MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE HOUSE TAXATION COMMITTEE January 6, 1983

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 a.m. by Chairman Yardley. Roll call was taken and all committee members were present.

House Bills 65 and 66 were heard during this meeting. Executive action was taken on HB 40, HB 65 and HB 66.

## HOUSE BILL 65

REPRESENTATIVE JAY FABREGA, sponsor of HB 65, read a prepared statement to the committee. (See EXHIBIT 1.)

There were no proponents or opponents testifying on HB 65.

The hearing was closed on HB 65.

#### HOUSE BILL 66

REPRESENTATIVE FABREGA, sponsor of HB 66, read a prepared statement to the committee. (See EXHIBIT 2.)

There were no proponents or opponents testifying on HB 66.

NORRIS NICHOLS, Administrator of the Motor Fuel Tax Division, Department of Revenue, told the committee that the division received \$8,900, during the last year in charges for out-of-state auditing. The division is requesting \$13,500 for each year of the next biennium to perform audits.

The hearing was closed on HB 66.

CHAIRMAN YARDLEY called the meeting into Executive Session at this time.

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION

#### House Bill 40

REPRESENTATIVE WILLIAMS moved HB 40 DO PASS.

REPRESENTATIVE NORDVEDT said he felt the legislature was going from one extreme to another by first demanding unreal profits from the Liquor Division to demanding no profits to be deposited into the general fund. Representative Bertelsen said the following language will still be in HB 500: "During the 1983 biennium, profits may not be less than 15% of net liquor sales."

Minutes of the Meeting of the House Taxation Committee Page -2-January 6, 1983

REPRESENTATIVE REAM, referring to page 2, lines 21 and 22, asked if it would be possible to strike "83 biennium" and insert "any biennium". Jim Oppedahl, staff researcher, said HB 40 just amends session law and HB 500 terminates in July of 1983.

The motion of DO PASS was voted on and PASSED unanimously.

## HOUSE BILL 65

REPRESENTATIVE HARRINGTON moved HB 65 DO PASS.

The motion of DO PASS was voted on and PASSED unanimously.

## HOUSE BILL 66

REPRESENTATIVE ZABROCKI moved HB 66 DO PASS.

The motion of DO PASS was voted on and PASSED unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:45 a.m.

DAN YARDIEY, Chairman

Vicki Lofthouse, Secretary

House Bill 65 - Representative Fabrega, Sponsor

COMMENTS ON THE BILL TO PERMIT WAGES COVERED BY FEDERAL JOB CREDITS AS DEDUCTIONS FOR MONTANA INCOME TAX.

This bill is being proposed to insure that Montana businesses receive equal treatment of their wage expenses when determining their taxable income for individual income tax purposes. In particular, the bill guarantees that all ordinary wage expenses will be deductible for tax purposes, and it eliminates the possibility that state tax laws will defeat the purpose of federal tax incentives.

Many Montana businesses provide jobs of social benefit under special federal incentive programs. In return, they receive credits against federal income taxes. The credits are based on the wages paid to employees qualifying under the incentive programs. Examples of qualifying employees include handicapped persons, welfare recipients, disadvantaged youth, and former prisoners.

When wages are taken as a credit, the business is not allowed to claim the same wages as a deduction from gross income for purposes of the federal income tax. Ordinarily, wages are considered a cost of doing business and are deductible.

When a Montana business elects to take the job credit on its federal return, it is technically prevented from taking either the credit or the wage deduction on the Montana individual income tax return. The credit is not allowed because Montana does not recognize the jobs incentive credits. The deduction cannot be taken because the wages are not a deduction, in this case, for federal purposes.

Montana income tax law follows federal tax law in determining wage deductions.

If another business did not elect to use the federal credits, but

instead claimed the same expenses as a deduction for wages, the wages would be a deduction for Montana income tax purposes. Thus, unequal treatment of the same expense item can occur.

The bill corrects the problem of unequal treatment by specifically allowing a Montana deduction for wages in those cases where the federal jobs credit election was made. Under the bill, businesses would receive a wage deduction on their Montana tax return without regard to elections for federal tax purposes.

The correction is only necessary for businesses required to report on an individual income tax return and not those filing a corporation return. The corporation tax statutes already specifically allow a deduction for the wages (15-31-114, MCA). Thus, the bill will make the individual income tax statute consistent with the corporation statute.

The Department does not anticipate a major revenue impact from this bill. The primary impact will be to clarify the treatment of the wage deduction when a federal jobs credit is claimed and to eliminate a potential inequity among different Montana businesses. COMMENTS ON THE BILL TO REMOVE THE REQUIREMENT THAT A TAXPAYER PAY THE COSTS OF AN OUT-OF-STATE MOTOR FUELS AUDIT

Current state law requires that gasoline distributors and diesel fuel dealers and users pay the travel and per diem costs of an audit by the Department of Revenue when taxpayer records are located out of state. This situation will often give rise to tension or conflict between the employee of the department and the taxpayer at the time the audit costs are presented. More specifically, the following problems can or do occur:

- \* Employees can encounter difficulty in collecting amounts due from the taxpayer;
- \* The morale of employees is adversely affected because of the frequent conflict over payment of audit costs; and
- \* The taxpayers --who are people doing business in Montana-become irritated at Montana's law and can decide that Montana is a poor place to conduct business.

The irritation the current law produces can be understood if one imagines the reaction that a taxpayer would have to being presented a bill for an Internal Revenue Service audit for income taxes.

Charging taxpayers for tax audits is an outmoded practice.

The Motor Fuels Division is the only division within the Department that is still required to charge for audits.

Eliminating this requirement will be of minimal cost. If the proposed legislation is approved, the cost of the audits to the state will be about \$13,500 annually over the next biennium. The Department has requested these funds, contingent upon the approval of this bill.

The benefits of this bill will be the elimination of unnecessary conflicts with the taxpayer, an improvement in employee morale, and an improved image of Montana as a place to do business.

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

	January 6.	19 <b>83</b>
MR. SPEAKER:		
We, your committee on	N	
having had under consideration	HOUSE	Bill No65
Pirst resident cons ( White )		ing section of \$1.00 per minutes
A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT		
BY THE FEDERAL TARGETED JOBS CREDIT OF CREDIT AS DEDUCTIONS FOR MONTANA INCO		•
15-30-111, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMME	DIATE EFFECTIVE DAT	E AMD
Perpentially report as follows. That	Tinite	68
Respectfully report as follows: That	noon	. Bill No

DO PASS

DAM YARDLEY

Chairman.

200

## STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

		January 6,	19
RSPEAKER:			
We, your committee on	HOLTAXAT		
ving had under consideration		H <b>OUSE</b>	No
First roading	Color	the state of the s	
	www.mn = Esst sam ma	TO WARRING THE MARKET THE PROPERTY OF THE	ra et a lega
A HILL FOR AN ACT ENT			
THAT A TAXPAYER PAY T			LS
AUDIT; AMENDING SECTI	ONS 15-70-208 AND 1	5-70-324, MCA."	
		-	
		•	
spectfully report as follows: That		HOUSE Bill	No66
	*		
the state of the s	10 (10 m) 10 m		
		and the second of the second o	
PASS			
guar-profit-registratus-rest			
STATE DUB. CO	AY WAC	DLEY,	Chairman.

STATE PUB. CO. Helena, Mont.

Chairman.

against any tax, penalty, or interest then due from the taxpayer and the balance refunded to the taxpayer or its successor through reorganization, merger, or consolidation or to its shareholders upon dissolution.

- (2) Except as hereinafter provided for, interest shall be allowed on overpayments at the same rate as is charged on deficiency assessments provided in [section 14 due from the due date of the return or from the date of overpayment (whichever date is later) to the date the department approves refunding or craditing of the overpayment. Interest shall not accrue during any period the processing of a claim for refund is delayed more than 30 days by reason of failure of the taxpayer to furnish information requested by the department for the purpose of verifying the amount of the overpayment. No interest shall be allowed:
- (a) if the overpayment is refunded within 6 months from the date the return is due or from the date the return is filed, whichever is later; or
  - (b) if the amount of interest is less than \$1.
- (3) A payment not made incident to a bona fide and orderly discharge of an actual tax liability or one reasonably assumed to be imposed by this law shall not be considered an overpayment with respect to which interest is allowable."

Renumber: subsequent section

Page 2, line 14. 3.

> "instruction." Following:

"Section 1 is" Strike:

Ingert: "Sections 1 and 2 are"

Page 2, line 15.

Pollowing: \*as

Strike: "an"

"integral" Pollowing:

Strike: "part" "Parts" Insert:

Page 2, line 19.

Pollowing: "to"

Strike: "section 1"

Insert: "sactions 1 and 2"

AND AS AMENDED DO PASS

#### STATE OF MONTANA

#### FISCAL NOTE

REQUEST NO. 047-83

Form BD-15

In	compliance	with	a written	request recei	ived January	6,	, 19 8	3	there is	hereby si	ubmitted a	Fiscal Note
for	House	Bill	65	pı	ursuant to Title 5,	Chapter 4,	Part 2 of	f the M	lontana	Code Ani	notated (M	ICA).
Background information used in developing this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budget and Program Planning, to members												
of	the Legislat	ure up	on reques	t.								

#### DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

House Bill 65 permits wages covered by the Federal Targeted Jobs Credit or Work Incentive Program Credit as deductions for Montana income tax and provides an immediate effective date and an applicability date.

## ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1) The 11,070 Montana taxpayers who took advantage of the federal jobs credit in 1979 claimed \$1,608,000 of credit. This was assumed to be 40 percent of qualifying wages.
- 2) Wages are assumed to have increased 10 percent annually.
- 3) The tax rate is 5 percent.
- 4) Returns not needing the additional deduction are not taken into account.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

Since the federal government already allows this credit, some Montana taxpayers took the credit on their Montana returns. However, the federal jobs credit is not an allowable credit for Montana tax purposes. Exact numbers of those who did take the credit cannot be determined. Therefore, the figures below assume that no taxpayers previously used the credit.

	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>
Additional Deduction	6.121M	6.733M	7.405M
Individual Income Tax Collec	tions		
Under Current Law	155.240M	167.908M	181.814M
Under Proposed Law	154.873M	167.504M	181.370M
Estimated Decrease	$\overline{(.367M)}$	(.404M)	(.444M)

FISCAL NOTE2:C/1

**BUDGET DIRECTOR** 

Office of Budget and Program Planning

Date: 1- (0-8)

#### STATE OF MONTANA

REQUEST NO. 046-83

#### FISCAL NOTE

Form BD-15

						, there is hereby subm	
for	House Bil	1 66	pursuar	nt to Title 5, Chapte	r 4, Part 2 of the	Montana Code Annota	ted (MCA).
Background information used in developing this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budget and Program Planning, to members							
of	the Legislature u	pon reques	t				

## DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

House Bill 66 removes the requirement that a taxpayer pay the costs of an out-of-state motor fuels audit.

## ASSUMPTION:

- 1) The Department of Revenue estimates the costs of out-of-state travel for motor fuels audits to be \$13,500 annually.
- 2) Funds for out-of-state motor fuel audit expense will be appropriated from the highway earmarked account.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

	<u>FY84</u>	<u>FY85</u>
Expenditures for Out-of-State Audits (Net of taxpayer reimbursements)		
Under Current Law	0	0
Under Proposed Law	13,500	13,500
Estimated increase in expenditures from the highway earmarked account	13,500	13,500

FISCAL NOTE1:EE/1

BUDGET DIRECTOR

Office of Budget and Program Planning

Date: 1 - 10 - 83