Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park, Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005

Phone: (406) 841-2976 Email: shellysmith@mt.gov



SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,) Cause No. DC-32-2010-0000349-IN
Plaintiff,) Missoula County District Court
-vs-) Montana Fourth Judicial District
RAYMOND EDWARD CHANEY,) DECISION
Defendant.)

On December 14, 2022, the Court found the Defendant in violation of the conditions of the last Judgment imposed on May 25, 2021, revoked the suspended sentence, and sentenced him to a commitment to the Department of Corrections for a term of five (5) years, none suspended, for the offense of Count III: Criminal Endangerment, a Felony, in violation of §45-5-207, MCA.

The Court recommended that the Defendant be placed in a treatment program that addresses his multiple issues. The Defendant was ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$5,102.10. He was given credit for time served in the amount of two hundred (200) days. The terms and conditions of the deferred or suspended portion of the Judgment are the same as those contained in the sentenced filed with the Court on June 11, 2021.

On August 3, 2023, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Butte Pre-Release facility and was represented by Tcal Mittelstadt, Defense Counsel. The State was not represented. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." Section 46-18-904(3), MCA.

The Division finds that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly excessive in its failure to aware credit for time served while on probation as mandated by statute.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence shall be **MODIFIED** to provide for 381 days of credit for time served while on probation. The remaining provisions, terms, and conditions imposed in the Judgment of December 14, 2022, are not clearly excessive and are affirmed.

DATED this 17th day of August, 2023.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Hon. Dan Milson, Chair

Hon. Luke Herger, Member

Hon. Jessica Fehr, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this ______day of August, 2023, to:

Clerk of District Court - via email

Raymond Edward Chaney #3006477, Defendant

Hon. Robert Deschamps - via email

Teal Mittelstadt, Defense Counsel - via email

Brittany Williams, Deputy Co. Attorney – via email

Board of Pardons and Parole – *via email*

MSP - Records Dept. - via email

Shelly Smith, Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division