MINUTES OF THE MEETING STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE MONTANA STATE SENATE

January 13, 1981

The fourth meeting of the Senate State Administration Committee was called to order by Senator Pete Story, Chairman, on the above date, in Room 442 of the State Capitol Building at 10:00.

ROLL CALL: All members of the committee were present.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL NO. 78:

AN ACT TO REVISE THE DETERMINATION OF DISTANCE LIMITATIONS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A LIQUOR LICENSE: EXCEPTING LICENSES ALREADY ISSUED...

Senator Elmer Severson, Stevensville, District 46, sponsor of the bill, would like to revise the manner in determining the 5-mile distance. The present law defines it in a straight line; the new bill would have it measured by the shortest practical route.

PROPONENTS:

Elmer Cherry claimed he could not get a liquor license because he is under the 5-mile limit under the present law. However, under the proposed law he is over the limit by auto and would be eligible if the bill passes.

Robert Durkee, representing the Montana Tavern Assn., expressed that they are for Bill No. 78.

CONSIDERATION ON SENATE BILL NO. 81:

AN ACT TO PROVIDE FOR AN AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN UNEMPLOYED PARENT PROGRAM BY CHANGING REFERENCES IN THE CURRENT PROGRAM FROM "UNEMPLOYED FATHER" TO "UNEMPLOYED PARENT"

Senator Dorothy Eck, Bozeman, District 39, sponsor of the bill stated that this is a housekeeping bill that has very little other relevance.

Page 2 State Administration Meeting Minutes

January 13, 1981

PROPONENTS:

Judith H. Carlson, SRS, testimony enclosed, urged favorable consideration from the committee regarding the bill. Under federal decisions the department is required to apply the law as the amendment suggests, and they want to conform state law to federal law.

OPPONENTS: None.

Questions from the committee:

Senator Hammond asked if one parent is working and other not, would he be eligible for unemployment? Ms. Carlson gave the example of a family of five children, one parent unemployed, \$1,500 in assets, could be eligible for this program. Under present language many fathers leave their families so they can qualify for ADC.

Senator Hafferman wanted to know the criteria for a family of five, with one parent working. Ms. Carlson answered that she does not have the figures at hand, but a table in the office sets the criteria.

Senator Hammond asked what is suitable employment. Ms Carlson answered that people are not required to take something way out of their range; desired wage would be accepted.

Senator Hafferman inquired of the cost for this program. Proponent Carlson indicated there would be no cost because it has a change of names. Senator Hafferman expressed fear that more people would take advantage of it. The counter statement by Ms. Carlson was that more are taking advantage now because we have a high unemployment. The applicants do not know what is in the law, anyway, she concluded.

Senator Story closed the hearing on this Bill No. 81.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL NO. 82:

ACT ALLOWING A MEMBER TO QUALIFY SERVICE IN THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE COMMISSIONED CORPS FOR CREDIT IN THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES AND TEACHERS RETIREMENT SYSTEM:

Senator Story allowed Senator Eck to review her second bill of the morning with this committee.

Senator Eck explained that this Senate Bill No. 82 came to her attention because a friend of hers in the Public Health Commissioned Corps was ineligible for the retirement plan, but other branches of the service were eligible. She would like to amend the law to include Public Health. There would be a financial impact. Page 3 State Administration Meeting Minutes

January 13, 1981

PROPONENTS: None.

OPPONENTS:

Mr. Larry Nachtscheim, testimony included, was in opposition to this bill because the cost for the employer would be \$695 per person per year. He claims there is not adequate funding for the bill, and his second reason for opposing it is that it is precedent setting.

Bob Johnson read his testimony of opposition, which is included.

Questions from the committee:

Senator Towe asked if people would be opposed to the bill if they had to pay their way in, including the state share. Senator Eck answered that it would be appropriate.

Senator Story appointed a subcommittee of Senators Ryan and Johnson to review this Senate Bill No. 82.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL NO. 62:

Senator Kolstad moved that S.B. No. 62 DO PASS. It passed by unanimous vote.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL NO. 71:

Senator Kolstad moved that the bill do not pass; a substitute motion was made by Senator Towe that this bill be amended. This motion passed by majority vote. He then moved the amendments be passed, which provided that annotations would not be provided free so that the cost would be absorbed by the users. The motion passed by majority vote. He then moved that the bill DO PASS, AS AMENDED. The motion passed by majority vote, with Senators Story and Ryan voting "No".

ACTION ON S. B. NO. 72: Senator Hammond moved that this bill DO PASS. It was seconded and motion carried by unanimous vote by those present.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL NO. 81: Senator Towe moved that this bill DO PASS. The vote ended in a tie and will have to be determined by Senator Johnson who was absent.

ACTION ON SENATE BILL NO. 78: Senator Hafferman moved that this bill DO PASS, and motion was carried by majority vote with Senator Ryan voting "NO".

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 11:05 SENATOR PETE STORY

Tourth meeting

ROLL CALL

STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

47th LEGISLATIVE SESSION - - 1981 Date (-13-8)

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	<u></u>		
Senator Allen Kolstad, V. C.	1		
Senator William Hafferman	V		
Senator H. W. Hammond			
Senator Jan Johnson			
Senator Patrick Ryan	N. C.		
Senator Thomas Towe			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Each day attach to minutes.

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date 1/13/81 Bill No. 62 Time

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	X	
Senator Allen Kolstad, V. Chairman	x	
Senator William Hafferman	x	
Genator H. W. Hammond	x	
Senator Jan Johnson	x	
Senator Patrick Ryan	x	
Senator Thomas Towe	x	

.

Barb Secretary	ara Simi	c		Cha	Pete airman	Story	Y			
Motion:	Senator	Kolstad	Moved	that	Senate	Bill	No.	62	DO	PASS;
motion	was seco	onded and	d carri	ied by	v unanir	nous y	vote.	h 	<u></u>	
(include e committee	nough info report.)	ormation or	n motion-	put w	rith yelle	ow copy	y of			

STATE OF MONTANA

REQUEST NO. 71-81

1.1.1.1.1.1

FISCAL NOTE

	Form BD-15
n compliance with a written r or <u>S.B. 82</u> Background information used in of the Legislature upon request.	pursuant to Chapter 53, Laws of Montana, 1965 – Thirty Ninth Legislative Assembly. eveloping this Fiscal Note is available from the Office of Budget and Program Planning, to members
Retirement Syste	B. 82: A proposal to allow members of Public Employee's m to qualify service in the Public Health Service Commissioned te for payment of only the employee contributions.
by both the empl	ed on the fact that retirement contributions are paid byee and the employer, this bill provides for only the ution and does not allow for the payment of the employer The number of individuals affected by this act cannot be
	retirement system is based on an average salary of \$11,126 loyer rate of 6.2%.
Impact on Retire	ment System Funding:
	ear of service qualified by a member of the system will be
	tirement system of approximately \$690. r's Retirement System:
Impact on Teache The m however, it is e	
Impact on Teache The m however, it is e	er's Retirement System: umber of members having this service cannot be determined, estimated that the cost would be somewhat higher per member
Impact on Teache The m however, it is e	er's Retirement System: umber of members having this service cannot be determined, estimated that the cost would be somewhat higher per member
Impact on Teache The m however, it is e	er's Retirement System: umber of members having this service cannot be determined, estimated that the cost would be somewhat higher per member

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 13, 81

DO PASS

94

......

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATIO	N		
Date	_Bill No	71 T	ime
NAME	A	S AMENDED	, DO PASS NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman			x
S <u>enator Allen Kolstad, V. Chairman</u>		X	
Senator William Hafferman		X	
Senator H. W. Hammond		X	
S <u>enator Jan Johnson</u>			
Senator Patrick Ryan			x
Senator Thomas Towe		X	
Barbara_Simic	Pet	e Story	
Secretary	Chairman		

Motion: _____ Sen. Towe moved that this bill do pass, as amended.

•

The motion passed by majority vote.

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date 1-13-81

Bill No. 71

_ Time_

Amendments

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman		X
Senator Allen Kolstad, V. Chairman	x	
Senator William Hafferman	x	
Senator H. W. Hammond	x	
Senator Jan Johnson		
Senator Patrick Ryan	x	
Senator Thomas Towe	x	
		<u> </u>

Barbara Simic Secretary

<u>Pete Story</u> Chairman

Motion: Senator Towe moved that these amendments be added to the bill so that annotations would not be provided free so that the cost would be absorbed by the users. The motion passed by majority vote.

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

STANDING	COMMITTEE	REPORT
----------	-----------	--------

JANUARY 13, 19.81

;

PRESIDENT MR.

.

We, your committee on	STATE	ADMINISTRATION				
,						
having had under consideration		SENATE	Bill No. 71			

Respectfully report as follows: That	SENATE	Bill No. 71
introduced bill, be amended as follows:		
<pre>1. Page 2, line 9. Following: "(5)" Insert: "(a)"</pre>		
2. Page 2, line 10. Following: <u>"publications"</u> Insert: "except annotations"		
3. Page 2, line 12. Strike: "(a)" Insert: "(i)"		
4. Page 2, line 13. Strike: "(b)" Insert: "(ii)"		•

Chairman.

CONTINUED

STATE ADMINISTRATION

JANUARY 13, 81

SENATE BILL NO. 71

5. Page 2, line 15. Strike: "(c)" Insert: "(iii)"

6. Page 2, Pollowing: line 16 Insert: "(b) The legislative council shall include in the cost price of the code the cost of providing the copies under this subsection."

AND, AS SO AMENDED DO PASS

SENATOR PETE STORY

Chairman.

...........

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date 1-13-81 _____ Bill No. 72 ____ Time_____

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	X	
Senator Allen Kolstad, V. Chairman	x	<u></u>
Senator William Hafferman	x	
Senator H. W. Hammond	x	
Senator Jan Johnson		
Senator Patrick Ryan		
Senator Thomas Towe	x	

Ba Secreta	Barbara Simic				Pete Story Chairman							
Motion:	Senato	Hammo	ond m	noved th	at :	Senate	Bill	No.	72	DO PA	SS;	
motion	was sec	conded	and	carried	by	unanii	nous	vote	by	those	pres	ent.
												
					•	• -	<u> </u>					

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 13 81

MR. President		
We, your committee on	Administration	
having had under consideration	Senate	Bill No.72

DO PASS

Pete Story Chairman. Pete Story

AU.

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date 1-13-81 _____ Bill No. 78 _____ Time _____

NAME	YES	NO	
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	X		
Senator Allen Kolstad, V. Chairman	x		
Senator William Hafferman	x		
Senator H. W. Hammond	x		
S <u>enator Jan Johnson</u>	x		
Senator Patrick Ryan		X	
Senator Thomas Towe	x		

Barbara Simic Secretary			<u> Pete Story</u> Chairman							
Motion:_	Moti	lon	was r	made by	Senator	William	Hafi	Eerman	that	Senate
Bill No	. 78	DO	PASS	; motior	n carrie	l a majo	rity	vote	with	Senator
Ryan vo	ting	"No	»".							

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

January 13 19 82 MR. President We, your committee on State Administration ·

DO PASS

Pete Story

G.A.

COMMITTEE ON State alministration ILL NO.

	VISITOR'S REGISTER				
			Check One		
	REPRESENTING	Support	Oppose		
A athy Karp	LWV				
fay Bouch	LWV of Mastara				
Apolet physon	LWV of Mastara Teacher Returnent		SB82		
- Lawy Machtohein	PERS		SB 82		
Susan Sust	M+ Home Ec. appor.		<u> </u>		
Jimmy m'al	SRS	SB 81-			
Juditet 18. CARCSON	5R.5	51381			
Marthe Colo		Spire			
· · ·	• <i>i</i>	-1-7 < -			
Bab Durkes Jim Turcotte	MCA	5B78			
JIM TURCOHA	PERD	Æ	5B82		
			1		
			1		
			1		
······			1		
			1		
\sim			1		
Plana ling.	out the other for testify.		1		
- Alack yell	toot il	"			
- you prome	junique o				
			l		

(Please leave prepared statement with Secretary)

NAME: Olmer Cherry DATE: 1-13-	81
ADDRESS: Bop 146 Cavallia MIX	
PHONE: 1-961-4471	
REPRESENTING WHOM?	
APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: 5878	
DO YOU: SUPPORT? AMEND? OPPOSE?	
COMMENTS:	

.

, . .

=	_
NAME: Sen Aun Daeuser DA	ATE: 1/18/3/
ADDRESS: Juleng	
PHONE: 442 0288	`
REPRESENTING WHOM?	
APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL:	
DO YOU: SUPPORT? AMEND?	OPPOSE?
COMMENTS:	
	<u> </u>

•

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY

1/13/80

Testimony on S.B. 81 - An Act to Provide for an Aid to Dependent Children Unemployed Parent Program by Changing References from Father to Parent

The Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services requested this bill and fully supports it. The State of Montana administers an Aid to Dependent Children program for needy families, including those families who are deprived of support because of unemployment. When our law was passed, the federal law authorized the program for families of Unemployed Fathers. Following a Court decision, Califano v. Westcott in June of 1979, the federal government deleted references to gender and made it an ADC program for Unemployed Parents in order to treat both mother and father equally. This elimination of sex discrimination is in keeping with our own Montana constitution. This program is also in keeping with our heritage of support for intact families - in Montana, one parent does not have to desert the family just so they can receive public assistance. The family can remain intact until employment is found.

The proposed law will bring Montana into conformity with federal law as interpreted by the Supreme Court but will not change the actual operation of the program.

The Department of Social and REhabilitation Services urges your favorable consideration of this bill.

Judith H. Carlson Deputy Director

. 1		\sim				<i></i>		
NAME:	JUDIT	74 14 CA	RLSON	/		_DATE:_	1-13	3-8/
ADDRESS:	///	N SA	VDERS		····-			
PHONE:		9-56	22					
REPRESENT	ING WHOM?	<u> </u>	5					
APPEARING	ON WHICH	PROPOSAL:	5,	<u>B 81</u>				
DO YOU:	SUPPORT?	X		AMEND	?		OPPOSE?	
COMMENTS:	C.	ANGE M	VAME	OF	PROGR	4m -	WRITTE	~
<i>T</i>	ESTIMON	y SUBM	TED-					
			·					
								ار
·				·				
								-

Senate State Administration Committee

Testimony on Senate Bill 82

F. Robert Johnson

Teachers' Retirement

The Teachers' Retirement Board is opposed to Senate Bill 82 basically for the same reason outlined by Mr. Nachtsheim. One difference is that there is no funding consideration in that the Teachers' Retirement System receives both the employee and employer contribution on the purchase of military service. The inherent danger in the bill is the potential to add to the definition of military service. S.B. 82 - P.E.R.S.

The purpose of this bill is to permit members of the P.E.R.S. and T.R.S. to qualify service with the Public Health Service Commissioned Corp, sometimes referred to as the Surgeon General's Office.

As is stated in the fiscal note on this bill, the cost per individual is minimal, approximately \$690 for each year to be qualified based on the average salary paid in the P.E.R.S. However, if there are 100 individuals who qualify for this service, the cost would obviously be \$69,000 per year.

The military service provision in the P.E.R.S. Act, enacted in 1973, was to recognize military service that interrupted the working careers of a great number of P.E.R.S. members; individuals who were drafted or elected to serve in the Armed Forces of the United States.

While the Public Health Service, like any public service, can be of a meritorious nature, it is not in keeping with the original intention of this military service provision to include Public Health Service any more than would be service with the Civilian Corps of Engineers, the Peace Corps or any other Federally designated program.

This particular amendment is proposed to enhance the participation in the retirement program of a very few select individuals. Any cost will detract from the funding for all members of the system.

The Public Employees' Retirement Board is therefore opposed to this measure for two reasons:

1. Adequate funding has not been provided; and,

2. The precedent of amending the Retirement Act for selected individuals is not in the best interest of the majority of the members of the system.

> Lawrence P. Nachtsheim, Administrator 1-13-81

Jectimieni: 1-13-81

	CEPH	testimony	will come
NAME: Lawy Machtshim		DATE: 13/8	
	_		_/
ADDRESS: /// Ninth	Arenne		
PHONE: 444-3154			
REPRESENTING WHOM? PERS	Board		
APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL:	SB-82		
DO YOU: SUPPORT?	AMEND?	OPPOSE?	
COMMENTS: Written' will be	give to see	<u>-</u>	
	,		
	<u></u>		
			,

•		C)	
NAME:	7 Rr	put John	20y	DATE:	N 13 198 /
ADDRESS:	1500	ligth a	1 <u>-</u>		
PHONE:	44 9.3	7134			
REPRESENT	ING WHOM?	_ Tiach	us' Returem	ent Lepten	
APPEARING	ON WHICH	PROPOSAL:	Sinate Be	21 82	
DO YOU:	SUPPORT?		AMEND?	OPPC)SE?
COMMENTS:	<u> </u>				
	·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				i	
	1				
·	·····	17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•

	4 for F and a for a state of the second state of the s				

3

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY