✓	
Don Allen	Helena	✓	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITORS' REGISTER

COMMITTEE

BILL NO. _____

DATE _____

SPONSOR _____

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FOR

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE STATE Administration

COMMITTEE

BILL HB 274

DATE 2-12-85

SPONSOR _____

[illegible]

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

COMMITTEE

DATE _____

[illegible]

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

STATEMENT OF INTENT

_____ BILL NO. _____

A statement of intent is required for this bill because rulemaking is granted to the state board of investments in section 1.

It is intended that the board of investments establish criteria for determining when funds invested under 17-6-204 by counties are available for the purchase of county warrants. It is contemplated that money under that fund may not be available if it is drawn down to a certain point because investing counties may need cash at the same times other counties are registering warrants for state investment. The board should determine when it is fiscally prudent to use other available funds for purchase of county warrants.

STATEMENT OF INTENT
HOUSE BILL NO. 650

It is the intent of the legislature to give electors the opportunity to publicly declare their party preference for the presidential primary ballot only and to have that preference recorded. The secretary of state should prescribe the form of the precinct register, as provided in 13-1-202, to allow designation of preference for any party with a candidate on the presidential primary ballot. The form prescribed by the secretary of state should also give the elector an opportunity to declare that he does not intend to participate in the presidential primary.