#### MINUTES OF THE MEETING PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE AND SAFETY COMMITTEE MONTANA STATE SENATE

JANUARY 26, 1983

The meeting of the Public Health, Welfare and Safety Committee was called to order by Chairman, Tom Hager on Wednesday, January 26, 1983 at 1 p.m. in Room 410 of the State Capitol Building.

<u>ROLL CALL</u>: All members were present. However, Senators Marbut and Norman arrived late because of prior commitments. Woody Wright, staff attorney, was also present.

Senator Hager turned the chair over to Senator Himsl.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 31: Senator Tom Hager, sponsor of Senate Bill 31, gave a brief summary of the bill. This bill is an act to exempt establishments located in business and commercial districts from the prohibition against issuance of retail alcoholic beverage licenses to establishments within 600 feet of a church or school. Senator Hager stated that this bill is aimed at easing certain restrictions on liquor licenses. He handed in to the Committee a list of establishments within a 600' radius of a church or school in Yellowstone County engaged in the sale and on premise consumption of beer, wine and/or liquor. (See exhibit 1) Senator Hager then presented a map of the central business district of Billings to show just how much area is being affected by the present law as it now stands. This does not allow for the best use of a piece of property in the downtown In order for a restaurant to be successful, it needs to area. be able to have a liquor license. Some businesses are sidestepping the law by the entrances into the establishments being on different streets.

Mae Nan Ellingson, Deputy City Attorney for the City of Missoula, stood in support of the bill. She stated that the cities currently have, pursuant to Section 16-3-309, the ability to enact ordinances defining certain areas in the cities where alcoholic beverages may be sold. Consequently, their first concern is that the proposed bill does not infringe upon that authority. City zoning commissions and city councils through their statutory provisions for notice, public comment, ability of property owners to protest, are in a fairly good position to determine the appropriateness of certain locations for beer, wine or all beverage sale of consumption. Consequently, the cities can still protect the public interest and particularly the concerns of schools and churches through zoning. Ms. Ellingson offered the following amendment which she felt would help the committee greatly as they work with the bill.

PUBLIC HEALTH PAGE TWO JANUARY 26, 1983

The amendments is as follows:

1. Page 2, line 13
Following: "(a)"
Strike: "located within a zoning district zones for business
 or commercial uses;"
Insert: "zoned for that use."

The reason for this amendment is to recognize that various communities have different methods of zoning and different types of zoning districts. Ms. Ellingson stated that is SB 31 is passed as it is now proposed, it might be interpreted as automatically allowing beer, liquor, and wine to be sold in those commercial and business zones where it might mot be appropriate because of proximity to day care centers, schools, or because of lack of parking.

Phil Strope of the Montana Taverns Owners Association stood in support of the bill. He stated that his organization endorses the bill and also the amendment as it now stands.

With no further proponents, Senator Himsl called on the opponents. Hearing none, the meeting was opened to a question and answer period from the Committee.

Senator Norman stated that he felt that "Home Rule "should prevail and that the local people should be taking care of this matter. Perhaps this bill could be passed with a clause turning it over the the proper local authorities to be sunsetted in July of 1985. That way the next legislature could review how it was being handled and to see if it was working out well with the local authorities handling the matter.

Senator Hager closed stating that in Billings there is a store selling beer and wine right across the street from one of the high schools. He felt that if this bill is passed it would be in the best interest of everyone. He asked for favorable consideration from the Committee of this bill.

A motion was made by Senator Norman that Woody Wright do some research and draft an amendment which would take care of that problems as suggested by Mae Nan Ellingson of Missoula. PUBLIC HEALTH PAGE THREE JANUARY 26, 2983

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 27: Senator Tom Hager of Senate District 30 of Billings, sponsor of Senate Bill 27, gave a brief resume of the bill. This bill is an act to exempt establishments located in historical buildings from the prohibition against issuance of retail alcoholic beverages license to establishments located within 600 feet of a church or school.

Senator Hager stated that this is actually being taken care of in Senate Bill 31.

There were neither proponents nor opponents to testify on the bill.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 32: Senator Tom Hager of Senate District 30 of Billings, sponsor of Senate Bill 32, gave a brief resume of the bill. This bill is an act to decrease the distance from a place of worship or school within which the sale of alcoholic beverages may not be licensed.

Senator Hager stated that Senate Bill 32 cuts from 600 feet to 150 feet the distance from which a liquor selling establishment must be from a place of worship or a school.

There were neither proponents nor opponents to testify on the bill.

Senator Hager closed.

Senator Himsl instructed Woody Wright, Staff Attorney, to see if perhpas he could combine the three bills into one and report back to the Committee.

DISCUSSION OF SENATE BILL 150: This bill is an act to provide that for privately owned public swimming pools no lifeguard is required if warning is given. Senator Pete Story is the sponsor of this bill.

Vern Sloulin of the Department of Health presented the Committee with two amendments for the betterment of the bill. The Committee stated that they will take the amendments into consideration.

Senator Himsl turned the chair back over to Senator Hager.

PUBLIC HEALTH PAGE FOUR JANUARY 26, 1983

ANNOUNCEMENTS: The next meeting of the Public Health Committee will be held on Friday, January 28, 1983 at 1 p.m. in Room 410 of the State Capitol Building to consider Senate Bills 182, and 193.

ADJOURN: With no further business the meeting was adjourned.

Chairman, qer Ton

eg

## ROLL CALL

PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE, SAFETY COMMITTEE

48 th LEGISLATIVE SESSION -- 1983

Date / - 26-83

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED	
SENATOR TOM HAGER				
ENATOR REED MARBUT	late			
ENATOR MATT HIMSL				
ENATOR STAN STEPHENS ENATOR CHRIS CHRISTIAENS				
ENATOR JUDY JACOBSON	late			
ENATOR BILL NORMAN	late			
	·			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

ESTABLISHMENTS WITHIN A 600' RADIUS OF A CHURCH OR SCHOOL IN YELLOWSTONE COUNTY ENGAGED IN THE SALE AND ON PREMISE CONSUMPTION OF BEER, WINE, OR LIQUOR.

#### ESTABLISHMENT

# THE BROWN JUG TAVERN BRANDY'S MR. D'S RED DOOR TAVERN ARCHER'S BEER DEPOT LUCKY'S (formerly CJ'S) THE GRANERY RESTAURANT THE GRANERY RESTAURANT

### CHURCH OR SCHOOL

GARFIELD GRADE SCHOOL CENTRAL CHRISTIAN CHURCH CENTRAL CHRISTIAN CHURCH EASTERN MONTANA COLLEGE GRACE METHODIST CHURCH GRAND AVE. GRADE SCHOOL IST UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH ROCKY MOUNTAIN COLLEGE







1.1 Sec. 5.5 2 È. MR. D'S PINE VILLAGE 2.10

ALC: THE  $c \leq c$  $\left( A_{i}\right)$ 

2.14

AME :	Tom	<u></u>			<u>.</u>		1-2	6-03	1
DDRESS:		Norri	<u>5 : C</u>		<u></u>	ins			_
									!
EPRESENT:	ING WHOM?	<u> </u>							
PPEARING	ON WHICH PRO	POSAL:_		SB	27	31,3	2		
					ist ist Statistics			in sa	
XO YOU:	SUPPORT?		AMEND?		3. 2	OPPOSE	?		<u> </u>
COMMENTS:				- -			·		-
						·	·		
			`.	 					·
•				:					
								:	
		. <u>.</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

٠

		C	A
NAME: R. Peyber HOY	reg stheer	DATE: 26	<u>Li D</u>
ADDRESS: Post Office Box	#301 . B/	<u>1.C.M.65, MT 5710.</u>	<u>3-030/</u>
PHONE: 14067 256-5301			
REPRESENTING WHOM? Self	- [[]	and Rostran	$\underline{F}$
APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL:	5B#31		
	• • .		
DO YOU: SUPPORT?	AMEND?	OPPOSE?	
COMMENTS:			
			······································
			······································
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		<b>م</b> يني (1997) - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994 - 1994

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY.

.

.

Exhibit 3. D: Members of Senate Committee ou Duvic Health . Heepare and Dagety From: mae new Ellingson, Deputy City attorney for the City of Mirorla QU: SB 31 Cities currently have apursuant to Section 16-3-309 the spility to enact ordinances depening certain areas in the cities where alcoholic beverages may be sold. Conse quently sur first concern is that And the bulls being considered by you today shoned negringe or that authority. City goning commissions and city conruls through their statutory provisions for notice, public comment, ability of property owners to protest are in a fairly good postor to determine the appropriateness wine or all veverage palle or consumption . Consequently we year the cities Augest and particulary and Concerns of schools and churches

thusuge goning, if you decide to when modify or repeal the 600 post limitation. 1=0 In order to avoid a il generation of the legal dispute between the current provisions of 16-3-309 and a provision of AB 31, I would suggest an amendment to that bill as poelaus : O tage 2, line 13 June a Following: "(a)" Atrike "holenting million "located within a goning district gones) for busines or commercial uses; Insert: "goned for that use." is to recognize that various communities have apperent methods of zoning and different types of zoning districts. Dor example misorela uses a CLB overlay zone to distingues designate of areas altavate which liquor, beer and wine can be sold. If 31 stands as proposed it might be interpreted as automatically allouring the sold in those yours ousiness where it might not be appropriate because of proximity to day care couter,

schools, or because glack of parking, etc. I also pel that subsection (b) could be completely eliminated if (a) were so amended. -1 can aboo strate with you our experience on this aspect of the law. 11 440 14.1 Here and the second sec

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO SENATE BILL 150:

1. Page 1, line 19.
Following: "if"
Insert: ":(a)"

2. Page 1, line 21. Following: "meaning" Insert: ";and"

(b) one individual per shift is on the premises, accessible to the pool, and currently certified as competent in:
(i) basic water safety measures by the American red cross; and

(ii) cardiopulmonary resuscitation by either the American red cross or the American heart association."