MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE HOUSE STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE February 18, 1983

VICE CHAIRMAN O'CONNELL OPENED THE MEETING AT 8:00 A.M. IN THE ABSENCE OF CHAIRMAN BRAND. ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE WERE PRESENT (CHAIRMAN BRAND CAME INTO THE MEETING LATER.)

Vice Chairman O'Connell opened the hearing on HB 882, sponsored by Representative Eudaily.

Representative Eudaily made his opening statement: is the same bill that you had before this Committee two years ago. It was an outgrowth of a two year study of the retirement systems of the State of Montana. Generally the Montana Legislature has used the ad hoc method of adjusting the retirement benefits of public employees. Between 1971 and 1979, the Highway Patrol, Public Employees', Police Officers', and Teachers' Retirement Systems received ad hoc increases. Three retirement systems, the Firefighters, the Judges, and some of the Police Officers, are covered by automatic costof-living adjustments. Not all public employees have received cost-of-living adjustments. The Game Wardens' and the Sheriffs' Retirement Systems have received no post-retirement increases since their creation in 1963 and 1974. ing the pros and cons of ad hoc versus automatic adjustments and considering the effects of past legislation, the committee agreed to adopt the automatic method of adjusting benefits. This proposal provides an automatic cost-of-living increase in service and disability retirement and survivorship allowances payable to members of the Public Employees', Teachers', Highway Patrol, Sheriffs', and Game Wardens' Retirement Systems and their beneficiaries and to members of the Municipal Police Officers' Retirement System retired after July 1, 1975."

G.V. Erickson, Chairman of the Legislative Committee for the Retired Teachers Association: "This bill is laid out in such a manner that all people who have given the same number of years would receive the same amount of increase regardless of what their benefits are at this time. This bill also means that only those people who retire over a period of three years would receive the full benefit to begin with." (Additional testimony attached.)

Bob Johnson, Administrator of the Teachers Retirement Division: "The board would like to go on record as supportive of the measure if the proper contributions are allotted."

Tom Schneider, Executive Director of the Montana Public Employees Association: "We want to go on record as supporting

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page two

this bill. I think the bill has been explained. If we had a bill like this, we would not be hasseling for these raises every two years. Because the bill provides a future benefit which would cover employees who are now working, current employees would also pay for the benefit as well as the employers. It is not a benefit that is totally paid for by employers' contribution. It covers members of all the systems instead of just the PERS."

Charles Johnson, Member of the State Legislation Committee of the Association of Retired Persons: "In our meetings, we have considered this bill, and we are in favor of it."

Larry Nachtsheim, Administrator of the Public Employees Retirement Division: "I am speaking neither as a proponent nor an opponent. My Board does not support benefit enhancements. We feel that the legislature is the body that should fund these benefits. However, I would like to comment on this bill. The bill does cover a great number of employees. It covers not only the people who are currently retired but also the people who are going to retire. Conceptionally, this is probably a good idea. If I were a legislator, I would like to see a plan like this."

There were no opponents to HB 882.

Representative Eudaily made his closing statements: "I don't have the complete current list but I do have the number of the people that we are talking about that are currently retired up to 1981. PERS had 5,905; Teachers' Retirement had 4,529; Game Wardens' had 35; Highway Patrol had 105; Police had 317; Sheriffs' had 60, for a total of 10,951 retired people."

Representative Driscoll: "I have a question about the formula. Are all the systems based on 30 years?"

G.V. Erickson: "That is for the Public Employees' Retirement System. For Highway Patrolmen, it is 25 years and for Game Wardens, 25 years."

Representative Driscoll: "Under PERS, the average is 18 years. Under this example you have it would be \$113. Six percent is the smallest raise that will work. If CPI goes under 6%, there is no raise."

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page three

G.V. Erickson: "Or very minute. There is one other thing, too. There is a cap on this. The CPI could never go beyond 12%, so there would never be more than a 6% raise, regardless of what happens to the CPI."

Representative Koehnke: "What would happen if the CPI went down? Would it work in reverse?"

G.V. Erickson: "I don't believe so; no."

Representative Phillips: "What is the bottom line on this, Larry? What is the cost?"

Larry Nachtsheim: "We just got this bill this morning; so we haven't figured out the cost."

Representative Sales: "It was indicated that the cost of this increase would be shared by both those on the payroll and the governing body."

Tom Schneider: "That would be up to the legislature. The costs usually are shared on a 50-50 basis; but there is nothing in the law that says it has to be that way."

VICE CHAIRMAN O'CONNELL OPENED THE HEARING ON HB 847, SPON-SORED BY Rep. DRISCOLL.

Representative Driscoll made his opening statements: "This is the bill the Salary Commission presented. It has been amended quite a bit. This increases the salaries by 2% each year for each elected official."

Steve Brown, representing the Montana Judges Association:
"We are in support of this bill. The fact sheet that I have handed out provides you with a comparison of the Montana Judicial salaries and those of nine neighboring states. One of the significant comparisons, in my opinion, is the salaries paid to the chief justice, the associate justice, and the district court judges in the state of Wyoming. You will notice that they are substantially higher than the current salaries for judges in the state of Montana. However, if you go down further to the bottom of that page, you will notice that the Montana Supreme Court handles 2 1/2 times the cases that the Wyoming Supreme Court handles. The objective in any occupation is to attract the most capable and the most intelligent people to those positions. Because,

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page four

after all, you can write and pass the laws, but somebody has to decide the rights of various individuals who are affected by those laws." (Additional testimony attached.)

Justice Gulbranson, Montana State Supreme Court: "There are seven new district judges throughout the state. I would like to point out that those seven new judges probably are not sleeping as well as they did before. They probably, now, have had the experience of putting their first several people in prison; they have had their first custody battles. There is a changeover -- a turnover -- in judges. They don't stay forever. Many judges go back to private practice. make it as attractive as you can, you are going to have some interest. It is easy to say that there is always somebody looking for a job. And, usually, there is at least one applicant. But, if you look at the last election, there were three district judgeships where only one person filed. That shows that that job is not that attractive to a lot of people. What you do is not going to be as important to the judges as it is to the state of Montana."

Justice Harrison, Montana State Supreme Court: "I want to talk about some of the things that Judge Gulbranson talked about: the new justices coming on the court; the fact that you cannot buy them cheap. You cannot get a man to leave a good practice with a growing family to take a chance on the judiciary without offering him a decent salary. I am outraged by the way the judicial branch of government has been treated by the legislature. We have never been competitive with the states around us. Montana is as rich a state as Wyoming and far better. They bit the bullet there. realize what you are dealing with. I have had a son out of work for a whole year and I realize the trauma that causes. The amount of money that you put into the court system of this state is less than 1% of the total budget. This is one of the smallest percentages of any state in the union. You, as our legislators, have to reassess the importance of the judiciary and recognize it salary-wise."

William Opitz, Executive Director for the Public Service Commission: "The Commission wants to go on record in support of HB 847. Four of the Commissioners would like the Committee to consider a pay differential between the chairman and the other four Commissioners. The only person that does not want that considered is the chairman. We would suggest a differential similar as the one you have between the Chief Justices and the Associate Justices, which is about \$100 a month."

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page five

Helen Peterson, Member of the State Tax Appeal Board: "I think one reason that STAB is not paid better is because people don't know what we do. So, I am going to tell you some of the things we do. Of course we have hearings; that is our principal job. We have hearings on property tax and most of our hearings are for small taxpayers and we travel throughout the state. And we have hearings for large taxpayers like ARCO and Mountain Bell." (Additional material attached.)

Jack Lowe, Attorney for Commissioner of Political Practices: "Mrs. Krivec wanted me to appear before you as an opponent in regard to a pay increase for herself. Montana is facing a tight budget and a biennium of austerity. This is 1983, and times are not good. She is happy to have a job at all."

Representative Driscoll gave his closing statement: "I would support the amendment that the chairman of the PSC would receive more money. The percentages in this bill should be at least what public employees get. Originally, when this bill was put in, I thought it would go to appropriations. But because of an argument in the Senate over what is appropriations, I don't know if this will go through appropriations or not. Whatever happens to the bill, I do not want this funded out of vacancy savings."

Representative Smith to Steve Brown: "We have a comparison here on salaries. What about retirements?"

Steve Brown: "I haven't done that yet, but I will."

Representative Bliss: "As long as you are doing some calculating, would you figure out what lawyers in private practice are getting?"

Steve Brown: "OK, but I don't know if that can be done because each individual law firm is different."

Representative Hand to Steve Brown: "It was pointed out that several judges ran without opposition. What would you attribute that to?"

Steve Brown: "There are a couple factors that I think are quite important. One is, if lawyers have a successful practice, they have to make a value judgment about whether they want to run for that job for that salary. The second factor is, if you understand what a district judge has to do on a

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page six

daily basis, I personally do not think that I was meant to do that on a daily basis."

VICE CHAIRMAN O'CONNELL OPENED THE HEARING ON HB 885 sponsored by Representative Jacobson.

Representative Jacobson gave his opening statement: "HB 885 is quite a comprehensive bill, and actually it started with the passage of SB 409 last session. It authorizes the bonding of the coal tax as a backup for some of these water projects and there are several projects here and you can see by their sponsors that these projects are in various districts across the state. We have been talking about using Montana water and these projects are designed so that we can make better use of Montana water across the state."

Representative Bachini spoke as a sponsor for the South Kremlin-Gildford Water Development and urged passage of HB 885.

Representative Keyser spoke as a proponent for the East Bench Gravity Company and for the town of Ennis.

Senator Ed Smith spoke as a proponent for Roosevelt County and for the city of Culbertson.

Representative Thoft spoke as a proponent for the Three Mile Bitterroot Project.

Representative Bengtson spoke as a proponent for the Huntley Project Irrigation.

Representative Underdal spoke as a proponent for Pend'-Oreille Canal.

Leo Berry, Director of the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, spoke as a proponent for the Department of Natural Resources and explained how the bill works.

Representative Spaeth spoke on behalf of the Cooney Dam Project as a proponent.

Nancy Griffin, Town Council, town of Ennis, spoke as a proponent for additions to the Ennis sewage treatment project.

Ron Paige, President of the East Bench Gravity Company, spoke as a proponent for that project.

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page seven

Representative Koehnke spoke as a proponent for the Broadwater Hydro Development Project.

Paul Krenebush from Conrad, Montana, spoke as a proponent for the Pend'Oreille Irrigation project.

Gerald Vandenacre, Chairman of the Board of the Water Company, spoke for the Pend'Oreille Irrigation project.

Lloyd Bjerum, Administrator for the Geraldine Rural Water System, spoke as a proponent for the Geraldine Rural Water System.

Ken England, Chairman of the Board for the Geraldine Rural Water System, spoke as a proponent for the Geraldine Rural Water System.

Ron Smith from Havre, spoke as a proponent for the South Kremlin-Gildford Water Development.

Leonard Liese, Chairman of the Board of the Bitterroot Irrigation District, spoke as a proponent of the bill.

CHAIRMAN BRAND TOOK OVER THE MEETING.

Skip Lynch of the Resource Conservation Project in Ravalli County spoke as a proponet of the bill.

Pete Bertels, Roosevelt Rural Water Project, spoke as a proponent.

Roger Ashley, representing the City of Culbertson, spoke as a proponent for the Roosevelt County Rural Water District.

Gregg Lebotte spoke for the towns of Froid and Culbertson for the Roosevelt County Rural Water District.

Tom Eggensberger, City Engineer from Culbertson, spoke as a proponent for the Roosevelt County Rural Water District.

Doug Daniels, City Engineer for Three Forks, spoke as a proponent of the bill.

Bob Braico, with the Consulting Engineering Firm of Hydrometrics, spoke as a proponent for the Geraldine Rural Water Users. House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page eight

Representative Solberg spoke as a proponent of the bill for the E. Roosevelt project.

George Barnard, Manager of the Huntley Project Irrigation District, spoke in favor of the bill.

Representative Jacobsen made his closing statements: "Every sponsor on this bill has a project that he or she is supporting. So, we are equal sponsors. One of the problems that we are running up against is that we thought it would be an appropriations bill. Now, with the ruling over in the Senate, we don't know whether this meets the criteria of a revenue bill or not because it doesn't affect the general fund. This bill does require a 3/4 vote on the House floor, being it comes out of the Coal Trust Fund. We have been talking about using Montana water for Montanans. This is a good way to use it." (Additional testimony attached.)

Representative Sales to Leo Berry: "I would like to have Leo explain the financing on this thing. How did we arrive at the 2% financing?"

Leo Berry: "The major water bill that I spoke of is SB 409, and it called for the issuance of bonds which would basically be loaned out. The legislature would decide how those bonds would be paid back. One, from revenue from projects themselves; and two, if revenues are short it calls for the flow of money going into the trust account to pay the difference. people last session were concerned about the permanent trust paying for these projects. What SB 409 did was to add a provision that 15% of the interest off of the permanent trust goes back into the trust to replace any lost revenue. So, the permanent trust is always made whole under the water development program. The 2% figure was arrived at by the individual project sponsors. Some of these projects could afford different paybacks, but it was decided that, in order to be consistent from project to project, all the projects would be at an interest rate of 2%"

Representative Brand: "If this bill didn't get in, would the trust have made more money?"

Leo Berry: "Sure; \$620,000 more."

Representative Driscoll: "What was the criteria that decided who got into the bill?"

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page nine

Leo Berry: "Anyone is eligible under the water development program. You received, earlier in the session, a green book and a yellow book listing all the projects that we reviewed under this project. All the smaller projects are included for recommendations for grants and loans. The bigger projects, the ones you have here, are the ones that require a major bonding effort."

Chairman Brand opened the hearing on HB 846 sponsored by the Committee.

Jack Lowe, Staff Attorney for Political Practices: "This bill would broaden the protection that public employees have against coercion. A prior version of the bill prohibited any coercion by means of soliciting anything of value. It turns out that there are ways to coerce people that don't have anything to do with monetary value. In this bill, we have simply made it illegal to coerce public employees to support candidates at all or with regard to ballot issues. is one little feature of this bill that I should point out. Subsection 3, the part that deals with politicking on the job, has been amended to prohibit politicking in favor of or opposition to ballot issues. Every ten years in this state, we have an issue that comes up called the six mill Traditionally, administrators of the university system get out and publicly support that bill. Under this version of the bill, that would be illegal."

Larry Weinberg, Staff Attorney with the Montana University System: "From my own personal experiences in other positions that I have had, the changes that are suggested for subsection 2, I think are good changes. Whenever you work in state government, you are exposed to that risk of pressure being put on you for political purposes. In a small state like Montana, this can have an adverse effect on the operation of state government. As far as the restrictions go on political activities by state employees, I think in general we should not be fighting for candidates while we are on the If we want to take vacation time or comp time to go out and take political positions I think that is all right. I do have some concerns in the area of the ballot issue, From the standpoint of the six mill levy, which directly affects the university system, the other one is a little bit more general. Very frequently, you are going to have, not just at the university but throughout the public school system, issues that come up such as the nuclear

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page ten

moratorium or the issues that, so often are the meat of discussions. I hope that it is not the committee's intent to prohibit discussions in the classroom of various issues that are of concern at the time."

There were no opponents to HB 846.

Jack Lowe made the closing statements on HB 846: "I don't have any position whatsoever on this ballot issue business."

Representative Driscoll: "You said that some administrators take time off to push the six mill levy. This bill wouldn't stop that."

Jack Lowe: "It would stop them from doing it unless they were to take annual leave."

HOUSE STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE IN EXECUTIVE SESSION:

Chairman Brand asked the Committee what action it would like to take on HB 846.

Representative Koehnke moved and Representative Hammond seconded the motion that the Committee pass HB 846.

There was some discussion as to the comments that Larry Weinberg had brought up in regard to discussion of issues in the classroom. It was unanimous that this bill was not intended to prohibit open classroom discussion.

HB 846 PASSED THE COMMITTEE BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT.

Chairman Brand asked what action the Committee would like to take in regard to HB 847.

Representative Driscoll explained the amendment: "The Chairman of the Public Service Commission would get \$100 more a month."

Representative Driscoll moved that the amendment be accepted. Representative McCormick seconded the amendment.

THE AMENDMENT TO HB 847 PASSED THE COMMITTEE with Representative Pistoria voting no.

Representative Driscoll moved and Representative Bliss seconded the motion that HB 847 pass as amended.

House State Administration Committee Minutes February 18, 1983 Page eleven

HB 847 PASSED THE COMMITTEE AS AMENDED.

Chairman Brand asked the Committee what action it would like to take on HB 882.

Representative Mueller moved that the Committee Do Not Pass HB 882.

Representative Sales seconded the motion.

Representative Mueller explained what he thought were the problems with this bill. He felt that this would cost the state too much money.

Representative Hammond explained that this could be covered by a 1% increase on the part of the employees and 1% on the part of the employers. This could still be amended into the bill.

There was much discussion as to whether you could change the contribution amount for present employees, and whether this would give the average employee any increase at all.

Representative Driscoll made a substitute motion to table HB 882.

Representative Smith seconded the motion.

HB 882 WAS TABLED IN COMMITTEE BY A UNANIMOUS DECISION.

Chairman Brand asked the Committee what action it would like to take on HB 885?

Lois Menzies explained that there were two technical amendments for the Committee's consideration. Representative Sales moved and Representative Mueller seconded the motion that the amendments to HB 885 do pass.

THE AMENDMENTS TO HB 885 PASSED THE COMMITTEE BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT.

Representative Solberg moved and Representative Koehnke seconded the motion that HB 885 do pass as amended.

HB 885 PASSED AS AMENDED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT.

Representative Mueller moved to adjourn at 10:45 a.m.

	FEBRUARY	19 <b>83</b>
		•
R. SPEAKER		
We, your committee on	STATE ADMINIS	Tration
aving had under consideration	HOUSE	Bill No. 846
first reading occur (_Vi	olor	
"AN ACT EXPENDING THE PROHIBIT	rions against Politica	L COERCION OF
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES AND POLITICAL	L ACTIVITIES OF PUBLIC	employees while
ON THE JOB; AMENDING SECTION :	13-35-226, NCA."	
lespectfully report as follows: That	HOUSE	846 Bill No
- 44		
	n de Nobel de la servició de la defició de	
O PASS		
O PASS		
į		
STATE PUB. CO. Helena, Mont.	REP. JOE BRAS	D, Chairman.

		BRUARY IEX	18,	19 <b>83</b>
AR. SPEAKER				
We, your committee on	STATE 2	administra:	Pion	
naving had under consideration				847
first reading com; ( white Colo	<u>.</u> )	<b>4</b> - 2 - 2		
"AN ACT INCREASING SALARIES FOR I	EEECTED STAT	OFFICIAL	5. SUPRE	ME
COURT JUSTICES, DISTRICT JUDGES,	THE COMMISS	CONER OF PO	DLITICAL	
PRACTICES, AND THE CHAIRMAN AND	MEMBERS OF T	ie s <b>r</b> ate ti	ax appea	<b>.</b> ,
BOARD; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-16-40	5, 3-5-211,	13-37-106,	AND 15-	2-102,
HCA; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE I	DATE.*			
Respectfully report as follows: Thatee amended as follows:	HOUSE		Bill No.	847
l. Page 2, Following: line 15 Insert: "Public service commission chairman				
	\$35,544	\$36,255		
Collowing: "commissioners"	\$35,544	\$36,255 <sup>n</sup>		
2. Page 2, line 16. Pollowing: "commissioners" Insert: ", other than chairman"	\$35,544	\$36,255		

AND AS AMENDED

DO PASS

Chairman.

	******	FEBRUARY 18,	19 <b>83</b>
			-
MR. SPEAKER			
We, your committee on	STATE AD	MINISTRATION	
having had under consideration	House	E	3ill No
first reading copy (white)			
OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES', TAND GAME WARDENS' RETIREMENT MEMBERS OF THE MUNICIPAL POON OR AFTER JULY 1, 1975, A MINIMUM MONTHLY BENEFIT ALI RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND THE COST-OF-LIVING INCREASE AND SECTIONS 19-3-701, 19-3-801 19-6-402, 19-6-404, 19-7-40 19-9-702, AND 19-9-703, MCA	IT SYSTEMS AND TOLICE OFFICERS' AND THEIR BENEFI WANCE FOR CERS IN BENEFICIARIES MINIMUM MONTHL 1, 19-4-602, 19- 13, 19-7-404, 19	HEIR BENEFICIARIES RETIREMENT SYSTEM CIARIES; PROVIDING AIN MEMBERS OF THE ; PROVIDING FUNDIS Y BENEFIT ALLOWANG 4-605, 19-4-802, 1 -8-502, 19-8-504,	AND TO RETIRED A A A B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B
Respectfully report as follows: That	HOUSE	E	382 381 No
		•	
			**:

-DO PASS Tabled

Chairman.

FEBRUARY TEX

13,

		•
MR. SPEAKER		
We, your committee on	STATE ADMIA	Istration
having had under consideration	HOUSE	Bill No. 335
x first rending copy (white ) Color		
"AH ACT TO APPROVE THE ISSUANCE OF STAX BONDS TO FINANCE THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECTS, THE REHABILITATION AND REPARAND LOANS TO POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS AN APPROVED WATER DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS; TAX TRUST PROCEEDS FOR DEBT SERVICE; STATE DEBT; TO ALLOW THE PRIVATE SALE THE STATE OF HONTANA; AMENDING SECTION AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE."	OF CERTAIN STATE IR OF CERTAIN S HD LOCAL GOVERS TO APPROPRIATE TO AUTHORIZE TI OF MUNICIPAL I	TE HYDROEBECTRIC STATE PROJECTS, NMEN'S FOR CERTAIN COAL SEVERANCE HE CREATION OF A REVENUE BONDS TO
Respectfully report as follows: That	HOUSE	Bill No
be amended as follows:	•	
1. Page 5, line 10. Strike: "2835" Insert: "2853"		
2. Page 8, lines 18 and 19. Following: "\$12,982,281" on line 18 Strike: "or" through "]" on line 19		

AND AS AMENDED

DO PASS

Rep. JOE BRAND

Chairman.

### VISITORS' REGISTER

HOU	SE	COMMITTEE	3	
BILL 883		Date 2/18/	82	
SPONSOR Eugar	<i>!</i>			
				,
NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
G.V. Erickin	Bozenan	MRTA-AARP-Lighey.	V	
Charles Polinson	/ 1	MRTH PARP Legis Comm		
Henry Jorgenson	Aclina	MRTA	V	
7 Robert Gekniss	) Kelena	Terchus' Retirement	· /	
Lang Pallation	)	PERD		
Jones Thurs	en believa	MPEA	L	
			:	
			,	
			!	
			<u> </u>	
			!	
			:	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

C. O. L. A.

36 (C. P. J. - 3%) × Average Solory X

Creditable years = C.O.L.A

30

(6-3)= 22 × 18,000 × 30=180.

### ADVANTAGES OF THIS FORMULA

#### LaNon accumulative

- 2. All retirees regardless of when they retired are given the same cost of living increases if they have the same number of years of service.
- 3. Future increases are subcommatically adjusted by using the use the average salary and C.P.I.
- 4. Using the years of service will give the greater increases to those who have given the most years of service.
- 5. Increases in C.O.L.A. will not be based on amount of benefits now being received.

Submitted by CoveErickson Chm. Leg.slative Jommittee of MaRaTaAs

### VISITORS' REGISTER

НОГ	JSE	COMMITTEE		
BILL #B 84	17	Date		
SPONSOR				
NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
L. C. GULBRANDSON	HELENA, MONT	MONT, JUDGES ASSOC,	X	
Bill Opitz	Helena IM.	Mt PSC	人	
Bob Rounds	Helen	State tox Appeal Page	X	
James Willing	In Turn Brilis	Fost Bench	<u> </u>	
I Thomas Shan	Thene	State Ton appeal	)	
Steve Brown	Helena	M. Judges Assoc.	X	
Jack & Smith	Lwin Brulges	East Bend gravely a.		
Tack force	HLN	COMW. POLITICAL PRACT	- K	7
			!	
			1	
!				
			1	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

### COMPARISON OF JUDICIAL SALARIES -- HOUSE BILL 847

	Chief Justice Supreme Court	Associate Just. Supreme Court	District Judge
Montana (Present)	\$48,204	\$47,023	\$45,841
Montana (Proposed, second year of			
biennium)	50,151	48,923	47,693
Arizona	57,500	57,500	53,000
Colorado	58,936	55,600	47,260
Idaho	47,300	47,300	45,300
Kansas	53,250	50,558	48,860
Nevada	N/A	61,500	56,000
New Mexico	50,500	49,500	45,000
North Dakota	55,400	53,900	50,600
South Dakota	48,000	46,900	43,750
Utah	50,500	50,000	45,000
Wyoming	63,500	63,500	61,000

### AVERAGE SALARIES OF OTHER STATES

Chief Justice Supreme Court	Associate Just. Supreme Court	District Judge
\$53,021.91	\$52,683.67	\$49,108.67

### COMPARISON OF APPELLATE CASE FILINGS - 1981

Montana	574
Idaho	455
North Dakota	382
South Dakota	343
Wyoming	205

\*The salary figures for the other states do not take into account any increases in salary being considered by the 1983 legislatures of these states.

Helen Geteren

TABLE I: Salaries of various positions in the Department of Revenue, compared to salaries of the State Tax Appeal Board.

NAME	POSITION	FISCAL	Salary '82 FISCAL	183
Gregg Groepper	Administrator Property Tax <u>Division</u>	37,731	\$41,806	
Howard Heffelfinger	Administrator Liquor <u>Division</u>	34,095	37,773	
Kenneth K. Morrison	Administrator Income Tax Division	31,000	34,343	
Gerald Foster	Administrator Natural Resources and Corporation Tax Division	34,316	38,016	
Jon A. Meredith	Administrator Legal and Enforcement Division	35,247	39,051	
Ellen Feaver	Director	43,002	46,500	
John Clark	Deputy Director	40,092	43,532	
Randy Wilke	Bureau Chief Real Property	26,024	28,826	
Jess Munro	Bureau Chief Personal Property	24,877	2 <b>7,</b> 552	
Bruce McGinnis	Tax Counsel	28,979	32,102	
Robert S. Raundal	Chairman State Tax Appeal Board	23,554	25,493	
Helen M. Peterson) James A. Steffeck	Members State Tax Appeal Board	22,924	24,809	

TABLE II - Case load, staffing and salaries of full-time tax appeal board in five other states compared to Montana

STATE	CASE LOAD	NUMBE MEMBERS		CURRENT Chairman	SALARIES Members
Kansas	1978-2,413 1979-6,339 1980-5,760 1981-5,002	5	l()*	\$46,860	\$44,730
Michigan	1978-1,039 1979-1,037 1980- 785 1981-1,130		21.5	45,700	45,700
Washington	1978-1,003 1979- 838 1980-1,778 1981-1,880	3	9	45,500	43,200
New Hampshire	1978-1,018 1979- 967 1980- 980 1981-1,250	3	4	29,615	29,615
Ohio**	1981- 958	3	21	28,638	28,638
Montana***	1978-1,409 1979-2,672 1980-2,420 1981-1,151	3	2.5	25,493	24,804

<sup>\*</sup>Includes two full-time attorneys

<sup>\*\*</sup>Case loads for years prior to 1981 not furnished

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>With its heavy appeal load and its tiny staff, the Montana State Tax Appeal Board has an additional responsibility. STAB is required by law to administer the affairs of 56 Montana County Tax Appeal Boards and their employees

Committee On
Date 2/18/83
Support X
Oppose
Amend X
STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
second in support
s. feel the chairman
be given add & of the administrative
t position. They I should be approximate
for the Chief Justice

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

### COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL PRACTICES



TED SCHWINDEN, GOVERNOR

PEG KRIVEC, COMMISSIONE 1205 EAST EIGHTH AVEN

# ·STATE OF MONTANA

(406) 449-2942

CAPITOL STATION

Joe Brand, Chairman State Administration Committee State Capitol Helena, MT 59620

Dear Chairman Brand:

I would like to convey my regards to the Committee and my warmest thanks to Representative Driscoll for his introduction of House Bill 847, proposing a pay increase for me.

However, I would also like to say that I have not requested a pay raise, nor do I need it at this time. Montana is facing a tight budget and a biennium of austerity. This is 1983 and times are not good. Things being what they are, I am happy to have a job at all.

For these reasons, I respectfully suggest to the Committee that HB 847 be amended to eliminate subsection (1) of Section three, and that succeeding sections be renumbered.

Sincerely,

PEG KRIVEC

Commissioner

### VISITORS' REGISTER

HOUSE	COMMITTEE
BILL HB 885	Date
SDONSOR	

NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
DOUG DANIES	BELGRADE	CITY OF THREE FORKS	Χ	
Gerald Vandenac	e Comod	fordera doil consers,		
Fay Stakes	Valin	Pondera Cty Canal & Res	X	
Bill Stokes	VALIER	Ponders Cty Canal & Ro.		
GERALD JOHNSON	CONRATO	PONDERA CO CONS. DIST		
Roman W. S.n. Th	Howeve	So. KG Water	<u> </u>	
Lew Engellant	Garal ding	Gersedine Co. Water	<u></u>	
Gent Meneluch	Consul.	Justera Corala Des Co	+	
Jack arust	GERALLINE	GERALDIE RURAL WALER	, X	
Manay Duffin	Ennie	Town & Ennis	X	
Eggl Buroll	1 Garaldine	Spealding	X	
But D Braun	<b>č</b>	Geraldine Keval	X	
George A. Barner	Callestin,	Reatly Project allegation	X	
Jon Egen	Billings	City of Calbertson	X	
	Thanse	Genelline Rindwale	X	
Ron Paige	alder	East Beach Genty co	<u>X</u>	
Lowell Schellack	Dillon	East Bouch Gravity Co.	X	
RON Nyc	Twin Bridge	11 11 11 11	X	
walt morris	Twi Dridge	(C se le re	×	
El Smith	Dagnar	Senate Dist 1	X	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

### VISITOR'S REGISTER

HOUS	SE	COMMITTEE		-
BILL N. E-S	85	DATE		
SPONSOR				
NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
Turkel	Iterina ill	Sellermen Der	1	
SKIP Lynns	Hamilton, mo	Bitter Rost RCVD	X	
Blass	Steri	Three mile	ナ	
Jil reflection	Enny	Earl Buil JEnnis	X	
Hobert Ballin	dave,	K. G. Witer Develop	سه	
Porskin Underdal	Shelby	Pander and Water	X	
Cos on Supple	Telesia /	Cooney Dam	7	
WOD Ymuc	Prais	Roos. Rus Clark	R/X	
Sund Lebot	4 Freil	11 11 19	X	
Acque Caply	Calbertson	City of Culbertion	$\times$	
J		0		
:				

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.
WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

### HOUSE BILL 885

# TESTIMONY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

### H.B. 885

AN ACT TO APPROVE THE ISSUANCE OF STATE OF MONTANA COAL SEVERANCE TAX BONDS TO FINANCE THE DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN STATE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECTS, THE REHABILITATION AND REPAIRS OF CERTAIN STATE PROJECTS, AND LOANS TO POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FOR CERTAIN APPROVED WATER DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS; TO APPROPRIATE COAL SEVERANCE TAX TRUST PROCEEDS FOR DEBT SERVICE; TO AUTHORIZE THE CREATION OF A STATE DEBT; AND TO PROVIDE AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE.

The Department supports this bill which will promote water development in the state. In 1981, S.B. 409 created a \$250 million coal severance tax bonding authority which can be used to finance water projects. These would be revenue bonds backed by the project revenues as well as by the constitutional trust fund. This bill presents requests of \$56.5 million for the sale of these bonds for the following:

- The development of hydropower in three state-owned projects (\$45 million).
- The rehabilitation of three state-owned projects (\$.5 million).
- eleven projects proposed by local government entities which are : four irrigation projects; three municipal water systems; three rural water systems and one municipal sewer system. (\$11 million).

This bonding authority is structured so that requests come directly to the legislature and the legislature determines how the bonds will be repaid. The law allows for the repayment to

be made in whole or in part by the coal severance tax trust fund proceeds or in whole or in part by project revenues. Because of this option, this bill requests a 2% interest rate on all projects. The Department has information on repayment capacity available, which shows that some of these projects cannot repay an interest rate greater than 2%.

(Big Bond Financing-30 Year Amortization)

2 K

Grant 8% \$12.50 \$.53 \$13.03 \$13.13	`.							
PROJECT NAME   COST   REQUEST   FINANCING   RATES   CURRENT   PROJECT   TOTAL   Cost Per User Per Month   Cost Per Month			1		INTEDEST	ΔΡΡΡΟΧΙΜΔΤΕ	COST PER AC	RE OR USER
City of Culbertson	DDO IECT NAME			1				
City of Culbertson-Water Supply	PROJECT NAME	1 0031	REGUEST	FINANCING	KAILS	·		<del></del>
Cubertson-Water Supply	City of			1	2%	Cost Per u	\$4.83 \$6.47	\$15.23 \$16.87
East Bench Gravity   \$4.383   Irrigation Project   \$3.230   \$3.2	* Water		\$704,000	Develop. Grant &	6%	Users @	\$10.52	\$20.92
East Bench Gravity Irrigation Project	Supply				10%		\$15.37 \$17.98	\$25.77 \$28.38
East Bench Gravity Irrigation Project					0%	Cost Per A		
Trrigation Project		\$4.383		Bureau of	2% 4%	6300	\$31.06 \$40.23	\$37.06 \$46.23
East Bench Gravity Irrigation Project (Alternative 2) \$3.230 \$3.25 \$3.20 \$3.25 \$3.20 \$3.25 \$3.20	Irrigation	•		Zero % Loan for	8%	Acres @	\$61.80	\$67.80
East Benth Gravity Irrigation Project (Alternative 2)  City of Ennis-Sewage Treatment  Geraldine Rural Water System  Huntley Intrigation Project  Huntley Irrigation Gravity Irrigation Project  Sacrate				\$3.383 M1				1 '
East Benth Gravity Irrigation \$3.230 \$3.230 \$3.230 \$42.89 \$22.89 \$23.89					A	Cost Per A		
Second   S	Fact Bonch							\$23.09
Irrigation Project (Alternative 2   \$3.230   Million				None		<u> </u> 		
Project (Alternative 2   Million	•	\$3 230	\$3,230			6300		\$35.65
City of Ennis					[	Acres @	\$37.25	\$43.25
City of Ennis-Sewage Treatment   \$1.858   \$1.733   Million   Million   Million   \$125,000   \$180,		1111110				\$6.00	\$45.54	\$51.54
City of Ennis - Sewage   Treatment   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.733   \$2.75   \$2.00   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$3.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$3.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$3.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.60   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.80   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$2.80   \$1.858   \$	(/// 00/ // 00/ // 2)	٠		1.40	1.		•	
City of Ennis-Sewage Treatment \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.733   \$1.733   \$1.858   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.858	<i>_</i>			490,000 See	12%			
City of Ennis- Sewage Treatment  \$600,000 \$180,0	*					<u>Cost Per U</u>		:h
Ennis-Sewage   Sewage   Treatment   Sewage   Treatment   Sewage   Treatment   Sewage   Sewa				}				
Ennis-	City of			75 %			•	1 '
Sewage   Treatment   Grant   8%   8%   \$4.04   \$7.29   \$4.82   \$8.07   \$1.2%   \$5.64   \$8.89   \$25,000   \$4%   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$0.80   \$125,000   \$4%   \$125,000   \$112   \$74.58   \$74.58   \$74.58   \$112   \$74.58   \$74.58   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$10%   \$150.00   \$136.80   \$136.80   \$12%   \$12%   \$150.00   \$160.10   \$160.10   \$160.10   \$12.70   \$18,000   \$18,000   \$18,000   \$18,000   \$12.70   \$12.70   \$12.70   \$12.70   \$12.84   \$12.93   \$12.50   \$13.03   \$13.13   \$12.50   \$13.13   \$1	♥ Ennis-	\$600,000	\$180,000	E.P.A.		330		( '
Geraldine   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.25,000   \$125,000   \$1270   \$100   \$1	_			1	1	Users @		1 '
Geraldine   \$1.858   \$1.733   DNRC   6%   S125,000   S162,000   Project   \$180,000   \$162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S162,000   S163   S13.13   S13.13	Treatment					\$3.25		'
Geraldine   \$1.858   \$1.733   \$1.733   \$0   \$22   \$22   \$25   \$2				}		·		
Geraldine Rural Water System \$1.858 Million System \$1.733 Million Project \$180,000 Project Project \$180,000 Project Project Project \$180,000 Project Pr		,		<del>}</del>	16%			
Seraldine   Since	e.			ļ	0%	Lost Per U		\$42.00
Rural Water   \$1.858   Million   System   Syst		:						
System   Million   Million   Grant   6%   8%   112   Users @ \$93.69   \$93.69   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$114.55   \$136.80   \$136.80   \$136.80   \$136.80   \$136.80   \$160.10   \$160.10   \$160.10   \$160.10   \$18,000   \$18,0								
Huntley   State   St								
Huntley   \$180,000   \$162,000   \$18,000   \$18,000   \$12\frac{12\pi}{8\pi}   \$20   \$12.70   \$12.70   \$12.76   \$12.76   \$12.84   \$12.84   \$12.84   \$12.93   \$12.50   \$13.13	System	Million	Million	Grant			•	
Huntley Itrigation Project  \$180,000 \$162,000 DNRC Grant				<b>\</b>				
Huntley Itrigation Project  \$180,000 \$162,000 DNRC Grant 8% \$12.50 \$12.70 \$12.70 \$12.76 \$12.84 \$12.93 \$12.50 \$13.03 \$13.13		· '				\$150.00		
Huntley Itrigation Project  \$180,000   \$162,000   \$18,000   \$18,000   \$0%   \$2%   \$12.70   \$12.76   \$12.76   \$12.76   \$12.84   \$12.84   \$12.93   \$12.50   \$13.03   \$13.13   \$13.13						Cost Per A		
Huntley Itrigation Project  \$180,000   \$162,000   DNRC   Grant   8%   10			·		0%		\$.20	\$12.70
Strigation	Huntley			¢10.000				
Project \$180,000 \$162,000 BNRC 6% 27,300 \$.43 \$12.93 \$13.03 \$10% \$12.50 \$.63 \$13.13		¢100 000	f160 000		1 .	27 200		
8%   Acres 6   \$.53   \$13.03   10%   \$12.50   \$.63   \$13.13		\$180,000	) \$102,UUU	1		1	•	
10%   \$12.50   \$.63   \$13.13	110366			Grant				1 '
	ş ·		·		10%	\$12.50		
12 1 34 1 34 1 34					12%		\$7.4	\$13.24

Does not reflect avoided costs from project construction.

Includes domestic and livestock use. Current costs zero if project constructed.

Does not include additional operating/maintenance costs after construction.

### ESTIMATED PROJECT COST IMPACT—VARYING RATES OF INTEREST

(Big Bond Financing-30 Year Amortization)

		1					•
	ESTIMATED		RESIDUAL	INTEREST	ADDROVINATE	2007 550 10	
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT COST	FUNDING REQUEST	PROJECT FINANCING	INTEREST	APPROXIMATE	COST PER AC	
TROOLUT MANE	<u> </u>	IKLYULSI	TIMANCING	RATES	,	PROJECT	TOTAL
į				0%	Lost Per L	lser Per Mont	
C# L				2%		\$1.98	\$14.22
City of			\$390,000	4%		\$2.66	\$14.90
Manhattan-	\$690,000	\$300,000	E.P.A.	6%	420	\$3.44	\$15.68
Water & Sewer			Grant for	8%	Users 0	\$4.32	\$16.56
Project		}	Sewer	10%	\$12.24	\$5.29	\$17.53
		İ		12%		\$6.31 \$7.39	\$18.55
<del></del>		-		······································		* • • * *** · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$19.63
Pondera County			\$1,100,000	0%	Lost Per A	cre Per Year   \$.24	\$6.34
Conservation			S.C.S.	2%		\$.33	\$6.43
District	\$1.78	\$555,000	Cost Share		75,727	\$.42	\$6.52
Irrigation	Million	7000,000	&	6%	Acres @	\$.53	\$6.63
System			\$125,000	8%	\$6.10	\$.65	\$6.75
Rehabilitation			DNRC Grant		70120	\$.03 \$.78	\$6.88
Renabilitation				12%		\$.91	\$7.01
					Cost Per II	ser Per Mont	
Roosevelt				0%	<u> </u>	\$35.52	\$35.52
County		`	\$125,000	2%		\$47.57	\$47.57
Water	\$1.915	\$1.79	DNRC	4%	140	\$61.62	\$61.62
Distribution	Million	Million	Grant -	<b>6</b> %	Users @	\$77.41	\$77.41
System		,		8%	\$100.00	\$94.64	\$94.64
				10%		\$113.02	\$113.02
	•			12%		\$132.27	\$132.27
			Í		Cost Per U	ser Per Mont	
South		,		0%		\$24.60	\$24.60
Kremlin &	•		\$88,000	2%		\$32.96	\$32.96
Gildford	\$336,000	\$248,000	DNRC	4%	28	\$42.68	\$42.68
Rural Water			Grant	6%	Users @	\$53.62	\$53.62
System				8%	\$100.00	\$65.56	\$65.56
	•,			10%		\$78.30	\$78.30
	<del></del>		, ,	12%	<u></u>	\$91.63	\$91.63
			Apolying	0%	Cost Per U	ser Per Mont	<u>n</u>
City of		Up To	Applying for a	2%		\$5.27	\$8.87
Three Forks-	\$1.036	\$1.1	\$400,000	4%	580	\$7.06 \$9.14	\$10.66 \$12.74
Water	Million	Million	Community	6%	Users @	\$9.14 \$11.48	\$12.74
Project			Develop.	8%	\$3.60	\$11.46 \$14.04	\$17.64
110,2200	;		Grant	10%	7 3 . 3 3	\$14.04	\$20.37
		4.0		12%		\$19.62_	\$23.22
			-		Cost Par A	cre Per Year	
				0%		\$12.07	\$23.07
Three Mile	į		\$1,180,000	l		\$16.17	\$27.17
Bitterroot	\$2.36	\$1.18	s.c.s.	4%	3258	\$20.95	\$31.95
Irrigation	Million	Million	Cost Share		Acres 0	\$26.31	\$37.31
Project				8%	\$11.00	\$32.17	\$43.17
				10%		\$38.42	\$49.42
			]	12%		\$44.96	\$55 <u>.96</u>

3 Does not include additional operating/maintenance costs after construction.

Does not reflect avoided costs from project construction.

Includes domestic and livestock use. Current costs zero if project constructed.

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION

WATER RESOURCES DIVISION



TED SCHWINGEN GOLFPNOR

32 SOUTH EWING

HELENA MONTANA 59620

406: 449-2872 ADMINISTRATOR

(406) 449-2864 ENGINEERING BUREAU

406 449-3760 WATER DEVELORMENT BUREAU 406 449-2872 WATER MANAGEMENT BUREAU

(406) 449-3962 WATER RIGHTS BUPEAU

HEMORANDUI!

February 15, 1983

m):

Gary Fritz, Administrator

Water Resources Division

יוייי)טייי

endikum mengalum mengangan mengangan pengangan dikungan mengangan mengan mengan pengan pengan mengan pengan pen

Water Development Staff

SUBJECT:

Cost of subsidizing interest rate on coal severance tax bonds

During a discussion with legislators regarding their proposed projects for the use of coal severance tax bonds, the question arose as to whether the 15% of the interest on the trust fund would be adequate to cover the costs of subsidizing the interest (to 2%) on the proposed projects.

The 15% will be \$3,421,235 in FY 84 and \$4,464,000 in FY 85. This number should be compared to costs of subsidizing a given number of interest points as shown below. For example, bonds sell at 10% and we subsidize to 2%, the average annual cost of subsidizing the 8% spread is \$620,530 (Table 1) if only the projects proposed by local governments are involved and \$2,637,745 (Table 2) if all proposed projects are included.

Table 1. Cost of subsidizing interest rate a given number of points if only the projects proposed by local governments are included.

Pumber Of Interest Points Paid By Trust Fund (ie. difference between rate on bonds and amount paid by sponsor)	Annual Bond Debt Service	First Year Interest Payment	Average Total Interest Paid By Trust Fund	Annual Cost To Trust Fund
29	\$ 499,271	\$ 223,638	\$ 3,796,230	\$125,541
48	570,492	335,457	5,932,869	197,762
<b>4</b> %	646,650	447,276	8,217,614	273,920
5%	<b>727,</b> 399	559,095	10,640,052	354,669
5%	812,353	670,914	13,188,689	439,623
78	901,109	782,733	15,851,377	528,379
<u>88</u>	993,250	894,552	18,615,889	629,539
<u>98</u>	1,088,406	1,006,371	21,470,256	715,675
10s	1,186,168	1,118,190	24,403,133	813,433

AND A PROPERTY OF THE WEST

Table 2. Cost of subsidizing the interest rate a given number of points if all projects proposed by local and state government are included.

Number Of Interest Points Paid Py Trust Fund (ie. difference between rate on bonds and amount paid by sponsor)	Annual Bond Debt Service	First Year Interest Payment	Average Total Interest Paid By Trust Fund	Annual Cost To Trust Fund
<b>2</b> %	\$2,122,300	\$ 950,640	\$ 16,137,003	\$ 537,900
48	2,425,047	1,425,960	25,219,423	840,647
40,	2,748,780	1,901,280	34,931,408	1,164,380
58	3,092,025	2,376,600	45,228,744	1,507,625
58	3,453,148	2,851,020	56,062,442	1,858,748
<b>7</b> %	3,830,433	3,327,240	67,380,988	2,246,033
88	4,222,146	3,802,560	79,132,367	2,637,746
08	4,626,591	4,277,880	91,265,743	3,042,191
108	5,042,159	4,753,200	103,732,754	3,457,759

Ę

Project 1	Interest	Total Project	Total Interest	Total Project Cost With		Total Project Cost with DNRC		Approximate Decrease in Effective Interest Rate Due To Grants	rease in Test Rate
		Cost	Paid	All Grants	Paid	Grant Only	Pard	All Grants	DNRC Grants
City of Culbertson	88	\$ 1,229,000	417,242	704,000	239,006 1,351,744	1,104,000	37 <b>4,</b> 805 2,119,780	0.83% 3.85%	0.20% 0.90%
East Pench Irrigation	28. 04.	3,720,000	1,262,931	3,230,000	1,096,577 6,201,892	3,230,000	1,096,577 6,201,892	0.26% 1.20%	0.26% 1.20%
City of Ennis	ని కో	000,009	203,699 1,152,054	180,000	61,110 345,616	000,009	203,699 1,152,054	1.40% 6.30%	\$00°0 0°00\$
Ceraldine Rural Water	35%	1,858,000	630,000 3,567,528	1,733,000	588,349 3,327,517	1,733,000	588,349 3,3 <i>2</i> 7,517	0.13% 0.61%	0.13% 0.61%
Muntley Irrigation	28.8%	180,000 180,000	61,110 345,616	162,000 162,000	54,999 311,055	162,000 162,000	<b>54,999</b> 311,055	0.20% 0.90%	0.20% 0.90%
City of Fanhattan	25.8%	000'069	234,253 1,324,860	300,000 300,000	101,849 576,027	000,069	234,253 1,324,862	1.158 5.128	0.00° 0.00°
Pondera Irrigation	33.33	1,780,000	604,306 3,417,761	555,000 555,000	188,421 1,065,650	1,655,000	561,869 3,177,750	1.70 <b>8</b> 6.20%	0.15% 0.63%
Foosevelt Co. Water System	88	1,915,000	650,138 3,616,973	1,790,000	607,700 3,436,962	1,750,000	607,700 3,436,962	0.13% 0.45%	0.138 0.458
So. K & G Rural Water	28.78	336,000	114,071	248,000 248,000	84,195 476,182	248,000 248,000	84,195 476,182	0.52% 2.35%	0.52% 2.35%
City of Three Forks	28.	1,036,000	351,720 1,989,213	636,000 636,000	215,920 1,221,178	1,036,000	351,720 1,989,213	0.75% 3.47%	\$00°0 0°00
Three file Irrigation	8,8	2,360,000	801,215 4,531,414	1,180,000	400,607	2,360,000	801,215 4,531,414	3.00% 4.50%	0.00° 0.00°

$\hookrightarrow$				
Name Dancy Southin	Committee On			
Address Ennis	Date			
Representing Town & Ennio	Support			
Bill No	Oppose			
	Amend			
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED				
Comments: 1. Town of Ennis has exceeded sewere output levels ento the madison Quier since 1976. Since then the town has experienced a 32% population increase.				
2. The state DHES has cuttain since hookups, therefore of construction in the city is american of 150 lots are of	topping housing mits. Becent			
3. a lausent settlement man improvements be made to sewage treatment facilities	dates that			
4. all passelle resources to accommon to accommon to an EPA commonded in 1981 have be	quiethe towns onstruction grant en exhaustra			
protection of the madison & securing a low interest	Five depends upon Noter Development			
loan.				

Loan Beguest: 175,000 - repaid by a user rate increase from \$39 household/morth to \$80

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

Name Ston & alge	Committee On flate admin
Address aldu	Date 2/14/53
Representing East Binch Growity Co	Support
Bill No. #B 865	
	Amend
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED  Comments:	STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
1. as president of group - so of our project as on energy	y consuvation measure
2. Efglan om ability to , loon ord interest.	repay the requested
3. Explain, if necessary, the Is at very difficult to pay of 4. Nate than the 2% as reg	reasons that make.

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

Name 4/04d-B-B; ERUM-Cockdinator	Committee On AdM
Address P.C. 131-1673-711-474	
Representing Gardins Rund-water	Support X
Bill No. 885	Oppose
	Amend
ARMED MECHINATUS DIRACE IRANG PORPADOS	CMA MOMENTA MATRIA COGRESSON
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED	STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
Comments: 1. BAEIT-INTRODUCTORY	STATEMENT
a Bout ThE- PROLLET-	
2.	
3.	
4.	

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

FORM CS-34 1-83

Name Ken Fnasilism	Committee On Final
Address Bx 3/4/ G-71/1000 17, 579/16	Date 2-18-33
Representing Carried 1 3 2 2 2 2 2.	Support
Bill No. 48.885	Oppose
	Amend
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED	STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
 Comments:  1. H.E. 885 IS designed To  Coall Severence Tax Minies  L. Mosperity for Monto  2. Most Area Hos Serve  3. We have been activity in  Secure & supply source  A. The cool severence Tax  The cool severence Tax  3. Il is necessory To our  purposes can Take Place.	TO PROMISE WITH DATE JSE,  WASTIC + Lidestock JSE,  VOINTE TY 1 49 TO  January Chadestigning  S.  WORLD ONLY Allegation  Ble at Present Tais  Dienvict So

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

FORM CS-34 1-83

WITNESS STATEMENT	
Name Ronald W Smith Att. Address 312 3th St Have	Committee On
Address 312 32 St 1-190eE	Date 2-18-83
Representing Genildine Co. Water Dist  Bill No. 48 885	Oppose
	Amend
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED	STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
Comments: 1. Both Generalne & South A	LG will be upoliti
Entity at the time the money a	oill be appropriated t
1. Both Gerilaine & South A Enitity at the time the money a use. They will be exected as a 2. Sections 7-13-2201 stored	county water districts and

The area where these districts will operate are in were water is of poor quality and quanty. Money 3. from other public agencies are not available, There 15 a need for this type of money to ossist Those people who wish to venture forth ind create 4. Viable water grojects.

These projects as created under this statute guarantee the repayment of the money which will leaned to Them if this bill is passed,

in both area unere districts will be created the public interest is extremly high. Festitions for the Creation of these district creed 75% lignup of potatial Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

later It is possible that another 300 to 400 users maybe toom CS-34 from the projects after the creation

Name Douglas E. DANIERS	Committee On
Address Balgrade MT.	Date 2/18/83
CITY OF THREE FORKS	Support $\checkmark$
Bill No. <u>885</u>	Oppose
	Amend

AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

#### Comments:

- 1. THE IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE TO BE FUNDED BY THIS DILL ARE BADLY NEEDED BY THE CITY, OF THREE FORKS AND THE TOWN OF MANHATTAN. THE IMPROVEMENTS WILL BRING THESE COMMUNITIES INTO COMPLIANCE WITH STATE 2. AND FEDERAL LAWS.
- FUNDING THROUGH THE OPEN MARKET BY SALE OF BONDS IJ PEARLY IMPOSSIBLE, RESULTING IN PROHIBITIUELY HIGH CONSUMPL COSTS.
- 3. OTHER FUNDING METHODS ARE BEING WED WHERE AVAILABLE, HOWEVER FUNDING SOURCES ARE DIFFICULT TO FIND AND ARE DIMINISHING
- ENGINERING REPORTS AND FINANCIAL DATA WILL BE PROVIDED IF NECESALY.

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

WIINDO SIAIDIMI	
Name Robert D Praico	Committee on Administration
Address 1615 Hauser Blud	Date
Representing Geraldie Regal Costa	Support <u>/</u>
Bill No	Oppose
	Amend
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED  Comments:  1. Consulting engineer for pro  brief statement explaining	
2.	
	V
3.	

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

4.

Name JACK ARNST	Committee On Administration
Address GERALdin=	Date 2-18-83
Representing GERAL dine RURAL Water Line	Support VES
Bill No. <u>H- 885</u>	Oppose
	Amend Now F
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED	STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
Comments: 1. This Bill REPRESENTS the U	SE of DNRC COALTAX
MONEY (OR WATER DEVELOPM 2. NEEDED IN OUR AREA due	- to VERY how water
a 1:/ pullack of 50 \land	CLENT QUANVILY YOR
3. Livestock And House hold	CONSUMPTION.

4.

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

FORM CS-34 1-83

Name Earl Durull fr	Committee On Administra
Address Graldine mont	Date 2/18/83
Address <u>Geraldine Mont</u> Representing <u>Monter</u>	Support ofla
Bill No. <u>HB 885</u>	Oppose
·	Amend
AFTER TESTIFYING, PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED	STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.
Comments: 1. 885 is needed in lecause of very love- 2. quantity of present wate	- quality and
3. Over 500 of the perf area hard drinking an	ple in This if a livestock
4. With increased use of present quality of war probably deteriate further	ter will se

Itemize the main argument or points of your testimony. This will assist the committee secretary with her minutes.

### VISITOR'S REGISTER

		HOUSE	COMMITTEE	
BILL	HB	846	DATE	
SPONSOR		Brand		

	·	<u> </u>		<del></del>
NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP- PORT	OP- POSE
Larry Wenter	Helma	Mont . U. System COMM. POLIT, PRACT		
JACK LOWE	HUN	COMM. POLIT, PRACT		
			·	
		·		

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

WHEN TESTIFYING PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.