#### MINUTES OF THE MEETING FINANCE ANC CLAIMS COMMITTEE MONTANA STATE SENATE

#### February 9, 1981

The seventh meeting of the Senate Finance and Claims Committee met on the above date in room 108 of the state capitol building. The meeting was called to order at 11:10 a.m., roll call was taken and is attached, and Chairman Himsl said we would discuss Senate Bill 180.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 180: Senator Van Valkenburg, the chief sponsor of Senate Bill 180 explained the bill. He said this is a bill that was recommended by the Interim Committee on state mandates and the effects of state owned property on local governments. He said he had taken the liberty of passing out a copy of a study of eight selected state mandates to Montana Local Government, marked exhibit 1. He said, I did not serve on the committee but was asked by a member of the committee who did not return to legislature to sponsor the bill. Senator Watt and Senator Lensink did not return. This bill would take the county medical assistance from the counties and replace it with a state indigent medical assistance program. The fiscal note indicates a big program. Approximately the same amount would be eliminated at the county level. There is a slight increase in the state expenditures; I think some people from the SRS are here who could answer you as to how the Fiscal note was based. Inorder that you will not be as surprised as I was, there is one proponent for the bill here and 28 opponents. Apparently there is concern from private hospital industries that they will be asked to bear the burden of increased costs of the indigent.

Senator Van Valkenburg discussed the way the bill would work, and referred to the appendix page E4, E5 and #6 of exhibit one. He also asked for his proponent to speak.

Doris Sheppard, Association of Counties, MAP, spoke in favor of S. B. 180. She said the cost to the counties was too great and she felt this should be a service of the state. She also referred to the larger cities who received many of the indigent because they wished to go to larger centers where there was better medical service.

Opponents to the bill who spoke follow:

Chad Smith said this was creating a hardship on the hospitals who could not refuse medical care and the patients or their insurance would have to absorb the cost of the care. He gave out a sheet of amendments which he considered a necessity if the bill were to go through the committee.

John LaFavor, Director of Social and Rehabilitive Services, SRS, said they are sensitive to the need of the counties, but said he was still trying to find out the entire responsibility of the SRS and felt this was a bill that would cost the state a great amount of money and was not sure how it would be handled. Minutes of the Finance and Claims Committee February 9, 1981 Page two

Rose Scoog, Nursing Home Association, said the nursing home has expressed the same concerns and she would concur in the testimony of Chad Smith.

James Holt, Tool County Hospital said he would agree with the remarks of Mr. Smith, and to a large extent with those of Mr. LaFavor.

Vince Huntington, administrator of the Granite County Hospital at Phillipsburg said they do levey the full 13½ mills and there never seems to be enough money. He said this bill would remove the local control and still leave a lot of the shoulders of the local government to pay.

Committee Questions: Senator Himsl: I would like to address this to Dorris Sheppard. I am confused. You are representing Montana Association of Counties and you are for the bill? The other county people are against the bill. Sheppard: Our commissioners are for it. It is one of the 4 areas that was listed as one of the main concerns of the commissioners. We do not know what happened to this bill. Our intent was not to lose control locally but to have the indigent paid for by the state, and they can control how much money. I do not know what happened to the bill, it did not come out like we wanted.

Senator Aklestad: Where is the discrepency between the fiscal note and the information you gave us? Sheppard: We have the whole list of what it cost here. It was just a little over \$3 million. I am sure the state must have a reason for saying \$6 million. The information we got from our own counties was \$3 million.

Senator Thomas: This has nothing to do with state owned property being assessed? Senator Van Valkenburg: The committee was conducting the study of 2 general programs. One was the medical and the other the universities.

Senator Van Valkenburg closed by saying this bill is a fairly good example of the very large problem that state mandates create on local governments. He said he did not think we could amend the bill to do everything for everybody, but that the problem was not going to go away, and that if we did not provide the funding for the mandates we make on local government we would continue to hear from them session after session.

Senator Himsl declared the hearing on S. B. 180 closed.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL 330: This was the bill to let the state earn more interest through a risk free lending through Hanover Trust and would earn the state up to \$500,000.

Senator Himsl suggested that in view of the fact that there may be a bill passed to change the end of the fiscal year to October that an amendment should probably be put on the bill to make it effective immediately upon passage and approval. Minutes of Meeting of Senate Finance and Claims February 9, 1981 Page three

MOTION by Senator Dover to amend the bill to give it an immediate effective date. Voted, PASSED, voted unanimous with Senators Etchart and Regan absent.

MOTION by Senator Dover that Senate Bill 330 as amended, do PASS. Motion voted, passed unanimous with Senators Etchart and Regan absent.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL 234: Senator Aklestad said he did not feel it would work in some of the Government areas and would therefore make a MOTION that Senate Bill 234 DO NOT PASS. Voted, Roll call vote, 8 for the motion, 6 against, and 2 absent, roll call vote attached.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL 180: MOTION by Senator Smith that Senate Bill 180 DO NOT PASS. Discussion on the bill as follows:

Senator Haffey: Am I right in saying that the poeple who are here opposing the bill did so primarily because it would put the cost on the paying patients --costs that they now do not bear?

Senator Himsl: The counties can manipulate more now than they could by having it operated on a state level. They feel more comfortable now than not knowing what they would get.

Senator Van Valkenburg: I don't feel it is the counties, but the hospitals that think they could have problems with this.

Senator Himsl: But the hospitals pretty well dominate what the commissioners say on this.

Senator Smith: My people do not support it. I don't know how we could dump this on them when they can't handle what they have.

The motion was voted, MOTION PASSED, 13 yes, 1 no, 2 absent.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:40 p.m.

Senator Himsl, Chairman

## ROLL CALL

## FINANCE AND CLAIMS COMMITTEE

47th LEGISLATIVE SESSION - - 1981 Date

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Etchart			
Senator Story	~ V		
Senator Aklestad			
Senator Nelson	Ľ		
Senator Smith	V		
Senator Dover	V		
Senator Johnson			
Senator Keating			
Senator Boylan	V		
Senator Regan	V		
Senator Thomas	V		
Senator Stimatz	~		
Senator Van Valkenburg	V		
Senator Haffey	V		
Senator Jacobson	V		
Senator Himsl	V		

ø

VISITORS' REGISTER

180

RI

COMMITTEL

Date\_\_\_\_\_

-

		<u> </u>		•
NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
James M. Holconb	Shelby	Toole Cty Hosy		X
Dan Milevel	Bahn	Fallo-Men. Hosp		$\Delta$
bom Jarly	Eholohan (	Holl mon Verp.		Y
Vagne alph	Ekstaka	Vall dam		X
Jan Kurk	Acure	No. Mt. Haspt		X
Jon Cruc	Poplar	Comm. Hogpitiel		5
I. m. Clan	Poplar	L1 7		1
Alonalo		• 1		У
1-thanky	Culbertion	11 11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X
in Myquist	Barniele	Cerlly Rower Meneral		<u>X</u>
Bob Brackney	chotrar	Teto Man Con te		<u>ک</u>
in a Huntington	Philipsburg	Granite Co. Hosp.	;   	X
Som BARTOS	CocumBus	STILL WATER LOS		X
alman wille	a histor	F.C. Hospile		$\boldsymbol{X}$
Pchad Brown	Chester	Lig. CTy. Hosp		X
Tichard J. Ojala		Pondera Medical Cente	<b>e</b>	X
e c ? Gracher	malta	Chillips G. Holf.		X
JOHN HUSLIP	KALISPELL	KALISPELL Roz. Hospin		- <del>×</del>
nginia Gardee	mile from	<b>.</b>		X_
e. Ballantine	Shelly	Toole Co. Hosp.		<u> </u>
en Bellandyne	Shelby	Tode Co. Sonitarian		<u> </u>
" nef Sprans	GLENDIVE	GLENDIVE COMM. HOSP		<u></u>
afay Mc Crachen	•			
	C			

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

## VISITORS' REGISTER

\_

--,

H.	IOUSE	COMMITTEL		
19 7 58 180 JONSOR	2	Date		-
• NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
ose Skorg	Helen	montana nuring		X
i thin Learn	Holeng	All wit Ar A Haspitos	e	X
elissa DANIELSON	Glendive	(		$\times$
2 y Alice Danielson	11	()		$\times$
th mes Metrager	Kolispell			X
JORIS HEPHERI		MEASSN OF CUS	X	 
c of Smith	Helena	Most Hospiani		X
Rillbud	Helena	SRS	Cesoure	Jour
Machael E. Fontono	Sutte	ST.JAMES		X
<u></u>				 
				<u></u>
*				
*				
-				

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

-



# A Study of Eight Selected State Mandates to Montana CAL Local Governments

November 1980



MONTANA LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL Room 138 State Capitol Helena, Montana 59601 (406) 449-3064

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

.

Date 3/9/81 51.186 Bill No. Time 11.58

NAME Y	ZES	NO	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Etchart		/	V	
Senator Story	1/			
Senator Aklestad	1/			
Senator Nelson	V			
Senator Smith	V			······
Senator Dover	V			
Senator Johnson	V		1	
Senator Keating	1/.		1	
Senator Boylan	/			
Senator Regan			r	
Senator Thomas	~			
Senator Stimatz	V			
Senator Van Valkenburg		V		
Senator Haffey	~			
Senator Jacobson	V			
Senator Himsl	V			
, m	, ,	1	32	
Sylvia Kinsey $\partial$		Sena	tor Himsl	
Secretary		Chai		
Motion: Do Not	1 -	) 7	2	

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIM	$\frac{15}{2}$
	234
Date	Bill No Time
NAME YES	5 NO ABSENT EXCUSED
Senator Etchart	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Senator Story	
Senator Aklestad	
Senator Nelson	
Senator Smith V	
Senator Dover	
Senator Johnson V	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Senator Keating	
Senator Boylan	
Senator Regan	$\sim$
Senator Thomas	L.
Senator Stimatz	
Senator Van Valkenburg	<i>L</i>
Senator Haffey	V
Senator Jacobson	br
Senator Himsl	
Ş -	- 6 - 2
Sylvia Kinsey	Senator Himsl
Secretary	Chairman
2	
Motion: 234 -	6

------

÷

Amendments suggested by MACO for Introduction with SB 180

- 1. Title, line 12. Following: "SECTIONS" Insert: "7-6-2426,"
- 2. Page 1, line 18. Following: the enacting clause Insert: "Section 1. Section 7-6-2426, MCA, is amended to read:

"7-6-2426. Enumeration of county charges. The following are county charges:

(1) charges incurred against the county by virtue of any provision of this title;

(2) one-half of the salary of the county attorney and all expenses necessarily incurred by him in criminal cases arising within the county;

(3) the salary and actual expenses for traveling, when on official duty, allowed by law to sheriffs and the compensation allowed by law to constables for executing process on persons charged with criminal offenses;

(4) the board of prisoners confined in jail;

(5) the sums required by law to be paid to grand and trial jurors and witnesses in criminal cases;

(6) the accounts of the coroner of the county for such services as are provided by law;

(7) all charges and accounts for services rendered by any justice of the peace for services in the examination or trial of persons charged with crime as provided for by law;

(8) the necessary expenses incurred in the support of county hospitals and poorfarms  $\frac{and}{in}$  the support of the indigent sick and the otherwise dependent poor whose support is chargeable to the county;

(9) the contingent expenses necessarily incurred for the use and benefit of the county;

(10) every other sum directed by law to be raised for any county purpose under the direction of the board of county commissioners or declared to be a county charge.

1

Remember: All subsequent sections

3.

Page 3, line 8. Following: "same;" Insert: "and to erect and maintain hospitals or nursing homes as provided in Title 7, Chapter 34, parts 22 and 23"

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Februar: 2, 1981 19

We, your committee on	nance and Claims	
ving had under consideration	Senate	Bill No. 120.
spectfully report as follows: That	Seate	Bill No <u>1</u> .3.0
spectfully report as follows: That	Seaata	Bill No130
spectfully report as follows: That	Seate	Bill No <u>1</u> .3.0
spectfully report as follows: That	Seaate	Bill No <u>1</u> .3.D
spectfully report as follows: That	Seate	Bill No <u>1</u> .3.0
spectfully report as follows: That	Seate	Bill No <u>1</u> .3.0
spectfully report as follows: That	Seate-	Bill No].3.D
spectfully report as follows: That		Bill No130
spectfully report as follows: That		Bill No <u>1</u> .3.0
		Bill No1.3.0
spectfully report as follows: That		Bill No].3.0
D NOT PASS		Bill No].3.0

## **STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT**

Jacuary Feb. 9 1981

PRESIDENT MR. ....

We, your committee on	FINANCE AND CLAIMS	
having had under consideration	SENATE	Bill No. 234

Respectfully report as follows	: That	Senate	. Bill No. 234
--------------------------------	--------	--------	----------------

DO NOT PASS

DO:DAGEx

STATE PUB. CO. Helena, Mont.

1°C

١.

SENATOR HIMSL

Chairman.

\*\*\*\*\*

STANDING C	OMMITTEE	REPORT
------------	----------	--------

President   We, your committee on Pinance a   ving had under consideration		
ing had under consideration	Senate	Bill No. <u>330</u>
spectfully report as follows: That amended as follows:	Senate	Bill No. 330
Title, line 7. flowing: "SECURITIES" sert: "AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIA	TE EFFECTIVE DATE	-
-Page 1.		
llowing: line 23 s rt: "Section 3. This act is	effective on passage an	đ approval.'
ASS so amended,		

Senator Himsl

**`** 

.....

ATE UB. CO. elen Mont.

-

Chairman.