

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
MONTANA STATE SENATE

March 7, 1983

The forty-first meeting of the Senate State Administration Committee was called to order by Senator Pete Story, Chairman on March 2, 1983 at 10:00 a.m. in room 331 of the State Capitol Building, Helena, Montana.

ROLL CALL: All members were present except Senator Stimatz.

The meeting was called to consider House Bills 51, 493, 506, 375, 508 and 67.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 51:

"AN ACT PROHIBITING CHANGING BOUNDARIES OF A COUNTY COMMISSIONER DISTRICT WITHIN 6 MONTHS PRECEDING A PRIMARY ELECTION; AMENDING SECTION 7-4-2102, MCA."

REPRESENTATIVE YARDLEY, District 74, presented this bill and said that currently the law says six months prior to the general election they must change county commissioner districts and also every ten years when they have census it is a requirement that they redistrict. Under the current laws it says elected for six years and they have one up every two years. Under the current law you have to live in the district to run. Six months before the general election would make the it May 2, 1982 and the deadline for filing was April 19th. It eliminated any prospective candidate that would like to run.

PROPOSERS: None

OPPOSERS: None

QUESTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE: None

SENATOR MANNING MOVED HOUSE BILL 51 BE CONCURRED IN MOTION PASSED. Senator Manning will carry this bill.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 493:

"AN ACT TO GENERALLY REVISE THE LAWS GOVERNING PROCUREMENT OF SUPPLIES AND SERVICES FOR STATE AGENCIES; GRANTING RULEMAKING AUTHORITY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION: AMENDING..."

REPRESENTATIVE YARDLEY, District 74, presented this bill who said that this bill is the request of the Department of Administration. He called the committee's attention to the Statement of Intent. He said that there has been four studies made on the purchasing of state government and was criticized by

the council, the legislative auditor, the department of administration, the governor's counsel on management and the department of administration's director's office. House Bill 493 revises those laws to provide a better method of purchasing. It is based on the American Bar Association model procurement act that has been revised to state and does not apply to local government. It would not apply to any construction project.

MORRIS BRUSETT, Director of the Department of Administration spoke as a proponent to this bill at the introduction of its author and said that they drafted this after the 17 organizations that they do business with. They did meet with the instate printers and discussed the bill because they have a major change in the way they procure printing. They also set up a purchasing advisory counsel. The language is the ABA language. There are 57 sections. He went through it by grouping. Section 1 through 5 provides the rational philosophical framework and the definition for state purchasing.

Section 6 through 11 discusses the purchasing organization and duties and rule making and how to remove bidders from the bidders list.

Section 7 through 20 discusses the purchasing practices

Sections 21 through 26 states the contract terms.

Section 23 gives a new provision in terms of bid secure performance bonds. They have now allowed irrevokable letters of credit instead of bonds and limited performance bonds to 25% instead of 100%.

Section 24 provides for data processing or telecommunications they can go ten years in their contract. The reasons for this is these purchases are major purchases and come from revolving funds and it is not feasible to take a major purchase and prorate it over three years because the cost to the user would be prohibitive, so the cost of a new computer would be charged out to the agency. Some of the concern in the House regarding long term contracts has led them to write in Section 25 which says "any contract over 3 years and \$25,000 it requires the approval of the legislature or interm legislative finance committee.

STATE ADMINISTRATION

March 7, 1983

Page 3

Section 28 through 30 requires report to the attorney general.

Section 31 through 34 charges the department responsibility for developing standard product specifications.

Section 35 relates relates to contract clauses.

Section 36 relates to principals.

Section 37 through 39 relates to supply management and surplus property. When they get federal surplus they tack on handling charges.

Section 40 through 46 relates to intra-governmental relations. Permits the state to do purchasing with other gov~~ern~~jurisdictions. Section 48 was added in the House saying local government can adopt this.

Section 47 permits retroactive application of that section. He gave some background on this saying currently they have entered into a lease agreement for a new telephone system for the state four (university units) and the capital complex. Under current law, they can lease this, and this law will allow us to convert it to a lease-purchase and also will be able to take the financing out of the regular tax exempt market and they estimate that they can save about \$3 million by conversion. He said that their contract with CENTEL, the telephone company, provides that if legislation is passed which permits us to go to ten years, they will permit us to convert that current lease which is now at 14% interest to tax exempt financing which would be in the area of 7% interest. They do have a provision approved by the subcommittee on appropriations that would authorize the kind of financing that would be permitted.

Section 49 is the printing section where you have testimony on both sides of the issue. Currently under printing the general contract provides that instate printers get 2% preference. The instate printing is 40%, out-of-state 35% and in-house printing is 25%. He said that the state of Oregon has a law that any thing they can do in state cheaper will be done that way. He stated that they have adopted that law. Another provision provides if we do not think in-state fees are reasonable we may go out of state.

He reviewed the rest of the sections quickly to the committee.

STATE ADMINISTRATION
March 7, 1983
Page 4

PROPOSERS:

JACK NOBLE, Deputy Director of Finance and Claims, Commissioner of Higher Education Office, endorsed the proposal of the Department of Administration.

RAY KUNTZ, businessman of the Floor Show, stated that three and one half years ago he started and had a real hard time bidding state carpet jobs because the only type of bonds he could get required semi-annual audits and alot of paper work and it went for twice what a local bond would cost. A letter of credit is fairly easy to get. He said he would like to see a change of an effective date upon passage.

STAN THURSTON, Thurber Printing, stated that they support this bill and stated that they are interested in giving in-state-printing better leverage because they are in business here, furnish a payroll that funds itself from the community and into the state. He said that they have a 2% preference in the state of Montana while other industries in other states have 3%.

BOB GRAFF, Art Craft Printers, said that they have about 150 employees in Montana. He said that commercial printing does require some expertise. He said if you put out enough feelers you can always find someone that will sell you something for half price but that they should do business in Montana.

JOHN BRANHART, Color World, stated taxes belong in Montana and to the Montana people.

MIKE NEAGLE, State Publishing Company, stated that he supports this bill. Printing is a highly competitive industry.

There were no other proponents.

OPPOSERS:

FREDRICK VERZANI, representing himself in the business forms industry, stated Section 49 is a concern. He said he would like to correct earlier statements that we were never notified. They were not notified prior to the introduction of this House Bill. They have met since with the department of administration and explained their concern. Section 49 in H.B.493 becomes more of a convenience to in-state printers. He also stated that Oregon does not follow its own law. They are asking if the state of Montana, Department of Administration, would police

STATE ADMINISTRATION

March 7, 1983

Page 5

the current law as the Montana Code is written they would be protected by the 2% and they have no objections to 3%. He presented a amendment EXHIBIT 1.

GARY RENSMAN, representing himself, stated he is behind Mr. Verzani and supports his proposed change. EXHIBIT 1a

No other opponents.

REPRESENTATIVE YARDLEY closed saying in respect to the printers, there was considerable effort in the bill to promote Montana business.

QUESTION OF THE COMMITTEE:

SENATOR MARBUT asked, when you talk about purchasing, is it a dollar amount?

MR. BRUSSET said "no" it means "by rule". The audit report is just a paper schuffle.

SENATOR MARBUT referred to page 21 and asked what kind of dollar amount are you talking.

LAURIE EKANGER, Administrator of the purchasing division, stated that they have contracts that run under \$300 and that that runs \$7.2 million.

SENATOR MARBUT asked for a reaction on the letter of credit.

MR. BRUSETT stated that some letters of credit can be revoked but these are irrevocable.

MS. LANE, attorney for the department of administration referred the committee to page 21, line 5. She said she does believe that they would accept a letter of credit on a \$7.2 million project.

SENATOR HAMMOND asked if this involves university systems.

It was stated that it does not involve local schools.

The hearing closed on H.B.51.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 506:

"AN ACT TO ADD "REPAIR" AND "MAINTENANCE" TO THE DEFINITION OF "CONSTRUCTION"; TO EXEMPT REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE PROJECTS FROM THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS APPROVE PROJECTS OVER \$25,000 AND THE REQUIREMENT THAT A PRIVATE ARCHITECT OR CONSULTING ENGINEER BE RETAINED FOR SUCH PROJECTS"..

STATE ADMINISTRATION

March 7, 1983

Page 6

REPRESENTATIVE YARDLEY, District 74, introduced this bill by saying it does not do what they thought it would last session. It took maintenance out thinking it would not need approval but the difficulty was when they deleted it, it fell into purchasing.

The purpose of the bill is to transfer it from purchasing division back to the architect division in the Department of Administration. Representative Yardley walked the committee through the bill.

PROPOSERS:

PHIL HAUCK, Administer of Architect and Engineering, stated that they are the office that asked this and they did not intend this to go into state purchasing. He said if it falls under construction they have to hire an architect and it has to go to the board of examiners and be advertised for three weeks and this does alot of things that stops them from doing repair type work and this does not generally need an architect or engineer, they can do it themselves. He presented an amendment to page 4, line 16. EXHIBIT 2.

BILL LANNAN, Montana University System, stated that there is much repair work to be done at the universities. He asked for support of this bill.

OPPOSERS: None

QUESTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE:

SENATOR MARBUT said he asked why they didn't get an architect and he was told they went outside when they were doing anything when he asked about H.B.490. He said he guessed he would like to have a resolution of the combination of H.B.490 on pages 3 and 4 and on page 5.

MR. HAUCK said under the definition of construction, the way it was, maintenance was in with renovation and remodeling as well as new construction. Any major work that falls under renovation or remodeling and stays in the definition of remodeling, it does require an architect or engineer for anything over \$25,000.

SENATOR TOWE asked what about the bidding part.

MR. HAUCK said that it does not take that out, it changes it. He said it allows them to take informal bids or small bids under the definition of construction, line 17-19, page 3.

SENATOR TOWE questioned the statement "less than \$25,000 and

STATE ADMINISTRATION

March 7, 1983

Page 7

more than \$5,000. What about repair and maintenance more than \$25,000.

MR. HAUCK said that the process is getting more than three bids.

SENATOR TOWE said that he feels it shows an omission and thinks this can be fixed.

REPRESENTATIVE YARDLEY closed on H.B.506 and said the department has an explanation of the bill, EXHIBIT 3. He also said that lobbyist, Sonny Hanson sends his support of this bill. He asked that Senator Towe carry this bill for him.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 375:

"AN ACT TO REQUIRE THE STATE TO PAY A CLAIM WITHIN 30 DAYS OR WHEN SPECIFIED IN A CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT; AND TO PROVIDE FOR INTEREST ON THE UNPAID BALANCE..."

REPRESENTATIVE MC BRIDE, District 85, introduced H.B.375 as being the small payment bill. She said that there is a long delay in payment by state government and the businessman is bearing the blunt of the cost. H.B.375 is modeled after the federal legislation. She went through the mechanics of the bill with the committee. She said section 2 is the key to this bill and it says if any agency fails to make timely payment of its supplies that it will pay interest penalty to the vendor. Timely payment is defined. Section 3 provides exemption to the requirement, like medicaid or delinquency due to natural disasters or postal reasons.

PROPOSERS:

JANNELL FALLON spoke in support of H.B.375 by saying that it advantageous to the state. She said that she has visited with several that said they do not bid state jobs because it takes so long to get paid.

JANICE VAN RIPEN, Division of Workers' Compensation, testified as a proponent but offered an amendment, EXHIBIT 4 which allows the exceptions to 30 days.

OPPONENTS: None

QUESTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE:

SENATOR TOWE asked if they intended to include a lease of more than one year. If it is, it is no longer personal property.

STATE ADMINISTRATION
March 7, 1983
Page 8

REPRESENTATIVE MC BRIDE said that when they agree to pay on a lease basis it should be paid.

SENATOR MARBUT questioned page 2, line 2, "is otherwise".

The answer was, because with agencies they pay the invoice.

REPRESENTATIVE MC BRIDE stated that she has no problem with the medicaid taking more than 30 days and that it would be a reasonable amendment.

SENATOR TOWE stated that he is not satisfied with Workmens' Compensation.

JANICE VAN RIPER said that it would be only for reasonable cause.

The hearing closed on House Bill 375.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 508:

"AN ACT TO GENERALLY REVISE THE COMPOSITION OF THE STATE LIBRARY COMMISSION; AMENDING..."

REPRESENTATIVE MC BRIDE, District 85, introduced H.B. 508 as a bill that will revise the composition of the state library commission. It adds two more public members appointed by the governor and allows the superintendent of public instruction to appoint a designee if they choose and they will change the method for appointing the members of the commission of the university librarian.

The state superintendent serves on many committees and in some cases that person should be able to designate someone else to serve. The librarian from the University system has been the librarian for the University of Montana and it is no longer true. At one time the state library used to be in the University.

She pointed out a minor change made by the House Education Committee. The terms of office were reduced from 5 years to 3 years. She said that the committee may want to look at section 2, page 2, the transition schedule.

She read a letter from the chairman of the state library commission, EXHIBIT 5.

PROPOSERS:

MAYNARD OLSON spoke for ED ARGENBRIGHT, state superintendent of schools, in support of H. B. 508.

STATE ADMINISTRATION

March 7, 1983

Page 9

SUZY HOLT, Shodaire Hospital medical librarian and represent the Montana Librarian Association in support of the bill. They need the commission to do alot of work.

J. D. HOLMES, Art Advocacy, stated that the bill has been well explained. It does let university librarians have some input. It would be in time to appoint two new members.

OPPONENTS: None

QUESTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE:

SENATOR HAMMOND asked if there was any thought to the area the extra members come from.

SARAH PARKER, State Librarian said there is no provision to designate people by geographical area. There has been a good balance.

REPRESENTATIVE MC BRIDE closed and said Irv Dayton, Commissioner of Higher Education expressed his support of this bill.

ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 508:

SENATOR TOWE MOVED THAT HOUSE BILL 508 BE CONCURRED IN. MOTION PASSED. Senator Stimatz will carry this bill.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 67:

"AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR DIRECT REPEAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULES BY BILL; TO PROVIDE FOR LEGISLATIVE DIRECTION BY BILL OR LEGISLATIVE REQUEST OR ADVISE BY JOINT RESOLUTION OF AGENCY ADOPTION, AMENDMENT, OR REPEAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND TO REQUIRE COMPLIANCE WITH THAT DIRECTION WHEN ADOPTED IN BILL FORM: AMENDING..."

REPRESENTATIVE HARPER, District 30, introduced H.B.67 as a need to clarify the code to show they are going to do things by resolution and when they want to mandate they will use a bill.

PROPONENTS:

JOHN MERIDETH, testified as a proponent. EXHIBIT 6.

DAVE WOODYARD, Department of State Lands, testified in favor of the bill.

DAVE GOSS, Billings Chamber of Commerce, stated his support of H.B.67 though there does have to be a power of authority and feels it should be with the legislature.

STATE ADMINISTRATION
March 7, 1983
Page 10

SCOTT CURRY, representing the Governor's office said that they are in full support of this bill.

The hearing closed on House Bill 67.

ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 67:

SENATOR TOWE MOVED HOUSE BILL 67 BE CONCURRED IN.
MOTION PASSED. Senator Hammond voted "no"
Senator Story will carry the bill.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 493:

SUB COMMITTEE - Senator Marbut and Senator Towe

ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 506:

SENATOR TOWE said he has some amendments to present.
It was the decision of the chairman to come back to this bill.

ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 375:

SENATOR TOWE MOVED THE AMENDMENT on page 1, line 20 to
Strike: "and buildings."

Insert: ". The term also includes leases of buildings
or other real property by the state or any agency
thereof."

MOTION PASSED. Senator Story voted "no".

SENATOR TOWE MOVED THE AMENDMENT on page 2, line 25.

Following: "medicaid"

Insert: "or workers compensation"

MOTION PASSED.

SENATOR TOWE MOVED HOUSE BILL 375 BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED.
MOTION PASSED.

The meeting adjourned at 12:15 p.m.



A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Pete Story". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

CHAIRMAN, Senator Pete Story

ROLL CALL

STATE ADMINISTRATION

COMMITTEE

47th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1983

Date 3/7/83

----- SENATE SEAT #

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED	SEAT #
SENATOR PETE STORY, Chairman	X			45
SENATOR H. W. HAMMOND, Vice Ch	X			34
SENATOR REED MARBUT	X			44
SENATOR LARRY TVEIT	X			33
SENATOR R. MANNING	X			48
SENATOR LAWRENCE STIMATZ				7
SENATOR THOMAS TOWE	X			26
SENATOR GARY LEE	X			

Each day attach to minutes.

COMMITTEE ON _____

VISITORS' REGISTER

NAME	REPRESENTING	BILL #	Check One	
			Support	Oppose
J. D. Holmes	MT. ARTS ADVOCACY	HB508	✓	
Maynard A. Olson	OPI	HB508	✓	
Jonice S. Van Riper	Div. of Workers' Comp.	HB375	✓	
Dave Woodford	Dept. of State Lands	HB67	✓	
Jon Meredith	Dept. of Revenue	HB67	✓	
Ken Heiker	EMC	HB506 HB493	✓	
Stan Thurston	Thurston	HB493	✓	
FREDERICK VERZANI	SELF	HB493		✓
John Bamhart	Color World	HB493	✓	
Dary Berman	self	HB493		✓
R. J. Best	Alaska Printers	HB493	✓	
Camille Fallan	Mont Chamber	HB375	✓	
FRED HAUCK	Dept of Admin	HB506	✓	
Ray Kuntz	The Flow Show Inc.	HB493	✓	
Suzzy Holt	MT. LIBRARY ASSOC.	HB508	✓	
Jack Noble	University System	HB493	✓	
Bill Laganan	Mont Univ System	HB506	✓	
Scott CROFT	DEPT OF WORKERS' COMP OFFICE	HB67	✓	
Dave Goss	Billings Chamber of Commerce	HB67	✓	

(Please leave prepared statement with Secretary)

PROPOSED CHANGES TO SECTION 49, HB 493

Section 49 - All printing, binding and stationary work for which the state of Montana is chargeable, except the Montana Code Annotated, must be printed within the state of Montana by a responsible bidder, if his bid is not more than 2% higher than the bid of the lowest bidder who is a non-resident of this state, unless local facilities for production of a particular sort of printed matter is not available.

EXHIBIT 1a
State Admin.
3/7/83

1200 32nd Street South #75
Great Falls, Montana
March 1, 1983

Senator Pete Story, Chairman
Senate Administration Committee
Helena, Montana

Re: House Bill 493.

Dear Senator:

Your Committee will soon be considering the above House Bill. I must voice my objection to one section of the Bill. That is Section 49. Section 49 requires all printing, binding and stationery work to be done within the State, unless it meets the exemptions as listed.

I feel that it discriminates against myself and others, who represent out of state forms manufacturers. I cannot supply you with an accurate number of persons who would be affected, should this new Law be passed with this Section in tact; however, I feel it could run as high as 25 persons. In addition, it would place a burden on the Publications & Graphics Division to police. There is not a firm nor a group of firms, in our State, that can manufacture all the varieties of forms used by the State Agencies.

Presently, there is a system of "in-state" bid preferences that are allowed, to the in-state printers. I believe that it has worked well for everyone concerned in the past. It is my belief that it could continue to work well in the future.

I respectfully request that your committee delete this Section from the Bill, so that I might be able to continue to bid on all the State printing requirements. Montana is my home, and I hope that I will be able to continue to earn my living in my chosen profession.

Yours truly,



Gary Rensmon

RECOMMENDED CHANGES

HB 506

Page 4, Line 16: Change "and ~~(2)~~" to read "and, (2) and".

EXPLANATION OF BILL NO. 544

EXHIBIT 3
State Admin.
3/7/83

The purpose of this bill is to transfer the administration of repair and maintenance project contracts from the State Purchasing Division back to the Architecture and Engineering Division.

The 1981 Legislative Session amended Section 18-2-101, MCA, by deleting the repair or maintenance of an existing building from the definition of construction. This was done so that repair or maintenance projects costing more than \$25,000 would not be required to have an Architect or Engineer appointed to design such projects. The reason being that an Architect's or Engineer's professional services and the added expense of their fees were not necessary to reroof, repaint, recarpet, etc., existing buildings when their worn-out components were simply being replaced. It was also recognized that many repair or maintenance projects are of an emergency nature (i.e., a hail damaged roof that was leaking badly could do considerable damage to the interior of a building) and need to be accomplished as quickly as possible. The involvement of an Architect or Engineer and the numerous required approvals by the State Board of Examiners often doubled the time required to complete a repair or maintenance project.

Unfortunately, deleting repair and maintenance from the definition of construction took the administration of those projects out of the jurisdiction of the State's building construction laws and into the jurisdiction of the State's purchasing laws. This change has caused numerous problems. Many state agencies did not realize a jurisdictional change took place but thought Architect's and Engineer's had delegated repair and maintenance. The State Purchasing Division is not construction oriented but must comply with laws and procedures involving contractor's licenses and taxes, bonding and insurance requirements, preparation of plans and specifications, and approvals of various agencies, such as, the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Building Codes Division, etc.

The State Purchasing Division uses a formal competitive bidding procedure which is slow and cumbersome for urgent repairs. Also, State Purchasing Division

does not have personnel to inspect either work in progress or completed projects to determine the quality or acceptability of the work. Moreover, State Purchasing has a cut-off period from the middle of May to the first of July in which they do not accept new projects and they normally accumulate a backlog of work during the summers. This makes it difficult to expedite outside repair and maintenance projects that need to be done during good weather conditions.

This bill will put repair and maintenance projects back under the construction laws where they can be more efficiently administered. This bill also amends the construction laws so that an Architect's or Engineer's services or State Board of Examiners' approvals are not required for repair and maintenance projects as is currently the case under the Purchasing Division. This bill will not materially effect either State Purchasing Division's or Architecture and Engineering Division's budgets.

EXHIBIT 4
State Admin.
3/7/83

48th Legislature

HB 0375/02

Amendments

() New Material

Page 2

Line 25

Following: "Montana medicaid"

Insert: "or workers' compensation"

NAME John Doe BILL No. 11

ADDRESS 123 Main St DATE 2/1/77

WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT Local 1000

SUPPORT OPPOSE AMEND

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

Comments:

*Div. of Workers proposed a wish to
revise Section 3(6) to include claims
submitted under the workers' compensation
program.*

MONTANA STATE LIBRARY

~~1 EAST LYNDAL AVE~~

HELENA, MONTANA 59601

15 E. Sixth Avenue

59620

EXHIBIT 5
State Admin.
3/7/83

March 7, 1983

Representative Kathleen McBride
State Capitol Building
Helena, Montana 59620

Dear Representative McBride:

I am unable to attend the hearing on HB 508, to revise the Library Commission, before the Senate State Administration Committee on Monday. I apologize to you and to the Committee. I must be in Washington, D.C.

As Chairman of the Commission I am particularly aware this legislation is needed. The Program Review, which made extensive study of the Montana State Library, recommends it. The great geographical distance and the diversity of libraries would be better represented by a larger Commission. The statute gives us responsibility for library development throughout Montana. The difficulties facing libraries today gives added weight to the need for an enhanced Commission. The changes in representation of academic libraries and the Superintendent of Public Instruction will assist us to play our designed role. I urge the Committee to approve this bill.

Sincerely,



Henry McClernan
Chairman
Montana State Library Commission

HM/SP/jf

TESTIMONY OF JON A. MEREDITH, ADMINISTRATOR,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, LEGAL & ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
ON HOUSE BILL #67

An Act To Provide For Direct Repeal Of Administrative Rules By Bill; To Provide For Legislative Direction By Bill Or Legislative Request Or Advice By Joint Resolution Of Agency Adoption, Amendment, Or Repeal Of Administrative Rules And To Require Compliance With That Direction When Adopted In Bill Form; Amending Section 2-4-412, MCA, before Senate State Administration Committee on 3/7/83.

The Department of Revenue supports this legislation. HB #67 provides for a necessary change in the ability of the Legislature to provide directive in the rulemaking process.

JAM/ilb

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

MARCH 7

19 83

MR. **PRESIDENT**

We, your committee on **STATE ADMINISTRATION**

having had under consideration **HOUSE** Bill No. **51**

Yardley (Manning)

Respectfully report as follows: That **HOUSE** Bill No. **51**

BE CONCURRED IN
~~INDEX~~

He

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

MARCH 7

19 83

MR. **PRESIDENT**

We, your committee on **STATE ADMINISTRATION**

having had under consideration **HOUSE** Bill No. **508**

McBride (Stimatz)

Respectfully report as follows: That **HOUSE** Bill No. **508**

BE CONCURRED IN
~~DO PASS~~

H.C.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

MARCH 7 19 83

MR. PRESIDENT

We, your committee on STATE ADMINISTRATION

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 67

Harper (Story)

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 67

BE CONCURRED IN

DO NOT PASS

Handwritten initials

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

MARCH 7

19 83

MR. PRESIDENT

STATE ADMINISTRATION

We, your committee on

having had under consideration HOUSE Bill No. 375

McBride (Towe)

Respectfully report as follows: That HOUSE Bill No. 375

third reading, be amended as follows:

- 1. Page 1, line 20.
Strike: "and buildings."
Insert: ". The term also includes leases of buildings or other real property by the state or any agency thereof."
- 2. Page 2, line 25.
Following: "medicaid"
Insert: "or workers compensation"

And, as so amended
~~BE PASSED~~ BE CONCURRED IN

P.S.