Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park Ave., Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005

Phone: (406) 841-2976 Email: shellysmith@mt.gov

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,)	Cause No. DC-47-2022-0000037-IN
	Plaintiff,)	Silver Bow County District Court
-VS-)	
)	Montana Second Judicial District
SEAN MICHAEL KELLEDY,)	
)	DECISION
)	
	Defendant.)	

On January 26, 2023, the Defendant was sentenced to ten (10) years to the Montana State Prison, for the offense of Count III: Tampering With or Fabricating Physical Evidence, a Felony, in violation of §45-7-207, MCA 2021. The sentence was ordered to run consecutively to any other sentence the Defendant was currently serving. The Defendant was given credit for three hundred sixty-two (362) days of jail time served, as of January 26, 2023. The Court further ordered Counts I, II, and IV dismissed. If the Defendant was released into the community, he would be subject to parole conditions as outlined on Pages 9 through 12 of the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report, numbered 1 through 37.

On November 2, 2023, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant, appeared from the Montana State Prison and was represented by Teal Mittelstadt, Defense Counsel. The State was not represented. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-

904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

DATED this <u>30</u> day of November, 2023.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Hon. Dan Wilson, Chair

Hon. Jessica Fehr, Member

Hon. John Warner, Alternate Member

Clerk of District Court - via email

Sean Michael Kelledy #41424, Defendant

Hon. Kurt Krueger - via email

Teal Mittelstadt, Defense Counsel - via email

Ann Shea, Deputy Co. Attorney - via email

Board of Pardons and Parole - via email

MSP - Records Dept. - via email

Shelly Smith, Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division