MINUTES OF THE MEETING FOR THE JOINT APPROPRIATION ON EDUCATION SUBCOMMITTEE January 8, 1981

The meeting for the University Supplemental Requests of the Appropriation on Education Subcommittee was called to order by Chairman GENE DONALDSON at 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, January 8, 1981 in Room 104, Capitol Building, Helena, Montana.

Roll call was taken and all members were present. Curt Nichols and Bruce Shively, Fiscal Analysts were also present. All University Presidents were present as follows:

```
MSU, Dr. William Tietz, President
U of M , Dr. Richard C. Bowers, President
EMC, Dr. John Van deWetering, President
NMC, Dr. James Erickson, President
WMC, Dr. Bob Thomas, President
MT Tech., Dr. Fred DeMoney, President
```

Testimony was given by Glen Levitt, Commissioner Richardson, University Presidents, Art Lund, Jeff Morrison, Senator Mike Anderson, Dr. Carl Hoffman, Director of Cooperative Extension Service and Dr. James Welsh, Director of Agricultural Experiment Station.

GLEN LEVITT gave recommendations from the Budget Office. First, the millage request for $\$ 565,700$ in General Funds. When the revenue shortfall was realized the full appropriated amount had been transferred to the units. This left two alternatives: (1) request that the units return some funds to Helena (2) a supplemental appropriation of General Funds be approved for transfer from FY 81 to FY 80. The second alternative was used. Second, the request for utilities supplemental for $\$ 1,755,080$. It was suggested by Mr. Levitt that this be a line item. If the cost overrun does not equal that amount, the difference will revert to General Fund.

Increased enrollment supplemental is the third request for $\$ 2,893,681$ in General Funds and $\$ 1,501,071$ in other funds. Glen Levitt suggested that the General Funds be cut by $50 \%$ which would make the request $\$ 1,446,840$.

Total University Supplemental Request would amount to $\$ 3,367,620$.
COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON, for the University System assisted by JACK NOBLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER reviewed the three requests on how they would intend to allocate the money. (EXHIBIT C) He stated that the millage amount is not immediately available at the outset of the biennium. General resources were transferred into the fiscal year account of 1980 to cover the shortfall. The total amount of $\$ 565,700$ are proportionally
allocated to the six units on the basis of the amount of percentage of millage which is appropriated to each unit. Regarding the Utility Supplemental for $\$ 1,879,582$, it was mentioned that the University has implemented a number of energy conservation measures. (EXHIBIT A) To cover the overrun they transferred from FY 80 resources to FY 81. It was also stated that a deficit of $\$ 523,000$ was experienced in FY 80 and that for FY 81 a deficit of $\$ 1,356,000$ is estimated.

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON brought up the fact that there is a difference of $\$ 100,000$ relative to the report by the Budget Office in the estimated utility cost.

GLEN LEVITT stated that the difference was due to the estimation for FY 81.

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON questioned whether or not a line item would be acceptable.

COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON said that this would be acceptable.
REPRESENTATIVE BENGTSON asked what conservation measures are being taken.

COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON stated that storm windows have been installed and that they are cooperating with the Department of Administration in their Energy Audit Program.

The request for the enrollment supplemental (EXHIBIT B) is a total of $\$ 4,394,752$ and a request for General Fund for $\$ 2,893,681$. As enrollment increases, so must the faculty. The schedule of estimated revenue as compared to the appropriated amount was explained.

CHAIRMAN DONALDSON asked how they arrived with the $30 \%$ factor in computing the enrollment supplemental.

JACK NOBLE stated that the $30 \%$ was an estimate. There would be some factor added to the appropriation based upon enrollment to cover administrative costs, student services, academic support and libraries. This would make up 65\% of the General Fund appropriation.

DR. BOB THOMAS, PRESIDENT OF WESTERN MONTANA COLLEGE was assisted by TOM BRIGGS, DIRECTOR OF FISCAL AFFAIRS to give testimony. (EXHIBIT D) Western Montana College anticipates higher enrollments than listed by the Commissioner in 1981.

A $33 \%$ increase is anticipated for this year. This would make 12.5 faculty members unfunded.

REPRESENTATIVE BENGTSON asked how they planned to spend the money since they already have the additional members.

PRESIDENT THOMAS replied that if they don't receive the supplementals they will have to cut back seminars. Foreign Languages have already been cut. Mr. Briggs explained that night and weekend classes may not be offered for the Human Services course. The library is not adequately staffed due to cuts. The cut in library staff is to make up the staff's salaries.

DR. JIM ERICKSON, PRESIDENT OF NORTHERN MONTANA COLLEGE testified. During the last two years money has been moved out of operations within the limits of protecting the state's property. Our priority is to train technicians. In order to do this we need library books, technical equipment, and instructional equipment. He commented that they have foregone important hiring. Money has been used to hire part-time instructors through the winter.

DR. JOHN VAN deWETERING, PRESIDENT OF EASTERN MONTANA COLLEGE testified.
The result of the enrollment increase has caused the closure of classes. These classes are mathematics classes, computer science classes, and accounting classes. Custodial and library staff has been cut to place emphasis in the instructional area. In addition, the pool of available funds for part-time instructor has been exhausted. This would mean come spring quarter they would be faced with a major crisis in staffing classes if there is no supplemental received. If it is received, rather than hiring new employees they would use their existing faculty to the fullest and after that if more were needed they would hire them. However, this supplemental money would not be used to raise present salaries. It was further mentioned that they were funded for an 18.5 to 1 student faculty ratio. Fall quarter this ratio was 20 to 1.

DR. FRED DeMONEY, PRESIDENT OF MONTANA COLLEGE OF MINERAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY assisted by Victor Burt, Director of Fiscal Affairs stated that enrollment was up $39 \%$ last year. In Engineering there are $50 \%$ part-time students and 65 to $70 \%$ full-time students. Funds have been borrowed against supplies and small equipment in order to support the faculty.

DR. RICHARD BOWERS, PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA, assisted by Pat Douglas, testified that there was a deficit in FY 80 of $\$ 202,000$ for utilities and an estimated deficit of $\$ 350,000$ for $F Y$ 81. In terms of enrollment, deficiencies
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amount to over $\$ 800,000$. Staff has been provided by incurring deficiencies in certain areas. This year $\$ 250,000$ will be held back from capitol expenditures and supplies. These students are being shorted supplies. If the supplementals are received the areas in which instructional staff would be added are: Business Administration, Computer Science, Freshman Composition and Interpersonal Communications. There are a pool of people who could be hired part-time.

DR. WILLIAM TIETZ, PRESIDENT OF THE MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY assisted by TOM NOPPEY, DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATION testified. It was stated that increased enrollment has forced MSU to be in a somewhat different management than most. They have operated on the philosophy that they will do everything they can in the first year and they will return with problems in the second year. Last session 72 classes and 26 positions were cut spring quarter. Commitments to staff by contract require one year notice. They are committed to staff who were picked up in the fall. Dr. Tietz explained that they have a management commitment based on what we perceive was the policy of this group over the past years. It has become more prevalent to hire part-time staffing.

REPRESENTATIVE BENGTSON questioned if they would be willing to accept $2 / 3$ of the supplement.

DR. TIETZ replied that if they were willing to accept the $2 / 3$ so late in the game it would require substantial reductions in the spring quarter. Also if they didn't receive the supplemental there would be a significant cut which would be proportional to whatever has been requested since they are committed to it at this point.

JEFF MORRISON from the BOARD OF REGENTS stated that the units will not overspend budgets and that they must have a contingency plan. They have been robbing spring quarter to pay for the last six quarters. If supplemental does not come through the system, all institutions will be in strajghts to provide services to students. He continued to say that we are all victims of unanticipated inflation. The State has an open enrollment policy. This makes us victims of enrollment increase. The result would be a cut of support staff, support services, and services to students. The basic courses would suffer the most. Non-contractual expenditures are dropped.

SENATOR MIKE ANDERSON testified on the basis that his daughter, Julie Anderson, after selecting six classes, found that five were filled.

DR. CARL HOFFMAN, Cooperative Extension Service's Vice-Presidnet distributed a handout. (EXHIBIT C) He explained that this
service's responsibility was to take research findings and prepare them to be used. Extension agents in all but three counties in Montana did the research. Funds requested would be used for increased costs for materials, supplies, utilities, and to continue existing and new programs. It would require no additional General Fund support.

DR. JAMES WELSH, Cooperative Extension Service's Vice-President gave their request for additional funds in order to replace or supplement budgets. Federal Hatch Funds may be used to make up other funds. Hatch funds are used to support projects that are unique to Montana and regional funds are used to support projects from other states. (EXHIBIT C)

The deficit this year will run approximately $\$ 470,000$.
COMMISSIONER RICHARDSON took Over to explain the WESTERN INTERSTATE COMMISSION of HIGHER EDUCATION dues.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 arm.


REPRESENTATIVE GENE DONALDSON, Chairman

Date: November 19, 1980

Subject: Energy Utilization and Cost Report

Our most recent review of campus energy utilization and cost is attached for your review. Our required supplemental budget request will most likely fall between $\$ 1.8$ and $\$ 2.0$ million dollars depending on winter weather conditions on each campus.

I believe that Schedule $B$ demonstrates that each campus has been very active in attempting to curtail energy consumption. Actual costs for last year indicate that natural gas consumption per square foot was from $69 \%$ to $90 \%$ of the 1975 consumption levels.

While every attempt was made to reflect energy data on a comparable basis among the six campuses, I would like to emphasize that there are limitations and real differences that are not reflected in this report. Among the differences are:

1) The number of temperature degree heating days on each campus varies.
2) The condition of the campus buildings as to insulation and window space is different.
3) Not all campuses purchase utilities from the same source.
4) The methods of heating and monitoring energy utilization are different on each campus.

All the data presented ar s indexed with the $1975-76$ fiscal year being equal to $100 \%$. I believe this approach is more useful in providing the reader with a cost or energy utilization trend. Facility related square feet are used as the best common denominator of measuring energy cost subject to the limitations previously stated.
cc: Campus Presidents/Campus Fiscal Officers
Glen Leavit. - Budget Office
John LaFaver - Fiscal Analyst
Joe Zigler - Dit
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To: Jack Noble
Deputy Commissioner for
Management and Fiscal Affairs
From: Steve Bennyhoff


Financial Assistant
Date: November 19, 1980
jubject: Schedule of Energy Utilization and Cost;
Schedule of Utility Cost Overrun
From the utility cost surveys provided by the University campuses, \#wo schedules have been developed.

Schedule A presents cost overrun for FY's 80 and 81 above what -the Legislative Fiscal Analyst has estimated to be the appropriated amount for utility costs for FY 80 and 87. Some campuses disagree with the estimated costs provided by the analyst's appropriation worksheets.

Schedule B shows Energy Utilization and Cost for each campus from FY 76 through FY 81 (estimated). It is in the same format used for the 1979 schedule.

Some campuses have updated the data previously reported so that all previous years' data are reflected on a comparable basis. It -should be noted that Eastern Montana College purchases its natural gas from a different source and at lower rates than the other five campuses.

SAB /11t

Attachments

(1) Exceeds 6/23/80 Regent Estimate by $\$ 11,510$ (2) Exceeds 6/23/80 Regent Estimate by $\$ 15,685$

| 岂的 | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | N | of | 奇 |
| 出念家 | n | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ， | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\cdots$ | ． |  |
| 㞫口辺 | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ | O | 茴 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $=$ | 7 | － |
| BUO | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | － | － |  |  | \％ |



| FISCAL YEAR 80 UTILITY COSTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GAS | ELECTRICITY | OTHER |
| \＄ | 785，772 | \＄216，352 | \＄65，240 |
|  | 518，526 | 215，281 | 133，310 |
|  | 92，600 | 107，353 | 38，924 |
|  | 124，077 | 44，140 | 9，538 |
|  | 71，558 | 36，304 | 7，518 |
|  | 96，932 | $\ldots 23,485$ | －3，645 |
|  | 1，689，465 | \＄642，915 | \＄258，175 |


| LFA FY 80 |
| :---: |
| UTILITY |
| APPROPS |
| $\$ 842,326$ |
| 664,724 |
| 184,071 |
| 149,587 |
| 127,101 |
| 111,082 |
| $\$ 2,078,891$ |

CAMPUS
MSU
U of 11
EMC
TECH
WMC
WAC

| DIFFERENCE |
| :--- |
| （COLUMI 1－ |
| COLUMN 5） |
| $\$(699,518)$ |
| $(350,784)$ |
| $(110,798)$ |
| $(106,053)$ |
| $(28,359)$ |
| $(60,685)$ |
| $(1,356,197)$ |



| $\bar{\infty} \times \infty$ |  | $\infty$ |  | $\sigma$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots \square$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |
| \％川8 | ． | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ |
| －$\sim$ | $\infty$ | $\checkmark$ | － | $\sim$ | $\infty$ | － | 10 |
| ¢上可 | － | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 」 | の | N | N |  | － | － | $\sim$ |
|  | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  | $\sim$ |

CAMPUS
MSU
U of M
EMC
TECH
NMC
WMC

|  | Haf.M | \% | -.MSU | - \%á- | EMC | \% | _NMC | \% | Tech | - \% | W-WMC | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i) 1.. Bri: Molatual Sonare Foet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.16-70, Notwal | 1,453,238 | 100.0 | 1,713.782 | 100.0 | 373,874 | 100.0 | 298,946 | 100.0 | 243,667 | 100.0 | 277,139 | 100.0 |
| 1975.77, Actual | 1,380,983 | 94.7 | 1,713,782 | 100.0 | 348,586 | 93.2 | 298.946 | 100.0 | 243,667 | 100.0 | 277,139 | 100.0 |
| 1077-73, Actual | 1,458,233 | 100.0 | 1,713,782 | 100.0 | 473,634 | 126.6 | 290,760 | 97.2 | 243,667 | 100.0 | 277,139 | 100.0 |
| 1073-79, Actual | 1,458,238 | 100.0 | 1,722,260 | 100.4 | 473,634 | 126.6 | 298,760 | 97.2 | 276,607 | 113.2 | 277,139 | 100.0 |
| 1970-20, Actual | 1,458,238 | 100.0 | 1,722,260 | 100.4 | 500,356 | 133.8 | 298,468 | 99.8 | 341,169 | 140.0 | 277,139 | 100.0 |
| 1980.3i, Estimated | 1,533,238 | 105.1 | 1.722,260 | 100.4 | 505,816 | 135.2 | 298,468 | 99.8 | 341,169 | 140.0 | 277,139 | 100.0 |
| 2) Lnuigy Consumption Per Square Foot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in) isabural sias (MCF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19ノ5-76, Actual | . 139 | 100.0 | . 1668 | 100.0 | . 1235 | 100.0 | . 1071 | 100.0 | . 174 | 100.0 | . 141 | 100.0 |
| 1970-77, Actual | . 114 | 82.0 | . 1556 | 93.2 | . 1184 | 95.8 | . 0981 | 91.5 | . 176 | 101.1 | . 137 | 97.1 |
| 1077-73, Actual | . 112 | 80.5 | . 1595 | 95.6 | . 1224 | 99.1 | . 1321 | 123.3 | . 169 | 97.1 | . 138 | 97.8 |
| 1978-79, Actual | . 122 | 87.7 | .1645 | 98.6 | . 1294 | $1 \mathrm{C4.7}$ | . 1415 | 132.1 | . 142 | 81.6 | . 152 | 107.8 |
| 19\%0-30, Actual | . 115 | 82.7 | . 1511 | 90.5 | . 0979 | 79.2 | . 0877 | 81.8 | . 120 | 68.9 | . 116 | 82.2 |
| 1900-81, Estimated | . 121 | 87.0 | . 1654 | 99.1 | . 1225 | 99.1 | . 1119 | 104.4 | . 129 | 74.1 | . 136 | 96.4 |
| (-) Electricity (KWH) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975-76. Actual | 8.714 | 100.0 | 8.2351 | 100.0 | 0.38 | 100.0 | 4.5666 | 100.0 | 7.53 | 100.0 | 4.80 | 100.0 |
| 1970-77, Actual | 9.079 | 104.1 | 8.8552 | 107.5 | 10.15 | 102.7 | 4.3063 | 94.2 | 8.30 | 110.2 | 4.73 | 93.5 |
| 1977-70, Actual | 7.970 | 91.4 | 9.1154 | 110.6 | 5.96 | 100.8 | 4.9836 | 109.1 | 8.38 | 111.2 | 4.58 | 95.4 |
| 1973.79, Actual | 8.914 | 102.2 | 8.9323 | 108.4 | 10.73 | 108.6 | 5,2198 | 114.3 | 8.03 | 106.6 | 4.54 | 94.5 |
| 1970-80, Actual | 8.912 | 102.2 | 9.2120 | 111.8 | 10.74 | 108.7 | 4.9754 | 108.9 | 7.69 | 102.1 | 4.99 | 103.9 |
| 1980-81, Estimated | 8.780 | 100.7 | 9.1744 | 111.4 | 10.70 | 108.2 | 5.0256 | 110.0 | 8.50 | 112.8 | 4.73 | 93.5 |




| WMC | $\%$ |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $1,331,620$ | 100.0 |
| $1,310,950$ | 98.4 |
| $1,270,120$ | 95.3 |
| $1,259,753$ | 94.6 |
| $1,363,400$ | 103.8 |
| $1,311,378$ | 38.4 |




|  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ir | ? |  |





|  |  | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ} \stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |



|  | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| a |  |

Uof M
$12,707,229$
$12,538,627$
$11,622,485$
$12,009,816$
$13,236,720$
$13,463,044$
(2) Licciriciay (in KWH)
1975-76, Actual
1976-77, Actual
1977-78, Actual
1970-70, Acturl
1979-60, Actual
$1950-81$, Estimetod

Schedule of Estimated Cost of an Enrollment. Supplerenta? Fiscal Year 1980-81

1) MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

Estimated FY FTE Enrollment, 1980-81 10,255
Appropriation Enrollment
Unfunded Enroliment

$$
\frac{9,332}{923}
$$

FACULTY CDMPONENT:
Unfunded Enroliment
Student/Faculty Ratio
Unfunded Faculty
$\frac{19 / 1}{48.5 F T E}$

DOLLAR ADJUSTMEIT:
Unfunded Faculty
X Average Salary (HB 483)
Total Faculty Salaries
Add: Employee Benefits (Excludes $\$ 600$ in insurance)
Total Personal Services
Support Costs e $30 \%$
TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL
General Fund Portion
2) UBIVERSITY OF HONTAIA

Estimated FY FTE Enrollment, 1980-81
8,330

Appropriation Enrollment
Unfunded Enrollment

$$
\begin{array}{r}
7,842 \\
\hline 488
\end{array}
$$

FACULTY COMPONENT:
Unfunded Enrollment
488
Student/Faculty Ratio
Unfunded Faculty

$$
\begin{array}{r}
19 / 1 \\
\hline 25.5
\end{array}
$$

DOLLAR ADJUSTMENT:
Unfunded Faculty
25.5
$X$ Average Salary (HB 483)
Total Faculty Salaries
Add: Employee Benefits (Excludes $\$ 600$ in insurance)
Total Personal Services
Support Costs @ $30 \%$
TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL
$\frac{\$ \quad 22,467}{\$ 572,658-}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
85,580 \\
\hline \$ \quad 657,233- \\
197,170- \\
\$ \quad 854,403 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

General Fund Portion
\$ 809,787

MONTANA UNIVERSITY SYSTE:
Schedule of Estimated Cost of an Enrollment Supplemento?
Fiscal Year 1980-81
Page 2
3) MONTANA TECH

Estimated FY FTE Enrollment 1980-81
Appropriation Enrollment
1,097
Unfunded Enrollment

FACULTY COMPONEITT:
Unfunded Enrollment
Student/Faculty Ratio
Unfunded Faculty
$\frac{16 / 1}{26.3}$

DOLLAR ADJUSTMENT:
Unfunded Faculty
X Average Salary (HB 483)
Total Faculty Salaries
26.3
$\begin{array}{rr}\$ & 21,222- \\ \$ & 558,138-\end{array}$
Add: Employee Benefits (Excludes $\$ 600$ in insurance)
Total Personal Services
Support Costs @ $30 \%$
TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL
General Fund Portion

14.77
\$ 611,174
4) EASTERN MONTANA COLLEGE

Estimated FY FTE Enrollment 1930-37
3,167
Appropriation Enrollment
Unfunded Enrollment

| 2,975 |
| ---: |
| 192 |

FACULTY COMPONENT:
Unfunded Enrollment
Student/Faculty Ratio
Unfunded Faculty

192
$\frac{18.5 / 1}{10.4}$

DOLLAR ADJUSTMENT:
Unfuded Faculty
$X$ Average Salary (HB 483)
Total Faculty Salaries
Add: Employee Benefits (Excludes $\$ 600$
in insurance)
Total Personal Services
Support Costs © 30\%
TOTAL SUPPLEMEITTAL
10.4
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ & 20,211- \\ \$ \quad 210,194-\end{array}$
31,045
$14.77 \%$
\$ 241,239-$\begin{array}{r}72,371- \\ \$ \quad 313,610 \\ \hline\end{array}$
\$ 313,465-
MONTANA UNIVERSITY SYSTE:
Schedule of Estimated Cost of an Enrollment Supplementa?Fiscal Year 1980-81Page 2
5) NORTHERN MONTANA COLLEGE
Estimated FY FTE Enrollment 1980-81 ..... 1,500
Appropriation Enrollment ..... 1,213 ..... 287
Unfunded Enrollment
FACULTY COMPONENT:
Unfunded Enrollmient ..... 287
Student/Faculty Ratio
Unfunded Faculty$\frac{16 / 1}{18}$
DOLLAR ADJUSTMENT:
Unfunded Faculty ..... 8
X Average Salary (HB 483)
Total Faculty SalariesAdd: Employee Benefits (Excludes $\$ 600$in insurance)
Total Personal Services
Support Costs © $30 \%$TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL
General Fund Portion
6) WESTERN MONTANA COLLEGE
Estimated FY FTE Enrollment 1980-81 ..... 727
Appropriated Enroliment Unfunded Enrollment ..... $\frac{602}{125}$
$\frac{\$ \quad 20,211-}{\$} \frac{363,798}{}$125,259-\$ 542,789-\$ 494,329
FACULTY COMPNENT:Unfunded Enrollment125Student/Faculty Ratio$\frac{76.6 / 1}{7.5}$
DOLLAR ADJUSTMENT:
Unfunded Faculty
X Averace Salary (HB 483)
Total Faculty SalariesAdd: Employee Benefits (Excludes $\$ 600$in insurance)
Total Personal Services
Support Costs e 30\%TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL
General Fund Portion
Unfunded Facluty
53,732- $14.77 \%$
53,732-
\$ 417,530-\$ 542,789-\$ 494,329


| WMC |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 289,497 |
| 16,000 |  |
| 88,701 |  |
| $\$ \quad 394,198$ |  |

MONTANA UNIVERSITY SYSTEM
Schedule of Estimated Revenue Compared To Appropriated Amounts
NMC

Coll


|  | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |
| $\infty$ |  |
| $\omega$ |  |
| $\omega$ |  |
| $\omega$ |  |




## EMC <br> 



$\$ 1,335,553$

$$
145
$$



```
\begin{tabular}{r}
\(U\) of \(M\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

$\$ 5,077,468$

$\$ 4,982,852$
\$ 44,616 $\$ 854,403$

MSU


[^0]$\begin{array}{r}\$ 4,362,290 \\ 1,340,622 \\ 855,653 \\ \hline\end{array}$

## $599^{\prime} 899^{\prime} 9 \$$

 \$1,090,690 $\$ 1,090,690$$\$ 1,625,043$ $\$ 1,625,043$
$\$ 1,090,690$ $\frac{\$ 1,090,690}{\$ 534,353}$ PEVISED ESTIMATES
Tuition \& Fees (Net) Indirect Costs Otiner
Total
Tuition \& Fces
Indirect Costs
Other
Total
Net Difference
Estimated Supplemental Less: Increase in other
General Fund Required

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\$ & 313,610 \\
\$ & 115
\end{array}
$$
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T0: Representative Gene Donaldson
Chairman, Education Sub-Committee - Appropriations
FROM: John A. Richardson
Commissioner of Higher Education
DATE: January 6, 1981
SUBJECT: Supplemental Appropriation Requests

The campuses and agencies of the Montana University System have the following supplemental budget requests.

1) Revenue shortfall in millage account
$\$ 565,700$
The collections from the 6 mill levy did not reach the legislative estimate provided in the appropriation. The shortfall was covered by transfering general fund money from the current year's account in the following amounts:

| U of M | $\$ 192,338$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| MSU | 228,542 |
| EMC | 73,542 |
| TECH | 25,456 |
| MC | 29,476 |
| MIC | 16,406 |
| TOTAL | $\$ 565,700$ |

2) EXCESS UTILITY COST OVER APPROPRIATION
\$1,879,582
The costs of providing heat, light, water, and miscellaneous utility services have exceeded the amounts appropriated. Our office has completed an energy utilization and cost report that breaks out the utility expenditure by gas, electricity, and other for each campus.

In addition, Schedule B of the attached report shows the trend of energy cost and usage since 1976. The report reflects that the cost overrun is not do to lack of energy conservation, but is due to rate increases and general weather conditions.
3) STUDENT ENROLLMENT SUPPLEMENTAL

We have estimated that the FY FTE enrollment for the current year exceeds the enrollment estimates in the appropriation bill by 2,436 full
time equivalent students. Using the student/faculty ratios and average faculty salary guidelines contained in $H B 483$, we estimate that the additional students would have required approximately $\$ 4,394,752$ more in appropriation authority. Of that amount, $\$ 2,893,681$ is related to the general fund. (See Attached Schedule.)

While a portion of the academic year has already been completed, it is important that the campuses receive timely notice as to the status of the enrollment supplemental so that they can plan accordingly. If the funds are going to provide the relief whereby the students will get the greatest benefit, an early decision as to the amount of supplemental appropriation that will be provided will be extremely important.


The Cooperative Extension Service is requesting that the additional Smith-Lever federal funds received in excess of the amount appropriated in $H B 483$ be expended to help meet rising operational costs in the agency. The Regents granted approval for the following amounts contingent upon the approval of a supplemental request.

| $1979-80$ | $\$ 76,565$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1980-81$ | 88,028 |
| TOTAL | $\$ 164,593$ |

HB 483 provides that any Smith-Lever funds recieved in excess of the amount of federal funds appropriated shall cause an equal amount of general fund money to revert.

While the Regents approved the supplemental request on the basis of need, we would like to bring to the committee's attention a Congressional statement regarding the incorporation of reversion clauses in state appropriation acts. The following is a statement from the Conference Committee Report on Agriculture, Rural Development and Related Agencies Appropriations dated October 25, 1979.

> "It has come to the attention of the conferees that several States may plan to decrease their monetary commitment to Smith-Lever programs in an amount equal to any increased appropriations granted by Congress. This is clearly not the intent of congress regarding the use of such funds. When additional funds are appropriated for a program such increases are not meant to relieve the States of their responsibility. This is applicable whether the States" share of the program comes from in-State funds or from revenue sharing funds. The conferees hope this will suffice as an adequate expression of their feeling on this matter.
> "We urge that the Department's position be made clear to
> the State officials concerned. We have no wish to worsen
the situation, but would be less than frank if we did not point out that in the event of a reduction in State funds, the Department would need to consider procedures which would be responsive to the expressed intent of Congress. One of these procedures obviously would have to be consideration of withholding Smith-Lever funds from your State." (Emphasis supplied)
5) AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION (FEDERAL FUNDS)
$\$ 187,633$
The supplemental request for the Agricultural Experiment Station is similar to the situation described for the Extension Service in that we are seeking authority to expend non-general fund revenues.

The Regents provided contingency approval for the Agricultural Experiment Station to expend the following amounts:

| $1979-80$ | $\$ 60,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $1980-81$ | $\underline{127,633}$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 187,633$ |

The language in $H B 483$ related to these funds reads as follows:
"Any Hatch and regional research funds received that, when added together, exceed $\$ 1,253,737$ annually shall cause an equal amount of general fund money to revert. All Hatch and regional research funds available to the agriculture experiment station are to be expended each fiscal year. All interest earned on earmarked revenue belongs to the state general fund. All earmarked revenue funds over $\$ 100,000$ ending fund balance on June 30, 1981, shall revert to the state general fund."

The breakdown of the Agricultural Experiment Station revenues compared with $H B 483$ is as follows:

| Fund Source | FY 1981 Budget HB 483 |  | Proposed Changes | FY 1981 Proposed Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | \$ 3,480,666 | \$ | 32,500 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$ 3,513,166 |
| Other Funds* | 3,103,302* |  | 199,433* | 3,302,735* |
| Hatch Fund | 853,559 |  | 78,689 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 932,243 |
| Regional Fund | 400,178 |  | 48,944C | 449,122 |
| Earmark Revenue | 1,089,730 |  | $(28,200)^{\text {d }}$ | 1,061,530 |
| U.S. Range | 759,835 |  | $100,000^{-}$ | 859,835 |
| Grand Total | \$6,583,968 | \$ | 231,933 | \$ 6,815,90] |
| Classification of Expenditures |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Services | 4,992,408 |  | 5,997 | 4,998, 40 |

Ti: Representative Gene Donaldsu:
FROM: John A. Richardson
DRTE: January 6, 1981
SUBJECT: Supplemental Appropriation Kequests
Page $:$


The $\$ 78,689$ plus the $\$ 48,944$ comprise the $\$ 127,633$ amount for 1981 .
6) WICHE DUES (TRANSFER OF FUNDS)
$\$ 3,510$
The appropriation bill provides $\$ 39,000$ for administrative dues to WICHE as per our request last session. We were unaware of the scheduled increase in dues until after the legislature adjourned. The Commissioner of Higher Education was not able to attend the meeting in 1978 when the motion to increase the dues passed. The dues have been $\$ 39,000$ per year for three years so the increase is not unreasonable.

If the committee concurs, the funds will be transfered from the Minnesota Rural Dental assistance program as there appears to be adequate funding for all accepted Minnesota applicants. The total dues required for the current year will be $\$ 42,510$.
WESTERN MONTANA COLLEGE ENROLLMENTS
Each Quarter has one-third the summer included in accordance with standard enrollment
determination policy.
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NEEDS LIST FOK ENROLLMENT SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST
Submitted to the EdUCATION SUBCOMMITEE

January 8, 1981

1. Lab supplies and small equipment
$\$ 100,000$
2. Graduate student operating expenses 15,000
3. Advertising and interviewing for 25 faculty positions 75,000 (20 new, 5 replacements a $\$ 3,000$ )
4. Moving for 7 faculty (research starting in July) 7,000
5. Desks, etc. for 20 new faculty at $\$ 1,500$

30,000
6. Library personnel (1 professional, 2 support) 25,000
7. Library work stations (3 @ $\$ 2,100$ ) 6,300
8. Library (books $\$ 2,000$ for 16 program areas) 32,000
9. Departmental operating costs (13@ $\simeq \$ 3,000)$ 40,000
10. Computer (hardware, software, \& personnel) 82,400
11. Lab assistants, graders 10,000
12. Student workers (typing, filing, office work) 10,000
13. Clerical support throughout campus, counseling, 35,000 testing (7 persons)
14. Clerical support work stations 14,700
15. Physical Plant (security, maintenance, etc.) 60,000
16. Research support (clerical, etc.) 10,000
17. Audio-Visual equipment (over-head projectors \& screens) 9,000
18. Capital equipment (Instructional, Student Services, 128,000 Physical Plant, etc.)

TOTAL
$\$ 700,000$
$\because 1:$
 ins fields, including mandatory Minims bisinooring (feolopical, forophvsical, Meta, lurgical, Mineral Processing, Petroleum).


Total Underfunded, 1976 through 1980: 905.5 FYFTE, 20.5\%, est. thru 1981, 1258 FYFTE
1980 Fall Enrollment: No. Full-time Students 1246
No. Engineering Students $864=69.3 \%$
1980 B.A., B.S. Graduates: Total 131
Engineering 103
\% Engineering 79\%
HOW MuCH
Engineering faculty salaries (South Dakota School of Mines Survey) Programs in Mineral Engineering

| Professors | Assoc. Prof | Asst. Prof | Veighted Ave. | Deans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$30,304 | \$22,550 | \$18,634 | \$25,911 | \$45,972 |
| 23,977 | 21,032 | 18,526 | 21,294 | 32,700 |
| 32,362 | 24,200 | 20,007 | 27,392 | 49,359 |
| 25,086 | 22,446 | 19,553 | 22,368 | 35,500 |

$\frac{1978-79}{\text { Average }}$
Tech (15/16)
1979-80
Average
Tech (15/16)

25,086 22,446
19,553

22,368
35,500
1980 average starting annual salary, B.S. Engineering, Tech $=\$ 23,100$

## WHAT HAPPENED

Placement Summary, Engineering Class of 1980 (July 21, 1980 Summary)
No. of Graduates $\quad 103 \quad$ Actual starting salaries, S/Month
craduates to Industry/ 84
Foreign Nationals 12
Graduates to Grad School 5
Other
2

Maximum 3,200
Minimum $\quad 1,500$


[^0]:    APPROPRIATED AMOUNTS

