MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JOINT APPROPRIATION SUBCOMMITTEE ON EDUCATION January 26, 1981

The Bureau of Mines meeting of the Joint Appropriation Subcommittee on Education was called to order by Vice-Chairman Nelson at 8:05 a.m. on Monday, January 26, 1981 in Room 104, Capitol Bldg., Helena, Montana.

All members were present except for Rep. Donaldson who returned at 8:15 a.m. to take over as Chairman. Curt Nichols, Fiscal Analyst, was also present.

Those who gave testimony are as listed.

**PROPONENTS:** 

REP. JOE KANDUCH; REP. KATHLEEN McBRIDE; REP. FRITZ DAILY; REP. JOE QUILICI; BILL HAND, MONTANA MINING ASSOCIATION; PETER JACQUES, MONTANA MINING ASSOCIATION; JOHN FITZPATRICK; PAT STUART, COAL COUNCIL; DON ALLEN, MONTANA PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION; GARY FRITZ, WATER DEPT., DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES.

CURT NICHOLS gave a briefing on the Bureau of Mines. He also gave the LFA recommendation in comparison with the Executive Budget Office's recommendation. The LFA did not recommend any modifications but the Executive did recommend one modification for the Hydrogeological Research. The LFA recommends a 9% pay increase and the Board of Regents recommends a 12% pay increase. The revenues are generated from sales of services such as maps and publications.

MR. SID GROFF introduced his staff, Dr. Demoney, President of Montana Tech.; Mr. Victor Burt, Director of Fiscal Affairs; Mr. Ed Bingler, Deputy Director; Dr. Frank Evercromby, Chief Chemist; Mr. Gary Cole, Energy Division; Willis Johns, Chief of Economic Geology Division; Marvin Miller, Chief of the Hydraulic Division; Dr. Holter, Holter Research.

DR. DEMONEY, President of Montana Tech., gave his presentation. He pointed out that the Bureau of Mines is a department of the University and is separately budgeted and appropriated. These fiscal impacts are in no way related to enrollment. He discussed the faculty salaries and commented that the 25 on staff help out with the instructional part as well as the geographical part. They have 30-40 students hired which gives them good work experience. A good salary structure is needed.

He further stated that the faculty salary is about \$22,000 per year and the Bureau's salary is about \$24,500 per year and that includes top administrators. There has been no attempt to adjust the base for salaries. MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JOINT APPROPRIATION Page 2 SUBCOMMITTEE ON EDUCATION January 26, 1981

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON questioned what they are using in deriving this salary structure.

DR. DEMONEY replied that the salary structure is independent of the pay plan but that they are attempting to get an equal salary scale with university employees.

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON asked what peer group is suggested to use in formalizing a pay scale.

DR. DEMONEY stated that one would be "in system" for comparison. Others would be the Agricultural Experiment Station as well as possibly the New Mexico Bureau of Mines.

REP. BENGTSON asked what catch up factor would be used. CURT NICHOLS stated that the LFA did not contain a catch up factor within the salaries.

MR. SID GROFF, Director of the Bureau of Mines, gave his testimony. He mentioned that the Bureau assists the Dept. of Natural Resources, gives advice to the state as well as customers. They have about 1,100 requests per year.

Dr. Groff stated the Dept. of Natural Resources and the Bureau of Mines have an agreement with regard to the Ground Water Project that they would both put in for funds. He pointed out that we are in danger of running out of irrigation water. The bureau has ways of telling when well water is running dry. If this money is appropriated we can still have the staff to do the research and continue to work on state services. We will serve to give Dept. of Natural Resources the data they need for this groundwater work.

MARVIN MILLER, Chief of the Hydrogeological Division, testified (EXHIBIT B). This handout lists all the programs and activities of the Hydrology Division. He explained the personnel his division has - a staff of 11 plus 12 to 20 students. Right now we monitor about 250 wells. We need to increase this to be responsive with the Dept. of Natural Resources. There is a need to develop ground water. The funding by the state has been a special appropriation for \$55,000 and the basic state total is \$90,000. The U.S. Geographic Survey grant was for \$47,000; this money we gave to assist with the ground water co-op program.

SID GROFF gave a handout based on the LFA recommendation (EXHIBIT C). We requested for \$351,000 for the biennium and agree that the Dept. of Natural Resources would request the same. The money the bureau gets would keep the researchers and practical experience to run projects and in turn Dept. of Natural Resources would pay the field expenses, student assistants and for computer costs. The Dept. of Natural Resources would dig the water holes

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JOINT APPROPRIATION Page 3 SUBCOMMITTEE ON EDUCATION January 26, 1981

where we tell them.

There is another unit they work with - the U.S. Geological Survey. He stated that USGS maps the areas and we use that to assist the state (EXHIBIT D). We need \$80,000 per year to keep the USGS cooperative program going. Currently we use \$47,000 per year. We're requesting an additional \$33,000.

In September, the Secretary of Interior, Mr. Andrus, made available an additional \$46,350 to prepare for the impact areas, including the Stillwater complex. I had to match that. So the \$28,000 that is being asked for right away will be enough to kick the program off and the \$33,000 per year will keep it running. The program with USGS is worth \$221,000. And he pointed out that we need to get on this hydro ground water. We could get into the Stillwater and Sweetgrass areas and get this program going. He stated that the federal funding has not jumped causing the state to jump.

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON questioned whether we would be committing ourselves if we pick up the match that the federal increases. Is it contingent with the state matching it?

MR. SID GROFF said yes, but if we don't match it we lose the federal funding. He continued to say that there is a shortage in the U.S. for strategic minerals. The potential for strategic minerals is in the Stillwater complex. We need enough to expand If we get this money we can begin an effort in in these areas. cataloging the minerals in the state. Chromium can be produced They were demanding \$250 a ton for chromium. in other countries. We produce chromium from South Africa. Ferrochrome is the staple basis for the stainless steel industry. The information we have produced has resulted in increased revenue from minerals in Montana. There are 13 critical materials for which the U.S. 11.1 imports at least 50% plus 90% for eight of the important minerals such as magnesium, chrome, etc. If we lose our South African source of chrome the next source would be Russia. We should also be doing work in uranium, thorium, oil and gas. He stated that in the Beaverhead County there is 184,000 tons of thorium that can be used for nuclear fuel. Mr. Groff continued to discuss the modifications. The state geological map is the They would like to expand this map. Another base information. new area is for mineral economics. The last modification is for a seismic monitoring and earthquake detection. Ed Bingler has got his system where you insert a small seismograph and a radio transfer picks it up at the Bureau of Mines. The potential in this is we can save property loss for Montanans. The Bureau of Mines was always regarded as part of the Anaconda Co. and now we are part of the University and we serve the people. By broadening the tax revenue base we will be able to produce those minerals and create jobs.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JOINT APPROPRIATION Page 4 SUBCOMMITTEE ON EDUCATION January 26, 1981

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON asked where the new FTEs fit into the Bureau.

SID GROFF explained that they are the experts who do the research so that we can develop the information base. He stated that the time has come when the ills of the nation are affecting Montana as we have to get on top of it.

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON: What would these new FTEs contributed to government for the economy.

MR. GROFF explained that the Bureau works for the State of Montana and therefore compiles data and he works for all segments and puts it together. This is how we make an evaluation. In the production of research and data it is not industry that does it for the state but a nonregulatory agency as ours.

Testimony was given at this time. (See attached testimony.)

ED BINGLER explained graphs he brought along with regard to the mineral industry; geographic maps which include wells in every area and what minerals would be contained in the well, the new expanded geographic map; a seismograph map of Montana; the revenues, such as: analytical sales, publication sales, and hydrology sales. A shortfall is projected of about \$115,000 due to hydrologic sales and service being a one-time shot. This includes water well monitoring and operative testing, and this will not materialize this year which would mean a shortfall this biennium.

Meeting adjourned at 11:15 a.m.

# REP. GENE DONALDSON, Chairman

pb

الما الم Ø 2792426 19590 80316 1082005 3000 19200 23500 200762 2/5000 7750 81000 30 j. San 26, 1751 30, 33 100752 20 X 00 240752 70500 Executive 015549 80316 40000 2044CC 8 age provi 29376 754 ... 30.48 000600 612632 31500 904427 FA 3 ||| 0 ||| 00000/C 6 42 673 203738 30.48 36500 165428 50400 M7400 46200 33000 3000 70000 58400 Regards 192750 23: 1.5 See lace is 12: ŧ 1070176 804591 30.38 79510 03738 35000 170000 12/12/0C/ 90200 83 у Ці 20m 867338 30.48 612632 000061 17523 2707C 90100 LFA Ē Actual 8.9852 90504 151765 930844 35.02 634734 1980 14.2871 Coopountive Growwww.mlow Study HYDrogeological Nasoarch Economics Study MINERAL RESOURCE STUDIES ENergy-MUNEUL RESEARCH Geological May HLAns ELENICAL MERISANCE Personal Savaldas BEISMIC MONITONING Opennting Expenses General Fund other Funds Equipment Trowsfore Tota L MINERAL FTE 1mop1 F1 202 

Re10+15-11, 23 1703053 33372 / 243590 110000 918053 140000 34.98 90500 015870 52 C/141 Freudive \$¢ \$ 727868 23/500 29376 2090605 30. 48 1228663 LFA • ||| 3 1034193 307538 204338 1562650 44. 23 772650 2659 Regarls Executive 31,98 12738 0005E1 73428 90500 75176 83 30. HB 17523 524246 692299 32475 90000 20/02 LFA 35.02 Acture Personal Sevulces Oyerning Expenses Egurp ment Trons fors Genorn L Fund Other Funds ToTAL FIE  $\sim$ i

BURPAU of MINNES

# BUREAU OF MINES PROGRAM MODIFICATION REDUCTS

Priority

Al Research	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	. Total
Hydrogec	\$170,000	\$181,000	\$351,000

This such that been active for many years, but pressures and work load This such that are build filing for a reservation of 4 million acre-feet/year of ground the above mater. It was quickly established that quantitative data for and surf the ware not available. The Department of Natural Resources and ground the gislators and water-user associations are concerned.

ud DNRC have a Memo of Understanding which allocates ground-The Burn igations, data evaluation, recommendations and expert witness , the Bureau. DNRC utilizes Bureau input in regulating and water water resource, and the Bureau conducts studies in such areas function managin. , as judged critical by DNRC, with approval of the Bureau and for, the U.S. Geological Survey. Such studies and results of and sta murch are essential to environmental concerns, orderly developits co: anal resources, prevention of overdevelopment of ground-water applie ment cha and meeting the growning demand for hydrogeological information , tors in Montana. resout. from a

ul of the Bureau's Hydrology Division is increasing and will the as the well-monitoring program doubles and triples, hydrologic The 💬 for drainage basins become mandatory, specific studies related to incress equation introlled ground-water use are requested, and when the state omplete computerized water-quantity and water-quality base. The areas work load will require 4.1 FTE (FY) professionals and 0.5 FTE demart considering that the \$55,000/year (FY 80-81) special allocation additt manent appropriation. class: is no

	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	<u>Total</u>
anal Services (4.6 FTE) ations Includes \$15,000 annual computer charges atal Need pump-testing and	\$123,000	\$131,600	\$254,600
	39,000	40,800	79,800
, omputer equipment	8,000	8,600	16,600
Total	\$170,000	\$181,000	\$351,000

### EUREAU OF MINES PROGRAM MODIFICATION REQUESTS

### Priority #2

Mineral Resource Studies	FY 1981-1	82 FY 1982-33	Total
	\$52,400	\$56.100	\$108,500

The ability of the Bureau of Mines and Geology to provide accurate and comprehensive geologic and mineral resource information needed to assess the resource potential of metallic and nonmetallic commodities in the western Montana mineral belt is severely hampered by lack of staff and high demand for information which frequently must be based on incomplete, inadequate or dated reports.

We request an increase in general fund support of \$108,500 for the biennium to support the addition of 1.5 FTE Economic Geologists to conduct regional and district field studies designed to provide the data necessary for modern resource evaluation. This program increase, if funded, will focus on the generation of new information relating to establishing Montana's potential as a source of strategic and critical mineral commodities. Modern data on the occurrence, distribution, location and mineability of such deposits is necessary for prudent management of the state's mineral resources and an accurate evaluation and prediction of potential environmental and reclamation impacts attendant upon ultimate production.

	<u>FY 1981-82</u>	<u>FY 1982-83</u>	Total
Personal Services (1.5 FTE) Operations Capital	\$45,200 7,200 0-	\$48,300 7,800 0-	\$ 93,50 15,00 -0-
. Total	\$ 52,400	\$56,100	\$103,50

# BUREAU OF MINES PROGRAM MODIFICATION REQUESTS

Priority #3	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	Total ·
Energy-Minerals Fuels Research	\$58,400 ×_	\$59,300	\$117,700
	, ••	• •	

To provide satisfactory information about mineral fuels to agencies, industry and citizens, the Bureau should conduct additional studies and collect and evaluate additional data. The Bureau coal studies should continue undiminished, and studies of oil and gas, thorium, and uranium should be added. Failure of the federal government to develop an energy policy emphasizes the need for the states and priviate industry to intensify their efforts to accumulate useful information and to pursue appropriate research.

Currently, the Energy Division is almost totally involved in Montana coalreserve evaluation and research. The Bureau is sometimes in a position where it is difficult to put in enough matching salaries to meet requirements of the current \$600,000 federal grant. Matching requirements are \$30,000 and our total (FY &1) appropriated fund allocation to the Energy Division is only \$35,276. Thus, the problem is evident. The Director of the Bureau works with the Board of Oil and Gas Conservation on petroleum geology, but the Board has only one petroleum engineer and one petroleum geologist. Consequently, very little data can be gathered and analyzed on oil and gas, which is Montana's major energy supply as well as the highest revenue producer in the whole mineral industry. Finally there are many geological structures in Montana that should be explored but for which leases cannot be obtained. We desperately need a petroleum geologist and more funds in the Energy Division to provide useful petroleum information.

The Bureau has done little in thorium and uranium, but because Montana has petentially vast resources of thorium, it wishes to do more.

	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	_Total
Personal Services (1.5 FTE) Operations Capital Need office fixtures	\$45,200 7,200	\$48,300 8,000	\$ 93,500 15,200
and vehicle availability	6,000	3,000	9,000
Total	\$58,400	\$59,300	\$117,700

# BUREAU COLTUNES PROCRAM MODIFICATION PROCESTS

Priority #4

Cooperative Groundwater Study	FY 1081-82	FY 1982-83	Total
	\$ ; ; <b>;</b> (800	\$33,000	\$66,000

The Bureau/USGS cooperative groundwater study was initiated by legislative funding over 20 years ago. Current state funding level is \$47,000/annum, which is matched by USGS with an additional match for Bureau services related to USGS program. Overall, the current state contribution generates a total program in the range of \$140,000 to \$200,000.

Over a period of many years, the cooperative study has worked very well as one of the best such programs in the country. The primary thrust is to cover the state on an area-by-area basis and for the participants to assist each other in the solution of various problems. The cooperative study does not duplicate the Bureau's effort relative to state agency and citizen needs.

The USGS has advised of the acute need to raise the annual funding level to \$80,000 in the 82-83 biennium. This is because of increasing costs and personnel freezes, but a federal inclination to give high priorities to cooperative programs is a definite positive factor.

An increase of 33,000/year is requested to maintain the program at a level adequate to meet rising costs and assist in completing areal studies in a reasonable time frame.

		17 1981-82	FY 1982-83	_Total_
	Personal Services (1 FTE)	\$ -()· 👫	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
	Operations No additional space or equipment needec Capital		33,000 0-	66,000 0-
۰.	Total -	\$33,000	\$33,000	- \$66,000
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

۲--

# BUREAU OF MINES PROGRAM MODIFICATION REQUESTS

Priority #5	•	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	Total
Clerical Assistance		\$13,000	\$12,850	\$25,850

The output resulting from program improvements, from the responses to the increasing requests by state and federal agencies and the public, and from the growing number of contracts and grants, and the ever-multiplying forms to be completed and filed will inevitably necessitate hiring additional clerical help, as the present clerical staff is the minimum needed to satisfy current needs.

Additional clerical personnel will handle typing and clerical work of moderate difficulty, e.g., letters, memoranda, and reports. Some time will be devoted to training to utilize composer equipment. Will also assist in filing, proofreading, and related work as required.

The present clerical staff is fully occupied with current work. One clerk typist (Grade 6; needed on July 1, 1981 and thereafter) will be necessary to pick up the additional load required by program improvement projects and a growing number of federal contracts and grants.

	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	Total	-
Personal Services (1 FTE) Operations Capital (Typewriter,	\$10,500 1,000	\$11,250 1,100	\$21,750 2,100	
Office Facilities)	1,500	500	2,000	
Total	\$ <u>13,000</u>	\$ <u>12,850</u>	\$ <u>25,850</u>	

### -BUREAU OF MINES PROGRAM MODIFICATION REQUEST

Priority #6	FY 1981-82	TY 1932-83	Tota1
Geological Map Atlas	\$46,200	\$49,800	\$96,00

The Montana Map Atlas Program provides full-color, modern, detailed maps at a scale of 1:250,000 for 22 quadrangles covering all of the state. Each quadrangle is the base for a folio of four maps including geology, mineral resources, hydrology, and environmental/engineering factors. The program aims at replacing the old 1:500,000 scale state map with modern, accurate information in map form designed for easy use by government agencies at all levels, industry, and the general public in planning the future wise and pr use of Montana's land, water, energy and mineral resources.

Current level map production is projected to complete the atlas program in about 50 years. The enthusiastic response to the first published maps and the pressing need to supply the most accurate and up-to-date information under our legislative mandate prompts this request to shorten the program timetable to 10 years.

Program expansion and acceleration to meet a 10-year goal requires the addition to a full-time geologist-cartographer and a draft for error with commensu operational support. An increase in the biennial general fund appropriation of \$96,000 is requested in support of this increase in program level of eff

	FY 1981-82	FY 1382-83	Total
Personal Services (2 FTE) Operations Capital	\$39,000 7,200 -0-	\$ <i>.</i> %,000 7,800 -0-	\$81,00 15,00 0-
Total	\$46,200	343,800	\$ <u>96,01</u>

# BUREAU OF MINES PROGRAM MODIFICATION REQUESTS

Priority #7	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	Total
Mineral Economics Study	\$47,600	<b>\$49,200</b>	\$96,800

An in-depth study of the practical and theoretical aspects of the economics and tax structure of Montana's mineral industry should provide simplified economic information and systems for the guidance of the Bureau and of the Department of Revenue to assist in vital state planning. The mineral economist would also provide instruction for students in the College and would train mineral economists.

There are economists of several types in planning and projecting, in government, business, banking and agriculture, but there is not a single economic specialist in Montana for the state's largest single industrial segment, minerals and mining. Small-mine operators need advice in this area, tax statutes are a patchwork, no college or university in Montana is giving comprehensive instruction in this specific field, and the Director of the Bureau and the Department of Revenue need the assistance of a specialist. The need is for a highly qualified professional mineral economist and a student assistant, with operational support, to take care of his paperwork and travel. Placed in the Administrative Division under the Director, the mineral economics project is eminently likely to be sponsored by a substantially funded grant from the U.S. Bureau of Mines.

	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	Total
Personal Services (1.5 FTE) Operations (Includes	-\$38,000	\$40,800	\$78,800
probable computer costs) Capital (Need of one advanced-type desk calculator-computer	7,200	7,800	15,000
& office equipment)	2,400	600	3,000
Total	\$47,600	\$49,200	\$96,800

### BUREAU OF MINES . PROGRAM MODIFICATION REQUEST

Priority #8	FY 1981-82	FY 1982-83	Total
Seismic Monitoring	\$36,500	\$23,500	\$60,000

The potential for significant property loss, personal injury, and disruption of essential services in the Helena and Bozeman population centers from a repetition of major earthquakes like those of the past 50 years is very high. Under its statutory mandate to study the geology of Montana, including geological hazards that constitute a serious potential risk to life, limb, and property, the Bureau of Mines and Geology has initiated a modest geological and geophysical research program to evaluate and thereby mitigate the high regional hazard of earthquakes in western Montana. To date this program has been supported by funds and borrowed equipment from the U.S. Geological Surve and the U.S. Bureau of Mines, and by cooperation with private individuals and the College of Mineral Science and Technology. Although better than no effor this temporary low-level program is not sufficient to adequately analyze and document the location and risk of potential earthquake faults in centralwestern Montana, and it is particularly inadequate to establish a modern network of radio-linked seismometers to monitor seismic movements in the Helena-Bozeman-Butte segment of the Intermountain Seismic Belt.

Accordingly, we request an increase of \$60,000 in general fund appropriation for the biennium to support a minimal seismic monitoring program. These fund if approved, would permit the addition of 0.75 FTE professional/technical geophysics support staff and the necessary operating funds and capital equipment required to establish an operational monitoring network.

· . ,	FY 1981-82 <sup>.</sup>	FY 1982-83	Total
Personal Services (.75 FTE) Operations Capital (Seismometers,	\$13,500 2,000	\$14,500 2,000	\$28,000 4,000
recording monitors, and linking devices)	21,000	7,000	28,000
Total	\$36,500	\$23,500	\$60,000

June 1990

Fiscal Year 79-80

MBMG - Hydrology Division Activities

#### Personnel:

Butte - Miller, Sonderegger, Bergantino, Norbeck, Donovan, Schmidt, Patton, Fouts, Schofield, Middelstadt and Hammond (11) plus 12 to 20 students

Billings - Van Voast, McDermott, Thompson, McDonough, Strand (5) plus 2 students

#### Programs: (7)

- A. State Service Program
  - 1. Ground-water information center
  - 2. Hydrogeological data base
  - 3. Basic-data collection network
  - <u>'</u>. Technical services to local, state, and federal organizations
  - Educational assistance to Montana University System and state of 5. Montana
  - Technical advisory service to water well drillers and contractors 6. . examining board
  - 7. Support and cooperate with other divisions of the Bureau
  - Cooperate and support ongoing USGS-MBMG coop projects З.
- B. Ground-Water Investigations Program
  - Special investigations 1.
  - 2. Artesian basin evaluation (HB-733)
    - a. Little Bitterroot Valley area (Report--spring 81)
      - b. Radersburg basin area
      - Missoula-Bitterroot Valley (Report--summer 80) с.
      - Big Flat area d.
    - Kalispell area е.
    - West Yellowstone area f.
    - Centennial Valley area g.
    - Upper Deer Lodge Vallev h.
    - i. Upper Poplar River

3. Fort Union region

- Local and regional hydrogeological reports and atlas Montana 4.
- C. Coal-Hydrology Program

(organize and help coordinate all coal-water projects in the Fort Union region)

D. Geothermal Investigations Program

(organize and help coordinate all geothermal activities in Montana)

- (Reconnaissance monitoring)
- (Review of existing data-deep artesian zone) (Report--spring 81) (Report--fall 80)

(Reconnaissance evaluating)

- (Reconnaissance evaluation)

(Report--fall 80)

E. Saline-Seep Program

1

(organize and help coordinate all hydrogeological aspects of saline seep in northern plains region)

to other prog1. Current projects: (6)a. Special investigations (Continuous)A.Bb. Basic data collection network (Continuous)A.B.C.D.E.Gc. Madison limestone investigationsA.B.C.D.E.Gd. Cascade County studyA.B.Ge. Lake Creek investigation (USFS)A.B.Gf. Boundup areaA.B.G2. Projects completed during the past 2 years (9)A.B.Ga. Libby areaA.B.Gb. Judith basin areaA.B.C.c. Central and.southern Powder River basinA.B.Cd. Saline-seep study (MBMG)A.B.De. Fort Belknap project (BIA)A.B.Df. Northern Powder River basin water qualityA.B.Cstudyg. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes)A.B.Dh. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA)A.B.Ci. Helena Valley studyA.B3. Potential projects (being considered)A.Ba. Stillwater complex (USBM)B.Big Horn basin area6. Hydrogeological Research ProgramA.B.C.F.	rams
<ul> <li>a. Special investigations (Continuous)</li> <li>b. Basic data collection network (Continuous)</li> <li>c. Madison limestone investigations</li> <li>d. Cascade County study</li> <li>d. Cascade County study</li> <li>d. Cascade County study</li> <li>e. Lake Creek investigation (USFS)</li> <li>f. Roundup area</li> <li>A.B.G.</li> <li>2. Projects completed during the past 2 years (9)</li> <li>a. Libby area</li> <li>b. Judith basin area</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>d. Baline-seep study (MBMG)</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA)</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes)</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA)</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study</li> <li>A.B.</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> <li>c. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>b. Basic data collection network (Continuous)</li> <li>A,B,C,D,E,G</li> <li>c. Madison limestone investigations</li> <li>A,B,C,D,G</li> <li>A,B,C,D,G</li> <li>A,B,C,D,G</li> <li>A,B,C,D,G</li> <li>A,B,G</li> <li>C. Cascade County study</li> <li>A,B,G</li> <li>F. Boundup area</li> <li>A,B,G</li> <li>F. Boundup area</li> <li>A,B,G</li> <li>F. Boundup area</li> <li>A,B,G</li> <li>A,B,G</li> <li>B, Judith basin area</li> <li>Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>A,B,C</li> <li>A,B,C</li> <li>Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>A,B,C</li> <li>Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>A,B,C</li> <li>Big Horn basin area</li> <li>Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>A, Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> <li>A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>c. Madison limestone investigations</li> <li>A.B.C.D.G</li> <li>d. Cascade County study</li> <li>A.B.G</li> <li>e. Lake Creek investigation (USFS)</li> <li>A.B.G</li> <li>f. Roundup area</li> <li>A.B.G</li> <li>f. Roundup area</li> <li>A.B.G</li> <li>g. Projects completed during the past 2 years (9)</li> <li>a. Libby area</li> <li>b. Judith basin area</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA)</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes)</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA)</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study</li> <li>J. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ol> <li>Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> </ol> A, B, C., F.	
<ul> <li>d. Cascade County study</li> <li>A.B.G</li> <li>e. Lake Creek investigation (USFS)</li> <li>A, B.G</li> <li>f. Roundup area</li> <li>A.B.G</li> <li>2. Projects completed during the past 2 years (9)</li> <li>a. Libby area</li> <li>b. Judith basin area</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG)</li> <li>A.B.D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes)</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study</li> <li>A.B</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ol> <li>Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> </ol> A.B.C <ul> <li>A.B.C.F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>e. Lake Creek investigation (USFS) A,B,G</li> <li>f. Roundup area A,B,G</li> <li>projects completed during the past 2 years (9) <ul> <li>a. Libby area A,B</li> <li>b. Judith basin area A,B,D,E</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin A,B,C</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG) A,B,E</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA) A,B,D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A,B,C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A,B,D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>f. Roundup area A,B,G,</li> <li>2. Projects completed during the past 2 years (9) <ul> <li>a. Libby area A,B</li> <li>b. Judith basin area A,B,D,E</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin A,B,C</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG) A,B,E</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA) A,B,D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A,B,C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A,B,D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B</li> <li>g. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2. Projects completed during the past 2 years (9) <ul> <li>a. Libby area</li> <li>b. Judith basin area</li> <li>c. Central and southern Powder River basin</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG)</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG)</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA)</li> <li>A.B.D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality</li> <li>A.B.C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes)</li> <li>A.B.D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA)</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> <li>A.B.C.F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>a. Libby area</li> <li>b. Judith basin area</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG)</li> <li>d. A.B.D</li> <li>d. Southern Powder River basin water quality</li> <li>d. A.B.D</li> <li>d. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>d. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ol> <li>Current projects: (21)</li> <li>Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> </ol> A, B, C, F	
<ul> <li>b. Judith basin area A, B, D, E</li> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin A, B, C</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG) A, B, E</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA) A.B, D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A, B, C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A, B, D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A, B, C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A, B</li> </ul> 3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ul> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A, B, C, F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>c. Central and.southern Powder River basin A,B,C</li> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG) A,B,E</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA) A,B,D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A,B,C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A,B,D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B,C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A,B</li> </ul> 3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ul> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>d. Saline-seep study (MBMG) A, B, E</li> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA) A, B, D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A, B, C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A, B, D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A, B, C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A, B</li> </ul> 3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ul> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A, B, C, F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>e. Fort Belknap project (BIA) A.B.D</li> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A.B.C</li> <li>study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A.B.D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A.B.C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A.B</li> </ul> 3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> 6. Hydrogeological Research Program <ul> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A.B.C.F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A,B,C study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A,B,D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B,C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A,B</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> G. Hydrogeological Research Program <ol> <li>Current projects: (21)</li> <li>Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>f. Northern Powder River basin water quality A,B,C study</li> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A,B,D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B,C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A,B</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> G. Hydrogeological Research Program <ol> <li>Current projects: (21)</li> <li>Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>g. Cedar Creek anticline (water-level changes) A,B,D</li> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B,C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A,B</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>h. Upper Poplar River basin (EPA) A,B,C</li> <li>i. Helena Valley study A,B</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered)</li> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>i. Helena Valley study A.B</li> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> </li> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program <ul> <li>1. Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A.B.C.F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Potential projects (being considered) <ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> </ul> </li> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program <ul> <li>Current projects: (21)</li> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>a. Stillwater complex (USBM)</li> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> <li>A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>b. Big Horn basin area</li> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> <li>A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>G. Hydrogeological Research Program</li> <li>1. Current projects: (21) <ul> <li>a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great</li> <li>A,B,C,F</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
1. Current projects: (21) a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F	
a. Hydrogeological data bank northern great A,B,C,F	
plains (USGS)	
b. Regional observation well program (USGS) A, B, C, D, E, F	
c. Missoula-Bitterroot valley aquifer B,A,D evaluation (USGS)	
d. Bendix deep-drilling project (DOE) B,A,D,F	
e. Solid-waste disposal site evaluation A.B (WQB-EPA)	
f. Fly ash evaluation (DOE-OSM) C,E,A,B	
g. Coal hydrology - geophysical applications C.A.F.E (OWRT)	
h. Coal hydrology - shallow drilling . C.A.F (USCS, BLM) Con't.	
i. Coal hydrology - NGPP shallow aquifer C,A,B,F evaluation (USGS) 3 yr.	
j. Coal hydrology - Decker, Colstrip, Pear C.A.F	
Creek areas (Priv.) Con't.	
k. Coal hydrology - ground-water quality and C.A.B.E.F	
soluble salt loads in overburden & spoils (USGS)	

1.	Geothermal - Centennial valley (DOE) 3 yr.	D, B, A
г.,	Geothermal - geophysical investigations (DOE)	
n.	Geothermal - Reconnaissance evaluation of	D, B, A
	West Yellowstone, Little Bitterroot, and	
	Radersburg basins (DOE)	
ο.	Saline seep - trace metal and leachate	E,C,A,B,F
	evaluation (OWRT)	•
p.	Saline seep – drainage system evaluation	E,A,B
·	(WQB,EPA)	
q.	Saline seep – advisory assistance to	E,A,B
-	triangle saline-seep group (DNRC-TCD)	•
r.	Water quality evaluation of small mines (OSM)	A,B,D,F
s.	Regional aquifer characterization and	A,B,C,D,E,F
	injection well inventory (EPA)	
t.	Ground-water evaluation and test drilling-	A,B,F
	Florence, Montana (Priv., OWRC)	
u.	Hydrologic monitoring Upper Poplar River	A,B,C,F
	basin (USGS-EPA)	
Proj	ects recently completed: (7)	
а.	Regional saline-seep *assessment of water	E, A, B, F
	quality inventory (MDSL-OWRC)	
Ъ.,	Geothermal mine water temperature inventory	D,A,B
	(D <b>OE)</b>	
с.	Inventory of geothermal springs-Montana (DOE)	<b>D,A,</b> B
d.	Hydrogeologic conditions-Colstrip area	C,A,B
	(OWRT, Priv.)	
е.	Hydrogeology of mine spoils (OWRC)	С,А,В
f.	Spoil leachate evaluation in Colstrip area	C, A, B, E
	(MSU-EPA)	
g۰	Hydrogeologic conditionsDecker area	<b>C,A</b> ,B
	(OWRT, Priv.)	
	ntial projects: (6)	
а.	Solution mining-southeastern Montana (Priv., I	
b.	Geothermal, geophysical, and hydrogeological e	evaluation-Montana
	(DOE)	1
C.	Hydrogeological evaluation of Stockett Sand Co	ouree area
ر	(OWRT, MDSL, OSM)	NCM)
d.	Hydrologic evaluation of Stillwater Complex (C Ground-water evaluation and test drilling-Cora	

. ,

2.

3.

1

.

e. Ground-water evaluation and test drilling-Coram-Martin City area (Priv., OWRC)

•

f. Ground- and surface-water evaluation of Fairfield Bench, Montana

#### HYDROLOGY DIVISION

4

Marvin Miller, John Sonderegger, Robert Bergantino, Pete Norbeck, Joseph Donovan, Thomas Patton, Fred Schmidt, Martin Fouts, Art Middelstadt, Judeykay Schofield, Cheryll Hammond (Butte Office); Wayne Van Voast, John McDermott, Keith Thompson, Dan McDonough, and Diana Strand (Billings Office)

Activities of the Hydrology Division are broad in scope and provide hydrogeologic advice and available information to the State of Montana, supplemented with numerous local and regional investigations and cooperative projects to constantly improve and broaden our knowledge of Montana'a water resources.

#### Goals and Objectives

The principal goals of the division may be summarized as follows:

- To serve Montana by providing accurate and reliable groundwater (hydrogeologic) information and technical advice to public and private sectors, and by assisting the University System as well as State and Federal agencies.
- (2) To develop a statewide ground-water information center and data bank by maintaining a library of all available Montana water-resource reports, and by compiling hydrologic data into a usable computer system for rapid retrieval for State use in establishing policy.
- (3) To provide detailed ground-water reports for the entire State. Currently only 10 percent of the State is:covered in detail and 50 percent by reconnaissance reports.
- (4) To conduct special investigations on Montana water-resource problems at the request of the State Legislature, e.g.,
  HJR 54, HB 705, and HB 733, in cooperation, where practical, with the U.S. Geological Survey, Department of Natural kesources and Conservation, Department of State Lands, etc.
- (5) To investigate the long- and short-term effects of various agricultural, mineral-fuel (coal), land-use and mining practices on ground-water resources, e.g., saline-seep research and water quantity and quality before, during and after coal mining.
- (6) To evaluate Montana's geothermal resources for possible application as an alternate energy source.

 (7) To undertake or continue hydrogeologic research in areas of specific economic or environmental significance, by anticipating problems, solving existing problems or recommending new methods of resource development.

Seven programs have been established to accomplish these goals and objectives: (1) State service; (2) ground-water investigations; (3) coal hydrology; (4) geothermal investigations; (5) saline seep; (6) U.S. Geological Survey ground-water cooperative program; and (7) hydrogeologic research.

#### State Service Program

The major objectives of this program include:

- (1) To answer numerous written and phoned requests for groundwater-supply information from Montana citizens, service groups and well drillers, and to continue to advise and serve on the Water Well Contractors Board.
- (2) To assist municipalities with ground-water supply problems, including information on well-site location and well design, public water quality and availability and related data.
- (3) To assist State agencies, such as the Department of State Lands and the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, with site evaluation and technical parameter data for stock, irrigation and domestic wells: the Department of Health and Environmental Sciences with investigations of landfill, waste-disposal or selected subdivision sites, for possible ground-water contamination; the Department of Highways with expert witness testimony in court actions on groundwater matters and potential problems on highway construction areas.
- (4) To maintain a comprehensive library of hydrogeologic information and computerized technical data for rapid retrieval and utilization. More than 75,000 well appropriations have been filed, and several thousand are added annually; nearly 30,000 spring appropriations and more than 10,000 groundwater analyses are also available. Full utilization of the data requires digitization so that data for any specific State area can be retrieved rapidly and various parameters can be quickly computer-plotted from the digitized data. Such a data system enables agencies to evaluate areal ground-water systems and is an extremely useful tool in developing and implementing an optimum-use policy for the State. The system is keyed to State (Department of Community Affairs) equipment, but also includes cooperation and input to the Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Geological Survey data banks.

1

(5) To assist the University System by service on faculty and graduate-student committees; by lecturing or teaching in areas of expertise; and by employing and training students as research assistants.

#### Ground-Water Investigations Program

The primary objective of this program is to undertake special groundwater investigations as requested and funded by the State Legislature and/or Governor. The most recent request was the detailed evaluation of Montana's artesian basins. Four basins chosen jointly by DNRC and MBMG are currently being studied--Little Bitterroot valley, Radersburg basin, Missoula-Bitterroot valley and the Centennial valley. Basic data collection and monitoring are under way in five other areas.

In addition, hydrologic information is being provided for the 1:250,000 Montana Atlas project.

#### Coal Hydrology Program

This program involves the study of ground water in fossil fuels (coal) areas before, during and after mining. Production of coal will increase, necessitating expanded impartial studies having the following objectives: (1) to provide basic hydrologic data for selected coal field not yet developed; (2) to detect changes in water quality, artesian pressures and stream discharge in adjacent areas as mining and land reclamation proceed: (3) to collect data on water flow and water chemistry of selected mine spoils in order to develop models that simulate the pre-mining and post-mining hydrologic systems; and (4) to generate predictive models so that alternative mining and reclamation techniques may be evaluated.

#### Geothermal Investigations Program

While the potential in Montana for delineation and development of hightemperature geothermal resources is not great, numerous hot and warm water resources located throughout the State represent a significant potential for utilization in both direct applications (space heating, aquaculture), and for low-temperature energy conversion (heat pumps, alcohol production). Funding for the State Geothermal Resource program is provided by the Department of Energy and administered through the Bureau's hydrogeology program. Past project objectives have included.

(1) Classification and inventory of warm and hot springs and wells throughout the State.

- (2) Delineation of areas showing high potential with respect to temperature and reservoir potential, and detailed evaluations of those areas integrating geological, geophysical, aqueous geochemical and hydrogeologic studies. Areas where such studies have been performed, or are presently being performed, include the Centennial valley, ' the West Yellowstone area, the Ennis area, the Little Bitterroot valley, the Radersburg area and the Deer Lodge valley area. If the preliminary evaluations appear promising, they are investigated further with shallow or intermediate . depth exploration and/or heat flow drill holes.
- (3) Evaluation of geothermal potential in deep aquifers, mainly in eastern Montana, using bottom-hole temperatures from oil well tests.

Agencies cooperating with the Bureau's geothermal program include the U.S. Geological Survey, the Montana Oil and Gas Commission, the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation and the Confederated Kootenai-Salish Tribal Planning Office, as well as the Department of Geology at Montana State University and the University of Montana. Future research plans include an increased emphasis on warm water resource utilization using applications of heat pump technology.

### Saline-Seep Program

Program objectives include providing technical assistance, training and guidance to the Triangle Conservation District Saline-Seep team; continuing saline-seep studies with emphasis on regional water-quality aspects; examining impacts of subsurface and surface drainage schemes; and investigating related land-use practices that may affect nearby surfacewater and ground-water resources. Such practices may include large-scale sprinkler and flood irrigation of uplands and river terraces, range management practices such as scalping, and salt movement within the soil profile and its influence on local ground-water flow systems.

#### U.S. Geological Survey Ground-Water Cooperative Program

Major elements of this program are to investigate ground-water resources in poorly studied or unstudied areas; to assist the Survey in developing and maintaining statewide basic data collection network; and to provide overall assistance, manpower and support to the goals and objectives of the Bureau. Such studies include (1) inventory of wells, springs and water withdrawals; (2) production of maps, tables and diagrams that show aquifers, variation of water levels, changes in water quality, and areal extent and average yield of aquifers; and (3) production of reports explaining and discussing maps, data and its availability and chemical quality of the ground-water resource. Current projects include the Madison Limestone aquifer; Cascade County preject (urban hydrology); Lake Creek study (impact of mining on hydrology); Stillwater Complex (pre-mining environmental assessment); and water resources of the Roundup area (coal hydrology).

#### Hydrogeologic Research Program

- : 5

This program was established to organize and study available data for the purpose of assessing whether (1) a potential environmental problem related to ground water is or is not of significance, (2) the impact of a known environmental problem could be reduced by a study of hydrogeochemical factors, and (3) the application of hydrogeologic principles which would aid in evaluating a Montana mineral resource of potential value. Should initial results of such studies be favorable, a research proposal is written, which outlines the significance and scope of an investigation. Funding for specific studies may be requested from State, Federal or other sources. At the present time, 21 projects are included in this program, each supplementing and supporting the other programs of the division.

. .

# MONTING BUREAU OF MINES

Budget: Based on LFA recommendations plus Regents-approved modifications.

	FY 82	FY 83	ዩ Change Biennium 81-83
FTE	44.33	44.33	20
Fund Source			
General Fund Approp. Other Funds Approp. Total Funds	1,426,185 190,000 1,616,185	1,576,922 209,000 1,785,922	39 <u>4</u> <u>35</u>
Expenditures by Object			
Personal Services Operating Expenses Capital	982,169 321,523 <u>65,974</u>	1,064,618 399,800 49,076	39 51 (39)
Total Operating Costs Non-Operating Expend.	1,369,666 246,519	1,513,494 	37 
Total Expenditures	1,616,185	<u>1,785,922</u>	_35_

.

ø

# BUDGET REQUEST

# MBMG Only

# WORKLOAD INCREASE (By priority within program)

PROGRAM CODE	<u> </u>	IEW FTE		BIENNIUM TOTAL
08	Hydrogeological Research and Service to the Department of Natural Resources and			
· .	Conservation and to Montana Citizens.	4.6		\$351,000
08	Mineral Resource Studies	1.5		108,500
08	Clerical Assistance for Program Improvement	1.0	56	25,850
08	State Geological Map Atlas	2.0	1,	96,000
	NEW SERVICES			
08	Economics of the Montana Mineral Industry	1.5	-4 <sup>0</sup>	96,800
08	Seismic Monitoring and Earthquake Detection	0.75		60,000
	FUNDING MODIFICATION			
08	Energy-Mineral Fuels Research and Information	1.5		117,700
08	Bureau/U.S. Geological Survey Cooperative			
	Study.	1.0		66,000
-				
TOTALS		13,85		\$921,850

# PRIORITY LISTING OF ALL MBMG BUDGET AMENDMENTS

ţ

-

PRIORITY		PAGE
1.	Hydrogeological Research and Service to the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation and to Montana Citizens.	1
2.	Mineral Resource Studies	2
3.	Energy-Mineral Fuels Research and Information	3
4.	Bureau/U.S. Geological Survey Cooperative Study	4
5.	Clerical Assistance for Program Improvement	5
6.	State Geological Map Atlas	6
7.	Economics of the Montana Mineral Industry	7
8.	Seismic Monitoring and Earthquake Detection	8

BNR	-	10

STATE OF MO	NTANA	DUNCE	T MADIEICATION DECHE	ero '
		BUNGE	T MODIFICATION REQUE	.51
Office of Budget and Program Planning		JUSTIF	ICATION	
	<b>)</b>		Page 1 of	8
		<u> </u>		•••
	cy Codo Program Co	de P	rogram Name	
Program Identification 5	105 08	Montana l	Bureau of Mines and Geology	
Type of Request	Work-Load Increase, Addition	onal Services		
HYDROGEOLOGICAL RE CONSERVATION AND TO	SEARCH AND SERVICE TO MONTANA CITIZENS	THE DEPARTM	ENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	AND
It was quickly established t and Conservation, legislator The Bureau and DNR recommendations and expen- water resource, and the Bur- the Bureau and its cooperat rironmental concerns, ord meeting the growing de The work load of the doubles and triples, hydrolo round-water use are request he additional work load with	hat quantitative data for group s and water-user associations a C have a Memo of Understand rt witness functions to the Bur eau conducts studies in such a or, the U.S. Geological Survey lerly development of mineral n mand for hydrogeological info Bureau's Hydrology Division i gic equations for drainage bass ted, and when the state deman	nd water were no ure concerned. ding which allocat reau. DNRC utili areas and subareas /. Such studies ar resources, prevent ormation from all is increasing and v ins become mand nds a complete co essionals and 0.5 1	lion acre-feet/year of ground and s a available. The Department of Na os ground-water investigations, data zes Bureau input in regulating and as judged critical by DNRC, with nd results of applied research are e ion of overdevelopment of ground- sectors in Montana. will increase more as the well-moni atory, specific studies related to ar imputerized water-quantity and wa TE classified, considering that the	a evaluation, managing the approval of ssential to water resources, toring program eas of controlled ter-quality base.
	BUDGET	REQUEST		
rsonal Services		FY 82	<u>FY 83</u>	TOTAL
FTE 4.6		•	· -	
alaries & Benefits	@ 17%	123,000	- 131,600	254,600
	000 annual computer charges	39,000	40,800	79,800
apital Need pump-testir	ig and computer equipment	8,000	8,600	16,600
otals		170,000	181,000	351,000
erformance Indicator	S		Estimated	Estimated
			FY 82	FY 8
·			\$170.000	\$181,000
actions are expected to	rogeological problems for DN multiply, and Bureau experti- DNRC and other advisory an	se will be heavily	Department and provides expert w involved in investigations of artesi	vitnesses Court

"Administrative decisions rather than initial court action are preferred system of management and regulation. Areas of "controlled ground-water use" are involved and the Bureau's investigations and recommendations are essential in the regulatory and management process.

The Bureau processes field and water-quality data in code form for state and federal files. The system is complex and involves multiple data entry and cross-checking for tens of thousands of water data source locations.

З.

			1	ىچە مىرسى <u>يە بەر مەرىپە بەرىپىيە بەرىپىيە بەرىپىيە بەرىپىيە بەر</u> مەرىپەر بەرىپىيە بەر	
STATE OF	MONTANA		BUDGET	MODIFICATION REQUES	T
Office of Bud	lget and Prog	ram			· •
1	Planning		JUSTIFI	CATION	
				Page 2 of 8	
	Agency Code	Program Code	Pr	ogram Name	
Program Identification	5105	08	Montana Bu	reau of Mines and Geology	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Type of Request	Work-Load	Increase			
MINERAL RESOURCE STUDIES The ability of the Bureau of Mines and Geology to provide accurate and comprehensive geologic and mineral resource information needed to assess the resource potential of metallic and nonmetallic commodities in the western Montana mineral belt is severely hampered by lack of staff and high demand for information which frequently must be based on incomplete, inadequate or dated reports. We request an increase in general fund support of \$108,500 for the biennium to support the addition of 1.5 FTE Economic Geologists to conduct regional and district field studies designed to provide the data necessary for modern resource evaluation. This program increase, if funded, will focus on the generation of new information relating to establishing Montana's potential as a source of strategic and critical mineral commodities. Modern data on the occurrence, distribution, location and mineability of such deposits is necessary for prudent management of the state's mineral resources and an accurate evaluation and prediction of potential environmental and reclamation impacts attendant upon ultimate production.					
		BUDGET RI	EQUEST		
Personal Services-			FY 82	<u>FY 83</u>	TOTAL
FTE 1.5	,			<b>、</b>	
Salaries & Benef	lits @ 17%	•	45,200	48,300	<b>93</b> ,500
Operations			7,200	7,800	15,000
Capital			. •		
Totals		ہ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	52,400	56,100	108,500
Performance Indica	ntors			Estimated FY 82	Estimated FY 83
				\$52,400	\$56,100
Mont <b>ana's st</b> rategic/c factors attendant upo	critical mineral res on ultimate develo	ource potential, and opment.	the assessment	ation required for accurate evaluation of environmental impact and reclarion to strategic minerals.	on of western mation

.

BMR - 10

3. Steady progress on classifying and cataloging mineral occurrences.

STATE OF MONTANA			BUDGET	MODIFICATION REQUE	ST	
Office of Budget and Program		, in the second s				
Planning		JUSTIFI				
				Page 3 of	8	
Agency	Agency Code	Program Code	e Pr	ogram Name		
Program 5105 08		08	Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology			
Type of Reques	st Funding Mo	odification, Addition	al Services			
additional studies and of oil and gas, thorium	factory information collect and evaluat n, and uranium sho	about mineral fuel e additional data. T uld be added. Failu	s to agencies, ind The Bureau coal : are of the federal	lustry and citizens, the Bureau she studies should continue undiminis I government to develop an energy nulate useful information and to	shed, and studies y policy emphasizes	
				ropriated fund allocation to the E		
n petroleum geology, ata can be gathered a ucer in the whole min which leases cannot be seful petroleum infor	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation.	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist	works with the Board of Oil and one petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the higher tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di- na has potentially vast resources of	ently, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide	
on petroleum geology, data can be gathered a ducer in the whole min which leases cannot be useful petroleum infor The Bureau has	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation.	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist	ne petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e	ently, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide	
on petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be iseful petroleum infor The Bureau has o rishes to do more.	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist	ne petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di	ently, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide	
on petroleum geology, data can be gathered a ducer in the whole min which leases cannot be useful petroleum infor The Bureau has o vishes to do more.	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation.	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr	a engineer and or fontana's major of geological struct coleum geologist t because Montar	ne petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di	ently, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide	
on petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be seful petroleum infor The Bureau has o rishes to do more.	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil meral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu	a engineer and or fontana's major of geological struct coleum geologist t because Montar	ne petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di	ently, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide	
on petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be iseful petroleum infor The Bureau has o vishes to do more.	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil meral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr am and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u>	t engineer and or fontana's major of geological struct coleum geologist t because Montan REQUEST	ne petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di- na has potentially vast resources o	ently, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide	
n petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be seful petroleum infor The Bureau has o rishes to do more.	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu	e engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct coleum geologist t because Montar REQUEST FY 82	FY 83	ntly, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide of thorium, it <u>TOTA</u>	
ersonal Services TE 1.5 Salaries & Bene	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr am and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u>	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist t because Montan <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200	he petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di na has potentially vast resources o <u>FY 83</u> 48,300	ntly, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide of thorium, it <u>TOTA</u>	
on petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be liseful petroleum inform The Bureau has d vishes to do more. ETE 1.5 Salaries & Bene perations	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u>	a engineer and or fontana's major of geological struct coleum geologist t because Montar <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200 7,200	he petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di na has potentially vast resources of FY 83 48,300 8,000	ntly, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide of thorium, it <u>TOTA</u> 93,500 15,200	
ersonal Services FTE 1.5 Salaries & Bene perations applied Need office	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u>	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist t because Montar <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200 7,200 6,000	repetroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di na has potentially vast resources of FY 83 48,300 8,000 3,000	ntly, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide of thorium, it 93,500 15,200 9,000	
on petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be liseful petroleum inform The Bureau has d vishes to do more. ETE 1.5 Salaries & Bene perations apital Need office Totals	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu effits @ 17% fixtures and vehicle	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u>	a engineer and or fontana's major of geological struct coleum geologist t because Montar <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200 7,200	he petroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Dir na has potentially vast resources of FY 83 48,300 8,000 3,000 59,300	ntly, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide of thorium, it 93,500 15,200 9,000 117,700	
on petroleum geology, lata can be gathered a lucer in the whole min which leases cannot be liseful petroleum inform The Bureau has d vishes to do more. ETE 1.5 Salaries & Bene perations apital Need office Totals	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu effits @ 17% fixtures and vehicle	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u>	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist t because Montar <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200 7,200 6,000	repetroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di na has potentially vast resources of FY 83 48,300 8,000 3,000	ntly, very little st revenue pro- xplored but for vision to provide of thorium, it 93,500 15,200 9,000	
on petroleum geology, data can be gathered a ducer in the whole min which leases cannot be diseful petroleum inform The Bureau has devishes to do more. ersonal Services FTE 1.5 Salaries & Bene perations apital Need office Totals erformance India	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu efits @ 17% fixtures and vehicle cators	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u> e availability	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist t because Montan <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200 7,200 6,000 58,400	repetroleum geologist. Conseque energy supply as well as the highe tures in Montana that should be e and more funds in the Energy Di na has potentially vast resources of 48,300 8,000 3,000 59,300 Estimated <u>FY 82</u> \$58,400	TOTA 93,500 15,200 9,000 117,700 Estimate 559,300	
ersonal Services Salaries & Bene perations appirate Need office Formance India	but the Board has nd analyzed on oil neral industry. Fin obtained. We des mation. done little in thoriu efits @ 17% fixtures and vehicle cators	only one petroleum and gas, which is M ally there are many perately need a petr im and uranium, bu <u>BUDGET 1</u> e availability	a engineer and or fontana's major e geological struct roleum geologist t because Montan <u>REQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 45,200 7,200 6,000 58,400	FY 83         48,300         8,000         3,000         59,300	TOTA 93,500 15,200 9,000 117,700 Estimate 559,300	

.

BMR -10

.

₽

.

W.

3. Level of effort to acquire uranium and thorium information will increase and advisory services on same will be more accurate and effective.

COLDE OF MC	<b>እ. እ. የ. ም. 4. እ. የ. 4</b> .			
STATE OF MC		BUDGET N	MODIFICATION REQUE	ST
Office of Budget Planning		JUSTIFIC	ATION	
	<b>)</b>		Page 4 of 8	3
				• .
	ncy Codo Program Code	Prog	ram Name	
Program Identification	5105 08	Montana Bu	reau of Mines and Geology	
Type of Request	unding Modification			
		.7		
BUREAU/U.S. GEOLOGIC	AL SURVEY COOPERATIVE S	TUDY		•
funding level is \$47,000/anr	operative groundwater study was num, which is matched by USGS nt state contribution generates a	with an additional	match for Bureau services rela	ted to USGS
country. The primary thrus solution of various problems	y years, the cooperative study has it is to cover-the state on an are: s. The cooperative study does n	a-by-area basis and	for the participants to assist ea	ch other in the
citizen needs.				*
The USGS has advised	of the acute need to raise the a and personnel freezes, but a fede	nnual funding level	to \$80,000 in the 82-83 bienry	nium. This is
The USGS has advised because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.	of the acute need to raise the a und personnel freezes, but a fede	nnual funding level ral inclination to gi	to \$80,000 in the 82-83 bienr ve high priorities to cooperativ	nium. This is e programs is
Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor. An increase of \$33,000	nd personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t	ral inclination to gi	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
eccause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.	nd personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t	ral inclination to gi	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor. An increase of \$33,000	nd personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t	ral inclination to gi	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor. An increase of \$33,000	and personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t n a reasonable time frame.	ral inclination to gi	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor. An increase of \$33,000	nd personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t	ral inclination to gi	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor. An increase of \$33,000	and personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t n a reasonable time frame.	ral inclination to gi	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor. An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in Personal Services	and personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t n a reasonable time frame.	ral inclination to gither program at a level of the program at a level of the program of the pro	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTF. 1</li> </ul>	ond personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t n a reasonable time frame. <u>BUDGET R</u>	ral inclination to gither program at a level of the program at a level of the program of the pro	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> <li>Operations No addition</li> </ul>	and personnel freezes, but a fede O/year is requested to maintain t n a reasonable time frame.	ral inclination to gither program at a level of the program at a level of the program of the pro	ve high priorities to cooperativ	e programs is
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> </ul>	O/year is requested to maintain to n a reasonable time frame. <u>BUDGET</u> R	ral inclination to gi he program at a lev EQUENT FY 82 33,000	ve high priorities to cooperativ vel adequate to meet rising cost <u>FY 83</u> 33,000	e programs is ts and assist <u>TOTA I</u> 66,000
<ul> <li>Decause of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> <li>Operations No addition:</li> <li>Capital</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>M personnel freezes, but a fede</li> <li>O/year is requested to maintain to a reasonable time frame.</li> <li><u>BUDGET R</u></li> <li>@ 17%</li> <li>al space or equipment needed.</li> </ul>	ral inclination to gi he program at a lev EQUEST FY 82	ve high priorities to cooperativ vel adequate to meet rising cost	e programs is is and assist <u>TOTA I</u> 66,000 <u>66,000</u>
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> <li>Operations No addition:</li> <li>Capital</li> <li>Totals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>M personnel freezes, but a fede</li> <li>O/year is requested to maintain to a reasonable time frame.</li> <li><u>BUDGET R</u></li> <li>@ 17%</li> <li>al space or equipment needed.</li> </ul>	ral inclination to gi he program at a lev EQUENT FY 82 33,000	ve high priorities to cooperativ vel adequate to meet rising cost <u>FY 83</u> 33,000 33,000	e programs is ts and assist <u>TOTA I</u> 66,000 <u>66,000</u> Estimated
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> <li>Operations No addition:</li> <li>Capital</li> <li>Totals</li> <li>Performance Indicator:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>@ 17%</li> <li>al space or equipment needed.</li> </ul>	ral inclination to gi he program at a lev EQUENT FY 82 33,000 33,000	ve high priorities to cooperativ vel adequate to meet rising cost <u>FY 83</u> 33,000 <u>33,000</u> Estimated <u>FY 82</u> \$33,000	e programs is ts and assist <u>TOTA I</u> 66,000
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> <li>Operations No addition:</li> <li>Capital</li> <li>Totals</li> <li>Performance Indicator:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>M personnel freezes, but a fede</li> <li>O/year is requested to maintain to a reasonable time frame.</li> <li><u>BUDGET R</u></li> <li>@ 17%</li> <li>al space or equipment needed.</li> </ul>	ral inclination to gi he program at a lev EQUENT FY 82 33,000 33,000	ve high priorities to cooperativ vel adequate to meet rising cost <u>FY 83</u> 33,000 <u>33,000</u> Estimated <u>FY 82</u> \$33,000	e programs is ts and assist <u>TOTAI</u> 66,000 <u>66,000</u> Estimated FY <sub>83</sub>
<ul> <li>because of increasing costs a a definite positive factor.</li> <li>An increase of \$33,000 in completing areal studies in</li> <li>Personal Services</li> <li>FTE 1</li> <li>Salaries &amp; Benefits</li> <li>Operations No addition:</li> <li>Capital</li> <li>Totals</li> <li>Performance Indicator:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>@ 17%</li> <li>al space or equipment needed.</li> </ul>	ral inclination to gi he program at a lev EQUENT FY 82 33,000 33,000	ve high priorities to cooperativ vel adequate to meet rising cost <u>FY 83</u> 33,000 <u>33,000</u> Estimated <u>FY 82</u> \$33,000	e programs is ts and assist <u>TOTAI</u> 66,000 <u>66,000</u> Estimated FY <sub>8</sub>

1

ø

.•

4

I

Increasing total funded effort by USGS to order of \$250,000 or more annually, with resulting increase rate of data accumulation. 3.

	<u></u>				
I STATE O	F MONTANA		BUDGET	MODIFICATION REQUE	CST
	dget and Prog	ram		•	•
Pla	inning		JUSTIFI		_
	• •			Page 5 of 8	3
Agency	Agency Code	Program Code	Pre	ogram Name	
Program Identification	5105	08	Montana Bi	ureau of Mines and Geology	• 
Type of Reques	t Work-Loa	d Increase			
CLERICAL ASSIST.	ANCE FOR PROG	RAM IMPROVEMENT	[		
The output res	ulting from progra	m improvements from	the responses	to the increasing requests by sta	te and federal
agencies and the pub	lic, and from the s	rowing number of cor	ntracts and grai	nts, and the ever-multiplying for sent clerical staff is the minimum	ms to be completed
	will be devoted to			noderate difficulty, e.g., letters, r ent. Will also assist in filing, pro	
The present cle thereafter) will be ne of federal contracts a	cessary to pick up	occupied with current the additional load re	work. One cle quired by prog	erk typist (Grade 6; needed on Ji gram improvement projects and a	uly 1, 1981 and growing number
	and Branna.				
		-			
			0.000		•
		BUDGET RE	QUEST		
Personal Services			FY 82	FY 83	TOTAL
•					
FTE 1					
Salaries & Bene	fits @ 17%	1	10,500	11,250	21,750
Operations Capital Typewriter,	office facilities		1,000	1,100	2,100
Totals	onnee nacimites		1,500	500	2,000
		l 	13,000	12,850	25,850
Performance India	cators			Estimated FY 82	Estimated FY 83
				•	
• Additional clark to				\$13,000	\$12,850
filing thousands of	letters and docum	ents, serving as recepti	ionist(s) for hu	id dozens of rough drafts and rep indreds of visitors, answering and the absence of the person assign	relaying

÷

3.

BMR - 10

BMR	•	1	0	
-----	---	---	---	--

	<u></u>						
STATE OF	ΜΟΝΤΑΝΛ		BUDGE	T MODIFIC	ATION RE	QUEST	
Office of Budg	get and Prog	ram				•	
Plan	nIng	·	JUSTIF	ICATION	- (		
				•	Page 6	of 8	
Agency A	gency Code	Program Code	P	rogram Nar	ne		
Program	5105	08	Montana	Bureau of Min			
Identification	5105	08	MOILLANA	Bureau of Mine	s and Geology	ý	
Type of Request	Workload	Increase					
STATE GEOLOGICAL	MAP ATLAS					, <b>•</b> •	
The Montana May covering all of the state	Atlas Program	provides full-color, m	odern, detaile	d maps at a sc	ale of 1:250,0	00 for 22 q	uadrangles
and environmental/engi	neering lactors.	ine program aims at	replacing the	old 1 ⋅ 500 000	ecole state m	an nuith mad	
information in map for the future wise and pru	m designed for e	asy use by governme	nt agencies at	all levels indu	stry, and the	general publi	c in planning
		rojected to complete			0		
io me mist pucusned m	aps and the pres	ising need to supply t	he most accur	ate and up-to-	date informati	on under ou	response r legislative
mandate prompts this r			·				
Program expansion draftsperson with com	n and acceleration	on to meet a 10-year	goal requires t	he addition to	a full-time ge	ologist-carto	grapher and
is requested in support	of this increase i	in program level of ef	fort.	orenniai genei	a lunu approj	pliation of 3	90,000
•							
•	v						
,							
		BUDGET RI	EQUEST				
Personal Services			FY 82		FY 83		TOTAI
FTE 2					•	· · · ·	۰۹. محمد
Salaries & Benefi	ts @ 17 %		39,000		42,000		81,000
Operations	× .		7,200		7,800	· •	15,000
Capital							-
Totals	·····	2			49,800		96,000
Performance Indica	tors			Estima FY			Estimated FY
<b>1</b>		•		\$46,20	) )		\$49,800
Increased production of	of technical info	rmation maps to a ta	rgeted goal of	88 maps over	the 10-year pe	eriod 1980-1	990.
the second						-	

2. More expeditious use of new, improved scale, and more accurate geological maps for state planning and management.

**-**|3.

.

	Restra	- 1 Azo	511-2-2		BMR - 10
STATE OF MONTANA Office of Budget and Program Planning		BUDGET MODIFICATION REQUEST JUSTIFICATION Page 7 of 8			
Agency	Agency Code Pre	ogram Code	Prog	ram Name	
Program Identification	5105	08		of Mines and Geology	
Type of Reques	st New Services				·
there is not a single Small-mine operator comprehensive instr assistance of a speci operational support,	nomists of several types economic specialist in rs need advice in this ar- uction in this specific fi alist. The need is for a , to take care of his pap	Montana for the ea, tax statutes a eld, and the Dire highly qualified perwork and trave	state's largest sing re a patchwork, ctor of the Burea professional mine bl. Placed in the	remment, business, banking, and the industrial segment, minerals no college or university in Mon u and the Department of Rever ral economist and a student ass Administrative Division under t initially funded grant from the b	and mining. tana is giving nuo need the istant, with he Director.
	· .	BUDGET R	EQUEST		
Personal Services	3		FY 82	<u>FY 83</u>	TOTAL
FTE 1.5 Salaries & Ben Operations Includ	efits @ 17 % les probable computer c	osts	<b>38,0</b> 00 <b>7,2</b> 00	40,800 7,800	<b>78,</b> 800 <b>15,0</b> 00
Capital Need of on	e advanced-typed desk c office equipment		2,400 47,600	600 <b>49,2</b> 00	00 <b>, 61</b> 000, <b>6</b> 00 <b>3, 60</b>
Performance Indi	cators		,	Estimated FY 82	Estimated FY ca
				47,600	49,200

1. Project probably will result in substantial savings to the state, through possible new, more applicable, and simpler tax statues. plus the future availability of experts trained in Mineral Economics.

2. Currently there is little understanding of the economics of the Montana Mineral Industry. Research and reports covering this area will be of great value to the Executive and Legislative branches of government, as well as to the small operator: in the industry itself.

3. It is difficult to place performance parameters with any precision, but Montana has been in need of expert economic evaluations in this area for many years.

STATE OI	F MONTANA		BUILET	DIFICATION PEOU	-፦ የፍጥ	
Office of Budget and Program Planning		BUDGET MODIFICATION REQUEST JUSTIFICATION Page 8 of 8				
Agency	Agency Code P	rogram Code	Progra	m Name		
Program Identification	5105	08	Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology			
Type of Reques	t New Services					
The potential for population centers for mandate to study the property, the Bureau thereby mitigate the b	om a repetition of m geology of Montana of Mines and Geolog high regional hazard equipment from the l	y loss, personal inju ajor earthquakes lik , including geologic y has initiated a m of earthquakes in w U.S. Geological Sur	ery, and disruption of the those of the past al hazards that cons odest geological and vestern Montana. To vey and the U.S. Bu	of essential services in the H 50 years is very high. Und titute a serious potential ris geophysical research progra- date this program has bee reau of Mines, and by coop	er its statutory ik to life, limb, and am to evaluate and n supported by veration with privat	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro	to adequately analyziticularly inadequate ena-Bozeman-Butte s request an increase ogram. These funds.	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte of \$60,000 in gene; if approved, would funds and capital ec	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition guipment required to	of potential earthquake faul	Its in central-wester onitor seismic	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro-	to adequately analyziticularly inadequate ena-Bozeman-Butte s request an increase ogram. These funds.	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte of \$60,000 in gene if approved, would	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition guipment required to	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo Belt. on for the biennium to supp t of 0.75 ETE professional	Its in central-wester onitor seismic	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro- support staff and the	to adequately analyziticularly inadequate ena-Bozeman-Butte s request an increase ogram. These funds.	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte of \$60,000 in gene; if approved, would funds and capital ec	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition guipment required to	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo Belt. on for the biennium to supp t of 0.75 ETE professional	Its in central-wester onitor seismic	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro- support staff and the Personal Services	to adequately analyziticularly inadequate ena-Bozeman-Butte s request an increase ogram. These funds.	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte of \$60,000 in gene; if approved, would funds and capital ec	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition guipment required to CQUEST	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo Belt. On for the biennium to supp of 0.75 FTE professional/ D establish an operational m	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic ionitoring network.	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro- support staff and the ersonal Services FTE .75 Salaries & Bene	to adequately analyziticularly inadequate ena-Bozeman-Butte s request an increase ogram. These funds, necessary operating f	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte of \$60,000 in gener if approved, would funds and capital ec <u>BUDGET RE</u>	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition guipment required to CQUEST	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo Belt. On for the biennium to supp of 0.75 FTE professional/ D establish an operational m	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic ionitoring network.	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro- support staff and the Personal Services FTE: .75 Salaries & Bene operations	fits @ 17%	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte. of \$60,000 in gener if approved, would funds and capital eco <u>BUDGET RE</u>	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition quipment required to <u>EQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u>	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo belt. On for the biennium to supp of 0.75 FTE professional/ b establish an operational m <u>FY 83</u>	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic ionitoring network. <u>TOTA</u>	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pro- support staff and the Personal Services FTE .75 Salaries & Bene perations apital Seismometers.	fits @ 17%	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte. of \$60,000 in gener. if approved, would funds and capital eco <u>BUDGET RE</u> 1 and 2	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition quipment required to FY 82 3,500 2,000 1,000	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo belt. On for the biennium to supp of 0.75 FTE professional/ b establish an operational m <u>FY 83</u> 14,500 2,000 7,000	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic conitoring network. 28.000 4,000 28,000	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pre- support staff and the Personal Services FTE: .75 Salaries & Bene perations apital Seismometers Totals linking devices	fits @ 17% recording monitors,	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte. of \$60,000 in gener. if approved, would funds and capital eco <u>BUDGET RE</u> 1 and 2	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati- permit the addition juipment required to <u>CQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 3,500 2,000 1,000 6,500	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo Belt. on for the biennium to supp of 0.75 FTE professional/ b establish an operational m <u>FY 83</u> 14,500 2,000 7,000 23,500	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic ionitoring network. <u>TOTA</u> 28.000 4,000 28,000 60,000	
ersonal Services FTE: .75 Salaries & Bene	fits @ 17% recording monitors,	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte. of \$60,000 in gener. if approved, would funds and capital eco <u>BUDGET RE</u> 1 and 2	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati- permit the addition juipment required to <u>CQUEST</u> <u>FY 82</u> 3,500 2,000 1,000 6,500	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo belt. On for the biennium to supple of 0.75 FTE professional/ b establish an operational m 14,500 2,000 7,000 23,500 Estim ated	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic ionitoring network. 28,000 4,000 28,000 Estimate	
individuals and the Co gram is not sufficient Montana, and it is par movements in the Hel Accordingly, we seismic monitoring pre- support staff and the Personal Services FTE: .75 Salaries & Bene perations apital Seismometers Totals linking devices	fits @ 17% recording monitors,	te and document the to establish a mode segment of the Inte. of \$60,000 in gener. if approved, would funds and capital eco <u>BUDGET RE</u> 1 and 2	e location and risk ern network of radio rmountain Seismic I ral fund appropriati permit the addition quipment required to SQUEST FY 82 3,500 2,000 1,000 6,500	of potential earthquake fau b-linked seismometers to mo Belt. on for the biennium to supp of 0.75 FTE professional/ b establish an operational m <u>FY 83</u> 14,500 2,000 7,000 23,500	Its in central-wester onitor seismic port a minimal technical geophysic ionitoring network. <u>TOTA</u> 28.000 4,000 28,000 60,000	

BMR - 10

3.

4

Man in sin har 1014 for Kanduch The proceeds & property Contes in Mont related Is mining have inimared from 100 to 330 million relievo. Alexander d'arterion of mining Properties have laped from 30 Bring 100 million Sollors. Gecause of the ment change in our poold hinds iconomic setting, an instance in mining interest and activity is Sulding . Montina is a minina resource that. the Freeson Stat-This is well and good, but if he Con't mend ov ways and finines Mint and beaver Mines & Holys Our History necessary Disatment to give out latere there menerals the and in light prentines and i i ser a ser en and

in the floor and burged days. alicing in while digit infation a) diana a increada antina air at the mercy of the OCIC nation, the complain about the Federal Soverment not glowing enough money back in to on foleral nenewoods inverserer la lout or stat? I serverily deline the monis invested in for this great Cause will Come back 1000 foldi I believe this Raccome what been already indicated in the Past. 2 good invained is never monder?





GENERAL OFFICES: 107 EAST GRANITE, BUTTE, MONTANA 59701 (406) 723-3151

January 26, 1981

Mr. Gene Donaldson

Dear Sir:

It has been brought to our attention that certain recommendations from the Office of the Fiscal Analyst regarding a reduction in staff for the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology are being considered by your committee.

Western Energy Company submits the following comments in an effort to provide more information on the subject and aid your committee through the decision making process.

The Eureau of Mines and Geology has been invaluable to Western Energy Company especially in the fields of Geology and Hydrology. We have relied on the Bureau for raw drill hole data, geologic interpretation of data, as an agency to purchase maps and publications, as expert consultants, and as the prime agency for developing information for most of the Eastern Montana coal fields. Their work in hydrology is extremely useful and competent. They are experts in ground water resource inventories, studies on saline seep, and are available to commit staff for specific studies. An example of this activity is the coal hydrology work done by Mr. Wayne Van Vo**Q**st.

The Bureau, among its other contributory work, is an excellent training ground for students and professionals to move into industry or other government agencies. Our chief mining engineer at Colstrip worked for the Bureau for six years.

It is a known fact that whenever any legislative or regulatory body discusses coal, the B.T.U. content is always under scrutiny, and the first place all concerned head is to the Bureau for guidance. This is because of their good credability. Also, small mining operations are helped and aided by good technical assistance.

The recent depletion allowance reduction in Canada has driven many companies (possibly 100 or more) from Canada to Montana to drill the Overthrust Belt in hopes of discovering oil or gas. This Letter - Donaldson January 26, 1981 Page 2

activity as well as the flurry of mining activity in the State would indicate a heavy work load forecast for the Bureau, probably plans to increase the staff would be more appropriate than considering staff reductions.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments. We hope you will give careful consideration when determining the fate of such a worthwhile organization as the Bureau of Mines and Geology.

William 7. Rolenson

William J. Robinson Manager, Corporate Development Western Energy Company

WJR/mm

	VISITORS' REGIS	TER						
HOUSE <u>APPROPRIATION JOINT SUB</u> COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION L Bureau of Mines Date January 26, 1981								
NAME	) RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSI				
Enward C. Bingler	RED BAR 78 J Butte, M+	Mart. Benear of Minis						
Fed W. De Money	1315 W. Park, Bitte, MT	MBMG						
M. J. Wolter But Maria	Helena	Holter Researc	I.d.					
But think	$\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle_{(1,1)}$	hiline , Mr.	4					
			U.					
John Fittpataich	Holena	Se/f						
			} }					
				ļ				
		<b>x</b>	1					

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.