

MINUTES OF THE MEETING  
STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE  
MONTANA STATE SENATE

February 8, 1979

The twentieth meeting of the Senate State Administration Committee was called to order by Senator Pete Story, Chairman, on the above date, in Room 442. of the State Capitol Building, at 10:00 a.m.

ROLL CALL: All members of the Committee were present; Senator Ryan was late due to appearing at another Committee.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL NO. 289: The Chairman called on Senator Carroll Graham, District No. 29, sponsor of the bill, to present his testimony on Senate Bill No. 289.

Senator Graham stated this legislation was at the request of the Department of Revenue to amend Section 16-2-101 relating to classifying state liquor stores by the volume they sold. This language should be deleted because as of today the employees are under the state pay plan, making this part of the law not applicable.

Chairman Story then called for further proponents; none appearing, opponents were called for. There being no response, he asked if Senator Graham would like to make a closing statement.

Senator Graham replied he thought the matter should be taken care of as soon as possible to clarify the law.

Opening the hearing for questions by the Committee, Senator Story inquired if the employees had been paid according to the volume sold. Responding was Bill Groff who advised that this old system had been revised when they accepted the collective bargaining act, so it was outdated.

Senator Jergeson moved that Senate Bill No. 289 DO PASS; motion carried by unanimous vote of those present.

Senator Roskie moved that Senate Bill No. 289 be put on the Consent Calendar; this motion also passed unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 9: The Chairman called on Senator Tom Rasmussen, sponsor of the bill, to present his testimony on Senate Joint Resolution No. 9.

Senator Rasmussen commented this evolved from an incident last session in the Employment Security Division, which resulted in a resolution passing them which dealt with this problem. They had some difficulty with de-emphasizing the fraud division and this was reflected in the number of cases brought up for investigation or prosecution. In 1972, 272 cases were reported; it then started to drop, and was down to 14 cases in 1977. The point of this Resolution is to direct the Director of the Employment Sec. Div. to provide the Legislative Auditor with quarterly reports on his

February 8, 1979

investigatory work. With this type of direction from last session, Mr. Roberts indicated to me he now has some control of the fraud division, and it is my feeling that we should continue this indefinitely because I think it is important to the people of Montana. I am, therefore, requesting that the Employment Security Division continue this reporting on a quarterly basis as they are doing at the present time.

Chairman Story called for further proponents to the Resolution; hearing none, he asked for any opponents to testify. None responding, he opened the hearing for questions from the Committee.

Senator Rasmussen announced that Jim Gilett from the Legislative Auditor's office was available for answering any questions.

Mr. Gilett explained that these reports are kept on file and referred to when they do their auditing procedures as a basis for review.

Senator Story questioned if they were able to detect any trend with these reports, to which Senator Rasmussen responded that Mr. Roberts had indicated to him things were again running smoothly.

Barry Stevenson, representing the National Independent Businessmen, further explained the problem of the businessmen, particularly motels, having difficulty finding maids and cooks as they would say they work only so many hours a week or for cash so they would still be allowed to draw their unemployment. He felt this was a form of fraud, but the employers were in a bind for help.

Senator Ryan questioned why this was in the form of a resolution rather than putting it in the statutes. Senator Rasmussen responded that they wouldn't be faced with this again if the department did as they were asked and it was felt that it was preferred not to have any more laws on the books as was absolutely necessary if it could be handled another way.

After short discussion on the merits of a resolution rather than a mandate, Senator Rasmussen moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 9 DO PASS. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL NO. 138: The Chairman explained his contention was to have the Secretary of State's office end up taking in as many fees as they required to keep pace with their office expenditures. With the approval of the Committee, he felt the bill should be amended to a straight 10% so that it could be processed through and sent to the House where they could amend it and final decision made there as to the proper increase. He felt, however, a 100% increase, which is what they were asking, was too much. He stated he had asked the researcher to work on this to amend it to a 10% increase.

February 3, 1979

Senator Rasmussen commented he recalled the fees hadn't been raised for 10 years and wondered if 10% was enough; responding comment was that perhaps the volume made up the difference.

Senator Roskie referred to a statement by the deputy that they have been absorbing some of the costs; he thought they must have had enough money in the past or very modest fee increases had been enough, unless the increase from the general fund was for another reason, but questioned if any increase at this time was necessary.

After discussion relative to the merits of the increase in fees, Senator Bill Hafferman moved that Senate Bill No. 138 be amended to allow a 10% increase in fees, rounded off to the nearest 25 cts. Complete list of these amendments is shown on attached copy of the Standing Committee Report and total 37 in all. This motion passed without opposition.

Senator Jergeson then moved that Senate Bill No. 138 DO PASS AS AMENDED; motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL NO. 291: Chairman Story explained that the Committee would like to move along in its business, although the sponsor of the bill, Senator Lensink, was still tied up in the Judiciary Committee hearing. Without objection, the hearing would commence, with the representative from the Attorney General's office submitting testimony on Senate Bill No. 291.

Mike McGraff, Attorney General's office, announced that Linda Graham from the Secretary of State's office was also available for answering questions. In explaining the purpose of the bill, Mr. McGraff stated this was a result from a problem with vacancies occurring in county offices. If a county commissioner was elected for a 4-yr. term and a vacancy occurred in the second year of his term, the existing laws were not clear on how to deal with the problem as to when to elect or when to appoint someone to fill this position. He cited an example from Powell County where an individual was appointed to the county treasurer's office. The codes at present provide that the person must serve until the next general election. The elected treasurer does not take office until March. The present person's terms expired in December, but as terms can't be extended, there was a vacancy occurring between December and March. The commissioners were faced with having to temporarily fill that temporarily vacant post. In going through the bill, the explanation was to adopt laws similar to those applying to vacancies occurring in the Senate. The language needed to be clarified to state when an appointment was made for the balance of the term and when election was necessary. The previous law stated that if a vacancy occurred forty days prior to a general election in the second year of a term, a person would be elected to complete the term. We amended this to say 50 days as the longer time period was necessary for the clerks to prepare the ballots and the printing to be done.

February 8, 1979

Senator Story questioned if a person could be elected for less than a 4-year term, to which Mr. McGraff replied, "yes, as in the case of filling out the remaining term." In 1980, there will be an election for at least two supreme court justices for the balance of the terms for which they are now appointed.

Further explaining the bill, he referred to page 3 as the heart of the bill and states specifically when vacancies occur, the time element to consider and the procedure for appointment or election.

At this point, Senator Lensink appeared as sponsor of the bill, but requested that the attorney be allowed to continue the testimony. Mr. McGraff went on to point out that on page 4, the sections referred to county offices other than county commissioners or justices of the peace and sets out the procedure for filling vacancies in those offices and that they included a provision to allow for individual candidates for those offices.

The Chairman called for further proponents to the bill; hearing none, he called for opponents. There being no opponents, he opened the hearing for questions from the Committee.

Senator Jergeson questioned if an individual could file if one of the two candidates, one from each political party, died prior to an election. McGrath stated he could not under this law as the candidate must be of the same political party.

Senator Story then questioned if an individual candidate was running for office but passed away before election, if that would leave no one running for his office. The attorney replied that would be correct, but there would still be the opportunity for write-in candidates, and this bill also provides for when a candidate does not accept an office.

There being no further questions, the hearing was closed on Senate Bill No. 291. Senator Jergeson moved that SB 291 DO PASS, motion carried by unanimous vote.

Chairman Story explained for the benefit of the audience what the committee procedure was in handling bills and that they were welcome to listen, but that the time for testimony was over when the hearing on a bill was closed.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL NO. 156: The Chairman reviewed some of the testimony given on this bill dealing with PERS monthly reporting.

Senator Roskie questioned if anyone had brought up any amendments dealing with good faith effort, and as there were none, mentioned having a letter of intent on this question to clarify an instance where a city might have some problem beyond their control as far as getting in a timely report; that they should not be subject to penalty in that case.

February 8, 1979

Senator Jergeson moved that the amendments proposed by the PERS be adopted. By unanimous vote the following amendments were passed:

1. Page 1, line 16.

Following: "the"

Strike: "10th"

Insert: "15th"

Following: "month"

Insert: "or 5 working days after payroll warrants have been issued for the last normal pay period of the previous month, whichever is later"

2. Page 2, line 15.

Following: "the"

Strike: "10th"

Insert: "15th"

Senator Jergeson commented that the only cities having trouble meeting the deadline seemed to be Butte and Billings and that the people under PERS were losing money when these reports weren't submitted on time.

The Chairman questioned if the Committee wanted a section on legislative intent. Senator Roskie stated he would be in favor of something to clarify the part referring to penalty on page 2, merely to indicate that the intent of the legislature is that the penalty would not be instituted if the city was making a good faith effort or after they were notified of their delinquency.

Senator Ryan asked what would happen to the penalty if it were collected, to which Senator Jergeson referred to a portion which indicated it would go into the PERS funds.

Senator Roskie moved that this bill be accompanied by a letter of intent when it goes out of the Committee so that a penalty is not assessed when a good faith effort has been made. Motion passed unanimously.

Senator Rasmussen then stated he had made a notation that the League of Cities and Towns were concerned about losing the right to appeal, and questioned if the statement of intent would clarify that.

After discussion, Senator Rasmussen moved that Lines 20 and 21 on page 2 be amended to reinsert the stricken language to take care of this problem. This motion was adopted by unanimous vote. This amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 20.

Following: "as"

Insert: "are"

February 8, 1979

Page 2, line 21.  
Following: line 20  
Insert: "required by rules of"  
Following: "board"  
Strike: "requires"

Senator Jergeson then moved that Senate Bill No. 156 DO PASS AS AMENDED, ACCOMPANIED BY A STATEMENT OF INTENT; motion carried unanimously.

DISPOSITION OF SENATE BILL NO. 169: Discussion pertained to the possible disruption of the normal flow of business if the chief executive of a department were to try to run a field position for a short period of time and possible amendments to the bill.

After discussion on the department heads to which this could reasonably be applied, Senator Jergeson moved that the bill be amended to delete from Section 3, page 2, line 6, the following entities per Section 2-15-104: (c), (e), (f), (i) and (n). He then read the entire Section 2-15-104 to clarify which officials this would refer to. Voting was unanimous to adopt this amendment.

Senator Bob Brown moved that page 2, line 9, section 3, subsection (l) be amended to reduce the two-week period to one week and to reduce the one-month limit to two weeks and to change the title accordingly. Motion carried unanimously to adopt the following amendment:

Page 2, line 9.  
Following: line 8  
Strike: "no"  
Insert: "not"  
Following: "shorter than"  
Strike: "2 weeks"  
Insert: "1 week"  
Following: "longer than"  
Strike: "1 month:"  
Insert "2 weeks"

Senator Roskie questioned why this wouldn't also pertain to elected officials. It was the general consensus of opinion that elected officials are subject to the general public when running for office and so have contact at the grass roots level.


Senator Hafferman agreed with Senator Roskie pertaining to the merits of the bill in that if the executives appointed by the governor were not capable to administer the department, then it was time for a new chief executive. Senator Roskie added this sort of attention-getting device should be in the form of a resolution rather than a statute.

February 8, 1979

After discussion, Senator Jergeson moved that Senate Bill No. 169 DO PASS AS AMENDED; motion carried by a majority vote, with Senators Roskie, Ryan and Hafferman voting "no".

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 11:30 a.m.

  
PETE STORY, Chairman







ROLL CALL VOTE RECORD

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date Feb. 8, 1979 SENATE Bill No. 138 Time \_\_\_\_\_

*Amended to 10% increase  
DO PASS as Amended*

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	✓	
Senator George F. Roskie, V. Chairman	✓	
Senator Bob Brown	✓	
Senator A. T. (Tom) Rasmussen	✓	
Senator Patrick L. Ryan	✓	
Senator Greg Jergeson	✓	
Senator William F. Hafferman	✓	

Jennie L. Palmer  
Secretary

Pete Story  
Chairman

Motion: Senator Bill Hafferman moved that Senate Bill No. 138  
be amended to a 10% increase in fees, rounded off to the  
nearest 25¢ (see attached amendment); motion carried unanimously.

Senator Greg Jergeson then moved that Senate Bill No. 138  
DO PASS AS AMENDED; motion also carried unanimously.  
(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of  
committee report.)

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 9

19 79

MR. President

We, your committee on State Administration

having had under consideration Senate Bill No. 138

Respectfully report as follows: That Senate Bill No. 138,  
introduced bill, be amended as follows:

1. Page 2, line 16.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.25"

2. Page 3, line 2.

Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$20"

Insert: "\$5.50"

3. Page 3, line 4.

Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$20"

Insert: "\$5.50"

DO NOT  
DO PASS

(Continued)

4. Page 3, line 13.  
Following: "\$5"  
Strike: "\$10"  
Insert: "\$5.50"

5. Page 3, line 17.  
Following: "10"  
Strike: "25"  
Insert: "15"

6. Page 6, line 16.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

7. Page 8, line 7.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

8. Page 9, line 3.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

9. Page 10, line 7.  
Following: line 6  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

10. Page 11, line 11.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

11. Page 13, line 9.  
Following: "\$20"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

12. Page 13, line 11.  
Following: "\$20"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

13. Page 13, line 13.  
Following: "\$20"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

14. Page 13, line 17.  
Following: "consolidation,"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

15. Page 13, line 19.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

16. Page 13, line 21.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

17. Page 13, line 24.  
Following: line 23  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

18. Page 14, line 12.  
Following: "\$29"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

19. Page 14, line 16.  
Following: "\$28"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

20. Page 14, line 19.  
Following: "\$19"  
Strike: "\$15"  
Insert: "\$11"

21. Page 15, line 1.  
Following: "\$5"  
Strike: "\$10"  
Insert: "\$5.50"

22. Page 15, line 3.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

23. Page 15, line 18.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.25"

24. Page 17, line 6.  
Following: "\$50"  
Strike: "\$100"  
Insert: "\$55"

(Continued

25. Page 17, line 19.

Following: "\$50"

Strike: "\$100"

Insert: "\$55"

26. Page 20, line 11.

Following: "\$20"

Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

27. Page 20, line 13.

Following: "\$20"

Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

28. Page 20, line 15.

Following: "\$20"

Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

29. Page 20, line 21.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.25"

30. Page 20, line 23.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.25"

31. Page 21, line 1.

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.25"

32. Page 21, line 6.

Following: "\$20"

Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

33. Page 21, line 10.

Following: "\$20"

Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

34. Page 21, line 13.

Following: "\$10"

Strike: "\$15"

Insert: "\$11"

(Continued)

35. Page 21, line 20.

Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5.50"

36. Page 21, line 22.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.25"

37. Page 22, line 8.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.25"

And, as so amended,  
DO PASS

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO SB 138

1. Page 2, line 16

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"

~~2. Page 2, line 19.~~

~~Following: "bond,"~~

~~Strike: "\$5"~~

~~Insert: "\$2.50"~~

~~3. Page 2, line 21~~

~~Following: "bond,"~~

~~Strike: "\$10"~~

~~Insert: "\$5"~~

~~4. Page 2, line 22.~~

~~Following: "bond,"~~

~~Strike: "\$5"~~

~~Insert: "\$2.50"~~

2. Page 3, line 2.

Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$20"

Insert: "\$5.50"

3. Page 3, line 4.

Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$20"

Insert: "\$5.50"

4. Page 3, line 13.

Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5.50"

5. Page 3, line 17.

Following: "10"

Strike: "25"

Insert: "15"

6. Page 6, line 16.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"

~~10. Page 6, line 19.~~

~~Following: "be"~~

~~Strike: "\$10"~~

~~Insert: "\$5"~~

11. Page 8, line 7.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"



~~12. Page 8, line 9.~~

Following: "be"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2,50"

~~13. Page 9, line 3.~~

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.50"<sup>25</sup>

~~14. Page 9, line 5.~~

Following: "be"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5"

~~15. Page 10, line 7.~~

Following: line 6

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"

~~16. Page 10, line 9.~~

Following: "be"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5"

~~17. Page 11, line 11.~~

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"

~~18. Page 11, line 14.~~

Following: "be"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5"

~~19. page 12, line 5.~~

Following: "plus"

Strike: "50"

Insert: "25"

~~20. Page 12, line 13.~~

Following: "incorporation,"

Strike: "\$40"

Insert: "\$10"

~~21. Page 12, line 15.~~

Following: "amendment,"

Strike: "\$20"

Insert: "\$5"

~~22. Page 12, line 17.~~

Following: "merger,"

Strike: "\$20"

Insert: "\$5"

23. Page 12, line 19.  
Following: ~~"section,"~~  
Strike: "\$10"  
Insert: "\$2.50"

// 24. Page 13, line 9.  
Following: "~~\$20~~"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

12 25. Page 13, line 11.  
Following: "~~\$20~~"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

13 26. Page 13, line 13.  
Following: "~~\$20~~"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

14 27. Page 13, line 17.  
Following: "consolidation,"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.<sup>25</sup>~~50~~"

15 28. Page 13, line 19.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.<sup>25</sup>~~50~~"

16 29. Page 13, line 21.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.<sup>25</sup>~~50~~"

17 30. Page 13, line 24.  
Following: line 23  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.<sup>25</sup>~~50~~"

18 31. Page 14, line 12.  
Following: "~~\$20~~"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

19 32. Page 14, line 16.  
Following: "~~\$20~~"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"

20 33. Page 14, line 19.  
Following: "~~\$10~~"  
Strike: "\$15"  
Insert: "\$11"

34. ~~Page 14, line 23.~~  
Following: "consolidation,"  
Strike: "\$5"

- 21. 35. Page 15, line 1.  
Following: "\$5"  
Strike: "\$10"  
Insert: "\$5.50"
  
- 22. 36. Page 15, line 3.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>  
Insert: "\$2.50"
  
- 23. 37. Page 15, line 10.  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>  
Insert: "\$2.50"
  
- 24. 38. Page 17, line 6.  
Following: "\$50"  
Strike: "\$100"  
Insert: "\$55"
  
- 25. 39. Page 17, line 19.  
Following: "\$50"  
Strike: "\$100"  
Insert: "\$55"
  
- 26. 40. Page 20, line 11.  
Following: "\$20"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"
  
- 27. 41. Page 20, line 13.  
Following: "\$20"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"
  
- 28. 42. Page 20, line 15.  
Following: "\$20"  
Strike: "\$30"  
Insert: "\$22"
  
- 30. 43. ~~Page 20~~, line 19.  
Following: "consolidation,"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.50"
  
- 29. 44. Page 20, line 21. 354  
Following: "\$2"  
Strike: "\$5"  
Insert: "\$2.50"
  
- 31. 45. Page 21, line 1. - Page 20, line 23  
Following: "#2"  
Strike: "#5"  
Insert: "#2.50"<sup>25</sup>

46. Page 21, line 6.

Following: "\$20"

32 Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

47. Page 21, line 10.

Following: "\$20"

Strike: "\$30"

Insert: "\$22"

48. Page 21, line 13.

34. Following: "\$10"

Strike: "\$15"

Insert: "\$11"

~~49. Page 21, line 17.~~

Following: "consolidation,"

Strike: "\$5"

Insert: "\$2.50"

50. Page 21, line 20.

35. Following: "\$5"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5.50"

51. Page 21, line 22.

Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"

52. Page 22, line 8.

37. Following: "\$2"

Strike: "\$5"<sup>25</sup>

Insert: "\$2.50"

53. Page 22, line 14.

Following: "~~partnership,~~"

Strike: "\$25"

Insert: "\$12.50"

54. Page 22, line 16.

Following: "~~partnership,~~"

Strike: "\$15"

Insert: "\$7.50"

55. Page 22, line 18.

Following: "section,"

Strike: "\$10"

Insert: "\$5"

56. Page 22, line 23.

Following: ~~line 22~~

Strike: "\$40"

Insert: "\$20"

57. Page 22, line 25.  
Following: "section,"  
~~Strike: "\$10"~~  
Insert: "\$5"

ROLL CALL VOTE RECORD

①

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date February 8, 1979 SENATE Bill No. 169 Time \_\_\_\_\_

*① Amend to delete some agency heads*

NAME *② Amend to shorten time to 1 week (page 2, line 2) + 2 weeks* YES NO

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	✓	
Senator George F. Roskie, V. Chairman	✓	
Senator Bob Brown	✓	
Senator A. T. (Tom) Rasmussen	✓	
Senator Patrick L. Ryan	✓	
Senator Greg Jergeson	✓	
Senator William F. Hafferman	✓	

Jennie L. Palmer  
Secretary

Pete Story  
Chairman

Motion: Senator Greg Jergeson moved that Senate Bill No. 169 be amended on page 2, line 2, to exclude the following department heads: military affairs, board of education, professional & occupational licensing, justice and public service regulation; motion passed without opposition. ~~Senator Bob Brown moved that line 9, page 2 be amended to shorten the time to not less than 1 week or longer than 2 weeks; motion also carried without opposition; title must be changed accordingly~~  
(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)



# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 3 19 79

MR. President

We, your committee on State Administration

having had under consideration SENATE Bill No. 169

Respectfully report as follows: That SENATE Bill No. 169

Introduced Bill, be amended as follows:

1. Title, line 5.

Following: "HEAD OF"

Strike: "EACH OF THE"

Insert: "CERTAIN"

2. Title, line 6.

Following: "TIME"

Strike: "NO"

Insert: "NOT"

3. Title, line 7.

Following: the first "THAN" in the line

Strike: "2 WEEKS NOR"

Insert: "1 WEEK OR"

Following: "LONGER THAN"

Strike: "1 MONTH"

DD.PASS!

(continued)

je



Insert: "2 WEEKS"

4. Page 2, line 3.

Following: "in"

Insert: "subsections (1)(a), (1)(b), (1)(d), (1)(g), (1)(h),  
(1)(j) through (1)(m) and (1)(c) through (1)(s)of"

5. Page 2, line 9.

Following: line 8

Strike: "no"

Insert: "not"

Following: "shorter than"

Strike: "2 weeks"

Insert: "1 week"

Following: "longer than"

Strike: "1 month"

Insert: "2 weeks"

And, as so amended, DO PASS

GO.

ROLL CALL VOTE RECORD

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date Feb. 8, 1979 SENATE JOINT RES. Bill No. 9 Time \_\_\_\_\_

*8:10 Pass*

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	✓	
Senator George F. Roskie, V. Chairman	✓	
Senator Bob Brown	✓	
Senator A. T. (Tom) Rasmussen	✓	
Senator Patrick L. Ryan	✓	
Senator Greg Jergeson	✓	
Senator William F. Hafferman	✓	

Jennie L. Palmer  
Secretary

Pete Story  
Chairman

Motion: Senator Tom Rasmussen moved that Senate  
Joint Resolution DO PASS; motion was seconded and  
carried unanimously.

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

.....February 3..... 1973.....

MR. ....President.....

We, your committee on.....State Administration.....

having had under consideration.....Senate Joint Resolution No. 9..... Bill No.....

Respectfully report as follows: That.....Senate Joint Resolution No. 9..... Bill No.....

Introduced Bill,

DO PASS

*[Handwritten signature]*

ROLL CALL VOTE RECORD

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date Feb. 8, 1979 SENATE Bill No. 291 Time \_\_\_\_\_

*(2) DO PASS*

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	✓	
Senator George F. Roskie, V. Chairman	✓	
Senator Bob Brown	✓	
Senator A. T. (Tom) Rasmussen	✓	
Senator Patrick L. Ryan	✓	
Senator Greg Jergeson (P)	✓	
Senator William F. Hafferman	✓	

Jennie L. Palmer  
Secretary

Pete Story  
Chairman

Motion: Senator Greg Jergeson moved that Senate Bill No. 291

DO PASS; motion was seconded and

by unanimous vote, carried.

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 8 19 79

MR. President

We, your committee on State Administration

having had under consideration SENATE Bill No. 291

Respectfully report as follows: That SENATE Bill No. 291

Introduced Bill,

DO PASS



ROLL CALL VOTE RECORD

SENATE COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATION

Date Feb. 8, 1979 SENATE Bill No. 289 Time \_\_\_\_\_

*DO PASS*  
*(D) Consent Ref.*

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Pete Story, Chairman	✓	
Senator George F. Roskie, V. Chairman	✓	
Senator Bob Brown	✓	
Senator A. T. (Tom) Rasmussen	✓	
Senator Patrick L. Ryan	✓	
Senator Greg Jergeson	✓	
Senator William F. Hafferman	✓	

Jennie L. Palmer  
Secretary

Pete Story  
Chairman

Motion: Senator Greg Jergeson moved that Senate Bill No. 289  
DO PASS; motion was seconded and carried unanimously;  
Senator George Roskie then moved that S.B. 289 be put on the  
consent calendar; this motion also passed unanimously.

(include enough information on motion--put with yellow copy of committee report.)

# STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

..... FEBRUARY 6 19 79 .....

MR. .... President .....

We, your committee on ..... State Administration .....

having had under consideration ..... SENATE ... Bill No. 239 .....

Respectfully report as follows: That ..... SENATE ... Bill No. 239, .....

Introduced Bill, was unanimously passed and was unanimously placed on the Consent Calendar.

DO PASS

*PA.*