MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ON ELECTED OFFICIALS AND HIGHWAYS
February 4, 1983
(Tape 5l, Side A, Tape 52, Tape 53, and Tape 54, Side A)

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Elected Officials and Highways met at 7:00 a.m. on February 4, 1983 in Room 437 with Chairman Quilici presiding. The following members were present:

Chairman Quilici
Rep. Connelly
Rep. Lory

Senator Dover
Senator Keating
Senator Van Valkenburg

Senator Stimatz was excused.
Also present: Cliff Roessner, LFA, Doug Booker, OBPP, and JanDee May, OBPP. Work session portion: Leo O'Brien, LFA.

## HEARINGS

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
Computer Services Division
Mike Trevor, Administrator of the Computer Services Division, continued his presentation which he began yesterday. (See Exhibit 1 of February 3, 1983 Minutes)

## Microfilming of Supreme Court Records

Mike Abley, of the Supreme Court, was present to answer the committee's question of yesterday regarding what kinds of documents the Supreme Court desires to put on microfilm. Mr . Abley said these would be all the documents in regard to the cases the Court hears. In answer to a question from Senator Van Valkenburg, Mr. Abley said the records are currently being stored in boxes in the Historical Society in a fire-proof area. He explained that the Historical Society would like to retain all documents from the territorial days and the Court would like to microfilm the remainder of the documents and destroy the original. Mr. Abley said they have two or three requests each week for this material.

Senator Van Valkenburg asked if the Legislature decided to postpone this microfilming for a couple of years, would any great injustice be done. Mr. Abley said, "No." They would find someplace to put these records; they would give the Historical Society the territorial documents and put the others in deep storage. Mr. Abley said that the Department
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of Administration had purchased about $\$ 30,000$ worth of equipment on the basis of this project continuing. They have microfilmed up to about 1940 at the present time.

Mr. Trevor said that although this equipment was purchased on the assumption that they would be doing the Supreme Court records, they have used it for other purposes. Their cost effectiveness is just borderline now but he felt that they would get along and understood the committee's concern about general fund appropriations.

## Modified Budget Request

Mr. Trevor explained to the committee the message switcher and the back-up system that would be developed with this switcher. (Exhibit l) (The budget information regarding this switcher appears on page 8 of Exhibit 1 of February 3, 1983 Minutes) Mr. Trevor went through page 8 of this exhibit item by item.

Mr. Trevor explained that at the bottom of page 8 under the item "Communication lines" the $\$ 25,000$ for FY84 and the $\$ 150,000$ for FY85 reflects what the Department of Justice will be paying the Computer Services Division for use at $\$ 12,500$ per month. This is a direct pass-through which is in the Department of Justice's budget at current level for existing communication lines. These will be turned over to the Computer Services Division for management.

Mr. Trevor pointed out to the committee that with this arrangement there would not be a need for additional technical staff for the Department of Justice; they would be utilizing the staff of the Computer Services Division. Mr. Trevor told the committee that having these two systems will provide back-up for the main computer. Although it would be a more difficult situation for his technical staff he said that it would be best to have one system at a site other than the Mitchell Building. He cited power failures and down-time regarding preventive maintenance as problems that would be solved by having two separate sites.

In answer to a question from Senator Keating, Mr. Trevor said he is talking about a different solution to the same problem the Department of Justice presented to the committee. Mr. Carrell of the Department of Justice said that working together with this cooperative effort would give them the maximum usage of the message switcher.
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Mr. Trevor said that where the switcher is located does not have any effect on the budget; it would be a more complex problem for his technical people.

Susan Hansen of the Department of Justice distributed Exhibit 2 to the committee which shows the budget figures for the Revised Modification. (Tape 52, Side A)

Ms. Hansen went over Exhibit 2 with the comittee pointing out the CSD Operations items of $\$ 15,000$ for FY84 and $\$ 100,000$ for FY85 as Mr. Trevor had mentioned to the committee earlier.

After some discussion by the committee, Mr. Carrell said that the first proposal the Department of Justice had was for two boxes about the size of dishwashers sitting in a room switching messages. This proposal would now provide for a back-up system for the Department of Administration and would provide both departments with the capability of their computers being able to talk to each other. This will also broaden the usage for the Department of Justice.

In answer to a question from Senator Dover, Mr. Trevor gave the committee a brief history of the computer systems the State of Montana began with and the problems of replacing equipment when it broke down.

## Computer Rates

Mr. Trevor referred to page 10 of his presentation (Exhibit 1 of February 3, 1983 Meeting) in which he showed the committee a comparison of rates which other states are charging as compared to the rates Montana charges. He said that as of January 1 they announced a rate reduction of $7-3 / 4 \%$. They also try to get people to use the computer after hours (after 6 in the evening and before 7 in the morning and on weekends) at the discount rate of $50 \%$. He said that about half of the batch work process on the computer is run at the discount rate. They have never raised the batch processing rate. Since July of 1976 they have reduced this rate by $44.7 \%$

After some discussion, Senator Van Valkenburg requested that, before the work session on the Computer Services Division, the Department of Justice and Computer Services Division get together with the LFA and OBPP and agree on a figure for "Contracted Services."
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Mr. Trevor said they have an increased workload of $30 \%$ with an increase in cost of only $13 \%$. The users are seeing this kind of benefit.

## High Cost of System Development

Mr. Trevor called the committee's attention to pages 11,12 and 13 of Exhibit 1 (February 3, 1983 Minutes) which contains testimony regarding the cost of system development. Mr. Trevor said they see this business of system development as one of their top priorities in the coming biennium. The committee recessed briefly at 8:30 a.m.

## Publications and Graphics

Don Breiby, Administrator, gave the committee a brief summary of the responsibilities of this bureau. (Tape 52, Side B) Mr. Breiby called the comittee's attention to the last page of his handout which demonstrates the savings this bureau has experienced. (Exhibit 3) Mr. Breiby went through pages 3 and 4 of Exhibit 3 which lists the issues regarding the budget. He then called the committee's attention to page 5 of Exhibit 3 which addresses the budget issues for the Graphic Arts Bureau.

Mr. Breiby told the committee that Mr. Blumenthal had recommended that a study be done of the Quadex typesetting system to either upgrade the system or investigate other alternatives. Mr. Blumenthal indicated that to hire a consultant to do this would cost about $\$ 20,000$.

Senator Van Valkenburg noted that there were some substantial differences between the LFA's budget and the agency's Duplicating Bureau.

Mr. Roessner said the LFA used what they thought was current level and inflated it forward at 6\%. He said he could not find the request for the Quickcopy rent in the budget forms. Rick Morgan, Central Services for Department of Administration, said the agency received a rent increase due to reclassification of space.

Mr. Roessner said that he, Mr. Booker and Mr. Morgan would get together and work out this problem before the committee has a work session on this agency's budget.
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Mr. Morgan explained to the committee that the budget was prepared in July and these differences are the changes that have occurred since that time.

In answer to a question from Senator Van Valkenburg, Mr. Morgan explained that the photocopy machines are a very volatile item and this category is constantly changing as the agencies' needs change.

Mr. Breiby told the committee that item 7 on page 3 was probably overlooked as it is contained in a separate accounting entity.

In answer to a question from Senator Dover, Mr. Morgan said they would prefer to have one accounting entity instead of the two listed at the bottom of page 1 of Exhibit 3.

In answer to a question from Senator Dover, Mr. Breiby explained that there were two purchasing agents who were transferred from the purchasing Division and are general funded employees. The LFA has omitted these two positions. This is one of the budget issues.

The committee discussed the duties of the purchasing agents and the functions of the in-house employees who decide whether or not a job is done in-house or contracted out. Mr. Brusett pointed out that all the purchasing agents are funded by general fund and felt this was a correct way to do this.

The chairman noted on page 12 of Exhibit 3 that the volume of in-house jobs is almost 97\%; however, the in-state vendors' charges are over a million dollars. Mr. Breiby explained that the turn-around time in his agency is 2.4 days; they do a lot of quick, small jobs. The in-state vendors are doing fewer jobs but they have a higher quality printing capability. He pointed out that it costs $\$ 62$ to cut a purchase order, so on the smaller jobs they do this in-house.

Discussion by the committee.
The Publications and Graphics hearing was closed.
Accounting Program
Terry Cannon, Administrator of the Accounting Division, told the committee his program is responsible for the Statewide
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Budgeting and Accounting System (SBAS). There are three FTE's working on the daily processing of SBAS documents. They have six other FTE's who are available to assist with SBAS depending on the problems encountered. This program provides SBAS services to approximately 75 state agencies. They run over 6 million lines of transactions through the computer each year which amounts to 25,000 lines of transactions every night. The agencies have established twentyfive thousand responsibility centers. Mr. Cannon explained to the committee the manner in which SBAS is provided for the agencies and the different uses the agencies have for the monthly and year-end reports.

Mr. Cannon explained to the committee that a secondary function of this program is to provide financial reports that are acceptable to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). This is required because in July 1981 Standard and Poore, a New York bonding firm, publicly stated that state governments would be down-graded in their rating or not be rated at all if their financial reporting was not according to GAAP. It is estimated that for every point that is down-graded, this can result in the loss of millions of dollars. (Tape 53, Side A) Mr. Cannon said that in FY84 they will be audited by the Legislative Auditor's office and this will be the first time they will have had a statewide audit. It will be quite an undertaking.

Mr . Cannon explained to the committee some of the other responsibilities of this program.

Mr. Cannon explained to the committee that he would like to address page 2 of Exhibit 4 . He requested that the committee reinstate the amounts for travel and registration fees for their out-of-state peer meetings. He also said that the $3.5 \%$ vacancy savings would result in a reduction of . 50 FTE in each biennium.

Mr. Roessner told the committee that the LFA figure under "Rent" did not reflect a decrease in the square footage and said the OBPP figure included the correct figure.

Architecture \& Engineering Division (A \& E)
Phil Hauck, Administrator of the Division, explained that their staff was basically professional people, architects and engineers, and they have between 200 and 300 projects going at any
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given time. On a biennial basis, they do planning of all state buildings' construction needs, estimating and preparation of the building program us it is submitted to the Legislature. Mr. Hauck gave the committee a brief summary of the type of work this division does. He told the committee they deal with the building projects from their inception to their completion. Their projects deal in magnitude from an $\$ 8.5$ million Fine Arts Building on the University of Montana campus to an outhouse for the Fish and Game.

Mr. Hauck said that page 2 of Exhibit 5 contains their budget issues. Mr. Hauck called the committee's attention to item two on this page which requests $\$ 10,000$ in FY84 and $\$ 5,000$ in FY85 for microfilming the historical records of past state building projects. Mr. Hauck said these papers are getting brittle and are stored in the basement of a frame house and they are concerned that these valuable documents might be destroyed. He said they would have Publications and Graphics microfilm these records. Of about 4,000 he felt they would actually film between 2,000 and 2,500. They would automatically microfilm every new project at its completion. In answer to a question from Senator Dover, Mr. Hauck said there was a reader-printer included in the package of $\$ 15,000$.

Mr. Hauck said he felt they had put off this microfilming long enough and that it should be done as soon as possible to protect these documents from further deterioration or loss.

Under "Travel" Mr. Hauck asked that the committee reinstate the $\$ 3,000$ deduction. He said the big item here is that they have added four more inspectors in the bureau. Mr. Hauck said that the . 75 reduction of FTE listed in item 1 on page 2 of Exhibit 5 would still allow them to hire a clerk for the summer months when they have a peak workload.

In answer to a question from Senator Keating, Mr. Hauck said that the roof of the building in Deer Lodge that the committee saw on their tour was an unfortunate example of what happens when maintenance is postponed.

After some discussion of this subject, Mr. Hauck said that the money and responsibility for this type of maintenance is through the agency. His office is responsible for large maintenance problems only. Mr. Hauck noted that maintenance money was provided for this purpose and it was used for
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something else. He said this happens more often than he would like. In answer to a question from the Chairman, Mr. Hauck said that they have no desire to build up a staff. If they need more design or more inspection they contract out for that and do not add staff.

Passenger Tramway Safety (460) (Page 3 of Exhibit 5)
Mr. Hauck said that they license and inspect all ski lifts. The program has been in effect since the early 70 's. Mr. Hauck explained that $\$ 11,850$ was spent on this program last year and the Department of Revenue collected $\$ 17,250.76$ from the ski areas. Mr. Hauck said that all the inspecting done for this program is contracted out.

Mr. Hauck explained that this program was originally designed to be an earmarked fund. Somewhere in the legislative process this was changed to general fund. This program is now classified as a general fund program and although it is funded from the general fund, the Department of Revenue deposits these collections into the general fund. The committee discussed the funding for this program and whether or not it should be an earmarked account or remain in the general fund. Senator Van Valkenburg and Senator Keating both expressed a concern about the state's liability in this program.

Senator Van Valkenburg questioned why this program hasn't been paying into the state's insurance program. The committee discussed manners in which the insurance premium could be paid and the possibility of self-insurance. The chairman suggested that the insurance people be here for the work session on this budget so they can find out about the insurance liability for the state.

Mr. Hauck said the $A$ \& $E$ Division is funded out of the Long Range Building Program Fund which is a cigarette tax.

Mr. Hauck noted that in the Tramway Program they make a minimum of two inspections a year. If there is a problem they make more inspections.
(Tape 53, Side B)
Mr. Hauck explained to the committee that they have had a lot of problems procedurally and legally in the construction business. Senator Boylan has introduced a bill to try to deal with some concerns from the private industry. Mr. Hauck said there are concerns in his office and in the
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she would like to hire would be a Grade 15 classification and would have a degree in both law and library science.

Senator Van Valkenburg suggested that if the committee approved a Grade 13 reference librarian (who had a degree in library science) and a . 25 clerk who would work on weekends, she could have two people for the price of a Grade 15 reference librarian.

Miss Engel replied that she would prefer to have the Grade 15 reference librarian.

Question being called for, the motion carried.
Under "Operating Expenses" the Chairman noted that the "Rent" category would be worked out.

Ms. Engel pointed out to the committee that in "Personal Services" there is an issue of an up-grade which the court has ordered for the clerical staff.

Senator Van Valkenburg noted that the Lav Library's budget includes time for usage of Westlaw by the Supreme Court. He said it would be more appropriate that this be in the Supreme Court budget. There are certain fixed costs for Westlaw that would appropriately be in the Law Library's budget. He said that research time should be in the Supreme Court's budget so there is some way of historically tracking the usage by the Court.

Senator Van Valkenburg made a MOTION that the committee approve in "Contracted Services" the Law Library budget of $\$ 19,042$ in FY84 and $\$ 20,890$ in FY85 and deduct from that figure $\$ 9,158$ in FY84 and $\$ 9,708$ in FY85 and that those figures be placed into the Supreme Court's budget and lineitemed there for Westlaw.

Discussion. Ms. Engel told the committee that the $\$ 20,000$ which is in the budget for Westlaw is for the authority. Discussion of the revolving fund to establish this account and how the account will work. Senator Van Valkenburg told the committee that in the Appropriations bill this $\$ 20,000$ to establish the revolving account would appear as "Other Funds" and will not be included in the general fund total.
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Ms. Engel informed the committee that the figures in Senator Van Valkenburg's motion for Supreme Court usage also includes the District Court usage.

Senator Van Valkenburg AMENDED his MOTION to include the District Court in his motion.

Mr. Booker noted that these figures of $\$ 9,158$ and $\$ 9,708$ would have to be broken out between the Supreme Court's budget and the District Court's budget.

Senator Van Valkenburg asked that Mr. Booker, Mr. Abley and Mr . O'Brien get together and break these figures out between the District Court budget and the Supreme Court budget.

Senator Van Valkenburg RESTATED his MOTION for Senator
Keating. (487) "I move that we approve the figure for 'Contracted Services' in the Law Library column less $\$ 9,158$ in FY84 and \$9,798 in FY85, and that those amounts be transferred to the Supreme Court budget for Westlaw for the Supreme Court and District Court operations." Mr. Booker stated "When we end up with the budget they will end up in 'Contracted Services' with $\$ 39,042$. Of that, approximately $\$ 10,000$ will be generally funded and the other $\$ 29,000$ will be budgeted from a revolving fund."

Questions being called for, Senator Van Valkenburg's amended motion carried.

Senator Dover made a MOTION that the committee approve $\$ 29,158$ in FY84 and $\$ 29,708$ in FY85 to be set up as a revolving account for the Westlaw operation.

Senator Dover said this would not have to be line-itemed because it is a revolving fund. Discussion. The Chairman noted that this is spending authority.

Senator Van Valkenburg said that the reason he made his motion to put this money into the Supreme Court's budget is so that the next session of the legislature the committee can see if this $\$ 29,000$ (which is approximately the cost of another attorney in state government) would reduce legal costs or "prevent them from growing."

Discussion.
Question being called for, the motion carried.
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In answer to a question from the committee, Ms. Engel said the differnece in "Communications" is for telephone and the OCLC lease line. (Budget issues are listed on page 2 of Exhibit 7.)

Mr. O'Brien said the primary difference is the lease line. He said for the lease line alone the cost would be what the legislature anticipated would be the entire cost for OCLC.

Mr. Engel explained that OCLC is an on-line cataloging system. In answer to a question from Senator Keating, Ms. Engel said if they did not have the OCLC system and would go back to a manual system they would require at least one full-time grade 8 clerical person which would cost more.

Senator Dover made a MOTION that the committee approve $\$ 13,056$ in FY84 and $\$ \overline{15,178}$ in FY85 in "Communications."

Senator Van Valkenburg said regarding the estimate of ocLC costs, that this was a pretty big "miss", and he hoped there would not be any more. (Tape 54, Side A) Discussion of Westlaw charges and projected expenditures.

Mr. O'Brien pointed out to the committee that there are other operating expenses associated with that service. There are maintenance contracts, data processing time, etc. also included as costs in the Westlaw service.

Senator Dover AMENDED his MOTION to accept the remainder of "Operating Expenses" (under OBPP) and the OBPP on "Travel."

Mr. O'Brien pointed out that if the committee allows the additional travel in this budget they increase the current level budget in this category.

Ms. Engel again explained to the committee the reasons for the travel. She noted that the LFA approved one trip. The agency projected the cost it would be for the additional trip was not approved. Discussion. Question being called for, the motion carried.

Senator Keating made a MOTION that the committee approve $\$ 208,069$ for FY84 and $\$ \overline{223,24} 6$ for FY85 under "Equipment."
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Mr. O'Brien said this was an area of the budget that has been growing at a very rapid rate. In comparing the biennial appropriations in the 1981 biennium and the 1985 biennium the rate of increase is $114 \%$. In the LFA budget they identified new books as an issue because of the continuing escalating costs associated with the book budget. In answer to a question from the Chairman, Ms. Engel said in FY83 the total for books was $\$ 184,927$ and in FY84 it is $\$ 208,069$.

In answer to a question from Senator Keating, Ms. Engel said in FY84 for continuations they had $\$ 142,359$. The new book budget in FY84 is $\$ 65,710$. Ms. Engel said that in FY82 the new book budget was $\$ 67,000$ and in FY83 it was $\$ 70,000$.

Ms. Engel explained to the committee her criteria for selecting new books. Discussion

In answer to a question from Senator Keating, Ms. Engel said that approximately 20 to 25 percent of the new books will become continuations.

Senator Van Valkenburg said this depended upon what a person's philosophy of a library is; whether it is tied to money and exactly what you can spend or whether it is tied to what knowledge you need to store. Ms. Engel is saying that there is a certain value in having that knowledge available that you can't relate directly back to some dollar figure that some new book cost. (218)

In answer to a question from Senator Van Valkenburg, Ms. Engel said there is a portion of the budget that is dedicated to the purchase of microfiche (they prefer the fiche over the film), and they have been purchasing this for years. She said the majority of law books are not available in microfilm or microfiche. She told the committee that everything listed under "Equipment" in the current level budget is for books. Discussion.

Senator Keating's motion carried.
Budget Modification
Senator Van Valkenburg made a MOTION that the committee approve the two microfiche readers and deny the word processor. (Exhibit 8)
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Discussion.
Question being called for, the motion carried.
Ms. Engel asked the committee to address the additional operating expenses which would be required by the reference librarian the committee has approved. (Exhibit 9) Discussion.

Rep. Lory made a MOTION that the committee approve the operating expenses for the new reference librarian.

Discussion.
Senator Keating made a SUBSTITUTE MOTION that the committee approve on this modified budget the following for FY84: $\$ 100$ in "Supplies", $\$ 900$ for typewriter and in FY85 the travel, meals, lodging and dues. Discussion.

Senator Keating AMENDED his SUBSTITUTE MOTION to include the dues of $\$ 70$ in $F \bar{Y} 84$.

Question being called for, the Amended Substitute Motion carried.

Ms. Engel said they had planned, with the word processor, to put the old typewriter at the circulation desk. Now, with no word processor she would need another typewriter. She would like the committee to appropriate money for a typewriter for the circulation desk. This would cost approximately $\$ 900$.

Discussion by the committee.
Rep. Lory made a MOTION to decrease the travel in FY85 by $\$ 500$ and appropriate $\$ 900$ for a typewriter.

Senator Van Valkenburg said that none of this budget is going to be line-itemed, and Ms. Engel can use her good judgment. If she can buy a typewriter with her book money or something else, the committee would approve its purchase within her existing budget.

Rep. Lory withdrew his motion.
Senator Dover made a MOTION that this committee approve the budget as amended. Motion carried.
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Discussion by the committee.
The meeting adjourned at 11:55 a.m. (428)

A MESSAGE SWITCHINC ND BACKUP SOLUTION FOR THE LAW ENFORCEMENT NETWORK SYSTEM
EXHIBIT 1 2-4-83

LENS SWTTCHER
BUDGET MODIFICATION - 1985 BIENNIUM
FY $84 \quad \underline{F Y ~} 85$
FTE.

Personal Services:
Salaries
Employee Benefits Total

Operating Expense:
Contracted Services

CSD Operations Software Development
Supplies \& Materials
Communications
Travel
Rent
Repairs \& Maint.
Facility Site Prep.
Site Maint.
Software Maint.
Other Exp.
Total

| 72,000 | 32,000 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4,000 | 10,000 |
| 4,000 | 12,000 |
| 140,000 | 154,000 |

Equipment:
4 Protcol Converters
40,000
8,000
Cable
35,000
Disk \& Controller
Hardware \& Cable
Total

Total Program:
$\$ 223,000 \$ 192,000$

Funding:
General Fund $\$ 223,000 \quad \$ 192,000$

Narrative:
This modification would fund a cooperative project between Justice DPD and Administration CSD to provide a processor/switcher for the LENS Bureau to replace the System 7 switcher which is the heart of the Law Enforcement Telecommunications Network. The existing switcher no longer meets the needs of the law enforcement community. The new switching system must provide switching services, backup switching capabilities, increase the internal capacity of the law enforcement telecommunications system to allow
the addition of new user terminals, and provide security for terminals and files.

The need for a new switcher is clear. The existing switcher is antiquated, vendor support for repair and maintenance cannot be guaranteed, the switcher is at full capacity preventing the addition of new users, it has no backup switching capabilities an there is no security for either terminals or files. If the switcher is not replaced there is an unacceptably high risk of a total failure of the law enforcement telecommunications system during the 84-85 biennium.

Request a line item appropriation for the biennium in the amount of $\$ 415,000$.




DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
Publications \& Graphics Division
(Duplicating Bureau)
Budget Issues

1) 2 FTE's for purchasing with the associated personal services costs and operating costs should be added: This amounts to $\$ 39,721$ for personal services and $\$ 12,360$ for operating costs in FY 84 ; and $\$ 39,721$ for personal services and $\$ 13,101$ for operating costs in FY85.

It is advantageous for the physical location of these 2 FTE's to be in the Publications and Graphics area to:

- Assure that the purchase orders comply with laws.
- Have close proximity to printing expertise to properly write specifications for proper bids.
- Provide central ared for all printing purchases instead of two separate locations,

2) The Fiscal Analyst omitted from their base calculation all building rent for the quick copy centers and included only operations in the 01d Liquor Warehouse. Total building rent allowed by Analyst was $\$ 20,559$. Rent allowed by Budget Office was $\$ 31,586$.

Proof of Analyst Figures
1982 building rent cost
less quick copy rent paid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 23,704 \\
& (10,392) \\
& \$ 13,312
\end{aligned}
$$

Added by Analyst for change in rate from $\$ 1.69$ per square foot to $\$ 2.62$ per square foot made in December, 1981.

| 5,000 |
| ---: |
| $\$ 18,312$ |
| 1.12 |
| $\$ 20,509$ |
| 1.06 |
| $\$ 21,740$ |

Building Rent Differences
(Actual costs will be based on final General Services budget).
3) Our budget submission attempted to separate photocopiers into categories of those on straight rental agreement and those which we are purchasing on installment contract. At the time of submission of our budget, we had ten machines on straight rental at an annual cost of $\$ 63,990$. This is the cost the Fiscal Analyst allowed.

As of today, we are renting 17 photocopiers at an annual rate of $\$ 178.640$ for 1984 and $\$ 186,832$ for 1985.
4) Our budget submissions included $\$ 20,000$ in FY84 to rebuild and repair two of our presses, which was excluded from the LFA budget.
5) Interest expenses on current equipment contracts is $\$ 33,497$ in FY84 and is $\$ 27,529$ in FY85. Add interest expense on replacement equipment purchases of $\$ 6,455$ in FY84 and FY85, respectively.
6) Equipment line item costs for principal on existing installment contracts is $\$ 231,477$ on existing contracts and $\$ 45,187$ for new in FY84; $\$ 188,759$ on existing contracts and $\$ 45,187$ on new in FY85.
7) Add $\$ 109,571$ in FY84 and $\$ 118,967$ in FY85 to reflect base adjustments for goods purchased for resale not included by LFA.
8) A savings of $5 \%$ has been recommended by the Fiscal Analyst in the Duplicating Bureau budget. The total cost based on our executive budget submission would be $\$ 21,083$ in 1984 and $\$ 24,557$ in 1985. This translates into one FTE in ' 84 and $11 / 4$ FTE in ' 85.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION Publications \& Graphics Division
(Graphic Arts Bureau)

## Budget Issues

1) $\$ 10,004$ for goods purchased for resale was included by the LFA, but was not picked up in the Executive Budget. We request that this amount be included.
2) A savings of $3.5 \%$ has been recommended by the Fiscal Analyst in this budget. The total cost based on our Executive Budget submission would be $\$ 20,145$ in 1984 and $\$ 20,127$ in 1985 . This translates into approximately $1 / 4$ FTE reduction during each year of the biennium.







## Total State Government Printing - FY 1982



## RATE SCHEDULE

## Effective January 1, 1983

## DUPLICATING

| No. of Copies | Price Per Copy |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 to 20 | .045 |
| 21 to 100 | .015 |
| 101 to 1000 | .008 |
| 1001 to 5000 | .005 |
| $5000+$ | .003 |

Price is based on an $81 / 2 \times 11$ copy and includes masters, ink and press time. Paper is not included because of the wide variability in paper types and sizes. Normal 20 lb . white stock averages .005 per sheet.

Clean up, enlargement or reduction - add $50 ¢$ per original.

## BINDERY

|  | Set Up | Unit Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collating, Machine | ---- | .0045/sht |
| Collating, Hand. | ---- | . $45 / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Stapling, Hand. | ---- | .015/set |
| Stapling, In-Line | ---- | .01/set |
| Saddle Stitch | ---- | .03/set |
| Folding | 4.00 | .005/sht |
| Folding, Rt. Angle | 4.00 | .005/sht |
| Folding, In-Line | ---- | .03/set |
| Scoring | 6.00 | .003/sht |
| Punching - Standard 3 Hole | ---- | .001/sht |
| Punching - Non-standard. | 3.00 | .001/sht |
| Cutting | -... | . $55 / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Padding. | ---- | . $45 / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Perfect Binding | 15.00 | . $55 / \mathrm{min}$ |

The prices quoted herein are not intended to infer an inclusive list of all services offered by the Division. These are typical prices of most commonly requested work.

## GRAPHIC ARTS

| Paste Up | . $20 / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Graphic Art | . $\$ 22 / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Commercial Art | . $\$ 25 / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Typesetting (Hand Entry) | \$30/hr |

Typographic output through communication with computer or word processor.
Set Up. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 30 /$. $\$ 5 /$ foot
8 " width

## REPROGRAPHICS

NEGATIVES

| Size | Line <br> Negative | Stripped <br> Negative | Halftone | Stripped <br> Halftone |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \times 6$ | 1.95 | 5.30 | 5.70 | 10.20 |
| $5 \times 12$ | 3.85 | 7.25 | 7.65 | 12.15 |
| $6 \times 10$ | 3.85 | 7.25 | 7.65 | 12.15 |
| $8 \times 10$ | 5.20 | 8.55 | 8.95 | 13.45 |
| $10 \times 12$ | 7.75 | 11.15 | 11.50 | 16.00 |
| $10 \times 16$ | 10.30 | 12.70 | --- | --- |
| $11 \times 14$ | 9.95 | 14.30 | 14.75 | 17.85 |
| $12 \times 18$ | 13.95 | 17.35 | --- | --- |
| $16 \times 20$ | 20.65 | 24.05 | --- | --- |

## PMT's, CONTACTS, and PHOTO PRINTS

| Size | Positive | Halftone | Clear Film <br> or Reverse |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $41 / 2 \times 11$ | 2.60 | --- | --- |
| $5 \times 12$ | 3.10 | 6.20 | --- |
| $6 \times 10$ | 3.10 | 6.20 | --- |
| $81 / 2 \times 11$ | 5.25 | 7.75 | $8.20^{*}$ |
| $10 \times 12$ | 6.15 | 9.65 | 9.15 |
| $11 \times 14$ | 7.35 | 10.80 | --- |
| $12 \times 18$ | 9.45 | --- | $12.45^{* *}$ |
| $14 \times 17$ | 10.20 | --- | ---- |
| $16 \times 20$ | 13.05 | --- | --- |
|  | *Reverse Only | $* *$ Clear Film Only |  |

## ANALYSIS OF THE PRINTING OF THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET BOOK

|  | FY 79* | FY 81** | FY 83** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turnaround Time | 21 Days | 12 Days | 10 Days |
| Number of Copies | 550 | 500 | 500 |
| Cost Per Copy | $\$ 16.37$ | $\$ 14.08$ | $\$ 9.27$ |
| Total Cost | $\$ 9002.27$ | $\$ 7,038.65$ | $\$ 4,634.60$ |

*Commercially Printed
**Printed In-House

Analysis of<br>Turnaround Time<br>of the<br>Publications \& Graphics Division<br>Sample Month - June, 1982

The number of job requisitions received for June totaled 1,807. There were 22 working days in the month in which the Division produced 2,902,340 copies, or 131,925 per day.

| Requested Delivery* | Number of Jobs | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Days | 1430 | $79 \%$ |
| 5 Days | 1554 | $86 \%$ |
| 7 Days | 1671 | $93 \%$ |
| 14 Days | 1777 | $98 \%$ |

For the 1,807 jobs completed, the Division received $\$ 69,175.06$, or $\$ .024$ per copy, $\$ 38.28$ per job. The bidding process requires an average 14 days to let prior to actual production. In FY 1982 it cost the Division $\$ 61.92$ per Purchase Order.
*Calendar Days - Includes Weekends.

## 1. Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes

In 1981, D/A published this document commercially at a cost of $\$ 20,782$. In 1982, utilizing division services to communicate, typeset and print the report resulted in a charge of $\$ 12,535$.

> Total Savings . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$8247 (40\%)
2. Report of the State Department of Revenue

Both the typesetting and printing of this publication were done commercially in 1981 . The cost was $\$ 11,645$, or $\$ 9.32$ per copy. Two years later, the division communicated and typeset the document and bid out the printing for a total cost of $\$ 5833$ or $\$ 5.83$ per copy.

Total Savings . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 5812$ ( $50 \%$ )
3. DHES Family Planning Clinic Visit Record form

Form was typeset and printed commercially at a cost of $\$ 1170$ for 15,000 copies, $\$ 78$ per 1000 . Division costs for same work is $\$ 611$ or $\$ 41$ per 1000 .

> Total Savings
. $\$ 559$ (48\%)
4. Goes Borders - Supply Item

Normally purchased commercially from local vendor at $\$ 90$ per 1000. Division contacted distributor direct and now pays $\$ 34.36$ per 1000.

> Total Savings
\$55.64 (62\%)

## 5. Cover Stocks - Supply Item

State used to purchase stock locally prior to division obtaining its own paper cutter. Between April of 1979, and April of 1980 the State made purchases amounting to $\$ 1118.55$. The same stocks purchased from the division today cost $\$ 624.39$.

Total Savings
$\$ 494.16$ (44\%)

## 6. DNRC Old West Technical Report Series

Produced commercially in 1977, the series consisted of eleven volumes of varying sizes and quantities. Following is a list of those books and the price paid compared to P \& G's list price for the identically specified product.

| Volume | Commercial <br> Price | P \& $\mathbf{G}$ <br> List Price |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2113.68 | 1191.68 |
| 2 | 2734.62 | 1630.73 |
| 3 | 4521.72 | 1947.16 |
| 4 | 1173.91 | 876.10 |
| 5 | 1886.96 | 1179.40 |
| 6 | 1497.11 | 907.68 |
| 7 | 1800.65 | 970.95 |
| 8 | 2389.58 | 1311.60 |
| 9 | 1111.11 | 772.60 |
| 10 | 1910.96 | 1221.70 |
| 11 | 1352.43 | 972.25 |
| Total | $22,492.73$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 8 1 . 8 5}$ |

Total Savings . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$9510.88 (42\%)


## ACCOUNTING DIVISION

## Budget Issues

1) The division is responsible for presenting the state's annual financial report in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Travel to attend two national accounting meetings annually is necessary to share ideas and problems with peers in other states. The executive budget request includes $\$ 1,380$ in ' 84 and $\$ 1,435$ in ' 85 to attend these meetings.
2) Registration fees for the meetings discussed above were included in the executive budget request (\$170 in '84 and \$181 in '85).
3) Vacancy savings of $3.5 \%$ has been recommended by the Fiscal Analyst in this budget. The total cost based on our executive budget request would be $\$ 10,901$ in 1984 and $\$ 10,889$ in 1985 . This results in a . 50 FTE reduction during each year of the biennium.


## Budget Issues

1) Currently, the division has an FTE for a file clerk position. Our executive budget request includes a reduction of .75 FTE for this position. The position is filled during the summer months as a clerk typist.
2) The historical records of past state building projects are an invaluable source of information for current and future building projects. Presently, a duplicate set of records does not exist and the original records are stored in a place where they are susceptible to damage. Funds for the microfilming of the state building plans are included in the executive budget request ( $\$ 10,000$ in ' 84 and $\$ 5,000$ in '85).
3) Our executive budget request included funds for microfilm supplies. These supplies are necessary for microfilming the building drawings (\$112 in ' 84 and $\$ 119$ in ' 85 ).
4) The executive budget request included a $\$ 5,000$ increase in the travel budget. The Fiscal Analyst approved only $\$ 2,000$. The additional funds are necessary for the division to conduct field inspections (in both ' 84 and ' 85 ).
5) Vacancy savings of $3.5 \%$ has been recommended by the Fiscal Analyst in this budget. The total cost based on our executive budget request would be $\$ 14,046$ in ' 84 and $\$ 14,023$ in '85. This results in a 50 FTE reduction during each year of the biennium.
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There will be photocopy revenue deposited to the general fund. We estimate this will be approximately $\$ 8,670$
 $2-4-83$

$$
\text { Personal Services: Difference-FY } 84 \$ 3,704 \text { and } F Y 85 \$ 3,613 \text {. }
$$
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Supplies and Materials: Difference-FY $84 \$ 100$ and FY 85 \$100.
The library's new facility requires additional supplies.
Communications: Difference-FY $84 \$ 7,154$ and $F Y 85 \$ 8,400$. Results
from l) phone rate increase and 2) OCLC lease line,
'rravel: Difference-FY $84 \$ 652$ and FY 85 \$147. The law librarian needs to attend two meetings per year (American Associa of Law Librarians Annual Conference Chapter of AALL Annual Conference).
Rent: Difference-FY 84 \$12,645 and FY 85 \$20,420. Methodological
difference between OBPP and LFA.
Repair \& Aaintenance: Difference-FY 84 \$1,494 and FY 85 \$1,586.
These are funds for maintenance contracts we have
not previously held (Westlaw terminal etc.).
enses: Difference-FY $84 \$ 567$ and FY $85 \$ 603$. This is
OCLC dues. OCLC cannot be utilized unless this dues is
Equipment: The LFA has pulled new book acquisitions out of current level and called it a modification.

## State Law Library of Montana

Justice Building - 215 North Sanders Helena. MT 59620 • (406) 449-3660

## Modification FY 84-85

Equipment

|  | FY 84 | FY 85 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| IBM 5253 CRT (2) | 4,153 | 4,153 |
| IBM 5219 Printer | 7,916 |  |
| Maintenance | $\frac{1,089}{}$ | $\frac{1,731}{5,884}$ |

## isicrofiche Reader <br> 280

Microfiche Reader ..... 280

$$
550
$$
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