MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE APPROPRIATIONS SUB-COMMITTEE ON ELECTED OFFICIALS AND HIGHWAYS January 19, 1983 (Tape 21, Side B, 22, 23 & 24)

The Appropriations Sub-Committee on Elected Officials and Highways met at 8:00 a.m. on January 19, 1983, in Room 437 with Chairman Quilici presiding. The following members were present:

Chairman Quilici	Senator Dover
Rep. Connelly	Senator Keating
Rep. Lory	Senator Van Valkenburg
	Senator Stimatz

Also Present: Cliff Roessner and Leo O'Brien, LFA Terry Cohea, Jan Dee May and Doug Booker, OBPP.

#### HEARING:

# LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR

Senator Matt Himsl, District 9, Chairman of the Legislative Audit Committee. We are requesting the same level of FTE's as we have had in the past. We are obligated to conduct financial and compliance audits and we have performance audits and we have the electronic data program which I will explain later. Under the financial and compliance audit, we have a staff of 30 people who are mostly CPA's or professional people and operate totally objectively, independent of the direction of the committee. This maintains the credibility that the audit people have. Where we have a limited amount of people, we sometimes go to a contract basis with CPA firms. We have found, however, that the audits of our staff are better. We have had about 35 contracted audits in the past year and about 40 audits from the staff and 20 that are in progress at the present time. All agencies of government that receive any federal funds must be audited once within every two years and some every year. The federal people take the audits of our people as satisfactory compliance with the law. We have no choice but to conduct these audits. It has worked very well to have an audit expenses put into each agency's budget and I hope that process will continue. A performance audit is conducted by a staff of all personnel. We have nine on the administrative staff. We've had a difficult time getting top people in this service in the last year or two, but now that picture is changing and excellent applicants are coming forward. The electronic data processing program, which is new, has two staff people. We became concerned because State government spent over \$60 million for computer services in 1981 and 1982 and they own over \$70 million worth of equipment. We believe by having this expert team of two people in there, they can expedite audits and hopefully increase the efficiency of these reviews. It's a worthwhile program to pursue without adding more people to the staff. That, in general, is what we have done. We were fortunate to find and hire Bob Ringwood, who is a former Legislative Auditor for the State of Wisconsin, nationally recognized in this field.

Minutes of the Meeting of the Approprations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Two

#### QUESTIONS:

Sen. Van Valkenburg: Sen. Himsl, one of the selling points for putting the funding for the audits into the individual agencies was they would be more likely to get it included because the audits were mandatory by the federal government. Have we been able to determine if these agencies have recovered the audit costs from the federal funds or other sources?

Mr. Ringwood: We do look to see that each agency has the costs included in their federal grants. They, however, are not doing a real great job of it.

Sen. Van Valkenburg: Do you have, what we refer to as a third-level budget where you break down the expenditures by each area?

Mr. Ringwood: Yes. I'll put it into form so you can understand it.

Chairman Quilici: What about agencies who do not receive any federal money that you audit. Are they totally paid out of general fund?

<u>Sen. Himsl</u>: Yes, through that agency account. The Secretary of State's Office, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and a couple of others are the only ones I can think of that don't receive some funds in some way. (Exhibit #1)

## HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY

<u>Albert Goke</u>, Administrator of Highway Safety: In an overall stance, understand that my current year budget would be \$401,000, my request for the biennium were significantly less than that. The budgets were put together using fiscal year 1982. In 1982 my agency went through a significant amount of unknowns as we dealt with federal funds. I did not receive all of our fiscal 1982 funds until last spring. Consequently, I was extremely tight within my own budget on any administrative actions I took. Travel was less than 1/2 of what was appropriated. I did that across the board. The differences are, therefore, significant in some areas.

The simple areas that concern me in the executive budget, we have put in a request for an ability to produce more public information, brochures and things of that nature, and the LFA included it for only the first year of the biennium. Therefore, "supplies and materials" are down approximately \$2,100 in the LFA fiscal 85 budget and I would request that it be increased by \$2,100. The other difference is in travel funds. It's a \$900 difference but I have a \$13,000 current budget and the biennium request states only slightly in excess of \$9,000. I can provide adequate service with that level, but I would request the \$900 cut made by the LFA be reinstated. I have Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Three

only 8.5 employees. We do a number of things that require travel. If a law enforcement officer requests a study on any road or street, the traffic engineer on my staff must perform that study, and there are a significant number of those across our state in a year's time. He consumes the majority of the travel funds. The other difference is within the rental structure that is set up for us in the Scott Hart Bldg. The difference is \$1,047 in the first year of the biennium between the executive budget and the LFA recommendation. I cannot absorb that somewhere else without hardship. Other than these discrepancies, I believe I can maintain the agency with the budget stated.

Chairman Quilici: Yesterday we had the Highway Patrol explain their program on traffic safety. Do you go into schools and teach traffic safety.

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: If we are requested, we do. I don't advertise in an overt manner to encourage this, because I don't have the resource to do it. I probably appear before schools about 20 times in a year's time.

Sen. Dover: 1985 had the biggest discrepancy in supplies.

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: The LFA considered my request for only one year. There is approximately \$2,150 plus an expansion factor in that second year's account. This is public information materials, mostly pamphlets. No other agency makes an effort to alert the public as to what the changes in traffic law are.

Chairman Quilici: What is the breakdown of the 8.5 FTE's?

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: I have myself and an assistant administrator; an accountant auditor, a secretary; a statistician II; a traffic engineer, and a statistician bureau chief. I currently do not have one position filled but intend to. We have a 1/2 time position which is a program manager position, a co-ordinator for women's highway safety activities. We also have volunteers help us.

Sen. Dover: None of this is general fund, is it?

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: Two areas. Aproximately \$104,000 of the request relates to two subjects - drunk driving and child restraint/seat belt areas. It's advertising/education. (TAPE 22, A)

Sen. Dover: Looking at 1985, the LFA is \$6,200 above OPI in contracted services. Which one do you want there?

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: That was a part of my good news . . . to tell you that the \$117,818 is adequate. \$104,000 of the amount that appears in "contracted services" is not matched with any state funds, if you take whatever total is given in administration minus that \$104,000 and Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Four

find the amount of money that is matched on the 25% basis. I did not request any inflationary factor in that and the LFA did.

Sen. Keating: Are any of your operating expenses line item?

Mr. Goke: No.

Chairman Quilici: You'll only pass through this money to local governments that you receive from the federal.

Mr. Goke: We took a severe cut in 1982 but came back late in the year. This is the average funding level I have had.

<u>Sen. Van Valkenburg</u>: If you anticipate funds being available for expenditure during the biennium that you're not considering at this time, then you cannot get a budget amendment to spend those monies.

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: The only difficulty would be if the choice became that it would be more appropriate that I administer funds myself to say contracted services and try to do it as an agency effort, opposed to a contractual effort with some other state agency. I feel it's important that I let you know that I feel there will be about \$1,600,000 that would pass through on that second year. The legislature itself has never really appropriated the pass through funds so those funds that go to local government are never appropriated and it has not been a major concern of any agency whether or not you are exactly right on the pass through funds. The majority of my funds pass through so we don't have a surplus.

Sen. Keating: Could you address the percentage split as to how these funds will be spent that is required by law.

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: We are required by law to give 60% pass through directly to local government. The remaining 40% goes to programs which typicall you appropriate a significant portion of them. In the Highway Patrol budget, there is approximately \$100,000 for each year of the biennium for summertime enforcement activities on high accident roads where you use federal funds to pay overtime. That's an example.

Sen Van Valkenburg: We have the responsibility to determine where that money is to go and I think we need a breakdown.

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: That will not be easy. The federal law states that the funds received are the Governor's responsibility. I have signature authority of the Governor for the funds and do what is necessary to spend them. It has not been common in our state to determine that far in advance where the funds will go. With 60% going to local government, we're limited on control of what their plans are. The 40% left, I administer to the best of my ability. In my offices, we receive about \$80,000 that is directed to roadway activities - spot Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Five

improvement projects with local governments. Those are earmarked. The federal law has many categories of earmarking. \$17,000 must be directed toward training school bus drivers. There is not that much discretion in where the money goes, other than to decide that perhaps I fund a project in Kalispell rather than Great Falls for example.

Chairman Quilici: Please get us a breakdown of what you used the 40% for during the last fiscal year?

Sen. Keating: Aren't you audited?

<u>Mr. Goke</u>: I have been audited every year until fiscal 1982 at which time they changed to honoring a legislative auditor's report. I have not been audited for 1982. It will be done by the Department of Justice. I will get you the material you need as soon as possible. (Exhibit #2)

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Diana Dowling: We are working for you. If you have any questions, please ask. NCCUSL (uniform laws) has commissioners appointed by the Governor. I don't think this budget belongs in our area. The budget is for travel to the national meetings. We are requesting that this be moved to the Governor's budget. Their office is willing to make that transfer. The statute doesn't designate where this commission should be.

<u>Sen. Dover</u>: Diana, you are on this committee now. Is that part of the reason it's funded here?

<u>Diana</u>: Yes, but the commissioners could be three private attorneys. I just happen to be one of the appointees. It is a separate job. Whenever I go to a conference, I take vacation or comp time.

# RESEARCH

Diana Dowling: This is for six researchers, a director, one secretary, one librarian and one 1/2 time librarian. This staff staffed 17 interim committees during the last interim. We also fill about 600 requests a year for spot researchers by different legislators and state agencies. During the session, these researchers staff the standing committees and draft bills.

This budget gives us the capability to hire top-notch researchers if they are available. There are no raises in here and that's going to be done by some formula if any raises are given. The health insurance is figured on \$80 a month and it can't be based on FTE because we have several 1/2 people. Our salaries are set by the Legislative Council and we got very generous raises the first year and last Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Six

year the Legislative Council kept salaries below the pay plan level. I was given a 5% raise and the people above \$20,000 were kept at 7%. People under \$20,000 got pay plan raises. Consultant and Prof. Services: This is something new which came out of the fact that we were asked to do the prison The \$7,500 is what we thought it was going to cost. study. It ended up costing \$23,000 but the law lets the LC hire consultants if something comes up and this will be a contingency item if something else comes up. Printing the "Interim" is up 73%. When I talk about supplies, they are related to that particular division. This is mainly library supplies. The LC approves all out-of-state travel. If something comes up that we feel is worthwile, we ask the Council's permission to send researchers. Last interim we only spent \$173 in travel and we reverted all the rest. The budget is up from the last interim.

## LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

Diana Dowling: We have one acting director in this division. Ι don't know what is going to happen with this after July of this year. We are probably going to cut, but I don't know how much. We have a computer programmer, five entry operators, four proof readers, three editors, and one vacancy right now. We really beef this division up during the session. Under Data Processing, this is the amount we pay to the Department of Administration for using the computer. It runs about \$40,000 a month during the session. Data Retrieval Systems Support is the company that we bought our computers with. On page 8, there is a breakdown of what it costs us per month to lease these systems. Alter is our basic system. Under "supplies" is primarily computer paper during the session. You can see 1985 is much more. Under "Travel", the terminal operator's seminar is training for our operators who are the guts of our program. The various states that use data retrieval get together and have training seminars once a year.

Rent is mainly for our equipment and much higher during a session year. The PDS Interface would be the amount paid to the Department of Administration computer so that we can pull all information that is on the computer into our files. The Extended Security is so no one can get to our information but us. Overall, this is down because we are not using the computer as much during the interim because our codes are completely codified and now we will only be doing updates.

#### MANAGEMENT

Diana Dowling: In this division, we have myself, my assistant, our secretary, a purchasing clerk, two people in accounting, one 1/2 person who distributes the code and invoices for all our publications and one 1/2 secretary who floats throughout the office where needed. Printing: With the telephone company not being back again next time and not knowing the status of Anaconda Co., I thought it necessary to be prepared to publish. We are guessing what it might cost to Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Seven

move the phones after renovation. Travel is staff and council members for meetings. This money is there if the LC deems it worthwhile.

The CSG Western Conf. is in Alaska and it's expensive. After our move, I think we can get by with 1 printer because all the secretaries will be in one location but now we are scattered. Insurance is up, travel is up and rent is up.

# QUESTIONS:

Sen. Keating: You rent the word processors for \$1,700 a month, are you purchasing if you want to?

Diana: Yes, we can convert it. It's cheaper to lease from IBM with a big discount.

#### LEGAL SERVICES

Diana Dowling: We have one director, we have spots for seven attorneys, but we only have six right now. We have two indexers and one secretary. They draft bills and staff committees during the session and during the interim they are involved in recodifying the laws and in annotating the code. This won't take as much staff as we had before and we don't know how the interim studies are going to come up. I believe this staff is going to be cut some, too. This calls for hiring four extra attorneys during the session, we were only able to hire two this session. It's hard to find anyone with bill drafting experience. WESTLAW is working great and the attorneys love it. We usually don't have a books budget in legal but there is a chance that we can buy some AmJur from the Law Library and we use it quite a bit.

The NCCUSL travel would not be transferred to the Gov.'s office. Last year we reverted \$3,000 of the travel money back because the seminars were not worthwhile. This budget is up \$5,000 for books and \$1,000 for travel.

#### QUESTIONS:

Rep. Connelly: Do you charge for the orange book?

Diana: It comes out of the general fund and will go back to general.

## INTERIM STUDIES AND CONFERENCES

Diana Dowling: NCSL and CSG are the first two items. In the past we have had members who were angry when the money was gone because we operated on a first come, first served basis. The Council decided to let the legislature decide what they want to do. There is a possibility that 35 to 40 members could be appointed to NCSL because there are about 17 committees with a senator and a representative being Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Eight

appointed to each committee. The Council's recommendation is that you choose how many committees you want to serve on, but only one member per committee, either a senator or a representative, and then based on \$2,000 per meeting and six meetings (three a year) it would be \$96,000.

We figured \$800 for air fare, \$300 salary, \$300 lodging, \$150 for meals and \$150 for registration which comes to about \$1,800. That's high but that's how we came up with the figures. The dues are actual figures. It's the same with CSG - five members at \$2,000 a meeting. I personally think that legislators shouldn't be stingy with themselves because there is a lot of good done at those national conference especially since the federal government is getting more and more involved.

Interim Studies - this is what funds all of our interim work. Last session we had \$75,000 - this money pays for the legislator's salary, travel, publication. We only have about \$600 left in that budget and we haven't paid for several final reports. We are asking for \$100,000.

Last session we did not fund the five-state conference but we took some money out of the interim study budget for members to go to a meeting in South Dakota. The five-state water task force was just formed at the last five-state conference. Rep. Manuel and Severson were on that task force and they went to several meetings at their own expense because there was no funding last year for that.

#### QUESTIONS:

Sen. Keating: On your Council of State Governments, do you say that there is a representative and a senator on each of the committees? Did anyone suggest alternating meetings?

Diana: The Council chose not to put some of them on some of the committees. If you have a delegate and he doesn't attend meetings, what he gets one time at a meeting, the alternate doesn't know about, so there's a loss of concentration in the committee. We are trying to remedy these problems. If they don't go, nobody goes. One meeting doesn't give enough input.

Rep. Lory: I see they have \$96,000 for the NCSL and only \$33,000 for the CSG. Why the difference?

Sen. Goodover: Most of the NCSL meetings are back in Washington or places far away. CSG meetings are mostly in the west. I'm recommending that you take a good look at staffing the CSG and financing the five-state meetings and again dropping NCSL. For years we appropriated money for dues to NCSL but never any travel money. I Minutes of the Meeting of the Apropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Nine

don't know that we got that much out of the membership. The interest we have with the 13 western states is much more important and we can get a lot more imput than we can from the national meetings. The Fiscal Analyst used to get a lot of information from NCSL, but there is a new service available called Fed-Facts that is available for aboiut \$11,000 a year that we could share the cost of along with the administration for a lot less money than the \$96,000. The committee should consider this.

Diana Dowling: There is a possibility that this committee could say if somebody wants to serve on a committee bad enough, they aren't going to get salary or something. We could give them \$1,000 a meeting and anything over that they have to pick up. There are all kinds of ways. This is just what we came up with. It was not unanimous.

<u>Rep. Lory</u>: I have always been embarrassed about drawing salary when I go to these meetings, and I hope the committee would look at that.

<u>Chairman Quilici</u>: There are a lot of legislators who lose their salary if they take off work to attend meetings. We have considered that. They shouldn't get paid for Saturday or Sunday.

#### MONTANA CODE ANNOTATED

Diana Dowling: This is a revolving fund and it's simple. We just get authority to pay for the printing and binding. We charge cost plus 20% and put it back into the revolving fund. The next time you look at this, you will see a request to put some of it back into the general fund. We are self-sustaining now in this.

We publish separate titles, for instance in law enforcement, we publish all their laws, and Title 61, etc. The bidder for the code this next time is a firm out of Atlanta, and they won the bid because all the extra titles, etc., will be no charge.

Sen. Goodover: In the preliminary budget, the Council staff presented to the Council members a number of things that we asked them to delete and they have done that. The bottom line figures stand pretty constant. They are holding the line. Last year, we reverted between \$250,000 and \$300,000.

# ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL

<u>Rep. Dennis Iverson</u>: We are satisfied with the LFA version. The difference between it and the executive is that one was done later than the other. The big item and the one you are going to have to talk about is the increase in the FTE's. Since the EQC was established, it's always operated without meeting the requirements of the law. There are certain things required by statute, and the staff has never Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected, Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Ten

been there to fill those obligations. We aren't asking for enough people to do everything. However, there are some important things that should be addressed. The Environmental Impact Statements have not been adequately performed. We've expanded a bit from what EOC has done before, at least in the area of public information. Besides the normal role of answering the telephone and answering the letters and providing information to legislators and the public, we have done a little more along the lines of public hearings and public forums. We need to begin to develop a comprehensive ground water policy. We've taken that on as a project over the next couple of years. We took on the responsibility of the hard rock interim study. The result of that is that it's probably the finest interim study that anyone's ever done. It was extremely extensive and expensive. We came up with an understanding of an industry that had never been recorded. We also took a share of the study on business regulation. We are taking over a little of the natural resource function of the Legislative Council. We are doing the bill drafting for natural Because of what we consider an increased work load and resources. increased service to the legislature, we do feel we need the three additional FTE's. To fully understand what the \$54,000 amounts to, you'd have to understand how we went about doing the work we did. We used contracted services. If we don't have the FTE's then we'll have to have an additional \$30,000 that isn't reflected in there. (Exhibit #4) (TAPE 23 Side B).

<u>Rep. Dave Brown</u>: I went on the EQC two years ago and they work a lot of overtime. If we don't get relief, we are going to burn them all out and turn over everybody. If you look at the comp time you will see nearly 18 months which would be a full-time person. The Council has performed a good moderating influence on the Legislature. We will be involved in the coal-gas project of Tenaco over the next two years. Pesticides will again be controversial.

Debbie Schmidt: As a staff, we have worked very hard and we try to convey that message to you, because we work for you. Montana depends very heavily on the use and conservation of its resources and so natural resource issues are important to the people of this state. We are glad to provide our services but we are getting to the point where we really do need additional people to adequately carry out our We do accept the recommendation of the LFA. This budget reflect iobs. some change for session and non-session years. Travel in our budget includes our committee members and our public members and our staff. They are based on six full EQC meetings and eight sub-committee meetings for each year, at about \$2,200 per meeting for the full EQC. It's difficult to predict what our travel costs will be because it depends upon where our members are coming from. Many members did not put in for reimbursement because our travel was very limited the last biennium. If we are given the additional FTE's we can reduce our

Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Eleven

contracted services significantly in fiscal years 84 and 85. We send our council monthly statements to the second level of our expenditures and our activities and contracted services we use so they are kept informed on a monthly basis.

#### QUESTIONS:

Sen. Dover: What is the breakdown of the FTE's?

<u>Ms. Schmidt</u>: The additional expenses which total \$54,000 would be for two entry level research people, one with an economic background and one to review the environmental impact statements at grade 12. One clerical person at \$10,400. Employee benefits at \$7,600 for a total of \$50,000 in personal services. Communications and supplies for \$3,200 for a total of \$54,100. If we receive the modified, the contracted services would go to \$15,000 for fiscal year 84 from the current request of \$30,000 and in 85 contracted services would be \$20,000 instead of \$42,718. I will have this typed. Six percent would be added for inflation.

# LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST

<u>Judy Rippingale</u>: Our budget is basically maintaining current level other than in the area of contract services. In the area of contract services, we have an additional \$20,000 added for very technical projects or when we need legal advice from a lawyer. Both occurred the last fiscal year. The other expenses are pretty basic and equipment money is for breakdown or minor equipment that we may need. During the session year, we have a lot more publication and more data processing costs. During 84 we have more committee meetings. There is a modified of a .5 FTE secretary. That came about because we have found we need added secretarial help during special sessions, etc. and we want the flexibility to hire someone if needed.

## QUESTIONS:

<u>Rep. Lory</u>: There is a decrease in salary between 84 and 85. Is that because of the legislative year?

Judy: Yes. We don't pay per diem when they are here for session. We are on the pay plan that you set up for the executive branch so there's no pay increases for staff. We do what you do with executive. When you calculate the money for the pay plan, we receive pay plan money from the bill passed. It's a line item to legislative agencies.

Sen. Keating: One page 3, why are the OBPP and LFA figures so close?

Judy: The OBPP does not change legislative agencies' requests.

Sen. Smith: I would hope that you approve this budget as is. (TAPE 24 SIDE A)

Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Twelve

# Sen. Van Valkenburg: Your office is moving, right?

Judy: I have nothing in the budget for moving because it is my understanding that will not occur until the renovation is complete and I don't anticipate it being done before the 1985 session.

Sen. Keating: In comparing growth from 82 to 84 which are off-session years, there is a 25% increase in the budget which is about 12 1/2% per year. Most appears in personal services, where there is a 26% increase from 82 to 84. Is that in the pay plan?

Judy: Fiscal 1982 there were staff changes made and we had some reorganization of staff. We ran with a good deal of vacancy savings in 1982. We reverted \$95,000 of our appropriations in 1982.

## CONSUMER COUNCIL (Exhibit 6)

Jim Paine: As you are probably aware, we are not a general fund agency We are funded by a consumer council tax on the gross revenues of all the regulated entities that are under the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission. The tax is set by the Department of Revenue in May based on the appropriation that is approved by the legislature.

There are some differences, and I would like to explain them. You'll 👞 see that the largest item is contracted services. In August when we gave our preliminary budget there was no request for a contingency Subsequent to that, there was a request for a \$60,000 funding fund. of that fund. The Legislative Consumer Committee determined that the request should be revised to seek a \$100,000 contingency fund. The OBPP was already at the printer at that time. The LFA put in the \$100,000. If the contingency fund is granted and the tax is set to cover the base appropriation and the contingency fund - at the end of the next fiscal year, if we have not touched that contingency fund, or if we have not expended all of it, the balance remaining is subtracted from the Department of Revenue's consideration of what is needed the next fiscal year. In this way we feel we are not building up a nest egg and the utilities are not being taxed in excess. We have turned money back during the last three or four years. We react to filings of the utilities, we don't initiate these expenditures. Three-fifths of our budget is spent on contractual services. We need these expert witnesses to provide alternative evidence.

#### QUESTIONS:

Rep. Lory: The OBPP has hourly wages, what is that?

<u>Mr. Paine</u>: That is the Legislative Consumer Committee salaries. You'll find it down in line item 1800 where it is sifted down. That is part of our computer programmer which would include hourly wages, per diem, etc.

Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Thirteen

<u>Rep. Connelly</u>: I thought the PSC represents the public - why do we need another agency doing the same thing?

<u>Mr. Paine</u>: Subsequent to the Constitutional Convention in 1972, the Consumer Council's Office was formed. They felt an office such as this was needed to represent the public before the PCS, also they thought the PSC in the role of judge should be neutral to the way the evidence is presented to them from whatever source. They are the judge and we represent the consuming public. The PSC cannot present evidence in any proceeding unless they ask the Consumer Council to address an issue or they feel an issue will not be properly covered in that proceeding. Mountain Bell came in in their last general case and requested \$30 million increase in annual revenue. The Consumer Council witnesses recommended an increase in \$5 million and the PSC granted \$11 million. On the whole, they accepted a great deal of our recommendations.

Sen. Van Valkenburg: Contingency funds tend to get spent whether contingencies come up or not. To what extent does the committee hold some checks on that fund?

Chairman Quilici: The Committee made a recommendation to the Consumer Council that we do not hire contracted services unless the rate request is over \$1 million. We want to do as much "in house" as we possibly can, but there are various times when big increases come in. We didn't intervene in the holding company because we didn't have the finances at that time and we were faced with nearly \$100 million in rate requests. We knew we would have to hire these witnesses for that, so we are trying to do that "in house." We're not going to spend any money if we don't think it's absolutely necessary. If the rate requests do not materialize, we absolutely will not use that contingency or our existing budget if we don't have to. The remainder will be deducted from our next budget.

Sen. Van Valkenburg: If you had had money available in the last biennium, would you have appeared in the case involving the reorganization of the Montana Power Company?

Mr. Paine: Yes, the recommendation would have been to retain a witness. We have about \$300,000 allocated for contractual services. It takes \$50,000 - \$70,000 depending if you are going to address two or three issues in a given case. This would provide for six major cases. We have never had a contingency fund funded yet. Last year, we reverted \$16,000 unexpended but appropriated monies. The year before it was \$35,000. The \$408,000 includes the \$70,000 contingency fund, but we now want \$448,000, which includes \$100,000 in contingency.

(TAPE 25, Side B)

Senator Keating: One comment was that the PSC should be a neutral judge. If you want a neutral court, you must have neutral judges

Minutes of the Meeting of the Appropriations Sub-committee on Elected Officials and Highways, January 19, 1983, Page Fourteen

and I don't see that necessarily. Historically, the utilities would come before the PSC every two or three years and ask for an increase. In the past few years, the utilities will come for an increase and there is a big squabble about them ripping off the consumer. The PSC gives them 1/2 of what they asked for an as soon as they walk out the door, the utility drops another request in the hopper, so we have a perpetual continuation of cases. All of this costs the consumer a hell of a lot of money. Why all the bickering? The utility must make a return on their investment.

Chairman Quilici: This committee since 1973 has never had a "witch hunt" for a utility or any other regulated company. They have been tough in presenting expert witnesses because that's their job, but they've always been fair . . . talk to any of the utilities. We have maintained credibility. A rate request of \$50 million is represented by some of the finest rate people in the country - that's their job. They come with a stack of data a mile high. The PSC staff doesn't have the expertise to evaluate all of it. Therefore, the Consumer Council hires its own experts. They evaluate that stack of data and come up with a decision. That decision can be appealed by the courts, but that evidence is always based on fact. We are very seldom over-turned in court. This is what it's all about. We don't go in with the idea that a utility is wrong, we go with the idea that the utility has to justify that request. It's only fair. The rate payer should have exactly the same consideration as the utility.

The hearing adjourned at 11:40 a.m.

Joe Quilici, Chairman

24 C						i de la companya de l La companya de la comp
REPORT EBSR100OFFICE OF BUDGET & PROGRAM PLANNINGDATE : 01/08/83EXECUTIVE BUDGET SYSTEMTIME : 16/25/25, AGENCY/PROGRAM/CONTROL BUDGET WORKSHEET					PAGE 1	
AGENCY : 1101 LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR PROGRAM : 01 AUDIT & EXAMINATION CONTROL : 00000			Exhibit 1 1-19-83		OURRENT L	EVEL SERVICES ONLY
AE/OE DESCRIPTION	оврр Fy 84	LFA FY 84	DIFF. SUB-CM7. FY 84 FY 84	OBPP Fy 85	LFA FY 85	DIFF. SUB-CMT. FY 85 FY 85
0000 FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	65.00	65.00	* *	65.00	65.00	
1100 SALARIES	1,542,171	1,542,171	······································	1, 45 545	1,665,545	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1200 HOURLY WAGES	10,614	16,414	,,,	10,614	10,614	
1400 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	223,912	232,772	8,860,,	244,552	263, 714	19,162,,
1500 HEALTH INSURANCE	62,400	62,400	······································	62,400	62,400	/ /
TOTAL LEVEL	1,839,097	1,847,957	8,800 ·,,	1,983,111	2,002,273	19,162
2100 CONTRACTED SERVICES	209,372	209,373	1,,	221,935	221,935	
2200 SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	40,923	40,923	······	43,378	43,379	1,,
2300 COMMUNICATIONS	21,856	12,840	-2,016,,	25,542	14,712	- <del>10,8</del> 30,,
2400 TRAVEL	151,735	152,297	562,,	160,839	161,434	595
2500 RENT	63,526	56,784	=6,742,,	67,337	60,190	-7.,147,,
2700 REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	1,011	1,011		1,072	1,072	
2800 OTHER EXPENSES	48,404	48,403	-1,,	51,308	51,308	······ / ······
TOTAL LEVEL	536,827	521,631	-15,196	571,411	554,030	-17,381
3100 EQUIPMENT	8,427	7,500	-927,,	5,360	4,500	-860,,
TOTAL LEVEL	8,427	7,500	-927,,	5,360	4,500	-860,,
TOTAL PROGRAM	2,384,351	2,371,088	-7, ac3	<b>2,5</b> 59,882	2,560,803	921
01100 GENERAL FUND	1,525,477	1,518,214	-7,263,,	1,729,356	1,730,277	921,,
02042 LEGISLATIVE AUDIT	858,874	858,874	<b>!!</b>	830,526	830,526	/ /
TOTAL PROGRAM	2,384,351	2,377,088	-7,263,,	<b>2,</b> 559,882	2,560,803	921,,

.

.

.

•

Ć			-	(					
© REPORT EBSR100 DATE : 01/08/83 TIME : 16/25/25	00 /83 /25	AGEN	OFFICE OF BUDGET & EXECUTIVE BUC AGENCY/PROGRAM/CONTROL	OF BUDGET & PROG EXECUTIVE BUDGET GRAM/CONTROL	& PROGRAM PLANNING 3UDGET SYSTEM 3L BUDGET WORKSHEET	IEET			PAGE 93
AGENCY : 41 PROGRAM : CONTROL : 000	4108 HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY 01 00000	Copping		Exhibit 2 1-19-83			CURRENT	CURRENT LEVEL SERVICES ONLY	CES ONLY
AE/OE DE	DESCRIPTION	0800 FY 84	LFA FY 84	D1FF. FY 84	SUB-CMT. FY 84	08PP FY 85	LFA FY 85	D1FF. FY 85	SUB-CMT. FY 85
0000 FUEL TI	FUEL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	8.50	8.50	• •	( (	8.50	8.50		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1100 SALARIES	ES	190,804	191,365	561	(   (	190,080	190,639	559	
1400 EMPLOYE	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	26,083	25,983	-100		26,326	26,545	219	( (
1500 HEALTH	HEALTH INSURANCE	8,160	8,160		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8,160	8,160		
	TOTAL LEVEL	225,047	225,508	461		224,566	225, 344	778	····· , ···· , ····
2100 CONTRAC	CONTRACTED SERVICES	117,063	117,059	tı -		117,818	124,079	6,261	Jun
2200 SUPPLIE	SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	7,826	7,441	-385		8,328	5,500	-2,828	
2300 COMMUN	COMMUNICATIONS	6,378	6,390	12	t	7,286	7,295	6	(
2400 TRAVEL		9,308	8,388	-920	( (	9,655	8,746	606-	
2500 RENT		13,412	12, 365	-1,047	, (, ())	14,701	13,104	-1,597	
2700 REPAIR	REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	1,036	849	-187		1,099	897	-202	
2800 OTHER E	OTHER EXPENSES	1,799	927	-872		1,908	980	-928	need.
	TOTAL LEVEL	156,822	153,419	-3,403		160, 795	160,601	- 194	
6200 FROM FEDERAL	SOURCES	1,058,554	825,819	-232, 735		1,058,554	875, 368	-183, 186	
	TOTAL LEVEL	1,058,554	825,819	-232,735		1,058,554	875,368	-183,186	
	TOTAL PROGRAM 1,	1,440,423	1,204,746	-235,677		1,443,915	1,261,313	-182,602	····· , ···· , ···
02422 HIGHWAN	02422 HIGHWAYS EARMARKED SP. REV.	69, 342	67,970	-1,372	,,	70,215	68,120	-2,095	,,
03825 HIGHWAY	HIGHWAY PASS THROUGH ACCT 1,	1,058,554	825,819	-232,735	· · · · · · · ·	1,058,554	875,367	-183, 187	
03828 TRAFFIC	TRAFFIC SAFETY	312,527	310,957	-1,570		315, 146	317,826	2,680	( (
	TOTAL PROGRAM 1,	1,440,423	1,204,746	-235,677		1,443,915	1,261,313	-182,602	····· / ····· / ····
						1,049411			

NCCUSL - 09 - Octob	per 8, 1982		
Personal Services	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985	
None	-0-	-0-	
Operating Expense			
2400 Travel \$ 680 Ticket 150 Registration 900 Lodging \$100 x 9 days 270 Meals \$30 x 9 days \$2,000 each	\$6,000	\$6,600	
3 Commissioners x \$2,000 - FY 84 10% Increase - FY 85			
2801 Dues (10% Increase of \$3,600)	3,960	4,356	
GRAND TOTAL - NCCUSL	<u>\$9,960</u>	<u>\$10,956</u>	

General Fund

Exhibit 3 1-19-83

# **Financial Facts**

# Every \$1 Invested in ULC Yields at Least \$10 Worth of Legal Expertise

That's based on the most conservative of estimates. It assumes that each state commissioner on uniform laws devotes 200 hours a year to Uniform Law Commissioners work. That's a very low estimate.

For example, drafting committee meetings occupy at least two weekends a year for most commissioners. That alone amounts to about 40 hours. The 200-hour total also assumes that each commissioner spends only 40 hours a year poring over drafts produced by all ULC committees. These drafts circulate throughout the year.

ULC annual meetings amount to seven or eight days and some nights of hard work with most commissioners putting in at least 60 hours drafting, debating and discussing the work of all committees in formal sessions.

That means each commissioner would spend at-least 140 hours a year on the drafting effort. Drafting committee chairmen and key draftsmen as well as ULC officers would devote many times that amount of hours to their chores. But exceptional efforts of the most dedicated commissioners are not included in this estimate.

That's also true of the "other half" of each commissioner's responsibility – explaining ULC products to state legislatures and officials and working for adoption of legislation designed to unsnarl legal tangles complicated by conflicting laws. Estimating time expended in this activity is difficult, but it would amount to a minimum of 60 hours a year per commissioner with the figure soaring to many times that for the 50plus members of the Legislative Committee and commissioners and draftsmen who testify throughout the nation on specific legislative proposals.

Even these conservative figures total 200 hours per commissioner per year. All of the time is donated. Commissioners receive no fee or salary for their ULC work. If an hourly fee of \$100 is used, that brings the value of the donated time to \$5 million a year.

The annual ULC budget which harnesses this enormous effort amounts to only about \$500,000. That means every state – along with the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico – receives \$5 million a year in legal and legislative drafting expertise for the modest expenditure (1982-83) of between \$3,000 for the most sparsely populated states to \$38,500 for California. Turn over the page to find your state's figure.

The wide range of experience and expertise donated to ULC could not be duplicated at any price.

Budget estimates are inexact because all three major ULC activities overlap. But it breaks down to about 60 per cent to support drafting of new proposals and redrafting of out-dated ones; 20 per cent for direct legislative support; and 20 per cent for public information.

With travel and hotel expenses going up every day, the drafting budget includes more than \$100,000 to transport, house and feed commissioners participating in drafting sessions. The rest of the \$300,000 is earmarked to support drafting efforts. This includes planning, printing, proofreading, record maintenance and everything else needed by drafters.

The legislative budget of about \$100,000 focuses on suppling legislators with the information and expertise they need to adapt ULC proposals to the needs and systems of their states. The public information program explains ULC proposals to as many people as \$100,000 will allow.

ULC keeps costs low by limiting administrative costs. The efforts of the small, full-time, staff in ULC's Chicago headquarters are supported and supplemented by "part timers" and commissioners. For example, the public information program is planned and implemented by outside contractors who work with staff and individual commissioners.

That's How ULC Gets Maximum Bang for Minimum Bucks

# STATE CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 82-83 YEAR

STATE	AMOUNT
Alabama	. \$ 8,500
Alaska	. 3,000
Arizona	. 5,700
Arkansas	. 6,000
California	. 38,500
Colorado	. 6,500
Connecticut	. 7,900
Delaware	. 3,400
District of Columbia	. <b>3</b> ,700
Florida	. 14,800
Georgia	. 10,700
Hawaii	
Idaho	. 3,700
Illinois	. 22,500
Indiana	. 11,700
Iowa	. 7,400
Kansas	. 6,500
Kentucky	. 8,100
Louisiana	. 9,100
Maine	. 4,200
Maryland	. 9,500
Massachusetts	
Michigan	. 18,500
Minnesota	
Mississippi	. 6,500
Missouri	. 10,800
Montana	. 3,600

STATE	AMOUNT
Nebraska	.\$ 5,000
Nevada	. 3,400
New Hampshire	. 3,700
New Jersey	. 15,300
New Mexico	. 4,300
New York	
North Carolina	. 11,600
North Dakota	3,500
Ohio	. 21,700
Oklahoma	. 7,100
Oregon	6,200
Pennsylvania	. 23,800
Puerto Rico	
Rhode Island	. 4,200
South Carolina	. 7,100
South Dakota	. 3,600
Tennessee	<b>9</b> ,500
Texas	. 22,600
Utah	4,300
Vermont	3,300
Virginia	. 10,800
Washington	. 8,500
West Virginia	5,700
Wisconsin	. 10,500
Wyoming	

\$498,000

RESEARCH - 01 - October 8,	1982	
	FY 1984	FY 1985
PERSONAL SERVICES		
Base Salaries (10.5 FTE)	\$249,500	\$249 <b>,</b> 500
Benefits - 15%	37,425	37,425
Health Insurance (11 people x \$80 per mo.)	10,560	10,560
TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	297,485	297,485
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Contracted Services:		
2102 Consultant & Prof. Services	7,500	7,500
2100 Printing "Interim"	1,000	1,000
2199 Clipping Service	500	500
Total Contracted Services	9,000	9,000
Supplies:	· ·	
2211 Office (staff)	675	740
2225 Books & Subscrip. for Library	2,645	2,910
Total Supplies	3,320	3,650
Communications:		
2304 Postage "Interim"	530	530
Total Communications	530	530
2400 <u>Travel</u> :		an Daoine ann an Aonaichtean An Aonaichtean
Misc In-State Workshops	500	555
Misc Out-of-State Seminars	2,000	2,220
Library National Conference	1,700	2,000
Total Travel	4,200	4,775

Research	FY 1984	FY 1985
Other Expenses:		
2809 Registration Fees		
Misc. In-State Workshops	1,200	1,200
Misc. Out-of-State Seminars	500	550
Library National Conference	50	75
Total Other Expenses	1,750	1,825
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	18,800	19,780
CAPITAL EXPENSES		
Equipment	2,400	1,000
Total Capital Expense	2,400	1,000
GRAND TOTAL - RESEARCH	\$318,685	<u>\$318,265</u>
General Fund		

S

LEGISLATIVE SERVICES - 02 - October 8, 1982

	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985
PERSONAL SERVICES		
Salaries (Core) 15 FTE	\$275 <b>,</b> 500	\$275 <b>,</b> 500
Benefits 15%	41,325	41,325
Health Insurance (15 x \$80/mo.)	14,400	14,400
Session Salaries (9.5 FTE - 19 Emp.)		131,560
Session Overtime		40,000
Session Benefits 15%		25,734
TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	331,225	528,519
OPERATING EXPENSE		
Contracted Services:		
2103 Data Processing	216,000	330,000
2158 Data Retrieval Systems Support	30,000	34,200
Total Contracted Services	246,000	364,200
Supplies:		,
2211 Office	1,200	
Session Supplies		18,553
Total Supplies	1,200	18,553
Travel:		
2400 Directors' Seminar	1,500	1,500
Technical Seminar	1,500	1,500
Term. Oper. Seminar (3)	4,500	4,500
Total Travel	7,500	7,500

Legislative Services	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985
Rent:		
2503 See Attached Schedule for breakdown of Equipment Rental	600	33,441
Total Rent	600	33,441
Maintenance:		
1 Printer 3776 - 12 mo.	2,820	3,102
5 Terminals - 12 mo.	1,500	1,650
Total Maintenance	4,320	4,752
Other Expenses:		
Misc. Registrations	1,000	1,000
Total Other	1,000	1,000
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	260,620	429,446
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE CAPITAL EXPENSES	260,620	429,446
	260,620	429,446
CAPITAL EXPENSES	<u>260,620</u> 9,000	429,446
<u>CAPITAL EXPENSES</u> Programs & Software		<u>429,446</u>
<u>CAPITAL EXPENSES</u> Programs & Software PDS Interface	9,000	<u>429,446</u> 2,355
CAPITAL EXPENSES Programs & Software PDS Interface Extended Security Feature	9,000 5,000	
CAPITAL EXPENSES Programs & Software PDS Interface Extended Security Feature Office Equipment Misc.	9,000 5,000	2,355
CAPITAL EXPENSES Programs & Software PDS Interface Extended Security Feature Office Equipment Misc. Data Processing Equipment	9,000 5,000 2,178	2,355
CAPITAL EXPENSES Programs & Software PDS Interface Extended Security Feature Office Equipment Misc. Data Processing Equipment 5 Terminals*	9,000 5,000 2,178 3,034	2,355
CAPITAL EXPENSES Programs & Software PDS Interface Extended Security Feature Office Equipment Misc. Data Processing Equipment 5 Terminals* 1 Printer*	9,000 5,000 2,178 3,034 3,332	2,355 1,517 1,666

\*Continue Purchase Agreement for 5 display terminals and 1 printer will be paid in full December 1984.

Lease 13 display stations and 2 printers for 1985 session.

ويأبعه وكا

LEGISLATIVE SERVICES CONTRACTED SERVICES October 8, 1982

**Session** <u>FY 83-84</u> <u>FY 84-85</u>

Data Processing				
July 1983 - June 1984 12 mo. x \$18,000			\$216,000	
July 1984 - June 1985 6 mo. x \$18,000 1985 Session - 6 mo.	x \$37,000			\$108,000 222,000
Data Retrieval				
Computer System Suppor	t 885			
Proof Print LOCATE	55 130		antina di Santa Antina Antina di Santa di Sa	
Online SIRS ALF	140 225			
	<u>500</u> ,935 mo.	an ann an sao Anns an sao		
\$2,500 mo. FY 83-84	n naslant ng		30,000	
\$2,850 mo. FY 84-85 TOTAL			<u>\$246,000</u>	<u>34,200</u> <u>\$364,200</u>
				1 <b>1</b> 1 <b>1</b>

# LEGISLATIVE SERVICES DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT - October 8, 1982

	FY 83-84	Session Year FY 84-85
Rent		
July 1983 - June 1984		
1 Printer 2741 (\$200 ea.) 3 mo.	<u>\$ 600</u>	
Total	\$ 600	
July 1984 - June 1985		
1 Printer 3776 (\$1,056 ea.) 8 mo.	•	\$ 8,448
1 Printer 3775 (\$954 ea.) 8 mo.		7,632
<pre>13 Terminals 3278 (\$117 ea.) 2 House - 4.5 mo. 2 Senate - 4.5 mo. 1 Public Information - 4.5 mo. 4 Council - Bills - 8.0 mo. 1 Journal - House - 8.0 mo. 1 Journal - Senate - 8.0 mo. 1 Index Tech 8.0 mo. 1 Chief T.O. &amp; Dir 8.0 mo. 1 Control Unit 3274 (\$655) 8.0 mo. Transportation</pre>		1,053 1,053 527 3,744 936 936 936 936 5,240 2,000
Total Rent	600	33,441
Purchase Data Processing Equipment		
July 1983 - June 1984		
<pre>1 Printer 3776 (\$277.61) 12 mo. 5 Terminals 3278 (\$50.56 mo.) 12 mo. 1 Systems &amp; Programming 2 T.O. 1 Code Clerk 1 Indexer Tech.</pre>	3,332 3,034	
July 1984 - June 1985		
<pre>1 Printer 3776 (\$277.61) x 6 mo. 5 Terminals 3278 (\$50.56 ea.) x 6 mo. 1 - Hank 2 - T.O. 1 - Kathy 1 - Indexer</pre>		1,666 1,517
Total Data Processing Equipment Furchase	\$ 6,366	\$3,183

9

# MANAGEMENT - 03 - October 8, 1982

	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985
PERSONAL SERVICES		
Salaries 7.5 FTE Benefits 15% Health Insurance \$80/mo. x 7 Per Diem (Council Members) .5 FTE Benefits 8% Council Members	\$158,000 23,700 6,720 5,200 416	\$158,000 23,700 6,720 5,200 416
TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	194,036	194,036
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Contracted Services:		
2104 Ins. & Bonds Faithful Performance Bond Comprehensive General Liability	100 1,454	100 1,490
2110 Printing Directory - Handbook D of A Duplicating Xerox & Copy Machine (See Supplies)	2,100	2,000 × 3,600
2115 Photo Serv. (Council Pictures)	-	150
2199 Messenger Serv. (See Communications)		
Total Contracted Services	3,654	7,340
Supplies & Materials		
2211 Office	4,900	5,300
2212 Photo & Reproduction - Copy Machine	10,000	11,000
Total Supplies & Materials	14,900	16,300
2300 Communications		
Telephone	18,225	20,230
Move Phones - renovation	•	5,0004
Postage	4,700	5,000
Messenger Serv. FY84 \$90/mo., FY85 \$100/	mo. 1,080	1,200
Advertising	50	50
Total Communications	24,055	31,480

2 464

		•
Management	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985
2400 <u>Travel</u> :		
Council Members FY 83-84 - 12 meetings FY 84-85 - 8 meetings CSG Western Conf. (2 ea.) CSG Executive Committee 5-State Leg. Conf. (2) NCSL Annual Meeting (2) NCSL Committee (1) Misc.	<pre>\$ 14,400 4,000 1,700 1,500 3,400 1,700 2,000</pre>	\$ 10,560 4,000 2,000 -0- 4,000 2,000 2,000
Total Travel	28,700	24,560
Rent:		
2502 Building (Council Rent in Capitol)	27,165	29,963
<pre>2517 Leased Equipment    4 Word Processors (\$1,761/mo.)    4 Printers (\$800/mo.)    1 Tractor Feed (\$15/mo.)    Software &amp; Maintenance</pre>	21,132 9,600 180 2,000	23,245 10,560 198 2,200
Total Rent	60,077	66,166
2750 <u>Repairs &amp; Maintenance</u> :		•
7 IBM Typewriters 6 Royal Typewriters 1 Remington Typewriter 3 Sony Recorders & Transcribers 1 Sony Recorder	525 450 75 255 70	580 500 85 280 80
Total Repairs & Maintenance	1,375	1,525
Other Expenses:		
2802 Subscriptions	200	200
2809 Registration Fees		``
CSG Western Conf. (2) 5-State Leg. Conf. NCSL Annual Meeting (2) Misc.	300 350 350 500	300 365 500
2899 Notary Bond		75
Total Other	1,700	1,440
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	134,461	148,811
		•

		•	
Management	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985	
CAPITAL EXPENSES			
Miscellaneous Equipment	6,000	6,000	
TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSES	6,000	6,000	
GRAND TOTAL - MANAGEMENT	\$334,497	\$348,847	
General Fund			

•

LEGAL SERVICES - 04 - October 8, 1982

	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985							
PERSONAL SERVICES									
1101 Salaries (11 FTE)	\$306,000	\$306 <b>,</b> 000							
1400 Benefits - 15%	45,900	45,900							
1400 Health Insurance (11 FTE) (\$80/mo.)	10,560	10,560							
1201 Session Employees (2 FTE) -0-									
1400 Session Benefits - 15%		9,300							
TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES	362,460	433,760							
OPERATING EXPENSES									
Contracted Services:									
2110 Printing									
WESTLAW (Law Library Contract)	1,000	1,000							
Bill Drafting Manual (500 copies)		1,500							
Orange Book (100 copies)	1,700								
Total Contracted Services	2,700	2,500							
Supplies & Materials:									
2211 Supplies	360	400							
2225 Books	5,500	1,000							
Total Supplies & Materials	5,860	1,400							
Travel:									
NCCUSL	2,000	2,200							
Staff CLE & Misc. Seminars In-State	1,600	1,000							
Seminars Out-of-State	2,200	1,000							
Bar Convention (2 staff)	340	380							
Total Travel	6,140	4,580							

12

Legal Services	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985
Other Expenses:		
2809 Registration Fees		
Bar Convention (2 staff)	150	200
CLE (12 staff & Misc.)	1,200	600
Out-of-State Seminars	500	550
NCCUSL	150	150
Total Other Expenses	2,000	1,500
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	16,700	9,980
CAPITAL EXPENSES		
Equipment		
Office	1,000	1,000
TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENSES	1,000	1,000
GRAND TOTAL - LEGAL SERVICES	\$380,160	\$444,740
General Fund		

• . •

c;"

INTERIM STUDIES AND CONFERENCES - 05 - October 8, 1982 Request Request FY 1984 FY 1985 NCSL \$ 27,946 \$29,631 Dues Travel\* \$2,000 per meeting x 8 members x 6 meetings 96,000\* -0-CSG Dues 33,220 36,542 Travel\* Fairbanks \$2,000 x 5 members FY 84 - 2 meet. - \$1,000 x 5 members FY 85 - 3 meet. - \$1,000 x 5 members 35,000\* -0-Interim Studies\* 100,000\* -0-Forestry Task Force\* (Inc. \$5,500 dues) 25,000\* -0-Revenue Oversight Committee\* 25,000\* -0--Administrative Code Committee\* 25,000\* -0-Capitol Building and Planning\* 5,000\* -0-Salary Commission\* 3,000\* -0-Five-State Conference\* 12,000\* -0-(Cheyenne - \$800 x 15 members) Five-State Water Task Force\* 6.400\* -0-(\$800 x 2 members x 2 meetings per year) Multi-State Highway Transp. Comm. 0 -0-GRAND TOTAL - INTERIM STUDIES & CONF. \$393,566 \$66,173

General Fund

\*Biennial Appropriations

# MONTANA CODE ANNOTATED - 07 - October 8, 1982

	Request FY 1984	Request FY 1985
PERSONAL SERVICES		
Salary & Benefits	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
(none - see Management)		
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Contracted Services:		
Computer Services - Data Base Projects	75 <b>,</b> 000	75,000
MCA	-	
Print & Bind - 11,000 pp. @ \$22/p.	242,000	
Data Retrieval - 11,000 pp. @ \$8.14/p.	89,540	
Extra Titles	25,000	25,000
Microfiche	600	
Legislative Review	12,000	
Annotations	-	
Print & Bind Updates	50,000	50,000
Total Contracted Services	494,140	150,000
Supplies & Materials:		
Boxes (MCA)	2,000	
Misc. (Invoices, etc.)	5,000	2,000
Mailing Envelopes (Annotations)	2,000	2,000
Total Supplies & Materials	9,000	4,000
Communications:		
Postage		•
MCA 20,000 - Annotations 5,000	25,000	25,000
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	528,140	179,000
GRAND TOTAL - MCA REVOLVING FUND	\$528,140	\$179,000

		DIFF, SUB-CMT. FY 85 FY 85		7,682	-5,320	-3, 062	257	· · · · · · · ·		4,965			-8,222	2,501	114			1-097		-1,097
+ 3 PTE	CURRENT LEVEL	LFA FY 85	4.75	115,157			17,075	4,560	136,792	42 <b>,</b> 718	1,314	5,066	20,199	9,690	412	2,020	81,419	218,215	218,211	218,211
		08PP FY 85	4.75	107,475	5,320	3,062	16,818	4,560	137,235	37,753	1,316	. 5,070	28,421	7,189	298	2,026	82,073	219,308	219,308	219,308
td A PLANNING ET SYSTEM BUDGET WORKSHEET	Exhibit 4 1-19-83	SUB-CMT. FY 84					87	/ /		0	-2		3		0			LI		<i>( L</i> )
CE OF BUDGET & Prod EXECUTIVE BUDGET ROGRAM/CONTROL	Exh: 1-]	DIFF. Fy 84		7,174	-5,320	-3,062	æ		-1,121	** -20			-13	2,359	. 110		2,428	1,307	1,307	1, 307
OFFICE OF EXEC AGENCY/PROGRAM		LFA FY 84	4.75	115,060			16,762	4,560	136, 382	30,878	1,240	4,432	27,271	9, 141	391	1,907	75,260	211,642	211,642	211,642
AGEN	QUALITY COUNCIL QUALITY PROGRAM	08PP FY 84	4.75	107,886	5,320	3,062	16,675	4,560	137, 503	30,898	1,242	4,433	27,284	6, 782	281	1,912	72,832	210, 335	210, 335	210, 335
EBSR100 01/08/83 16/25/25	: 1111 ENVIRONMENTAL : 01 ENVIRONMENTAL : 00000	DESCRIPTION	FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	SALARIES	HOURLY WAGES LEGRISLATOR	OTHER COMPENSATION PUBLIC	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	HEALTH INSURANCE	TOTAL LEVEL	CONTRACTED SERVICES	SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	COMMUNICATIONS	TRAVEL	RENT	REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	OTHER EXPENSES	TOTAL LEVEL	TOTAL PROGRAM	01100 GENERAL FUND	TOTAL PROGRAM
REPORT DATE : TIME :	AGENCY PROGRAM CONTROL	AE/0E	0000	1100 S	1200 H	1300 8	1400 E	1500 H		2100 C	2200 S	2300 C	2400 1	2500 R	2700 R	2800 0			01100 G	

\*\* If the modified level is granted, current level contracted services will be reduced to \$15,000 in FY 84 and \$20,000 in FY 85. However, if the modified level is not granted, the curfent level contracted services will be necessary.

	•		
			EET
	OFFICE OF BUDGET & PROGRAM PLANNING		AGENCY/PROGRAM/CONTROL BUDGET WORKSHEET
	ANN		MO
	2	TEM	GET
	RAM	SYS	BUD
	ROG	EXECUTIVE BUDGET SYSTEM	!
	<del>م</del>	3UDC	
	GET	ž	NTRO
•	BUD	I I N	/C0
	٩	N N	RAM
	ы		PROC
	OFF		۲ ۲
	- -		CEN
	:		

:

REPORT EBSR100 DATE : 01/08/83 TIME : 16/26/30

AGENCY PROGRAM CONTROL	M : 1111 M : 01 L : 00001	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PROGRAM 3 FTE	Y COUNCIL Y PROGRAM				<b>;</b>	MODIFIED	LEVEL SERVICES ONLY	CES ONLY
AE/0E	DESCR	DESCRIPTION	08PP FY 84	LFA FY 84	DIFF. FY 84	SUB-CMT. FY 84	08PP FY 85	LFA FY 85	DIFF. FY 85	SUB-CMT. FY 85
0000	FULL TIME	FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	3.00		-3.00		3.00		-3.00	
1100	SALARIES		45, 127		-45,127		44,955		-44,955	
1400	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	ENEFITS	6,647		-6,647		6,703		-6,703	
1500	HEALTH INSURANCE	URANCE	2,880		-2,880		2,880		-2,880	
	·	TOTAL LEVEL	54,654		-54,654	···· , ··· , ···	54,538		-54,538	, ,
2200	SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	MATERIALS	1,011	• •	-1,011		1,072		-1,072	
2300	COMMUNICATIONS	IONS	2,208		-2,208		2,583		-2,583	
		TOTAL LEVEL	3,219		-3,219		3,655		-3,655	
		TOTAL PROGRAM	57,873		-57,873	( (	58, 193		-58, 193	
00	01100 GENERAL FUND	QN	57,873	-	-57,873		58, 193		-58, 193	
		TOTAL PROGRAM	57,873		-57,873		58, 193		-58, 193	

\*\* If the modified level is granted, current level contracted services will be reduced to \$15,000 in FY 84 (a reduction of \$15,878) and to \$20,000 in FY 85 (a reduction of \$22,718).

Thus, if the modified level is granted, the net FY 84 request is \$41,995. and the net FY 85 request is \$35,475.

58,033

1161060

PAGE - 3

REPORT EBSR100 DATE : 01/08/83 TIME : 16/25/25	OFF10 AGENCY/PI	OFFICE OF BUDC EXECUTIV CY/PROGRAM/CON	SET & PROGE /E BUDGET S VTROL E	CE OF BUDGET & PROGRAM PLANNING EXECUTIVE BUDGET SYSTEM ROGRAM/CONTROL BUDGET WORKSHEET	133		PAGE 3	
AGENCY : 1102 LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST PROGRAM : 01 ANALYSIS AND REVIEW CONTROL : 00000	VALYST	Ex! 1-	Exhibit 5 1-19-83			CURRENT L	CURRENT LEVEL SERVICES ONLY	
AE/OE DESCRIPTION	08PP FY 84	LFA FY 84	· DIFF. FY 84	SUB-CMT. FY 84	08PP FY 85	LFA FY 85	DIFF. SUB-CMT. FY 85 FY 85	
0000 FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	15.00	15.00		··· , ··· , ···	15.00	15.00		
1100 SALARIES	396, 595	396, 595 -			390,785	340,785		
1400 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	57,506	57 510	- - -		57,836	57,839	3	
1500 HEALTH INSURANCE	14,400	14,400			14,400	14,400	(	
TOTAL LEVEL	468, 501	468,505	7		463,021	he0 210	3	
2100 CONTRACTED SERVICES	38,726	38,726			60,657	60,657	( (	
2200 SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	12,258	12,258		( (	14,793	14,793	····· / ····· / ····	
2300 COMMUNICATIONS	7,892	7,892		<i>( (</i>	10,098	10,098	(	
2400 TRAVEL	33,000	33,000			22,695	22,695	···· / ···· / ····	
2500 RENT	10, 762	10,762			17,650	17,650	····· / ····· / ····	
2700 REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	16, 361	16,361			17,343	17,343	q '	
2800 OTHER EXPENSES	2,264	2,264			2,400	2,400	( (	
TOTAL LEVEL	121,263	121,263			145,636	145,636	( (	
3100 EQUIPMENT	1,500	1,500 calculation	culation	( (	2,750	2,750	(	
TOTAL LEVEL	1,500	1,500			2,750	2,750	6	
TOTAL PROGRAM	591,264	541, 268	T		611,407	014 111	<b>N</b>	
01100 GENERAL FUND	591,264	591,268	tı		611,407	611,410	3	
TOTAL PROGRAM	591,264	591,268	11	· · · · · · · · · · ·	611,407	611,410	3	

,

1103 LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYS	•	
		•
	DATE : 01/08/83	DATE :
	REPORT EBSR100	REPORT

# OFFICE OF BUDGET & PROGRAM PLANNING EXECUTIVE BUDGET SYSTEM AGENCY/PROGRAM/CONTROL --- BUDGET WORKSHEET

MODIFIED LEVEL SERVICES ONLY	5 DIFF. SUB-CMT. 5 FY 85 FY 85	50	-6,774	-1,003	-480	-8,257	-8,257	-8,257	-8,257
MODIF	LFA FY 85								
•	08PP FY 85	.50	6,774	1,003	480	8,257	8,257	8,257	8,257
	SUB-CMT. FY 84				······ ( ····· ( ·····	····· ( ····· ( ····	( (	,,	
	DIFF. FY 84	50	-6,880	-998	-480	-8,358	-8,358	<del>-</del> 8,358	<b>-</b> 8,358
	LFA FY 84								
ANALYST	08PP FY 84	.50	6,880	966	480	8,358	8,358	8,358	8, 358
AGENCY : 1102 LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST PROGRAM : 01 ANALYSIS AND REVIEW CONFROL : 00000	AE/OE DESCRIPTION	0000 FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	1100 SALARIES	1400 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	1500 HEALTH INSURANCE	TOTAL LEVEL	TOTAL PROGRAM	01100 GENERAL FUND	TOTAL PROGRAM

.

PAGE

-

\$

												•														
		SERVICES ONLY	SUB-CMT. FY 85		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, (, (	( (	····· ( ···· ( ····	( (	( (			,,				( (	,,	····	· · · · · · · · ·		··· ( ··· ( ···	· · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			DIFF. FY 85			-2,100	-438	-240	2,100	-678	-63, 196	356	1,232	2,407	1,226	47	17	-57,911			-58,589	-58,589	-58,589			
		CURRENT LEVEL	LFA FY 85	4.25	145,726		21,662	3,840	2,100	173, 328	396, 750	1,300	7,000	14,000	7,000	700	2,600	429, 350	006	006	603,578	603,578	603,578			
OFFICE OF BUDGET & PROGRAM PLANNING EXECUTIVE BUDGET SYSTEM AGENCY/PROGRAM/CONTROL BUDGET WORKSHFET	ET		08PP FY 85	4.25	145, 726	2,100	22,100	4,080		174,006	346 ' 654 6 1120 - 940	446	5,768	11,593	5,174	653	2,583	487,261	006	900	662, 167	662,167	662, 167			
	YSTEM VDGET WORKSHE		SUB-CMT. FY 84	···· ( ···· ( ····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· / ···· / ···	····· 6 ····· 6 ·····	( (				(	(		و و	( (	····· ( ····· ( ····	( (	····· ( ····· ( ····	····· 4 ···· 4 ····	···· ( ···· ( ···	···· ( ···· ( ···		<del>) sex</del>		000
	SUTIVE BUDGET S A/CONTROL B Exhibit 6	m	DIFF. FY 84			-2-000	-402	-240	2,000	-642	-63,027	309	874	1, 322	553	34	63	-59,872			-60,514	-60,514	-60,514			
	Y/PROGRAM/CC		LFA FY 84	4.25	133, 753		19,567	3,840	2,000	159,160	345,000	1,200	6,000	12,500	6,000	650	2,500	373,850	3,000	3,000	536,010	536,010	536,010		-	
	AGENC	_	08PP FY 84	4.25	133, 753	2,000	19,969	4,080		159,802	545,027 408,027	891	5, 126	11,178	5,447	616	2,437	433,722	3,000	3,000	596, 524	596, 524	596 <b>,</b> 524			
Level 100	01/08/83	: 1112 CONSUMER COUNSEL 1 : 01 ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM 2 : 00000	DESCRIPTION	FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)	SALARIES	HOURLY WAGES	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	HEALTH INSURANCE	©+h~v	TOTAL LEVEL	CONTRACTED SERVICES	SUPPLIES & MATERIALS	COMMUNICATIONS	TRAVEL	RENT	REPAIR & MAINTENANCE	OTHER EXPENSES	TOTAL LEVEL	EQUI PMENT	TOTAL LEVEL	TOTAL PROGRAM	02801 DEP REV CONSUMER CNCL TAX	TOTAL PROGRAM			
DCD/DT	DATE : TIME :	AGENCY PROGRAM CONTROL	AE/0E	0000	1100~ 5	1200	1400 8	1500	1800		2100 0	2200	2300 0	2400 1	2500 F	2700 F	2800 0		3100 E			02801 L				

# Exhibit 7 1-19-83

# VISITOR'S REGISTER

# HOUSE ELECTED OFFICIALS & HIGHWAYCOMMITTEE

XXXXXX Budget Hearings:

DATE January 19, 1983

「「「

SROMSSON Highway Traffic Safety, Legislative Council,

EQC, Legislative Fiscal Analyst, Consumer Council

			L	
NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
Diana Dowl	g Helena	Leg Council	$\ge$	
T.Cohen (		OBPP		
Day Sortin	~1	oRon		
Robert R. Ringwa	A Helina	LAO		
May Line	Autona	High. Frohne Sately	X	
Sharole Cornelly	Helina	Lig. Council	X	
Patm Sostin	at falls	Jeg Council	X	
MMa Lamin	Nellia	Ganernineffice	X	**
Sen Ed Smith	Dist # 1	Ley Fin. Comm. G	"Х	
DENNIS TURPON	8,51. 9	EGC	×	
DAVE Brown	ant 83	ERC	X	
Deborah Schmidt	Hilena	EQC	X	
Jana Pain	Helena	Mart Con Com	X	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.