

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
March 9, 1983

The Appropriations Committee met at 7:35 p.m. on March 9, 1983, in Room 104, with Chairman Francis Bardanouve presiding and all members were present except Representative Peck and Representative Shontz, who were absent. Judy Rippingale, Legislative Fiscal Analyst; Curt Nichols, Principal Analyst; Bill Sykes, Assistant Analyst; and Pam Joehler, Assistant Analyst, were also present. The category of EDUCATION was reviewed by the Committee. No EXECUTIVE ACTION was taken.

Rep. BENGTSON introduced the members of the Education subcommittee [Note: Shown at the front of Vol. 1 of these Minutes.], the analysts and the secretary of the subcommittee.

(Tape 1: Track 2:000)

BOARD OF REGENTS:

Administration: Rep. BENGTSON introduced the budget proposed for the Board of Regents. She read the narrative contained in the fiscal report prepared by the Legislative Fiscal Analyst's office under the direction of the subcommittee. (Exhibit 1.)

COMMISSIONER OF HIGHER EDUCATION:

Administration; Student Assistance; & Federal/Private Programs: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative on Pages F2, F3, F4, F5 and F6 of Exhibit 1, which covered "Administration", "Student Assistance", and "Federal Programs".

Student Assistance: (Page F4, paragraph 1) Rep. BARDANOUVE asked where the campus gets money to pay for "matching funds"? Dr. Irving DAYTON, Commissioner of Higher Education, replied, "From a number of sources, depending on where a student is working. If a student, for example, is working on auxiliary services, it would come from there; if, for example, they are assisting a department, it might come from the appropriated funds for support; some of them will be working on research grants and contracts... so it depends upon where a student has a job." Rep. BARDANOUVE said, "Then some of these funds are 100% General Fund?" Dr. DAYTON replied, "Some of them are."

Rep. MANUEL asked (Page F4, last paragraph), if work study has ever been funded out of General Funds before? Rep. BENGTSON said, "Not to my recollection. We passed the work study law in, I think, 1975/77 and to my knowledge, we have not put General Fund money into work study programs before."

Rep. BARDANOUVE asked (Page F3, paragraph 2), "Why was the money for personal services not used for personal services rather than for operations and equipment?" Dr. DAYTON replied, "I think what this demonstrates is the problem in vacancy savings. We have 10 professionals and 5 or 6 support staff members. In September, 1981 both the Commissioner of Higher Education and the legal counsel resigned, so a search was undertaken and in a space of about 6 months both positions were filled from inside the agency. Then each of the second-in-line

Minutes of the meeting of the Appropriations Committee
March 9, 1983

moved up and we had vacancies in those positions and searches were undertaken to fill those. By September, 1982 - the space of a full year - we had the entire roster once again filled; but in the meantime, because of the search process, we were just demobilized... we couldn't fill those positions until we knew what had happened to the vacant positions. So we had a large amount of vacancies between September, 1981 and September, 1982. Since September of '82, there has been no vacancy in any position, so the vacancy savings is based on the notion that in a large organization for a long period of time, there will be a certain number of positions sitting idle. We moved into new quarters in January, '77 and essentially no capital equipment was bought in the intervening 6 years. This went for storage shelving and a whole lot of other things we just couldn't let go and when I became acting Commissioner, I surveyed the office and decided we had to get some of those things."

Rep. WINSLOW asked, in regard to work study, if there had been a drastic cutback in federal funds or are we building the program up now with state funds? Rep. BENGTSON replied that there has not been a cutback in federal dollars... this is an additional amount of money.

Rep. QUILICI asked Dr. Dayton (Page F3, paragraph 3), if the computer network at the 6 campuses now tie in with the central computer of the Department of Administration? Dr. DAYTON replied, "That's true. Our problem is a compatibility of equipment. The present linkage exists, but it is very small and inefficient. If we can get an additional piece of equipment, it would allow the University units to sufficiently connect to the others and with the Department of Administration. We can do it now, but it is slow and cumbersome. One of the things we want out of this is better utilization of additional capability, particularly the very large storage capability in the mainframe in the Department of Administration... enabling some campus things to be stored here and called up quickly. The present arrangement doesn't allow that to be done in an efficient enough manner."

Rep. CONNELLY asked Curt Nichols what the cost is per person, per year for a WICHE student? Curt NICHOLS said, "\$3,500 to \$20,000, depending on their major."

Rep. BANDANOUVE asked what the enrollment was at Western? Curt NICHOLS said the projected enrollment is 875. Rep. BARDANOUVE said, "It appears the 193 students enrolled in WAMI and WICHE in 1984 and the 204 in 1985 cost us almost exactly what it does to have almost 900 at Western."

Rep. WINSLOW asked if the WICHE funding is earmarked from the coal tax? Dr. DAYTON said the part that goes to the University system in Fiscal '84 is projected out as \$1,714,938 and \$2,415,015.

Rep. BARDANOUVE observed that the system of appropriating funds for

Minutes of the meeting of the Appropriations Committee
March 9, 1983

WICHE and WAMI students always leaves the Legislature 1 biennium behind because the commitment to students is made prior to the appropriation, thus creating a moral or ethical obligation for the Legislature.

(Tape 1: Track 2:206)

COMMUNITY COLLEGES: Representative BENGTSON read the narrative material from Pages F7 and F8 of Exhibit 1 regarding the proposed budgets for Dawson, Flathead and Miles City community colleges. She said the state formerly provided 65% support for community colleges, but now the percentage of support is 53%.

Rep. BARDANOUVE asked Rep. BENGTSON to clarify the statement on the bottom of Page F8, "The subcommittee indicated to the community colleges that it may be necessary to reopen the budget in the future and use lower cost factors in the funding formula, depending on the status of state revenues." Rep. BENGTSON said, "We took 100% of the formula (100% of 53%) and at the time we developed the budget, we could see no rationale for lowering that percentage. The formula seems to be working, but we recognize there may have to be a change later on."

BUREAU OF MINES: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from Page F9 of Exhibit 1 regarding the proposed budget for the Bureau of Mines.

Research: Rep. BARDANOUVE asked Rep. Bengtson to clarify what is meant by "designated funds". Rep. BENGTSON said, "They have a lab and they do work for outside agencies and private people too, I imagine." Bill LANNAN, Montana University System, Helena, said, "The analytical lab houses a water analyzer purchased in '79 and they charge fees for performing water analyses and the fees are moved into a designated account and they use the fees to cover the costs of the operation." They are generated fees from services rendered.

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from Pages F10, F11 and F12 of Exhibit 1 regarding proposed budgets for the Agricultural Experiment Station.

Main Station (Bozeman): Rep. BARDANOUVE asked (Page F11, last paragraph) why the loss of Earmarked Revenue of \$90,942 is picked up by General Fund and is this the policy of the subcommittee? Jim WELSH, Agricultural Experiment Station, MSU, said, "The comment made relative to the 'designated accounts' (Item 1) does not in fact reflect a change in the General Fund structure of the station. This reflects a change in the Earmarked Revenue which is handled in a designated account rather than through the income flow of the Experiment Station." Rep. BARDANOUVE said he cannot in any way analyze where you would take the General Fund for this... there is no reason for increasing it."

Jim WELSH said (Page F12, paragraph 1), "This revenue is generated by the sale of agricultural products, surplus to research products or grain, etc." Rep. BARDANOUVE asked why would this have any cause

Minutes of the meeting of the Appropriations Committee
March 9, 1983

to raise the General Fund by over 1 million dollars? What relation is this to increasing the amount of General Fund support? Bill LANNAN replied, "The reserve in the past has been appropriated along with the Earmarked Revenue. If you lower the Earmarked Revenue then you will have to appropriate more from the General Fund."

Rep. BARDANOUVE said, "On Page F11 you say the General Fund increases by \$1,395,000. If you take everything you mention here, it's \$273,000. We have somehow lost over 1 million dollars which is not accounted for." He then said, "I would suggest the Fiscal Analyst rewrite this language because it has no relationship to what you say."

Rep. BARDANOUVE said he would like the request for renovation of laboratories (Page F12, item 4), to be included in the Long Range Building Program. Bill LANNAN said this request is for small items, mainly at Bozeman, but at the other locations too, like benches, electrical changes, etc.

U.S. Range Station: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from Page F13 of Exhibit 1 pertaining to the proposed budget for the Miles City station.

Rep. BARDANOUVE asked if the lease arrangements could be terminated at any time? Dr. WELSH replied, "Yes, but historically they have had this arrangement for 30 or 40 years."

(Tape 1: Track 2:364)

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE:

Public Service Program: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from Page F14 of Exhibit 1 regarding the proposed budget.

Rep. BARDANOUVE questioned the desirability of using a lot of computers (AGNET program). Dr. Carl HOFFMAN, Cooperative Extension Service, MSU, replied at some length that they are a new tool which they must utilize to keep current with the technological advances available to the agricultural industry - the young agriculturalists in the business like them and use them.

FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION:

Research Program: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from Page F15 of Exhibit 1 regarding the proposed budget for the Research Program.

COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES:

FTE Enrollments: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from Page F17 of Exhibit 1. She said the figures on enrollment for Fiscal Year '84 and Fiscal Year '85 are compromise figures between the Regents enrollment projections and the LFA projections.

Instruction Budget Factors: Rep. BARDANOUVE asked for a clarification on "critical area adjustment"... where does the money go? (Page F18). Jeff MORRISON, Board of Regents member, said, "We did not receive

Minutes of the meeting of the Appropriations Committee
March 9, 1983

100% of instruction in the last biennium. We received a 'pay plan' in the last biennium. There was not enough money to fund 100% of instruction the last biennium. We received a pay plan plus the critical area adjustment. The Legislature provided funds in these critical areas because the raises we got in the instruction area was the pay plan. So we received these added dollars and they went into the base. These are not new requests... these monies are already in the base. It was up to each campus to decide where their critical areas were, where they were having trouble attracting quality faculty members, and where they were having trouble keeping faculty members due to the competition in the market place. Most of it went into the areas of math and science."

Support Budget Factors: Rep. BENGTON read the narrative from Page F18 of Exhibit 1. Rep. BARDANOUVE asked Dr. Dayton to explain "high-head count". Dr. DAYTON replied, "For a lot of the services you really have to provide the services for the individual regardless of how many credits they are taking. There is a high proportion of part-time students and this is an attempt to recognize that." Rep. BARDANOUVE asked, "Doesn't the formula give you enough to operate the University system?" Dr. DAYTON replied, "This is a part of the formula." Rep. BARDANOUVE said, "Would somebody explain how you arrive at these figures for 'head-count'?" Curt NICHOLS said, "High-head count adjustment is 1/3 of 1% of faculty salaries for each 5% increment head-count exceeds FTE enrollment."

Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plants: Rep. BENGTON read the narrative from Page F19 of Exhibit 1.

(New Facility Budget Allowances): Curt NICHOLS listed the new facilities at the various units as: MSU - Health & P.E. complex, the Student Union, small animal facility and the visual communications building; U of M - the Fine Arts, Radio/TV building, the clinical psychology building; EMC - addition to Cisel Hall and the campus elementary school, which they have taken over; NMC - the farm mechanics building; WMC - some space that's being remodeled for use as a crafts building. (See Table on Page F20 of Exhibit 1). Rep. BENGTON read the narrative from Page F20 of Exhibit 1.

Research and Public Service: Jeff MORRISON said, "I think there was an effort on the part of the Legislature last year to recognize that there are some additional costs associated with research projects and to give the institutions the flexibility to meet those costs. Unfortunately, we didn't get to see much of that 15% because indirect cost recoveries didn't come out to what they were estimated to be. The 15% is part of the indirect cost."

Scholarships and Fellowships: Rep. BARDANOUVE said he thinks the Legislature should really take a hard look at this program which gives away \$5 million dollars a year. Rep. BENGTON asked Curt Nichols to check into the statutory requirements on scholarships.

Minutes of the meeting of the Appropriations Committee
March 9, 1983

Revenues: Rep. BENGTSON read the narrative from F21 and F22 of Exhibit 1.

Modifications: Rep. BARDANOUVE asked the Legislative Fiscal Analyst to run copies of the list of modifications which were not addressed in this budget proposal.

The meeting adjourned at 9:50 p.m.

Francis Bardanoue
FRANCIS BARDANOUVE
Chairman

jc

EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE
HIGH EDUCATION
REPORT TO HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS
MARCH 9, 1983

Agency Board of Regents

Program Administration (01)

	FY 1982 Actual	Fiscal 1984			Fiscal 1985		
		Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee
Personal Ser. Operating Exp.	\$ 4,350 <u>18,678</u>	\$ 4,350 <u>20,644</u>	\$ 4,350 <u>20,626</u>	\$ 4,350 <u>20,644</u>	\$ 4,350 <u>21,740</u>	\$ 4,350 <u>21,714</u>	\$ 4,350 <u>21,740</u>
Total Exp.	\$23,028 =====	\$24,994 =====	\$24,976 =====	\$24,994 =====	\$26,090 =====	\$26,064 =====	\$26,090 =====
FUNDING:							
General Fund	\$23,028 =====	\$24,994 =====	\$24,976 =====	\$24,994 =====	\$26,090 =====	\$26,064 =====	\$26,090 =====
Total Funding	\$23,028 =====	\$24,994 =====	\$24,976 =====	\$24,994 =====	\$26,090 =====	\$26,064 =====	\$26,090 =====

The Board of Regents is responsible for the coordination, management, supervision, and control of the six units of the University System.

General fund increases by \$2,952 from the 1983 biennium, or six percent. Per diem and travel comprise 75 percent of the total budget. The budget allows for 20 meeting days per year.

Agency: Commissioner of Higher Education

Program: Consolidated Sheet: Admin; Student
Assist.; & Federal/Private Programs

FTE	FY 1982 Actual	Fiscal 1984			Fiscal 1985		
		Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee
Personal Ser.	\$ 432,969	\$ 594,247	\$ 553,325	\$ 616,132	\$ 592,843	\$ 554,549	\$ 617,440
Operating Exp.	201,008	221,780	189,987	205,500	229,719	203,891	208,500
Equipment	42,030	2,049	2,049	199,657	1,487	1,487	1,487
Non-Oper. Exp.	<u>4,349,641</u>	<u>4,871,268</u>	<u>4,061,282</u>	<u>5,465,458</u>	<u>5,368,989</u>	<u>4,246,990</u>	<u>5,989,779</u>
Total Exp.	\$5,025,648	\$5,689,344	\$4,806,643	\$6,486,747	\$6,193,038	\$5,006,917	\$6,817,206
FUNDING:							
General	\$3,155,056	\$3,422,101	\$3,049,643	\$3,491,790	\$3,265,994	\$2,961,917	\$3,255,292
Earmarked	883,804	1,290,624	1,547,000	1,714,938	1,699,145	1,835,000	2,004,015
Federal/Private	<u>986,788</u>	<u>976,619</u>	<u>210,000</u>	<u>1,280,019</u>	<u>1,227,899</u>	<u>210,000</u>	<u>1,557,899</u>
Total Funding	\$5,025,648	\$5,689,344	\$4,806,643	\$6,486,747	\$6,193,038	\$5,006,917	\$6,817,206

Funding:

General	\$3,155,056	\$3,422,101	\$3,049,643	\$3,491,790	\$3,265,994	\$2,961,917	\$3,255,292
Earmarked	883,804	1,290,624	1,547,000	1,714,938	1,699,145	1,835,000	2,004,015
Federal/Private	<u>986,788</u>	<u>976,619</u>	<u>210,000</u>	<u>1,280,019</u>	<u>1,227,899</u>	<u>210,000</u>	<u>1,557,899</u>
Total Funding	\$5,025,648	\$5,689,344	\$4,806,643	\$6,486,747	\$6,193,038	\$5,006,917	\$6,817,206

The Commissioner of Higher Education is responsible for academic planning and curriculum review, budgetary planning and control, provision of legal services to campuses, establishment of labor negotiation policies and procedures, and administering student aid programs.

Total general fund for the administration and student assistance programs increases \$246,266 from the 1983 bienia-

nium, or 3.7 percent.

Agency: Commissioner of Higher Education

Program: Administration

	FY 1982 Actual	Fiscal 1984 Executive Current Level	Fiscal 1984 Subcommittee	Fiscal 1985 Executive Current Level	Fiscal 1985 Subcommittee
FTE	16.6	16.6	15.6	17.6	16.6
Personal Ser. Operating Exp. Equipment	\$ 432,969 201,008 <u>42,030</u>	\$ 594,247 221,780 <u>2,049</u>	\$ 553,325 189,987 <u>2,049</u>	\$ 616,132 205,500 <u>199,657</u>	\$ 592,843 229,719 <u>1,487</u>
Total Exp.	\$ 676,007	\$ 818,076	\$ 745,361	\$ 1,021,289	\$ 824,049
FUNDING:					
General Federal/Private	\$ 658,977 <u>17,030</u>	\$ 818,076 <u>-0-</u>	\$ 745,361 <u>-0-</u>	\$ 1,021,289 <u>-0-</u>	\$ 824,049 <u>-0-</u>
Total Funding	\$ 676,007	\$ 818,076	\$ 745,361	\$ 1,021,289	\$ 824,049

Funding:
General
Federal/Private

Total Funding

General fund increases \$463,049 from the 1983 biennium, or 33.4 percent. This increase is caused by the following:

1. General fund is supporting expenditures in the 1985 biennium previously financed by federal planning funds (i.e., approximately \$7,500 in personal services, and \$9,500 in operations). In the 1983 biennium, the Commissioner's Office received federal funds for several special projects relating to long-range planning for the University System. This source of funds terminated at fiscal year-end 1982.
2. The Education Subcommittee added \$29,515 in fiscal 1984 and \$29,580 in fiscal 1985 for the bargaining agent position funded by the 1981 Legislature. This position was left vacant for all of fiscal 1982. The resulting vacancy savings was spent in operations and equipment. The subcommittee excluded from the personal services budget in the 1985 biennium \$9,283 in excess salaries budgeted by the Commissioner's office over what was authorized by the state pay plan in fiscal 1983.
3. The subcommittee approved a modified request for a computer network at a general fund cost of \$247,608 in the 1985 biennium (\$50,000 in personal services [1 FTE] and \$197,608 for equipment). The network would allow linkage of six campuses with each other and with the large mainframe computer in the Department of Administration. The campuses would be able to utilize each other's software and the larger storage capacity of the Department of Administration computer.

	FY 1982 <u>Actual</u>	Fiscal 1984 <u>Executive</u>	Fiscal 1984 <u>Current Level</u>	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Fiscal 1985 <u>Subcommittee</u>
Grants	\$3,586,834	\$4,104,649	\$4,061,282	\$4,395,439	\$4,351,090	\$4,246,990	\$4,641,880
Total Exp.	\$3,586,834	\$4,104,649	\$4,061,282	\$4,395,439	\$4,351,090	\$4,246,990	\$4,641,880
=FUNDING:							
General	\$2,496,077	\$2,604,025	\$2,304,282	\$2,470,501	\$2,441,945	\$2,201,990	\$2,427,865
Earmarked	883,804	1,290,624	1,547,000	1,714,938	1,699,145	1,835,000	2,004,015
Federal/Private	206,953	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000
Total Funding	\$3,586,834	\$4,104,649	\$4,061,282	\$4,395,439	\$4,351,090	\$4,246,990	\$4,641,880

Student assistance programs include WICHE, WAMI, Minnesota Rural Dentistry, State Student Incentive Grants, and National Direct Student Loans. Montana pays support fees to out-of-state institutions for the cost of educating WICHE, WAMI, and Minnesota Rural Dentistry students. Students pay in-state tuition and fees. State Student Incentive Grants (SSIG) and National Direct Student Loans (NDSL) are federal student aid programs. Montana provides a \$175,000 match for SSIG and \$75,000 for NDSL.

Total expenditures for student assistance increase \$1,476,821, or 19.5 percent from the 1983 biennium. This results from an increase in the cost of WICHE and WAMI of 17.1 and 11.1 percent, respectively. The increase in WICHE results from increased support fees of 10.9 percent, and increased student enrollment of 16.5 students. The increase in the cost of WAMI results from an increase in the support fee of 11.1 percent from the 1983 biennium.

The Education Subcommittee approved a modified request for a state funded work-study program at a general fund cost of \$290,790 for each year of the 1985 biennium. The funds would be allocated by the Commissioner's office based on student enrollment. Funding would be on a 70 to 30 matching ratio (state to campus). The state would pay 70 percent of the students' earnings with the six units and community colleges matching 30 percent of the students' earnings from campus resources. Approximately 830 students would be served by the modified request in the 1985 biennium. The present work-study program is entirely federal funded with the six units and community colleges providing a 20 percent match. Approximately 2,154 students are receiving federal work-study aid in fiscal 1983.

The following table presents actual expenditures for fiscal 1982 and appropriations for fiscal 1984 and 1985 by program.

<u>Program</u>	<u>Actual Fiscal 1984</u>	<u>Subcommittee Fiscal 1984</u>	<u>Subcommittee Fiscal 1985</u>	<u>Subcommittee Fiscal 1985</u>
	<u>Number of Students</u>	<u>Number of Students</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Number of Students</u>
WAMI	60	\$1,439,257	60.0	\$1,636,332
WICHE - Dues	N/A	46,300	N/A	50,000
WICHE	133	1,510,904	138.5	1,790,317
Rural Dentistry	15	144,000	16.0	168,000
Student Incentive Grants	N/A	381,953	N/A	385,000
NDSL	N/A	64,420	N/A	75,000
Work-study			415.0	415
Total		\$3,586,834		\$290,790
				\$4,395,439
				=====
				\$4,641,880
				=====

The subcommittee directed the Commissioner's Office to develop proposals to have WICHE and WAMI students pay back the state-paid support fee if they do not return to Montana after completing their professional education.

Agency: Commissioner of Higher Education

Program: Federal Programs

	FY 1982 <u>Actual</u>	Fiscal 1984 <u>Executive</u>	Fiscal 1984 <u>Current Level</u>	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee
				N/A			N/A
Non-Operating Exp.	\$762,807	\$ 766,619			\$1,070,019	\$1,017,899	
Total Exp.	\$762,807	\$ 766,619			\$1,070,019	\$1,017,899	
FUNDING:							
Fed. & Private	\$762,807	\$ 766,619			\$1,070,019	\$1,017,899	
Total Funding	\$762,807	\$ 766,619			\$1,070,019	\$1,017,899	

Federal/Private programs include Talent Search, Montana Learning Services, and the Guaranteed Student Loan Program. These programs were authorized by budget amendment in the past. No request had been submitted by the Commissioner's Office for fiscal 1984 and 1985 during the pre-session budget period. This appropriation would allow the Commissioner's Office to maintain current level services in the aforementioned programs, contingent upon the receipt of federal/private funding.

Agency: Community College Assistance

Program:

	FY 1982 Actual	Executive Current Level	Subcommittee	Fiscal 1984 Current Level	Subcommittee	Fiscal 1985 Current Level	Subcommittee
FTE							
Non-op. (Grants)	\$2,493,459	\$2,998,607	\$3,265,103	\$3,249,960	\$3,123,714	\$3,461,494	\$3,415,193
Total Exp.	\$2,493,459	\$2,998,607	\$3,265,103	\$3,249,960	\$3,123,714	\$3,461,494	\$3,415,193

	General	\$2,998,607	\$3,265,103	\$3,249,960	\$3,123,714	\$3,461,494	\$3,415,193
Total Funding	\$2,493,459	\$2,998,607	\$3,265,103	\$3,249,960	\$3,123,714	\$3,461,494	\$3,415,193
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Community college funding is generated by a formula which multiplies projected student enrollment (FTE) by a cost factor. The product is then multiplied by 53 percent to determine the general fund allocation to each community college. The 1983 cost factor was inflated by 1.89 percent to fiscal 1984 and 1985. Pay plan is not included. The fiscal 1982 and 1983 cost factors were \$3,155 and \$3,435 respectively. The factors in fiscal 1982 and 1983 for Dawson Community College were \$3,574 and \$3,895 respectively. In fiscal 1984 and 1985 Dawson will receive the same cost factor as the other schools.

Calculation of the Community Colleges Unrestricted Budgets
Fiscal 1984 and Fiscal 1985

	Fiscal 1984			Fiscal 1985						
<u>Colleges</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Cost Factor</u>	<u>Total Unrestricted Budget</u>	<u>% State Support</u>	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Cost Factor</u>	<u>Total Unrestricted Budget</u>	<u>% State Support</u>	<u>General Fund</u>
Dawson	380	\$3,500	\$1,330,000	53 =	\$ 704,900	400	\$3,566	\$1,426,400	53 =	\$ 755,992
Flathead	792	3,500	2,772,000	53 =	1,469,160	827	3,566	2,949,082	53 =	1,563,013
Miles	580	3,500	2,030,000	53 =	1,075,900	580	3,566	2,068,280	53 =	1,096,188
Total	1,752		\$6,132,000		\$3,249,960	1,807		\$6,443,762		\$3,415,193
	=====		=====		=====	=====		=====		=====

General fund increases by \$1,527,771 from the 1983 biennium, or 29.7 percent. The increase in general fund reflects a 24.4 percent projected increase in enrollment from appropriated FTE in the 1983 biennium. The following table presents appropriated FTE for the 1983 biennium and projected FTE for the 1985 biennium.

Table 1
Appropriated and Projected FTE
for the 1983 and 1985 Bienniums

<u>College</u>	Fiscal <u>1982</u>	Fiscal <u>1983</u>	Fiscal <u>1984</u>	Fiscal <u>1985</u>	% Change Biennium 1983-1985
Dawson	310	310	380	400	25.8
Flathead Valley	674	651	792	827	22.2
Miles City	<u>466</u>	<u>450</u>	<u>580</u>	<u>580</u>	<u>26.6</u>
Total	1,450	1,411	1,752	1,807	24.4
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Actual FTE exceeded appropriated FTE in fiscal 1982 by 196 FTE, or 13.5 percent.

The subcommittee indicated to the community colleges that it may be necessary to reopen the budget in the future and use lower cost factors in the funding formula, depending on the status of state revenues.

Agency: Bureau of Mines

	FY 1982 Actual	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Fiscal 1984--	Program: Research
FTE	35.25	33.59	31.16	31.91	33.59	31.16
Personal Ser.	\$ 800,032	\$ 932,357	\$ 826,831	\$ 854,990	\$ 933,635	\$ 828,659
Operating Exp.	415,615	461,057	306,684	329,632	493,669	328,077
Equipment	122,453	99,547	50,490	50,490	87,056	50,026
Non-Oper. Exp.	220,109	263,910	220,365	220,365	290,302	233,586
Total Exp.	\$1,558,209	\$1,756,871	\$1,404,370	\$1,455,477	\$1,804,662	\$1,492,892
<hr/>						
FUNDING:						
General	\$1,277,378	\$1,556,871	\$1,351,370	\$1,402,477	\$1,604,662	\$1,384,169
Earmarked	72,887	50,000	53,000	53,000	50,000	56,179
Federal	207,944	150,000	-0-	-0-	150,000	-0-
Total Funding	\$1,558,209	\$1,756,871	\$1,404,370	\$1,455,477	\$1,804,662	\$1,492,892

FUNDING:
 General
 Earmarked
 Federal

Total Funding

The Bureau of Mines is responsible for developing, gathering, analyzing, cataloging, and disseminating information concerning the location and development of the mineral, energy, and hydrogeological resources of the state.

General fund increases by \$159,250 from the 1983 biennium, or 6 percent. Current level services, including the modified requests granted by the 1981 Legislature for cooperative research with the U.S.G.S., state ground water research, and mineral resource studies are provided for in the budget. The drop in FTE results from the bureau moving 4.25 FTE associated with their analytical laboratory into a designated account in fiscal 1982. Federal funds of \$207,944 were added by budget amendment in fiscal 1982.

Agency: Agricultural Experiment Station

Program: Consolidated

	FY 1982 Actual	Fiscal 1984		Fiscal 1985	
		Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive
FTE	305.25	298.29	304.14	298.29	298.29
Personal Ser.	\$5,912,535	\$6,713,197	\$6,903,983	\$6,843,045	\$6,720,643
Operating Exp.	1,782,752	2,087,998	2,015,209	2,065,992	2,266,879
Equipment	346,886	333,889	261,327	347,495	353,922
Non-Oper. Exp.	15,689	17,628	-0-	17,628	18,686
Total Exp.	\$8,057,862	\$9,152,712	\$9,180,519	\$9,274,160	\$9,360,130
FUNDING:					
General	\$4,837,688	\$5,733,068	\$5,592,194	\$5,863,711	\$5,837,194
Earmarked	1,641,448	1,756,432	1,925,113	1,747,237	1,793,196
Federal/Private	1,578,726	1,663,212	1,663,212	1,663,212	1,729,740
Total Funding	\$8,057,862	\$9,152,712	\$9,180,519	\$9,274,160	\$9,360,130

The Montana Agricultural Experiment Station, headquartered in Bozeman, carries out a variety of research embracing agricultural problems of a local, regional, and national character. Crops and livestock provide the primary focus of research with a major emphasis on production improvement.

General fund increases by \$1,395,826 from the 1983 biennium, or 13.4 percent. Federal funds include Hatch and regional research. These funds are allocated by the Cooperative States Research Service of the United States Department of Agriculture.

Agency: Agricultural Experiment Station

Program: Main Station

	FY 1982 Actual	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Fiscal 1985--
FTE	263.80	258.16	264.01	258.16	258.16	264.01	258.16
Personal Ser.	\$5,228,745	\$5,939,423	\$6,150,667	\$6,092,072	\$5,946,869	\$6,164,101	\$6,096,433
Operating Exp.	1,544,203	1,805,340	1,734,194	1,783,334	1,947,457	1,871,267	1,917,749
Equipment	331,777	333,889	247,721	333,889	353,922	247,721	353,922
Non-Oper. Exp.	15,689	17,628	-0-	17,628	18,686	-0-	18,686
Total Exp.	\$7,120,414	\$8,096,280	\$8,132,582	\$8,226,923	\$8,266,934	\$8,283,089	\$8,386,790
<hr/>							
FUNDING:							
General	\$4,837,688	\$5,733,068	\$5,592,194	\$5,863,711	\$5,837,194	\$5,744,653	\$5,957,050
Earmarked	704,000	700,000	877,176	700,000	700,000	808,696	700,000
Federal/Private	1,578,726	1,663,212	1,663,212	1,663,212	1,729,740	1,729,740	1,729,740
Total Funding	\$7,120,414	\$8,096,280	\$8,132,582	\$8,226,923	\$8,266,934	\$8,283,089	\$8,386,790
<hr/>							

The Agricultural Experiment Station budget funds seven research centers located at Kalispell, Conrad, Moccasin, Sidney, Corvallis, Havre, and Huntley. In addition, research is carried out at the veterinary research and wool laboratories, and at various departments at Montana State University.

General fund increases by \$1,395,826 from the 1983 biennium, or 13.4 percent. This increase is caused by the following:

1. Earmarked revenue is lower by \$90,942 in fiscal 1984 and 1985. This results from the experiment station moving their soils, seed, and foundation seed laboratories and 5.85 FTE into a designated account in fiscal 1983. Under a designated structure, these labs charge fees for the cost of services performed. The experiment station did not remove from the fiscal 1982 base \$43,609 in personal services associated with the seed laboratory. The experiment station explained that the fee structure for the seed lab will have to be changed before personal services can be supported by the lab.

2. The subcommittee approved a request by the experiment station to keep a projected fiscal year-end 1983 fund balance of \$91,965 as a reserve. The experiment station explained that the reserve is needed in order to meet future fluctuations in this revenue.
3. The subcommittee approved an adjustment of \$64,964 to contracted services in the 1985 biennium for recharge services. These are services performed by the college for the benefit of the experiment station.
4. The subcommittee approved a base adjustment of \$17,628 in fiscal 1984 and \$16,686 in fiscal 1985 for continued renovation of laboratories. The base adjustments were not included in current level as the agency had supplied no justification for the expenditure.

The subcommittee questioned the validity of the experiment station's equipment replacement schedule. The subcommittee directed the fiscal analyst to work with the experiment station in establishing a more detailed replacement schedule, which would facilitate a more thorough analysis of equipment needs in the 1987 biennium. The subcommittee derived the equipment appropriation by inflating the actual fiscal 1982 expenditure by 6 percent. Inflated amounts for fiscal 1984 and 1985 were then reduced by 10 percent.

Agency: Agricultural Experiment Station

Program: U.S. Range

	FY 1982 Actual	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Fiscal 1985 Subcommittee
FTE	42.12	40.13	40.13	40.13	40.13	40.13	40.13
Personal Ser.	\$ 683,790	\$ 773,774	\$ 753,316	\$ 750,973	\$ 773,774	\$ 754,932	\$ 751,905
Operating Exp.	238,548	282,658	281,015	282,658	319,422	316,943	319,422
Equipment	<u>15,109</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>13,606</u>	<u>13,606</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>14,422</u>	<u>14,422</u>
Total Exp.	<u>\$937,447</u>	<u>\$1,056,432</u>	<u>\$1,047,937</u>	<u>\$1,047,237</u>	<u>\$1,093,196</u>	<u>\$1,086,297</u>	<u>\$1,085,749</u>
<hr/>							
FUNDING:							
Earmarked	<u>\$937,447</u>	<u>\$1,056,432</u>	<u>\$1,047,937</u>	<u>\$1,047,237</u>	<u>\$1,093,196</u>	<u>\$1,086,297</u>	<u>\$1,085,749</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$937,447</u>	<u>\$1,056,432</u>	<u>\$1,047,937</u>	<u>\$1,047,237</u>	<u>\$1,093,196</u>	<u>\$1,086,297</u>	<u>\$1,085,749</u>

The U.S. Range Station at Miles City is a joint operation between the federal government and the state of Montana. The state portion of the operation is funded completely from earmarked revenue which is generated from the sale of agricultural products of the station.

Total expenditures increase by 7.1 percent from the 1983 biennium. Current level services are provided for in the budget. The apparent reduction in FTE results as the station changed 7.32 academic year FTE to 6.0 fiscal year professional FTE.

The subcommittee questioned the use of state earmarked revenue to fund capital improvements on federally owned land. The experiment station is renovating and expanding the irrigation system at the range station in an effort to make the station more self-sufficient in feed production. The station budget amended \$145,000 in earmarked revenue in the 1983 biennium. The station purchased a center pivot irrigation system for \$70,000 in fiscal 1982. The remaining \$75,000 was spent in fiscal 1983 for land leveling and for renovation of the buried portion of the irrigation system. The federal government is contributing \$450,000 to the project, bringing the total cost to \$595,000.

Agency: Cooperative Extension Service

Program: Public Service

	FY 1982 Actual	Fiscal 1984			Fiscal 1985		
		Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee
FTE	129.37	132.86	132.86	132.86	132.86	132.86	132.86
Personal Ser.	\$2,751,919	\$3,363,050	\$3,320,029	\$3,363,903	\$3,321,109	\$3,321,109	
Operating Exp.	658,184	741,086	662,084	781,431	696,650	727,392	
Equipment	18,483	42,247	26,424	33,539	30,169	30,169	
Non-Oper. Exp.	2,889	3,246	3,245	3,441	3,439	3,439	
Total Exp.	\$3,431,475	\$4,149,629	\$4,011,782	\$4,041,529	\$4,182,314	\$4,051,367	\$4,082,109
<hr/>							
FUNDING:							
General	\$1,790,338	\$2,288,669	\$2,150,822	\$2,180,569	\$2,265,525	\$2,134,578	\$2,165,320
Federal/Private	1,641,137	1,860,960	1,860,960	1,860,960	1,916,789	1,916,789	1,916,789
Total Funding	\$3,431,475	\$4,149,629	\$4,011,782	\$4,041,529	\$4,182,314	\$4,051,367	\$4,082,109
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

The Montana Cooperative Extension Service is charged with the responsibility of disseminating useful information to citizens throughout the state, and to assist them in applying the information to their everyday problems. Most of the research information disseminated by the extension service is generated by scientists working for the Montana Agricultural Experiment Station at Bozeman, Montana. The extension service has a cadre of specialists who work with researchers from the experiment station in analyzing and preparing research information for public distribution.

General fund increases by \$443,791 from the 1983 biennium, or 11.4 percent. The extension service receives federal Smith-Lever funds from the United States Department of Agriculture. Smith-Lever funds are estimated to increase 14.1 percent from the 1983 biennium.

General fund increases over current level with subcommittee approval of a modified request for AGNET (computer based information system) totaling \$60,489 in the 1985 biennium. This modified request will supplant a projected deficit in the collection of user fees in the 1985 biennium. With approval of the modified request, general fund will provide 68 percent of AGNET's funding base. User fees will provide the remainder of the programs funding, or 32 percent. The subcommittee questioned the use of AGNET as a communication tool for extension personnel. The 1981 Legislature intended agricultural users to utilize the analytical programs available on AGNET to solve problems specific to ranching, farming, health, etc.

Agency: Forestry Experiment Station

Program: Research

	FY 1982 <u>Actual</u>	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
		<u>Executive</u>	<u>Current Level</u>	<u>Subcommittee</u>	<u>Executive</u>	<u>Current Level</u>	<u>Executive</u>	<u>Current Level</u>	<u>Subcommittee</u>
FTE	18.36	21.70	21.70	22.20	21.70	21.70	21.70	21.70	22.20
Personal Ser.	\$371,325	\$510,061	\$505,385	\$514,750	\$510,758	\$505,385	\$515,218	\$515,218	
Operating Exp.	85,609	111,523	118,523	118,523	121,154	128,263	128,263	128,263	
Equipment	50,164	63,388	34,800	34,800	67,265	32,958	32,958	32,958	
Non-Oper. Exp.	20,208	-0-	10,133	10,133	-0-	10,741	10,741	10,741	
Total Exp.	\$527,306	\$684,972	\$668,841	\$678,206	\$699,177	\$677,347	\$687,180	\$687,180	
<hr/>									
FUNDING:									
General	\$527,306	\$684,972	\$668,841	\$678,206	\$699,177	\$677,347	\$687,180	\$687,180	
Total Funding	\$527,306	\$684,972	\$668,841	\$678,206	\$699,177	\$677,347	\$687,180	\$687,180	

The Forestry Experiment Station is responsible for generating research and publications concerning the state's forests and related land resources. Research is conducted at Lubrecht Experimental Forest and at other locations in Montana, in cooperation with private, state, and federal agencies.

General fund increases by \$148,768 from the 1983 biennium, or 12.2 percent. This increase results from the expanded research request granted by the 1981 Legislature. The 1981 Legislature phased the experiment station into the modified request. Total expenditures related to the request were not incurred until fiscal 1983. The experiment station's budget increased by 116 percent from the 1981 biennium to the 1983 biennium. Twelve full-time equivalent employees were added in the 1983 biennium to aid in assembling existing forest inventory information, estimating productive potentials of Montana forests, and developing management regimes to maximize timber production. This expansion is maintained in the 1985 biennium.

The subcommittee added funding for a half-time maintenance worker (.50 FTE) at a personal services cost of \$9,365 in fiscal 1984, and \$9,833 in fiscal 1985. This individual will provide custodial services for a new research facility being completed at Lubrecht. Funding for construction of the facility was provided by a grant from the Murdock charitable trust and from numerous industrial grants.

Agency: Colleges and Universities

Program:

	FY 1982 Actual	Fiscal 1984		Fiscal 1985	
	Executive	Current Level	Subcommittee	Executive	Current Level
Instruction	\$ 47,590,674	\$ 56,136,010	\$ 58,401,434	\$ 58,235,975	\$ 57,219,024
Research	1,113,053	1,196,134	947,615	987,615	1,235,517
Public Service	347,429	391,752	252,160	252,160	405,403
Support	27,289,439	32,441,064	33,463,192	33,967,085	33,470,036
Physical Plant	11,637,673	14,617,390	13,609,585	14,235,247	16,231,617
Scholarships	1,886,188	2,326,956	2,456,319	2,280,357	2,506,894
Center for Handicapped Child.	-0-	-0-	-0-	164,158	-0-
Retained Indirects Costs	-0-	-0-	363,000	-0-	363,000
Total Exp.	\$ 89,864,456	\$107,109,306 ¹	\$108,493,305	\$110,122,597	\$111,068,491 ¹
FUNDING:					
General Current	\$ 57,275,390	\$ 70,076,247	\$ 69,070,472	\$ 73,184,922	\$ 72,099,423
Unrestricted	32,589,066	37,033,059	39,422,833	36,937,675	38,969,068
Total Funding	\$ 89,864,456	\$107,109,306	\$108,493,305	\$110,122,597	\$111,068,491

¹The executive also included \$2,000,000 as a contingency fund, these amounts are not included here.

The 1985 biennium general fund appropriation for the colleges and universities increases \$27,272,508, or 22.4 percent, from the 1983 biennium. The major factor leading to this increase was a projected increase in enrollments of 10 percent over the level used in establishing appropriations for fiscal 1983. Table 1 lists enrollments used in budget development.

Table 1
Fiscal Year FTE Enrollments - Actual and Projected

Unit	Fiscal 1982		Appropriated		Fiscal 1983*		Appropriated		Fiscal 1984	
	Appropriated	Actual	Fiscal 1982	Actual	Fiscal 1983*	Fiscal 1984	Fiscal 1983*	Fiscal 1984	Fiscal 1983*	Fiscal 1984
MSU	10,034	10,645			9,962		10,738		10,693	
UM	8,043	8,141			8,052		8,283		8,283	
EMC	3,040	3,284			3,010		3,551		3,597	
NMC	1,294	1,542			1,297		1,623		1,641	
WMC	795	820			794		867		864	
MCMST	1,565	1,791			1,590		2,148		2,373	
Total	24,771	26,223			24,705		27,210		27,451	
	=====	=====			=====		=====		=====	

*By 1981 Legislature

The committee continued use of the formula developed by the Legislative Finance Committee as modified by the 1981 Legislature. Only minimal changes were made. This formula is comprised of two major elements; an enrollment driven section for instruction and support; and an incremental budget for research, public service and physical plants.

Instruction

The subcommittee recommends \$117.5 million in the 1985 biennium for instruction at the six units. Instruction funding is recommended at 100 percent of the projected peer average. This portion of the budget pays for instructional faculty, graduate teaching assistants, and their direct support staff and expenses. The number of faculty is determined by an aggregate student-faculty ratio unique to each campus and the campus's estimated enrollment. The total instruction budget is determined by the number of faculty, average faculty compensation, the critical area salary adjustment, and the instruction department support cost rate per FTE student. Table 2 lists the factors determining the instruction budget for each college and university.

Table 2
Instruction Budget Factors

Unit	Aggregate Student-Faculty Ratio	Average ¹ Faculty Salary	Instruction Department Support Rates-----		
			Critical Area Adjustment	1984	Fiscal 1985
MSU	18.28	\$26,792	\$342,000	\$536.75	\$557.48
UM	18.67	26,792	266,000	434.53	451.27
EMC	18.84	24,031	72,000	332.69	345.48
NMC	14.65	24,031	17,500	412.95	428.80
WMC	16.06	24,031	9,000	432.83	449.44
MCMST	17.50	25,274	187,506	375.07	389.48

¹Summer session faculty are paid two-thirds the rate of an academic quarter. This is the academic year salary; a full year faculty member would receive 1.22 times this amount.

Support

The subcommittee recommends \$69.5 million for support in the 1985 biennium at the six units. Support budgets are recommended at 97 percent of the projected peer average. This is the same as was appropriated for fiscal 1983. The support budget includes academic, institutional, and student support. This covers such expenditures as intercollegiate athletics, libraries, academic deans, and other academic and financial administration and admission offices, and other student affairs functions. Support is calculated based upon each unit's enrollment and a flat rate per FTE student. The rate reflects similar expenditures at a group of like schools in the western United States.

In addition to the flat rate, schools with large numbers of part-time students receive an additional amount, called high head-count adjustment. This recognizes that their work load is not accurately measured by their FTE enrollment. Table 3 lists support rates and high head-count adjustments.

Table 3
Support Budget Factors

Unit	Support Rate Fiscal 1984	Per FY-FTE Fiscal 1985	-----High Head Count-----		
			Fiscal 1984	Adjustment	Fiscal 1985
MSU	\$1,227	\$1,259	\$ 0-	\$ 0-	\$ 0-
UM	1,227	1,259	45,796	45,277	
EMC	1,219	1,250	69,686	70,681	
NMC	1,219	1,250	-0-	-0-	
WMC	1,219	1,250	4,871	4,861	
MCMST	1,449	1,487	25,534	28,121	

Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plants

The subcommittee recommends \$30.1 million for physical plants at the six units in the 1985 biennium. The budget for physical plants reflects inflation adjustments to the base year actual expenditures and allowance for new facilities added since last session or anticipated to be completed during the 1985 biennium. Table 4 lists the amount allowed for new facilities at each of the colleges and universities.

Table 4
New Facility Budget Allowances

<u>Unit</u>	<u>New Space Allowance</u>	<u>Fiscal 1984</u>	<u>Fiscal 1985</u>
MSU	\$269,406	\$318,738	
UM	15,045	218,998	
EMC	42,075	83,505	
NMC	8,344	15,750	
WMC	<u>10,877</u>	<u>11,930</u>	
Total	\$345,747	\$648,921	=====

Research and Public Service

The subcommittee recommends \$2.5 million for research and public services at the six units in the 1985 biennium. These services are budgeted incrementally. Allowances are made for cost increases from the base year. The base year expenditures are adjusted to remove budget amended expenditures. Expenditures from the indirect cost allowance are removed from base year research and public service as this allowance is to be placed in a designated fund at each unit.

Scholarships and Fellowships

The subcommittee recommends \$4.8 million in scholarships and fellowships at the six units in the 1985 biennium. These are waivers of fees to selected students. These are budgeted as 18.45 percent of projected out-of-state and 5.75 percent of in-state registration and incidental fees. In addition, amounts are allowed for mandatory fee waivers. Mandatory fee waivers are granted to eligible individuals including Indian students, senior citizens, high school scholarship recipients, etc.

Revenues

Revenues other than general fund include proceeds from the 6 mill university levy, tuitions and fees, indirect cost reimbursements, land grants and other miscellaneous revenues. The amounts are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5
Revenues

<u>Source</u>	Fiscal 1984	Fiscal 1985
Tuition and Fees	\$20,689,000	\$22,775,000
Millage	<u>13,074,000</u>	<u>12,746,000</u>
Indirect Cost Reimbursements *	<u>1,704,675</u>	<u>1,702,125</u>
Other	<u>1,470,000</u>	<u>1,480,000</u>
Total	\$36,937,675	\$38,703,125

*85 Percent of Total

Tuition revenues are based upon rates for fiscal 1984 as adopted in December by the Board of Regents. Fiscal 1985 rates are based upon an increase of approximately 10 percent. These increases are projected to bring in-state tuition at MSU and UM to 94 percent of the peer average in fiscal 1985. The rates are shown in Table 6.

Table 6
Actual and Projected Tuition and Fee Rates Per Academic Year

Unit	In-State		Out-of-State	
	1983	1984	1983	1984
MSU	477	531	585	1,845
UM	477	531	585	1,845
EMC	477	531	585	1,485
NMC	477	531	585	1,485
WMC	477	531	585	1,485
MCMST	477	531	585	1,845

Indirect cost reimbursements are estimated to be \$4 million in the biennium. Fifteen percent of these will be placed in a designated account for use at each unit's discretion. The remaining 85 percent is deposited in the current unrestricted fund. Language is included to reduce general fund appropriations if indirect cost reimbursements exceed amounts estimated.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

COMMITTEE

XXXXXX EDUCATION

DATE MARCH 9, 1983

SPONSOR

NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUBXXXXXX FOURXXXXXX
Ken Heipes	Billings	E.M.C.	
Joel Hardy	Helena	Mont Colleges	
Cu Bryan	Missoula	UTU	
Kathryn Penrod	Helena	MT Ad Co for Voc Ed	
Tom Turpin	Helena	State Library	
Will Brooke	Helena	MT. Woolgrowers/ ^{state} Grazing Districts	
Lee Purdy	Bozeman	ASMSU	
Doris Wagner	Bozeman	Associated Students MSU	
Arlene Hill	Raymond, mt	MSU	
J.D. Holmes	Helena	MT. ARTS ADVOCACY	
Linda Daniels	Helena	MT. Historical Society	
Bunny Albers	KT. Falls	self (intern)	
Larry Johnson	Kremmlin	Mt. Mt. Wilson Council	
Carl Hoffman	Bozeman	Corp Ext. Service, MSU	
James Walsh	"	Ag Experiment Station MSU	
Tom Nappi	Bozeman	MSU	
Bill Tietz	Bozeman	MSU	
Frances Shimpkin	Bozeman	MSU	
Brad Driede	Bozeman	ASMSU	
George Schatz	Kalispell	MSA	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE

COMMITTEE

BILL

DATE March 9 - 83

SPONSOR

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUSE Adventures

COMMITTEE

BILL Human Services

DATE 3/2 Afternoon

SPONSOR

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.