

PROGRAM: ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

ADMINISTRATION

	1982 Actual	1983 Approp.	Executive	Current Level	Difference	Executive	Current Level	Difference
FTE	44.25	44.25	46.75	43.25	(3.50)	46.75	43.25	(3.50)
Personal Services	\$ 905,680	\$ 961,500	\$ 1,153,819	\$ 1,097,652	\$ (56,167)	\$ 1,153,565	\$ 1,096,088	\$ (57,477)
O.E.								
Contracted Services	\$ 797,523	\$ 1,221,825	\$ 988,570	\$ 870,020	\$ (118,550)	\$ 1,041,884	\$ 922,218	\$ (119,666)
Supplies	46,260	143,415	52,231	50,451	(1,780)	56,859	54,970	(1,889)
Communications	57,554	123,460	74,195	66,187	(8,008)	86,485	76,874	(9,611)
Travel	69,799	127,053	75,182	74,389	(793)	77,739	76,894	(845)
Rent	164,903	296,151	185,285	185,279	(6)	196,402	196,392	(10)
Repairs	11,199	29,693	7,845	12,578	4,733	8,305	13,331	5,016
Other	4,508	6,206	2,628	5,062	2,434	2,786	5,363	2,577
Total	\$ 1,151,746	\$ 1,947,803	\$ 1,385,936	\$ 1,263,966	\$ (121,970)	\$ 1,470,470	\$ 1,346,042	\$ (124,428)
Equipment	29,270	2,479	1,750	1,750	-0-	1,815	-0-	(1,815)
Total Admin.	\$ 2,086,696	\$ 2,911,782	\$ 2,541,505	\$ 2,363,368	\$ (178,137)	\$ 2,625,850	\$ 2,442,130	\$ (183,720)
Funding								
General Fund	\$ 526,770	\$ 296,711	\$ 600,529	\$ 598,082	\$ (2,447)	\$ 616,152	\$ 616,063	\$ (89)
Federal Funds	1,330,802	2,455,506	1,518,189	1,507,840	(10,349)	1,578,513	1,553,174	(25,339)
CSBG	15,551	15,141	30,710	17,473	(13,237)	31,509	18,522	(12,987)
LIEAP	213,575	144,424	392,077	239,973	(152,104)	399,676	254,371	(145,305)
Total	\$ 2,086,696	\$ 2,911,782	\$ 2,541,505	\$ 3,363,368	\$ (178,132)	\$ 2,625,840	\$ 2,442,130	\$ (183,720)

FTE

<u>FY 1982 Actual</u>		<u>Fiscal 1984</u>	<u>Fiscal 1985</u>
44.25	Executive	46.75	46.75
	Current Level	<u>43.25</u>	<u>43.25</u>
	Difference	3.50	3.50

Difference is due to:

LFA: eliminated one position from 1982

Actual: position number 00556, eligibility technician 1, FTE = 1.0

This was eliminated because it was filled only 25 percent of fiscal 1982 and was vacant at year end.

Executive added 2.5 FTE in three positions as follows:

<u>Pos. #</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Grade/Step</u>	<u>Note:</u>
00019	Clerk, Gen. Office III	.5	6/7	Trans. from Adm. & Support
00553	Clerk, Supervisor I	1.0	8/4	Trans. from Eligibility Position not in program in fiscal 1982
01095	Research Specialist I	<u>1.0</u>	13/4	Trans. from Adm. & Support
		2.5		
		===		

Six positions were vacant over 30 percent in fiscal 1982; vacancy savings could have supported 4.9 additional FTE.

Contracted Services

	<u>Current Level</u>	<u>Executive</u>
1982 Actual	\$797,525	\$797,525
Commodities Contract (Agency Request)	(2,900)	(2,900)
MMIS Update (Agency Request)	(3,800)	(3,800)
6 One-time LIEAP Contracts	<u>(16,500)</u>	<u> </u>
Adjusted Base	\$774,325 =====	\$790,825 =====
Inflate to 1984 (6%)	= \$870,020	= \$888,570
Inflate to 1985 (6%)	= 922,218	= 941,884

Add \$100,000 in 1984 and 1985 to executive request for LIEAP audits:

Executive 1984: \$ 988,570

Executive 1985: \$1,041,884

According to the department, these audits will be contracted as opposed to the past when HRDC's accomplished their own audits out of Benefits.

During audit presentation the department said they preferred two FTE added at a cost of \$110,064 for the biennium.

Options:

1. Eliminate LIEAP contracts of \$16,500 from base.
2. Add auditors for LIEAP?
 - a. Under contract services in assistance payments.
 - b. Add 2 FTE in audit and program compliance.

Communications

Actual Fiscal 1982: \$57,554		
	<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>
Executive	\$74,195	\$86,485
Current Level	<u>66,187</u>	<u>76,874</u>
Difference	<u>\$ 8,008</u>	<u>\$ 9,611</u>

Major reason for difference comes from current level analysis of STS costs - state-wide telephone services:

1980	\$ 8,607
1981	8,275
1982	27,101 = 218% increase

Current level reduced the STS base \$5,000. This left in more funds than could be justified for increases due to rate increases which were 10 percent between fiscal 1981 and fiscal 1982.

Repairs and Maintenance

Actual Fiscal 1982: \$11,199

	<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>
Executive	\$ 7,845	\$ 8,315
Current Level	<u>12,578</u>	<u>13,331</u>
Current Level Over Executive	+ \$ 4,733 =====	+ \$ 5,016 =====

The difference is due to the executive deleting \$4,167 for tires and tubes and \$50 for tires.

ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDING

	---1984---	---1985---
Executive	\$2,541,505	\$2,625,850
Current Level	<u>2,363,368</u>	<u>2,442,130</u>
Difference	<u>\$ 178,137</u>	<u>\$ 183,720</u>

The \$100,000 per year the executive added for LIEAP grant audits should be funded by LIEAP funds. Therefore, these funds are removed from the difference.

	-----1984-----		
	<u>Executive</u>	<u>LFA</u>	<u>Difference</u>
CSBG	\$ 30,710	\$ 17,473	\$13,237
LIEAP	292,077	239,973	52,104
G. F.	600,529	598,082	2,447
Federal Funds	<u>1,518,189</u>	<u>1,507,840</u>	<u>10,349</u>
Total	<u>\$2,441,505</u>	<u>\$2,363,368</u>	<u>\$78,137</u>

	-----1985-----		
	<u>Executive</u>	<u>LFA</u>	<u>Difference</u>
CSBG	\$ 31,509	\$ 18,522	\$12,987
LIEAP	299,676	254,371	45,305
G. F.	606,152	616,063	89
Federal Funds	<u>1,578,513</u>	<u>1,553,174</u>	<u>25,339</u>
Total	<u>\$2,525,850</u>	<u>\$2,442,130</u>	<u>\$83,720</u>

Option:

The funding is done on a percentage basis.

	-----Fiscal 1984-----				
<u>Funds</u>	<u>-----Fiscal 1982-----</u>	<u>Exec.</u>	<u>LFA</u>	<u>Diff.</u>	
CSBG	\$ 15,551 = .0075	.0126	.0074	.0052	
LIEAP	213,575 = .1026	.1196	.1015	.0177	
G. F.	526,532 = .2528	.2460	.2531	(.0071)	
Federal	<u>1,326,859 = .6371</u>	<u>.6218</u>	<u>.6380</u>	<u>(.0162)</u>	
Total	<u>\$2,082,521 = 100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>-0-</u>	

PROGRAM: ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

BENEFITS

	1982		1983		-----1984-----		-----1985-----	
	Actual	Approp.	Executive	Current Level	Difference	Executive	Current Level	Difference
AFDC	\$18,592,460	\$21,016,919	\$22,196,352	\$21,784,800	\$ (411,552)	\$23,617,233	\$23,176,800	\$ (440,433)
Weatherization	1,816,095	1,360,000	1,281,006	2,040,564	759,558	1,280,178	2,162,998	882,820
LIEAP	5,989,214	12,946,888	11,443,622	10,045,251	(1,398,371)	10,837,343	11,652,491	815,148
CSBG	-0-	1,341,660	1,121,491	1,135,914	14,423	1,120,730	1,134,865	14,135
Workfare	-0-	153,900	162,980	-0-	(162,980)	173,411	-0-	(173,411)
Non-Resident G.A.	27,783	38,540	50,000	33,754	(16,246)	50,000	35,779	(14,221)
Training	188,671	350,000	150,000	150,000	-0-	175,000	175,000	-0-
F.S. Insurance	77,357	85,209	29,062	29,090	28	30,922	30,835	(87)
Day Care	362,862	501,729	(IN AFDC)	504,201	504,201	(IN AFDC)	534,452	534,452
Total	\$27,054,442	\$37,794,845	\$36,434,513	\$35,723,574	\$ (710,939)	\$37,284,817	\$38,903,220	\$ 1,618,403
<u>Funding</u>								
General Fund	\$ 5,359,462	\$ 6,188,417	\$ 6,504,035	\$ 6,414,535	\$ (89,500)	\$ 6,972,564	\$ 6,823,591	\$ (148,973)
Federal Funds	14,459,155	15,873,635	15,885,523	16,699,301	813,778	16,758,799	17,764,738	1,005,939
3rd Party	95,809	108,802	82,266	82,272	-0-	95,231	95,209	(22)
Co. R.A.	1,150,802	1,335,443	1,397,576	1,346,301	(51,275)	1,500,150	1,432,326	(67,824)
CSBG	-0-	1,341,660	1,121,491	1,135,914	14,423	1,120,730	1,134,865	14,135
LIEAP	5,989,214	12,946,888	11,443,622	10,045,251	(1,398,371)	10,837,343	11,652,491	815,148
Total	\$27,054,442	\$37,794,845	\$36,434,513	\$35,723,574	\$ (710,939)	\$37,284,817	\$38,903,220	\$ 1,618,403

AFDC

A. FUNDING

1. Ward Indians

The caseload of ward Indians is expected to remain constant at 1,275 cases. The federal government will pay 64.64 percent in fiscal 1984 and 64.41 percent in fiscal 1985 of Indian costs. The state pays the remainder.

Cost of AFDC for Ward Indians

	---Fiscal 1984---	---Fiscal 1985---
Federal	.6464	.6441
State	<u>.3536</u>	<u>.3559</u>
Total	1.0000	1.0000

2. Non-Ward Indians

This caseload is increasing. The federal government will pay 64.64 percent of the cost in fiscal 1984 and 64.41 percent in fiscal 1985. The county will pay 22.5 percent of the nonfederal share and the state pays the remainder.

Cost of AFDC for Non-Ward Indians

	---Fiscal 1984---	---Fiscal 1985---
Federal	.6464	.6441
County	.0796	.0801
State	<u>.2740</u>	<u>.2758</u>
Total	1.0000	1.0000

B. POVERTY INDEX

Poverty Level (Fiscal 1982-1985)

<u>Family Size</u>	<u>1982 Level</u>	<u>1983 Level</u>	<u>1984 Level</u>	<u>1985 Level</u>
2	\$ 6,220	\$ 6,606	\$ 7,029	\$ 7,493
3	7,760	8,241	8,768	9,347
4	9,300	9,877	10,509	11,203
5	10,840	11,512	12,249	13,057
6	12,380	13,148	13,989	14,912
Growth		6.2%	6.4%	6.6%

The 1981 Legislature based current appropriations on a payment standard equal to 55 percent of the projected poverty level. The following table shows actual fiscal 1983 payment standards and payment standards projected for the 1985 biennium at 55 percent of the updated poverty index.

AFDC Payment Standards

<u>Family Size</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>FY 1983</u>	-----55 Percent of Poverty Level-----			
		<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>
2	\$279	\$322	15.4	\$343	6.5
3	332	402	21.1	428	6.5
4	425	482	13.4	513	6.4
5	501	561	12.0	598	6.6
6	564	641	13.7	683	6.6

In comparison to current projected 1983 poverty levels, the fiscal 1983 payment standards are at 51 percent, rather than 55 percent, of the poverty level.

AFDC Payment Standards

<u>Family Size</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>FY 1983</u>	-----51 Percent of Poverty Level-----			
		<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Change</u>
2	\$279	\$299	7.2	\$318	6.4
3	332	373	12.3	397	6.4
4	425	447	5.2	476	6.5
5	501	521	4.0	555	6.5
6	564	595	5.5	634	6.6

The average payment per AFDC case will be a reflection of the poverty index level selected. The department and LFA will agree on the approximate average payment per case, excluding day care, as follows:

Issue: Should the payment standard be a percent of the poverty index?

Average Payment

<u>% Poverty Index</u>	<u>---Fiscal 1984---</u>		<u>---Fiscal 1985---</u>	
	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Non-Indian</u>	<u>Indian</u>	<u>Non-Indian</u>
55%	\$314.93	\$335.55	\$333.83	\$357.87
51%	292.03	311.15	309.55	331.84
Freeze	275.50	294.39	275.50	294.39

Note: The average payment will fluctuate depending on the size of the caseload. This is due to the higher cost of the non-ward Indian caseload. This is the caseload that will fluctuate.

C. NUMBER OF CASES

-----Fiscal 1984-----

Cases	-----55%-----		-----51%-----		Freeze at -----1983 level-----	
	State	Total	State	Total	State	Total
5,600	\$6,475,518	\$22,233,474	\$6,004,646	\$20,616,744	\$5,676,879	\$19,493,991
5,800	6,696,176	23,038,794	6,209,258	21,363,504	5,870,470	20,200,527
6,315	7,264,370	25,112,493	6,736,134	23,286,411	6,368,967	22,019,857
6,500	7,468,478	25,857,414	6,925,401	23,977,164	6,548,038	22,673,403
7,000	8,020,122	27,870,714	7,436,931	25,844,064	7,032,015	24,439,743
7,305	8,356,625	29,098,827	7,748,965	26,982,873	7,327,241	25,517,210
7,575	8,654,513	30,186,009	8,025,192	27,990,999	7,588,589	26,471,034

-----Fiscal 1984-----

Cases	-----55%-----		-----51%-----		Freeze at -----1983 level-----	
	State	Total	State	Total	State	Total
5,600	\$6,940,352	\$23,681,052	\$6,435,547	\$21,958,611	\$5,714,076	\$19,493,991
5,800	7,177,234	24,539,940	6,655,199	22,755,027	5,908,939	20,200,527
6,315	7,787,203	26,751,577	7,220,802	24,805,798	6,410,710	22,019,857
6,500	8,006,318	27,546,048	7,423,979	25,542,483	6,590,958	22,673,403
7,000	8,598,522	29,693,268	7,973,108	27,533,523	7,078,115	24,439,743
7,305	8,959,765	31,003,072	8,308,077	28,748,057	7,375,280	25,517,210
7,575	9,279,555	32,162,571	8,604,606	29,823,219	7,638,345	26,471,034

D. Language

Option 1: The payment standard for families under the Aid to Families with Dependent Children program (AFDC) shall be an equal percentage of the poverty index according to family size. The payment standard for a family of two shall not exceed \$322 in fiscal 1984 and \$343 in fiscal 1985. If appropriated funds are not sufficient to provide AFDC to all eligible persons at the above rates, the department shall decrease the payment standard to not lower than 45 percent of the poverty index. SRS may change the payment rate by the adoption of an emergency rule under the provisions of 2-4-303, MCA.

Option 2: (Freeze the payment and increase the caseload.) The appropriation in item () is based on an average AFDC caseload of _____ in fiscal 1984 and _____ in fiscal 1985. To the extent the caseload is less than anticipated, the department may increase benefits to recipients to a level not to exceed 55 percent of the poverty index.

AFDC - DAY CARE

In fiscal 1982, AFDC day care expenses totaled \$362,862. The average caseload in fiscal 1982 was 6,119. Thus, the annual day care cost per AFDC case in fiscal 1982 was \$59.30. Inflating this at 6 percent per year gives the following per case annual amounts for the 1985 biennium.

Fiscal 1984	\$66.63
Fiscal 1985	70.63

In their February 9th handout, the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services requested the following per case annual amounts for the biennium.

Fiscal 1984	\$64.10
Fiscal 1985	68.23

WIN - DAY CARE (75/25)

Fiscal 1984	\$15.42
Fiscal 1985	16.91

LIEAP AND WEATHERIZATION

Between the weatherization program and the LIEAP program, there are \$4.9 million of funds unallocated if the executive request is followed. (\$3,065,216 in LIEAP and \$1,863,356 Weatherization.)

	<u>LIEAP</u>			
	<u>FY '82</u>	<u>FY '83</u>	<u>FY '84</u>	<u>FY '85</u>
Grant Award	\$11,107,295	\$11,717,517	\$10,377,000	\$10,377,000
Special Funds		500,000		
Prior Year				
Carryover	<u>829,260</u>	<u>4,041,453</u>	<u>6,152,934</u>	<u>3,814,102</u>
Total Available	\$11,936,555	\$16,258,970	\$16,529,934	\$14,191,102
State Adm.	\$ 242,266	\$ 256,802	\$ 272,210	\$ 288,543
Transfer to XX	834,362	834,362	-0-	-0-
Transfer to				
Weatherization	461,383	-0-	1,000,000	-0-
Grants	<u>6,357,091</u>	<u>9,014,872</u>	<u>11,443,622</u>	<u>10,837,343</u>
Total Expenses	<u>\$ 7,895,102</u>	<u>\$10,106,036</u>	<u>\$12,715,832</u>	<u>\$11,125,886</u>
Balance	<u>\$ 4,041,453</u>	<u>\$ 6,152,934</u>	<u>\$ 3,814,102</u>	<u>\$ 3,065,216</u>

	<u>Weatherization</u>			
	<u>Actual 1982</u>	<u>Madeup 1983</u>	<u>----Requested----</u> <u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>
Grant Award	\$1,259,183	\$1,312,825	\$1,312,825	\$1,312,825
LIEAP transfer	461,383	-0-	1,000,000	-0-
Carryover	<u>1,259,183</u>	<u>937,353</u>	<u>863,356</u>	<u>1,863,356</u>
Total Available	\$2,778,752	\$2,250,178	\$3,176,181	\$3,176,181
<u>Disbursements</u>				
State Admin.	\$ 25,304	\$ 26,822	\$ 31,819	\$ 32,647
Grants	<u>1,816,095</u>	<u>1,360,000</u>	<u>1,281,006</u>	<u>1,280,178</u>
Total Disburse.	\$1,841,399	\$1,386,822	\$1,312,825	\$1,312,825
Balance	<u>\$ 937,353</u>	<u>\$ 863,356</u>	<u>\$1,863,356</u>	<u>\$1,863,356</u>

The executive request is based on a basic grant award of \$10,377,489. This is lower than LIEAP funds received in either fiscal 1982 or 1983. Funds received in fiscal 1982 were \$11,107,295; funds received in fiscal 1983 were \$11,717,517.

President Reagan's budget is \$7,712,796 with legislation proposed to revise the state allotment formula. The new formula would target more funds to states with severe winter climates and large, low-income populations. Since Montana has a severe winter climate, the \$7,712,796 grant award may be understated.

Senator Baucus' office stated that the new formula for winter climate and low income probably would not pass, but that Montana probably would receive at least as much in LIEAP grants as it did before.

Issue 1: Amount of the LIEAP grant award program:

Option A =	\$11,107,295/year
Option B =	10,377,489/year
Option C =	7,712,796/year

Issue 2: Amount of LIEAP Benefits (Total Grants)

	<u>FY '82</u>	<u>FY '83</u>	<u>FY '84</u>	<u>FY '85</u>
A. '82 level inflated at 6%	\$6,357,091	\$6,738,516	\$7,142,827	\$7,571,397
B. '83 level inflated at 6%		9,014,872	9,555,764	10,129,110
C. '82 level inflated 14+%	6,357,091	7,247,083	8,261,675	9,418,310
D. 'Executive request			11,443,622	10,837,343
E. '83 level inflated 14+%		9,014,872	10,276,954	11,715,728

Issue 3: Amount of Weatherization Benefits

	<u>FY '84</u>	<u>FY '85</u>
A. Exec. request	\$1,281,006	\$1,312,825
B. Increase 1982 grants at 6%/yr.	2,040,564	2,162,998
C. Weatherization + 15% LIEAP		
a. \$1,281,000 + \$1,666,094 =	2,947,100	2,946,271
b. \$1,281,000 + \$1,556,623 =	2,837,629	2,836,801
c. \$1,281,000 + \$1,156,919 =	2,437,925	2,437,097
D. Other		

Issue 4: Transfer from LIEAP grant to Social Services Block Grant

Up to 10% of the grant may be transferred to the Social Services Block Grant each year.

	-----Grant Award-----		
	<u>\$11,107,295</u>	<u>\$10,377,489</u>	<u>\$7,712,796</u>
A. Transfer 10%	1,110,730	1,037,749	771,280
B. Do not transfer	-0-	-0-	-0-
C. Transfer less than 10%	?	?	?

Issue 5: Transfers of audit from LIEAP Grant to Audit and Program Compliance

A. Transfer \$55,089 in fiscal 1984 and \$54,975 in fiscal 1985 of LIEAP funds to the Audit and Program Compliance Program for two auditors and related expenses.

B. Continue to require that the HRDCs contract to have their own audits done.

Issue 6: Cost of State Administration for Weatherization

	<u>Fiscal 1984</u>	<u>Fiscal 1985</u>	<u>Total</u>
A. Exec.	\$31,819	\$32,647	\$64,466
B. LFA	28,432	30,137	58,569

Issue 7: Language

COMMUNITY SERVICE BLOCK GRANT

	<u>FY '84</u>	<u>FY '85</u>
Total Block Grant Expected	\$1,153,387	\$1,153,387
Benefits		
Executive	\$1,121,491	\$1,120,730
Current Level	<u>1,135,914</u>	<u>1,134,865</u>
Current Level Over Exec.	<u>\$ 14,423</u>	<u>\$ 14,135</u>

The difference is due to the amount allocated for administration.

	<u>FY '84</u>	<u>FY '85</u>
Administration		
Executive	\$ 30,710	\$ 31,509
Current Level	<u>17,473</u>	<u>18,522</u>
Current Level Over Exec.	<u>\$ 13,237</u>	<u>\$ 12,987</u>
Does not tie by	<u>\$ 1,186</u>	<u>\$ 1,148</u>

Probably allocated to 04 or 08 in the overall mix of federal funds.

During the November, 1981 special session, the legislature directed the department to distribute 90 percent of the fiscal 1983 grant directly to the counties. This was provided for in federal regulations which at the time stipulated that in fiscal 1982 only 90 percent of the grant amount had to be distributed to community action agencies already in place in fiscal year 1981. In the interim, federal regulations accompanying the continuing resolution have repealed the provision that allowed for distribution to the counties in fiscal 1983. SRS proposes to continue distribution to the HRDC's in fiscal 1983. Grants are continued at the anticipated fiscal 1983 level of \$1,153,387. Federal regulations allow up to 5 percent for administration.

HB 258 - WORKFARE

	<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>
Executive	\$162,980	\$173,411
Current Level	-0-	-0-

The 1981 Legislature passed HB 258, which provided for a work experience and training program "...to provide recipients of AFDC payments an opportunity and to explore the feasibility of establishing such a program to include recipients of other forms of public assistance." The legislature appropriated \$153,900 to SRS for each year of the 1983 biennium to implement the program.

As attached documentation shows, the program never got started in fiscal 1982; furthermore, the program has not been started thus far in fiscal 1983.

According to the department, a federal funding source willing to buy into the program at an acceptable funding match could not be found.

Executive Request

The executive budget is \$162,980 in fiscal 1984 and \$173,411 in fiscal 1985, funded with a 10 percent general fund match. These amounts represent inflation at 5.9 percent in fiscal 1984 and 6.4 percent in fiscal 1985 over the 1983 total appropriation.

Options:

1. Do not fund the program.
2. Fund the program.
 - a. At what level?
 - b. At what general fund percentage?

Non-Resident General Assistance

	<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>
Executive	\$50,000	\$50,000
Current Level	<u>33,754</u>	<u>35,779</u>
Executive Over Current Level	\$16,246 =====	\$14,221 =====

Current Level:

The amount of assistance provided transient individuals has varied as shown below:

<u>Year</u>	<u>General Assistance Payments</u>
1980	\$18,961
1981	43,378
1982	27,783

Due to this variation, the averaged assistance amount for the three-year period was increased 6 percent for inflation:

1980	\$18,961		<u>FY 1984</u>
1981	43,378		
1982	<u>27,783</u>	= \$90,122 ÷ 3 = \$30,040	\$33,754
		x 6% x 6%	

Funding: 100 percent general fund.

TRAINING

	<u>FY 1984</u>	<u>FY 1985</u>
Executive	\$150,000	\$175,000
Current Level	<u>150,000</u>	<u>175,000</u>
Difference	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-0-</u>

Training:

AFDC funds have been used for training county AFDC staff. This training is conducted at the University of Montana, which provides the necessary matching funds. During fiscal 1981 the university matching requirement was 25 percent; this was changed to 50 percent during fiscal 1982 by the federal government and is expected to continue at 50 percent through the 1985 biennium.

The legislature appropriated \$325,000 for training contracts in fiscal 1982. The department spent \$188,672. Included in current level is the department request for training grants of \$150,000 in fiscal 1984 and \$175,000 in fiscal 1985.