

STATE LAW LIBRARY

MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
FINANCE AND CLAIMS COMMITTEE  
MONTANA STATE SENATE

AUG 10 1979

OF MONTANA

April 2, 1979.

The twenty-fifth meeting of the Senate Finance and Claims Committee met in Room 108 of the State Capitol Building on the above date at 3:52 p.m. Roll call was taken and the meeting was called to order by Senator Hims1, Chairman.

ROLL CALL: All members present.

Senator Hims1 said we had had some problems with the 208 report, and Senator Story had made some arrangements to have an expert present to give us some more information on the program.

Mr. Pilcher, Department of Health, said the water control program is an attempt to look at, and to establish a water control research there. They would find the problem and then recommend a solution suitable to the local people. On the non-point pollution - a little history back of 1974 - they passed SJR 52. This was sediment and erosion control. It charged the Research Advisory Council and the Board of Health to take a serious look at the problems and make recommendations to the next legislature. (1) Existing laws are probably sufficient to deal with it, (2) Education is necessary. If people know, they will make an effort toward correction, and (3) If controls are needed, they should be done at the local level.

Mr. Pilcher continued to say that non-point pollution is a result of surface run-off from agriculture, heavy rains, etc., and some plants. Agriculture - we took this to the soil conservation and asked them to identify and evaluate and recommend a program to solve it. Our recommendations are a non-regulatory administrator at the local level. The money will be a pass-through. They can work with the people and use the money to solve the problems. There is some additional study needed.

Senator Fasbender: I am on an irrigated project. Would this \$300,000 be used to handle the run-off or go to new programs?

Mr. Pilcher: There is a lack of applying the knowledge we now have. There is no need for duplication. We need all the technical knowledge we have if we are to result in an implementation of a plan. We are working through the 208 project to arrive at something on this Greenbelt Project.

Senator Fasbender: Individuals? On all deliveries? Mr. Pilcher: Compensation of problems at the infiltration point.

Senator Aklestad: How is the problem going to be solved? How will it be enforced? What if the farmer does not want to do anything about it?

Mr. Pilcher: In the case of agriculture, the soil conservation district and the other state agencies will act as liaison. They will go out and try to work with the individual. If they are

unable to bring out a resolution to the problem, then the Board of Health will have environmental requirements and they can take action.

Senator Boylan: I thought Senator Story would have some people from the soil conservation here. There is only \$38,000 of the \$300,000 that is pass-through money. That is all that ever came down to the soil conservation districts.

Mr. Pilcher: In FY '80 there was a total of \$44,000. This was in addition to that provided to them with a total of \$36,000. In addition, Lewis and Clark County received an additional \$18,000. We are proposing a study in the Milk River area to do Blaine and Hill for approximately \$50,000 of work in that area. We are looking at about \$150,000 to assist the conservation districts in this area.

Senator Boylan: You are under the impression that \$300,000 was a pass-through, and that the remainder would go for additional study of the problem areas? Mr. Pilcher: We have some problems in the Missoula area. The problems are identified in 208 but we do not have enough information to come up with the solution.

Senator Himsl: I understood it was soil conservation.

Mr. Pilcher: For the agriculture problems only. There are other problems.

Senator Smith: Lewis and Clark is the model program. Are you going to use it as an example for other counties?

Mr. Pilcher: It will provide Lewis and Clark an opportunity to implement the law. Lewis and Clark have elected to do this. This was voted on.

Senator Smith: If they found a solution on my ranch, what would we do?

Mr. Pilcher: There must be educational and informational functions. If someone thought you had a problem they would come out and discuss it with you. If you agree with them that it was a problem, then you would probably work with them to develop a farm plan that would place you on a schedule for considering a solution. They would then make you aware of financial and technical assistance available through the ASC office. If you then decided you did not want to continue anything, they would then relay to the Health Board the problem. All efforts would be made for voluntary measures first.

Senator Aklestad: On the legal aspect of this - is this the water control bureau or the Board of Health and Environmental Sciences?

Senator Fasbender: If money is not allocated - there is no chance to correct this? You can still go ahead and bring enforcement proceedings?

Mr. Pilcher: First the SCS is being used as a screening board.

Senator Story: Quite possible that Park County may not get anything?

Senator Aklestad: What about the FTE. Are there any new ones?

Senator Story: I have been opposed to this on a philosophical basis. We are afraid we are forging our own chains with this program. Many ranches have good practices and we know there are some particularly bad ones. We all know this. We are afraid that if the management of our lands passes from our control to some computer on management, that we will have starvation in this country. That is why we did not approve it.

MOTION by Senator Lockrem, Senate Chairman for the Subcommittee on Elected Officials, #6 on the proposed amendment sheet attached, be adopted, Second by Senator Thiessen.

Senator Lockrem said there are 10 items in all, and if there is going to be any trouble, it would be with #6. This is under the Department of Administration. Page 20, line 20 states that the Department shall have a 1% charge on the cost of construction on all earmarked funds except long range building funds.

Senator Thiessen: I pay for an inspection now and no one comes out to inspect anything on my ranch.

Senator Smith: I had an experience, too. The Grain elevator had to be inspected by about four other inspectors. Does this mean there would have to be another inspector to do the same thing the other four did and we have to pay for it?

Senator Lockrem: I would think not.

Senator Thomas: This would send the fees up \$300-400. Senator Lockrem: I understand they are already charging about \$100,000 a year.

Mr. Kimble, Building Codes Division: Inspections on plumbing, electrical, mechanical, etc., is about 1% of the construction costs. The problem we are running into, for instance, is 1.2 inspections per hour not counting driving time. Billings codes has one inspector.

Senator Thomas: You will be charging 2%? Mr. Kimble: We are to inspect whatever is not inspected by the city, etc. The difference would be that we could get more of these done.

Senator Thiessen: Every barn would be inspected?

Senator Story: I had to send in fees. Why, when I don't have an inspection? Mr. Kimble: The building codes do not address agriculture. Electrical does.

Senator Aklestad: How many people do you have working now? How many in the counties and the cities? Mr. Kimble: About 1/2 are doing electrical inspections. Twenty-four staff in the major metropolitan areas and doing their own also.

Senator Smith: The local government agencies already have their building code inspectors. Wouldn't it be cheaper to contract with these people from the cities and towns than to put in new people?  
Senator Lockrem: That was in the bill that was voted down.

Senator Regan: When they have a building that they do not inspect, do they still pay the fee?  
Senator Lockrem: This would take the areas that are not covered and cover them.

Motion was voted and passed.

Department of Administration, Item 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9 and 19 on the amendment sheet, plus the tele-processing for about \$18,000 a year. This is not an item, but it grants 2 FTE in it and it will be in the totals. It is for both years, other funds.

Dave Lewis, Department of Administration: Last summer the personnel people put together a request to go to the Department of Appeals to go from grade 12 to grade 13. The personnel division dealt with the classification and said it should be 13. We just didn't get it picked up until we got the final numbers.

The motion was made by Senator Lockrem, second by Senator Thiessen, to adopt this amendment.

Jan Dee, fiscal analyst, said the accounting division - data processing is a separate program.

Senator Lockrem said the motion involved changing the figures on page 19, line 9, etc., as follows:

Strike: "3,852,213, 10,667,070," etc.

Insert: "3,266,917, 11,768,498," etc. as per white amendment sheet, and it would further amend page 19, line 13 on other funds to insert the proper figures in regard to items 1 and 2. It would further amend page 20, line 4; page 20, line 5; page 20, line 8; page 20 following line 24 inserting the appropriate figures.

Senator Hims1: Wasn't there some change in the Appeals? There are still about five bills outstanding on this. Mr. Lewis: The conclusion we would make is that if these bills are passed there will have to be adjustments made in the bill to take care of them.

Senator Lockrem: A bill to take the state out of the building business failed in the Legislature and in the Department of Administration we have the capabilities of building. It was the feeling of the subcommittee that by inserting this language we have this option.

Senator Smith: What was the increase in FTE over the last budget in 1979 and what is the increase in the dollars in the Department of Administration?

Senator Lockrem: Within the Department of Administration, that question is not relevant. Since the last biennium just within SBAS we brought on the university system. All sorts of programs seem to wind up in that department.

Rep. Bardanouve: The department for janitorial services is being taken out and charged to the agencies. You would also have to add the janitorial services before a comparison could be made. Page 26 of the yellow pages - there was about \$36 million and this budget is about \$38 million. There is a decrease in FTE from 431 to 419. We now picked up 18, 2 and 1 with the program changes, so there are more than in the last biennium.

Motion was voted and passed.

Motion by Senator Lockrem to pick up the other two items in the Legislative Council. I would move we reinsert the \$1,000 a year for travel in each year of the biennium.

Senator Himsl: Did someone miss the printer? Senator Lockrem; We will have to make other adjustments, and this will probably be one of them.

Voted and passed.

Motion by Senator Thiessen to amend page 16, line 25 and page 17, line 2. We would insert item 4. Rent to counties for office space for assessors and appraisers, \$310,000 each year from the general fund. Second by Senator Lockrem.

Senator Thiessen: I think we should do this in case it becomes obligatory. This is something that it does not cost the administration much to give relief to the counties. It is about \$3.60 per square foot of space.

Senator Lockrem: I did second this and do not support it, but would like to point out a possible problem. Page 18, line 15 of the narrative says, "The County Commissioners of the various counties and the governing bodies of the local government units shall provide office space in county courthouses or government office buildings to the department of revenue of the state for its use at no cost to the state." It is the feeling of the subcommittee that the total budget to Mr. Burr's shop is over \$6 1/2 million for the evaluation staff. This has been passed on to the local taxpayer. If we set this precedent then we will have to provide fire protection to these buildings. If we are going to work for them the least they can do is provide some space to work in.

Senator Thiessen: What is in the bill is not applicable anyway since the case is in the courts and will be decided there. We have been apprised of the status of the bill and will be interested in working with you since we are aware that we will not be able to offer you space. We cannot provide office space unless it is reasonably available. This space will no longer be reasonably available in Lewis and Clark, for instance. The defeat of 914 has jeopardized the case, and I think we had better move on this. It does pass tax relief on to the counties.

Rep. Bardanouve: This is what I call the Lewis and Clark rip-off to the state treasury. There has been no trouble in the other 55 counties.

They are paying now a considerable amount of the utilities and cost. This is volunteered - about \$150,000 that the state is paying the counties for utilities and janitorial services. The law says they shall furnish space. Lewis and Clark got a new building for \$1. Lewis and Clark shoved them out and into the new building and are charging the assessors \$5 plus. If we pay Lewis and Clark County, all the other counties will demand equal treatment.

Senator Thiessen: Could Mr. Groff address this question?

Bill Groff, Department of Revenue: I agree with both Rep. Bardanouve and Sen. Lockrem. You have on the board, a state attorney. Once the bill was killed, the legislature has said we shall pay rent and if the wording is left in we will have to go to court. If we get stuck what will we do? We are caught there and there is no place else to go. We have checked with several lawyers and they all agree.

Senator Lockrem: I would like to have someone check this with other than the Lewis and Clark County Attorney. \$310,000 each year for possible rent?

Senator Himsl: If we make the appropriation there will be a demand on it. If it is not there and we do have to do it, we could make a supplemental.

Mr. Groff: That would be up to Krebs. I would never come in for a supplemental. We could just let something else go.

Senator Thiessen: I would like to restate my motion: I would move the first year \$240,000, the second year \$310,000. (We earmarked \$70,000 for rent and \$30,000 for utilities which was a phase-out.)

Senator Himsl: Is through only one county? Mr. Groff: About \$120,000 bite out of the last biennium for utilities and janitorial services.

Senator Lockrem: The assessors are trying to charge rent for a Department of Revenue assessor who is on the Department payroll.

Mr. Groff: The law does not say "shall". If you take this up with an attorney it will be better. Everytime I practice law it costs me money. In any case, whatever you have here will be good until it is challenged. If you leave the language in there it is asking for a challenge.

Rep. Bardanouve: If we appropriate money every time there is a possible threat of a lawsuit we will never get done.

Senator Stimatz: I am not an attorney for this Committee. I am a member of the committee. You should ask the attorney general for an opinion.

Senator Thiessen: I intend to make another motion to strike the language.

Motion was voted, failed, roll call vote 3 yes, 10 no.

Motion by Senator Regan: This committee asked for a suspension of rules to introduce a committee bill which would address the problem of local courthouses attempting to charge rent. We pay the salaries and demand in return an in-kind match and that match shall be defined as rent and utilities.

Senator Himsl: Isn't that like the bill that was killed?

Mr. Groff: It only addressed the statement that they would have to provide space.

Senator Fasbender: The problem is whether that bill was killed. If we had one bill alive, we could use it as a vehicle to repeal the law that says "reasonable" rent. I would like to take a look at it and either introduce a bill or clarify a bill. I would second the motion.

Mr. Groff: I have talked to the council to have them see if this is in here.

Question called, vote taken, voted and passed, unanimously, Senators Regan and Fasbender to work this out.

There was a five minute recess and then Senator Nelson turned the subcommittee amendments over to Senator Fasbender to present to the Committee.

Senator Fasbender: Our first amendment is on the vo-tech program. There is \$50,000 added to Billings, \$75,000 to Butte for a similar program, for personal services in the House. This amendment removes these amounts from the bill. The subcommittee met and voted to strike the additional \$50,000 and \$75,000. It was our opinion it should not be added in. All the rest did this but Billings. Had the other vo-tech centers done the same as Billings, it would be different. We did not think only Billings should benefit from this. This was a decision by the Board of Public Education, and we did not feel it was up to the subcommittee to go back in and fund the vo-tech's position for amounts in excess of what they had in other years. If we did it to Billings, it would require that we do it for all of them.

Motion by Senator Fasbender, Second by Senator Nelson to adopt this amendment.

Senator Regan: I have an obligation to ask Billings be treated separately. I would move that as a substitute motion Billings be segregated. Second by Senator Stimatz, voted and failed.

Motion by Senator Stimatz that Butte be segregated, Second by Senator Regan, voted and failed.

Senator Himsl: Vo-tech has been having a troublesome time in accounting. Has some sort of an accounting system been developed for them? If something with some attractive features were developed,

they did not seem to have much luck with SBAS.

Senator Fasbender: That has changed. I think everyone feels they can now be handled with SBAS.

Larry Kee: We have looked for some answers. First, they feel they have to have an accounting system, and second, we do not oppose the SBAS. We are concerned that they have expertise in this area.

Senator Himsl: You have no problem then? Mr. Kee: I don't think so.

Senator Fasbender: There is training provided for.

Senator Boylan: What is the cost involved to the vo-tech centers?  
Senator Fasbender: There is \$50,000 a year added to the Department of Administration for doing this.

Mr. Kee: In working with this system we were discussing this with the House. We gave our consent with the expectation we would get someone in our office. We will lose 2 or 3 FTE in the staff. To adjust someone else in this would be a heavy burden. Senator Fasbender: The House mentioned this. We will have to come back in with additional recommendations. We are looking at about 3 out of vo-ed.

Senator Thiessen: We already provide 2 missionaries to take care of this in different areas.

Motion "A" to reduce the funding in Butte and Billings that the House put in, voted and passed, roll call vote 9 yes, 2 no, 2 absent.

Motion on "B" by Senator Fasbender, second by Senator Nelson to adopt. This would pay off the Hanson property, but the Denzer property was paid off in FY '79. This was at the agricultural experiment station:

Voted, passed, unanimously of those present.

The meeting was adjourned.

---

Senator Himsl, Chairman



SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 4-2-79 H Bill No. 483 Time 5:40

NAME YES NO ABSENT

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
SENATOR STORY	✓		
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓		
SENATOR LOCKREM	ab		
SENATOR ETCHART	✓		
SENATOR NELSON	✓		
SENATOR SMITH	✓		
SENATOR BOYLAN	✓		
SENATOR REGAN		✓	
SENATOR FASBENDER	✓		
SENATOR THIESSEN	✓		
SENATOR THOMAS		ab	
SENATOR STIMATZ		✓	
SENATOR HIMSL	✓		

Secretary SK

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: adopt all of "A"

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 4-2-79 H Bill No. 473 Time 5:30

NAME YES NO ABSENT

SENATOR STORY		✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓	
SENATOR LOCKREM		ab	
SENATOR ETCHART		✓	
SENATOR NELSON		✓	
SENATOR SMITH		✓	
SENATOR BOYLAN		✓	
SENATOR REGAN	✓		
SENATOR FASBENDER		✓	
SENATOR THIESSEN		✓	
SENATOR THOMAS		ab	
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		
SENATOR HIMSL		✓	

Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: Separate C  
Separate C 1, 2, 3, 4

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 4-2 14 Bill No. 483 Time 5:31

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
------	-----	----	--------

SENATOR STORY		✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓	
SENATOR LOCKREM		ab	
SENATOR ETCHART		✓	
SENATOR NELSON		✓	
SENATOR SMITH		✓	
SENATOR BOYLAN	✓		
SENATOR REGAN	✓		
SENATOR FASBENDER		✓	
SENATOR THIESSEN		✓	
SENATOR THOMAS		ab	
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		
SENATOR HIMSL		✓	

Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: Butte de Segregated

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 1-2 H Bill No. \_\_\_\_\_ Time 4:25

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_ ABSENT \_\_\_\_\_

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
SENATOR STORY			✓
SENATOR AKLESTAD			✓
SENATOR LOCKREM	✓		
SENATOR ETCHART		✓	
SENATOR NELSON	✓		
SENATOR SMITH		✓	
SENATOR BOYLAN		✓	
SENATOR REGAN	✓		
SENATOR FASBENDER	✓		
SENATOR THIESSEN	✓		
SENATOR THOMAS		✓	
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		
SENATOR HIMSL	✓		

7 6

Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: Item # 6 (20)  
adopt me 6 2  
8

PM

ROLL CALL

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS COMMITTEE

46TH LEGISLATIVE SESSION 1979

Date 4-2

---

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR HIMSL	✓		
SENATOR STORY	✓		
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓		
SENATOR LOCKREM	✓		
SENATOR ETCHART	✓		
SENATOR NELSON	✓		
SENATOR SMITH	✓		
SENATOR BOYLAN	✓		
SENATOR REGAN	✓		
SENATOR FASBENDER	✓		
SENATOR THIESSEN	✓		
SENATOR THOMAS	✓		
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		

Ed = B"

H.B. 483  
(Second Printing, Third Reading)  
Agriculture Experiment Station

Page 70, line 12  
Following: "5. Hanson"  
Strike: "and Denzer"

Page 70, line 13  
Following: "Payments"  
Strike: "28,649"  
Insert: "27,349"

Page 70, line 16  
Strike: lines 16 through 18 in their entirety.

The agriculture experiment station paid the Denzer property off  
in fiscal 1979.

te d  
A

H.B. 483  
(Third Reading) Vo-ed

Page 49, line 11

Strike: "442,422" "446,582"  
Insert: "392,422" "396,582"

The house added \$50,000 a year to Billings Vo-tech personal services. This amendment removes the \$50,000 each year.

Page 49, line 19

Strike: "536,643" "568,872"  
Insert: "471,393" "503,622"

Page 49, line 23

Strike: "57,087" "60,839"  
Insert: "49,587" "53,339"

Page 50, line 1

Strike: "14,663" "15,647"  
Insert: "12,413" "13,397"

The house added \$75,000 a year to Butte Vo-tech center as follows: personal services \$65,250; operating expense \$7,500; capital \$2,250. This amendment removes the \$75,000 each year.

Page 51, Following line 14

Insert: "As of July 1, 1980 all funds received by the vocational education centers shall be deposited in the state treasury and accounted for on the Statewide Budgeting and Accounting System (SBAS) established pursuant to 17-1-102 and personal service expenditures shall be processed through central payroll system established pursuant to 2-18-401."

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 4-2-79

H Bill No. 483 Time 51

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
------	-----	----	--------

SENATOR STORY		✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓	
SENATOR LOCKREM		✓	
SENATOR ETCHART		✓	
SENATOR NELSON	✓		
SENATOR SMITH		✓	
SENATOR BOYLAN		✓	
SENATOR REGAN		✓	
SENATOR FASBENDER		✓	
SENATOR THIESSEN	✓		
SENATOR THOMAS	✓		
SENATOR STIMATZ		✓	
SENATOR HIMSL		✓	

H  
Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Chairman Motion 310 sent

assessors & appraisers

240/1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8/9/10/11/12/13/14/15/16/17/18/19/20/21/22/23/24/25/26/27/28/29/30/31/32/33/34/35/36/37/38/39/40/41/42/43/44/45/46/47/48/49/50/51/52/53/54/55/56/57/58/59/60/61/62/63/64/65/66/67/68/69/70/71/72/73/74/75/76/77/78/79/80/81/82/83/84/85/86/87/88/89/90/91/92/93/94/95/96/97/98/99/100



Department of Revenue

1. Need to decrease revenue's budget in 1980 by \$100,000 due to math error.
2. Need to add funds for renting office space from counties for assessors and appraisers.

Amendment

Page 16, Line 21

Strike: 10,039,862  
Insert: 9,939,862

Page 16, Line 25

Strike: Council  
Insert: Counsel

Page 17 Following Line 2

Insert: 4. Rent to counties  
for office space  
for assessors ~~310,000~~ 240,000  
and appraisers ~~310,000~~ 310,000

Page 17, Line 4

Strike:	10,164,862	1,824,214	10,114,241	1,798,246
Insert:	10,374,862	1,824,214	10,424,241	1,798,246

San Hines

AB 483

Jan 2000  
Francis A.  
4/2/79

Amendments Proposed by Subcommittee to  
Senate Finance and Claims Committee

-----1980-----      -----1981-----  
General      Other      General      Other

Legislative Auditor

1. Adds in pay hikes      63,801      140,836

Amendment:  
Page 6, line 16

Strike:      1,315,058      1,355,481  
Insert:      1,378,859      1,496,317

①  
Lock  
Crb.

LFA

1. Adds in pay hikes      17,646      43,085

Amendment:  
Page 8, line 9

Strike:      364,905      395,324  
Insert:      382,551      438,409

②  
LFA

Legislative Council

1. Cut NCSL and all related travel  
Leg. travel and dues      (39,180)      (21,100)  
Staff travel      (4,485)      (4,570)  
Total      (43,665)      (25,670)

A  
③

- 2. Cut 25,000 contingency and put 25,000 back into interim studies.
- 3. Include forestry task force within the legislative council budget.
- 4. Line-item 20,000 for CSG travel and allow for biennial expenditures.
- 5. Include language to allow interim study funds to be spent for the biennium.
- 6. Include pay hikes.      (7,366)      11,806

Amendments:

Page 8, line 12

Strike:      1,580,238      1,496,261  
Insert:      1,509,207      1,482,397

④

Page 8, following line 14

Insert:  
3. CSG travel      20,000

⑤

-----1980-----      -----1981-----  
General      Other      General      Other

⑥ Page 8, following line 14  
 Insert:  
 4. Forestry task force      14,960

⑦ Page 8, line 16  
 Strike:                      1,730,238                      1,496,261  
 Insert:                      1,694,167                      1,482,397

⑧ Page 8, line 17  
 Strike lines 17 and 18 in their entirety.

~~⑨~~ Page 9, following line 2  
 Insert: Appropriations in items 2, 3, and 4 are appropriated for the biennium.

⑨ Page 9, following line 2  
 Insert: Item 4 allows for membership dues and expenses of the Western States legislative forestry task force. The funds are to be used only for expenses incurred by task force members.

⑩ Page 35, line 14  
 Strike:                      1,910,705  
 Insert:                      1,895,745

⑪ Page 35, line 20  
 Strike:                      4,977,793  
 Insert:                      4,962,833

⑫ Page 36, line 9  
 Strike lines 9 through 14 in their entirety.

E.Q.C.

1. Add pay hikes                      4,152                      8,247

Amendment:  
 Page 9, line 4  
 Strike:                      130,260                      136,000  
 Insert:                      134,412                      144,247

Consumer Counsel

1. Add pay hikes                      3,060                      1,561

Amendment:  
 Page 9, line 7  
 Strike:                      438,500                      458,800  
 Insert:                      441,560                      460,361



-----1980-----      -----1981-----  
General      Other      General      Other

Judiciary

1. Increase law library for travel and misc.	(648)	(948)
2. Reduce microfilming line-item by \$20,000 per year and include within supreme court operations to provide match money for the district court reporting system.		
3. Add pay hikes		
a Supreme Court Operations	33,127	71,907
b Boards & Commissions	2,612	5,639
c Law Library	3,742	8,565
d District Court	<u>64,803</u>	<u>140,936</u>
Total	104,284	227,047

Amendments:

Page 9, line 10		
Strike:	633,875	637,704
Insert:	687,002	729,611
Page 9, line 12		
Strike:	50,000	50,000
Insert:	30,000	30,000
Page 9, line 14		
Strike:	100,000	100,000
Insert:	102,612	105,639
Page 9, line 16		
Strike:	169,140	181,744
Insert:	172,234	189,361
Page 9, line 18		
Strike:	1,302,600	1,305,938
Insert:	1,367,403	1,446,874
Page 9, line 20		
Strike:	2,255,615	2,275,386
Insert:	2,359,251	2,501,485

Governor's Office

1. Cut assistant position to Lt. Governor	(25,367)	(25,367)
2. Cut general fund from economic development	(50,000)	(50,000)



	-----1980-----		-----1981-----	
	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u>
Page 13, line 6				
Strike:	4,791,683	6,896,670	4,859,174	6,845,806
Insert:	4,876,683	6,637,775	4,944,174	6,617,966

Page 13, line 20  
Strike lines 20 through 25 in their entirety.

Page 14, line 1  
Strike lines 1 and 2 in their entirety.

Department of Revenue

1. Need to decrease revenue's budget by \$100,000 due to a math error in 1980.

Amendment

Page 16, line 21

Strike:	10,039,862	
Insert:	9,939,862	

Page 17, line 4

Strike:	10,164,862	
Insert:	10,064,862	

Department of Administration

1. Change the line item amount for data processing and place the difference within general operations

Original	614,607	582,061
Adjusted	595,296	561,600
2. Insert language for printing to allow department of administration to continue to use its printing facilities.
3. Add funds for upgrades within purchasing

	10,000	10,000
--	--------	--------
4. Delete transportation of prisoners and move to justice dept.

	(85,000)	(85,000)
--	----------	----------
5. Increased building code staff by 18 FTE and related operating costs

	421,029	418,953
--	---------	---------
6. Established group benefit program and line-item this amount

	35,959	36,057
--	--------	--------

18 ✓

19 — (4)

	-----1980-----		-----1981-----	
	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u>
7. Increased board of housing staff (2 FTE) and operating costs		57,392		83,275
8. Computer services - increased funds for computer contract		8,400		8,400
9. Funds are added to place vo-tech centers on SBAS. Line-item this amount	53,000		50,000	
<u>Amendments</u>				
Page 19, line 9				
Strike:	3,852,213	10,667,070	3,932,037	10,797,046
Insert:	3,881,524	11,153,891	3,962,498	11,307,674
Page 20, following line 4				
Insert:				
11. Group Benefit Program		35,959		36,057
12. SBAS (vo-tech centers)	53,000		50,000	
Page 20, line 5				
Strike lines 5 and 6 in their entirety.				
Page 20, line 8				
Strike	4,220,085	14,849,711	4,207,684	15,209,797
Insert:	4,132,396	15,372,491	4,118,145	15,756,482
Page 20, following line 24				
Insert: It is the intent of the legislature that the state may continue to use its own printing facilities.				
Page 20, following line 24				
Insert: The appropriation in item 11 is contingent on passage of H.B. 437.				





	-----1980-----		-----1981-----	
	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>Other</u>
21-7. Established group benefit program and line-item this amount <i>at 1/2</i>		35,959		36,057
21-8. Increased board of housing staff (2 FTE) and operating costs		57,392		83,275
21-9. Computer services - increased funds for computer contract		8,400		8,400
21-10. Funds are added to place vo-tech centers on SBAS. Line-item this amount <i>53,000</i>				<i>50,000</i>
<i>Delta Processing - C18</i>				
<i>General 2 FTE -</i>				
<i>M - 1000</i>				
<i>Green 1000</i>				
<u>Amendments</u>				
Page 19, line 9				
Strike:	3,852,213	10,667,070	3,932,037	10,797,046
Insert:	3,266,917	11,768,498	3,380,437	11,889,735
(related narrative items 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9)				
Page 19, line 13				
Strike:		614,607		582,061
Insert:	595,296		561,600	
(related narrative items 1, 2)				<i>Reg 9</i>
Page 20, following line 4				
Insert:				
11. Group Benefit Program		35,959		36,057
12. SBAS (vo-tech centers) <i>53,000</i>			50,000	
(related narrative items 7 and 10)				
Page 20, line 5				
Strike lines 5 and 6 in their entirety.				
(related narrative item 5)				
Page 20, line 8				
Strike	4,220,085	14,849,711	4,207,684	15,209,797
Insert:	4,198,085	15,372,491	4,182,684	15,756,482
(related narrative items 1, 2, 4 - 10)				
Page 20, following line 24				
Insert: It is the intent of the legislature that the state may continue to use its own printing facilities.				
(related narrative item 3)				
Page 20, following line 24				
Insert: The appropriation in item 11 is contingent on passage of H.B. 437.				
(related narrative item 7)				

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date d-r 14 Bill No. 483 Time 5:31

NAME YES NO ABSENT

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
SENATOR STORY		✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓	
SENATOR LOCKREM		ok	
SENATOR ETCHART		✓	
SENATOR NELSON		✓	
SENATOR SMITH		✓	
SENATOR BOYLAN	✓		
SENATOR REGAN	✓		
SENATOR FASBENDER		✓	
SENATOR THIESSEN		✓	
SENATOR THOMAS		ok	
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		
SENATOR HIMSL		✓	

Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: Butte be Sequestrated

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 4-2-79 H Bill No. 473 Time 5:30

NAME YES NO ABSENT

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
SENATOR STORY		✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓	
SENATOR LOCKREM		ab	
SENATOR ETCHART		✓	
SENATOR NELSON		✓	
SENATOR SMITH		✓	
SENATOR BOYLAN		✓	
SENATOR REGAN	✓		
SENATOR FASBENDER		✓	
SENATOR THIESSEN		✓	
SENATOR THOMAS		ab	
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		
SENATOR HIMSL		✓	

Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: Separate C  
Separate C L I S

SENATE COMMITTEE

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date 4-2-79 H Bill No. 483 Time 5:40

NAME	YES	NO	ABSENT
------	-----	----	--------

SENATOR STORY	✓		
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓		
SENATOR LOCKREM		ab	
SENATOR ETCHART	✓		
SENATOR NELSON	✓		
SENATOR SMITH	✓		
SENATOR BOYLAN			
SENATOR REGAN			✓
SENATOR FASBENDER	✓		
SENATOR THIESSEN	✓		
SENATOR THOMAS		ab	
SENATOR STIMATZ			✓
SENATOR HIMSL	✓		

Secretary SK

Chairman \_\_\_\_\_

Motion: adopt all of "A"