IINUTES OF THE AEETING OF THE JOINT APPROPRIE:IC:AS SUBCOMMITTEE EOR INSTITUTIO:S UOUNTAIN VIEN HOME EXECU?IVE SESSION
January 27, 1981
JACK K. MOORE, Chairman called the Executive Session for the Mountain View Home to order at 10:55 a.m. Committee members present were:

Rep. Conroy
Rep. Ernst
Sen. Etchart
Sen. Thomas
Sen. Johnson
MR. RUSSELL explained that in the Equipment in the Mountain View Home, they were going to try to buy their radios out of their current level appropriations, if there wasn't enough money they wouldn't do it. Also the washer and dryer figures were adjusted so there was no variance in the Equipment totals. The washing machines were figured at $\$ 325$ and $\$ 250$ for the dryers.

MR. ROSTOCKI noted this would change the totals on Exhibit 33, Page 1 in the Equipment to $\$ 13,375$ for FY 82 in both Executive and LFA budget, and $\$ 6,975$ for $F Y 83$ in both Executive and LFA budget.

SEN. JOHNSON moved to accept the Equipment amounts for $\$ 15,375$ for FY 82 and $\$ 6,975$ for FY 83.

The motion passed.
REP. CONROY moved to accept Other Expenses figures for $\$ 4,558$ for FY 82 and $\$ 4,858$ for FY 83; Utilities figures for $\$ 47,911$ for $F Y 82$ and $\$ 53,660$ for $F Y$ 83; Rent figures for $\$ 1,535$ for $F Y 82$ and $\$ 1,650$ for FY 83; Supplies and Materials figures for $\$ 87,746$ for FY 82 and $\$ 96,424$ for $F Y$ 83; Contracted Services figures for $\$ 56,038$ for FY 82 and $\$ 65,654$ for FY 83 .

The motion passed.
SEN. ETCHART moved to accept the Executive Budget in Repair and Maintenance figures for $\$ 13,686$ for $F Y 82$ and $\$ 14,880$ for FY 83.

SEN. JOHNSON asked if this amount related to as the school stands, without any remodeling being done for the additional Pine Hill students.

MR. ROSTOCKI explained the higher LFA figures were due to inflation factors.

SEN. JOHNSON asked if there would be Repair and Maintenance money that would slide from Pine Hills to Mountain View if there would be money needed.

MR. ROSTOCKI explained it would be the Department's proposal to allow money to be exchanged between the two schools.

SEN. ETCHART'S motion for Repair and Maintenance amounts passed.
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REP. CONROY moved to accept the Fiscal Analyst's Travel figures for $\$ 3,993$ for $F Y 82$ and $\$ 4312$ for $F Y 83$.

The motion passed with five in favor and one opposed. Rep. Moore opposed.

SEN. JOHNSON moved to accept the Fiscal Analyst's figures in Communications for $\$ 13,604$ for $F Y 82$ and $\$ 14,760$ for $F Y 83$.

The motion passed.
REP. ERNST moved to accept the Personal Services figures for $\$ 1,555,261$ for $F Y 82$ and $\$ 1,155,498$ for $F Y 83$.

The motion passed.
MR ROSTOCKI explained to the Committee the projected amount necessary to maintain current levels of funding at this time. He gave the Fiscal Analyst's corrected figures as \$51,307 for FY 82 and $\$ 55,927$ for FY 83.

REP. ERNST moved to accept the Fiscal Analyst's figures for the ESEA Title 1.

MR. ROSTOCKI noted the Food Service money and the Federal Boarders money would be boiler plated as pass through money to the General Board.

REP. ERNST motion for the ESEA funds passed.
MR. SOUTH asked, for the record, the Committee discuss the step increase figure of $\$ 26,473$ which would involve retro-active pay for Mountain View Home.

THE CHAIRMAN stated he has discussed at length with other Committee's about granting retro-active pay. It is their conviction not to grant retro-active pay.

MR. SOUTH explained to the Committee how the Pine Hill teachers were granted an upgrade previously, and Mountain View Home was not. He noted the Department was not consulted at all in this transaction.

SEN. JOHNSON moved we do not allow the retro-active pay for $\$ 26,473$ for Mountain View Home.

The motion passed.
MR. SOUTH explained the reason these upgrades were not paid, it would have put the Department in an insolvent position, but the Department policy was even in those departments that had the money, it was uniform
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There being no further discussion or comments, the executive session was adjourned at 11:l0 a.m.
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MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JOINT APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE FOR INSTITUTIONS - PINE HILLS SCHOOL January 27, 1981

CHAIRMAN JACK K. MOORE called the meeting for the Pine Hills School to order at 8:02 a.m., in Room 108, Capitol Building. All committee members were present.

Testimony was given by Al Davis, Superintendent of Pine Hills School; Leon Bridges, Dan Russell, Director of Corrections Division; Carroll South, Director of the Department of Institutions; Norm Rostocki, Fiscal Analyst; and Gene Huntington, Office of Budget and Planning.

MR. SOUTH explained to the Committee he would be bringing to their attention the retroactive pay for Mountain View School of $\$ 26,473$. He noted those upgraded positions are built into the 1982 and 1983 request, but the decision was granted in April of 1980. He stated there was no retroactive pay for Pine Hills. There is a new cottage being built, with an expected completion date of January, 1982. They have left the FTE's alone in FY 81. He noted adjustments were made in the vacancy savings in 1982 and they will be able to reduce the FTE level by 5.9. He noted 1983 is based on the closure of one of the existing cottages, and the opening of the new one. This does not reflect the transfer of any boys to Mountain View. He asked Mr. Davis to explain the type of treatment going on at the Pine Hills School, and also explain the Contracted Services.

MR. DAVIS explained when he first went down to Pine Hills School about two years ago, there was no functional organizational system. He noted this was difficult to assess any needs. In regard to the population,it was 125 at that time, 20 students were in a lock down cell situation without a program. He noted there was a law suit in 1976 regarding allegations of violation of students' rights, cruel and unusual punishment. Due to negotiations with all the people involved in this suit, and a large hail storm, it has taken up to six months to make any assessments in any of the areas. He explained in the Repair and Maintenance area, there was a program where the staff or detailed supervisors were to supervise 3 to 15 students while they were on their jobs. This did not allow the boys who were interested in helping to work, but only the boys who no one else wanted. As a result the maintenance and repairs were neglected over the years. He noted everything on the budget is based on an ' 80 base, plus inflation factors.

THE CHAIRMAN noted the audit cost is $\$ 11,000$ for Pine Hills.
MR. DAVIS explained the Supplies and Materials area. Most of these amounts reflect the physical plant needs. He stated

Minutes of the Meeting of the Joint Appropriations
Subcommittee for Institutions - Pine Hills School January 27, 1981
there were problems of property destruction and neglect. He feels they are just now approaching a level of maintenance but still have a long way to go.

SEN. JOHNSON asked about the two areas for psychological services.

MR. DAVIS stated they had a contract with the Mental Health Service until they lost their psychiatrist, which was approximately $\$ 150$ per month. He noted there were some instances where the students went to court, and that required a separate psychiatric evaluation.

MR. SOUTH noted the Building Materials, \#2227, is lower in ' 82 than the actual expenditure of '80. He stated they took any one time expenditure out, and he feels comfortable with the money that was pulled out so the ' 80 base could be projected out. He noted there was around $\$ 3,300$ removed from the base. He stated they did not back the carpet out because it was a replacement item.

MR. ROSTOCKI asked to have the clothing cost explained.
MR. BRIDGES explained there was a store going out of business in Miles City. He stated they valued the clothing at what the purchase price would have been, and they provided the owner a letter for IRS purposes. This allowed a minimal expenditure for clothing that year.

MR. SOUTH explained that to arrive at an actual base for ' 80 , they went back to '79, which was $\$ 10,126$ and used that cost for their base.

THE CHAIRMAN asked about the food costs, and what is being done for occupational therapy.

MR. DAVIS stated due to the reduced length of stay (8 to 9 months) more emphasis is placed on academic and working for the local residents.

MR. ROSTOCKI asked about the cost for food as compared to the meal cost.

MR. HAUBEIN explained part of the difference in the cost per meal and total food cost was when the farm was closed down, there was some extra pork put in the freezer and used in 1980. The total cost on that pork was \$ll,ll6. He further explained his calculations for his meal cost.

MR. DAVIS explained program expense. This was used for a lodge incentive program, and an Atari set was purchased and rotated among the lodges. He would like to continue with a similar type of incentive over the next three years.

THE CHAIRMAN asked Mr. Davis to explain the ESEA Title 1 program.

MR. DAVIS explained the ESEA program identifies students who qualify based on years behind in school and preinstitutional testing. The emphasis is based on giving this particular type of students additional help, which includes teacher's aides, a special education program, and a family involvement counselor. He explained the employee involved in dealing directly with the families does a lot of traveling and this reflects on the gasoline costs, etc.

SEN. JOHNSON asked what In-state Other in the travel category included.

MR. DAVIS, noted a large portion of that amount was a reimbursement to those families that were being brought into the institution for lodging and transportation. He stated the ESEA Title $I$ is federal funded and will be faced with a $15 \%$ decrease in 82.

SEN. ETCHART asked when this ESEA Title I fund started.
MR. DAVIS stated this has been in operation for about 8 years.
THE CHAIRMAN asked about the recovering of $\$ 17,923$ from the insurance in regard to the hail storm.

MR. DAVIS stated they did.
THE CHAIRMAN asked about the reward incentive program and if that was federal funds for $\$ 703$.

MR. DAVIS stated it was. He explained on the vehicle list, they are trying to get the vehicles on a replacement schedule. He noted there was an error on the station wagon, it should be $\$ 7,000$ for each year. He explained the central laundry was shut down at the school, and is only operating on a one day basis. All other laundry is done on individual machines by the boys.

THE CHAIRMAN asked what the MCF gas price was now.
MR. BRIDGES explained they are on a variable rate due to an increase. He noted the usage has been on a decline. There
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was a $15 \%$ increase at the first of December and another 15\% increase on the 28 th of February.

MR. ROSTOCKI stated he did not put the washers and dryers in the FY 83 budget. If the committee does not take the option of transferring students to Mountain View, then he would like to have the machines added back into the equipment budget.

MR. DAVIS stated they have five cottages, and in each cottage they have one washer and one dryer. They would like to rotate the machines every 2.5 years.

THE CHAIRMAN asked what the average daily population was.
MR. DAVIS stated there is an average of 92 boys.
REP. CONROY asked about the color T.V.'s and where they purchased them.

MR. DAVIS noted these T.V.'s had to be wall mounted and remote controlled.

SEN. JOHNSON asked Mr. Haubein if the Mental Health Center had their stove and refrigerators replaced with MDU every year for $\$ 25$, why couldn't Pine Hills do this.

MR. DAVIS stated he tried to get a contract for the washers and dryers when he moved to Pine Hills, and no one was interested in giving out this contract.

REP. CONROY asked about the Interest and Income.
MR. HAUBEIN explained this is the proceeds that Pine Hills get' from the trust and legacy fund that is maintained by State Lands. It comes from various sources, and part of the money will be from leasing the mineral rights.

REP. CONROY asked how many acres we have.
MR. DAVIS explained on the school site there is about 460 acres, and about 3,300 acres of deeded land that is leased out. The 460 is leased to a local rancher.

MR. ROSTOCKI explained how his department researched the land lease given by state lands. He stated it will be necessary to insert boiler plate language for any money received over FY 82, $\$ 187,912$ in FY 82 ; and $\$ 191,070$ in FY 83, because these figures fluctuate so greatly.
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MR. DAVIS explained the modified sheet (EXHIBIT 35) for an alcohol and drug abuse counselor. He feels this is needed to help in the drug area at the school. He stated there was a problem with the confidentiality for this position.

MR. ROSTOCKI explained he did not have this position down for the modified, because last fall the institution stated this counselor could not be integrated into the regular counseling program. He asked what happened on this.

MR. DAVIS stated the resolution was he became the parent for the total campus, so he can sign the release for confidentiality for all students, which allows those people necessary to have access to the files.

THE CHAIRMAN asked who was paying for this.
MR. DAVIS stated part was being paid by $A D A D$ and part by LEAA.
THE CHAIRMAN asked if they really needed him there and if he has been carried in the FTE roles of Mr. Murray's Division.

MR. SOUTH stated this request is to put him directly under Mr . Davis.

MR. ROSTOCKI explained that the department had requested three substance abuse counselors. At the Prison the Substance Abuse Counselor is an FTE and the two other abuse counselors are contracted with ADAD (Alcohol, Drug Abuse Division). These two contracted counselors will result in a $\$ 42,000$ reduction in ADAD's contract services. He noted the contract was about $\$ 23,000$ per person.

MR. HOFFMAN explained the Contracted Service position was left at the Pine Hills School, with Mr. Murray's Division, because the LFA wasn't sure whether Pine Hills Schools needed this position.

THE CHAIRMAN stated he would like A\&E to look at the sewer service to see whether they should go with the city water or use the well.

MR. ROSTOCKI explained Pine Hills does not have written down an agreement to use the city water. The city had an engineering firm, Morrison-Maierle, do a user study and at first it
was decided Pine Hills should be charged for 57 users, but previous correspondence has stated the school should be from 46 to 63 users. The problem arises when the school gets charged for the amount of users, and he recommended that $A \& E$ check it out.

MR. SOUTH introduced Mr. Fred Wocken, Chairman of the Sewer and Water District of Miles City.

MR. WOCKEN stated the problem with the SID at Pine Hills School started about 4 years ago, when the bond issue was passed. He noted their engineering firm did most of the work for them, and the firm was told, at that time, Pine Hills was in favor of having the water and sewer system accommodate the school, because the well system and the lines had some age on them. The city of Miles City had written a letter to the water and sewer district board asking them to take over the service at the Pine Hills School because they were overloading the older city system. He agreed he also had two figures of 57 users and of 49 users. He noted one of the problems in determining the users was the variance in the population. He stated Morrison-Maierle claimed they made some errors in their first work, and adjusted their usage to 57. He explained the costs involved in the system, and the budget for FY 81 was $\$ 122,444$, and the debt repayment was worked at $\$ 10$ per equivalent user on water and sewer.

THE CHAIRMAN asked what were the total number of users in the SID.

MR. WOCKEN noted at this time there are about 90 users hooked up. He noted the projected users for the system is 225. He agreed that as more people hook up, the amount would go down. He noted there might be a 30 unit apartment house constructed in that area also.

MR. SOUTH noted once they were hooked up, by means of a meter they could determine how many users there were.

THE CHAIRMAN asked how much water is used from the well.
MR. DAVIS stated there wasn't an accurate account since they have just been on a meter for the last two weeks.

REP. CONROY asked what basis a user was determined.
MR. WOCKEN stated an average family is an equivalent user of 4.4 people, and the engineering firm stated the fairest way is to convert an institution back to the average family.

MR. HUNTINGTON stated rather than have the $A \& E$ do their own evaluation, it would be better to have them go over the MorrisonMaierle study to see if the calculations are correct. He felt the A \& E Division should study the issue of whether or not to abandon the existing water system.

THE CHAIRMAN stated he would like to hold off voting on the modified for the water system, until the committee can hear from $A \& E$.

REP. CONROY asked if the people of Miles City were lead to believe that Pine Hills was going to help with the cost of the water system.

MR. SOUTH thought they were, because the estimate of the cost was probably computed into it before the election.

MR. HOFFMAN stated Pine Hills School was only given $l$ vote in this election.

SEN. JOHNSON asked Mr. Russell what it costs to run the group home evaluations in Great Falls, and what was the staffing of the group home.

MR. RUSSELL stated the maximum population is 8 and they have been running approximately 7 residents. He stated the figures were around $\$ 113,000$ a year and about $\$ 56$ a day. He noted there are 6 FTE.

THE CHAIRMAN asked if the food costs were included in the $\$ 113,000$.
MR. RUSSELL stated yes the cost does include food. He noted those students in that program are Medicaid eligible, therefore, the general fund is used only for a portion of this evaluation process. He stated there are a lot of indirect costs that the city, county and the government are helping to pay.

SEN. JOHNSON asked how many would the cottage hold at Mountain View.

MR. RUSSELL stated the cottage could hold about 14 people. He stated the Department has some real qualms about using the Mountain View program for a 45 day evaluation, because there would be no control over which boys would be at Mountain View in a co-ed situation. The Department does have an alternative proposal which would be easier to work with and there would be more control internally.

SEN. JOHNSON stated she has a hard time justifying a program that runs 7 youths for $\$ 113,000$ a year, when she is looking at
a budget for Pine Hills for $\$ 2,471,000$ and for Mountain View for $\$ 1,401,000$.

MR. RUSSELL explained under most circumstances there are about 7 boys under the 45 day evaluation. He stated they could not control the influx and maintaining of a stable population. He felt if on the other hand they could work with 14 honor type boys maintained at Mountain View, this would put the boys into a less restrictive environment.

SEN. JOHNSON stated with the 14 beds freed up at Pine Hills, she cannot justify the group home in Great Falls.

MR. RUSSELL stated they have done some estimates what it would cost to put the boys in Mountain View, the figures would end up a wash by adding the same amount to Mountain View that's reduced at Pine Hills.

SEN. JOHNSON asked what happens if Great Falls is out.
MR. RUSSELL stated we add 7 or 8 more people either at Pine Hills or Mountain View, and have a reduction of $\$ 113,000$.

THE CHAIRMAN stated if we consider the transfer of the boys in a pre-release type of movement, there would have to be flexibility in the Department in case these boys are returned to have freedom of movement between the two schools.

MR. RUSSELL noted there is a fear, that Mountain View School as viewed by the courts, may make committment rates go up rather than down. He noted a lot of the courts like the less secure environmeat that Mountain View has, and it would be critical that the Department have the flexibility on this.

SEN. THOMAS stated the judges are critical of Pine Hills by releasing the tough kids back on the streets too soon, and wants to know Mr. Davis' feelings on this.

MR. DAVIS stated the average length of stay has decreased about 5 months, and if anything the hard core kid has a longer stay. He offered to educate the judges as to what is really going on.

SEN. JOHNSON asked to look at the total spectrum of the group homes as compared to Mountain View and Pine Hills School.

MR. RUSSELL explained it was necessary to do evaluations in the least restrictive environment, and with that in mind the group homes are helping.

There being no further comments or discussion the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 p.m.


JACK K. MOORE, Chairman
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## PERSONAL SERVICES SUMMARY

PINE HILLS SCHOOL
Institution PINE HILLS SCHOOL


[^0]
Eunctional Mrea
I. Administration
Administrative Services
II. Developmental


| (1) | Residential Services Director III | I | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | Transportation/Security | I | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (3) | Security Guard Supervisor | D | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (4) | Security Guard III | D | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| (5) | Residential Services Director I | I | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (6) | cla I | D | 8.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 2.1 |
| (7) | CLA II | D | 32.40 | 32.40 | 32.40 | 32.40 |
| (8) | cla III | D | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| (9) | Rehab. Aide II | D | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| (10) | Recreation Spec. II | D | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (11) | Rehab. Aide I | D | 2.34 | 2.34 | 2.34 | 2.34 |
|  |  |  | 59.74 | 59.74 | 59.74 | 53.84 |
|  | Total Pine Hills School | D | 56.74 | 56.74 | 56.74 | 50.34 |
|  |  | I | 68.13 | 68.13 | 68.13 | 68.13 |
|  |  |  | 24.87 | 124.87 | 124.87 | 118.97 |
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| $\cdot 1$ | \％ | M N Nे |
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|  |  |  |
|  | 旁 | $\Sigma$ |
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