## Pretrial Diversion Performance Measurement Overview 1/1/2019 – 12/31/2020

- Maximize public safety by reserving detention for those offenders who pose the greatest risk to reoffend or fail to appear in court
- Maximize court appearance
- Maximize the appropriate use of release and detention by use of services designed to fulfill the two goals stated above

0	☐ Data is collected using the AutoMon case management system. The data sti contains "noise" and will continue to need refinement. ( <i>Please note that percentages were rounded and may not add to 100%.</i> )	
	The attached report captured all cases closed between 1/1/2019 and 12/31/2020 During that time-period, 5,575 or 72% of the Public Safety Assessment-related cases were closed.	
	About 78% or 4,354 defendants were released pre-trial from detention. Of those, about 61% were released on their own recognizance. The other 39% had some form of cash bail required for release.	
	Of the defendants not released pre-trial, 23% were a level I on the PSA, 37% were a level 2 and 41% were a level 3.	
	For defendants released pre-trial, 83% reported to their court appearances. (**Please note this reflects cases in which a warrant was issued for the failure to appear. If a defendant did not appear but a warrant was not issued, it is not counted as it doesn't exist in the database.)	
<b>-</b>	In the closed cases, 78% of the defendants remained law-abiding. 11% were arrested for a new misdemeanors and 11% for a new felonies. Only 5% had a new violent offense.	
7	The OCA does not maintain or collect county jail data. Thus, it is difficult to assess the impact on overall jail populations, which include not only pre-trial defendants but people convicted who are awaiting a Department of Corrections' placement, serving a misdemeanor sentencing, or awaiting the outcome of a probation violation. Several detention facilities also house federal inmates.	
J	The OCA will continue to refine the data collection and reporting with our partner counties.	

## MONTANA JUDICIAL BRANCH PRETRIAL PROGRAM

## **Performance Measures Report**

County	All	Start Date 1/1/2019	End Date 12/31/2020	
Assessr	nent Volume			
1	Number of Public	Safety Assessments (PSAs) completed	776	
	Criteria: # of PSA	s completed-Open or closed cases		
Defend	ants' Case Closur	es		
2) Numb	er of court cases cl	osed for which a PSA was done	559	
Criteria: Counting cases that have a disposition date within reporting period AND had a PSA completed.				
ase dri	ven, not person dri	ven		
ail Rele	ase Measures			
) Numb	er of these closed	cases for which the defendant was released pro	etrial from jail 437	
riteria: Closed cases within reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release da			with a release date	
Number of closed cases for which released defendants were:				
	4. Charged with a	violent offense	157	
Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a re AND a charge with the violent flag.			A completed with a release date	
	5. Assessed as hav	ring the violence flag	970	
		ed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release of red the New Violent Criminal Activity equals YES.		
	6. Released on red	ognizance	265	
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Personal Recognizance			
	7. Released after p	paying money bail	168	
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Cash and/or Suret			
	8. Recommendation	on from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 1	157	
		ses within the reporting period that had a PSA ision of Release Level 1 (Passive Monitoring)	A completed with a release date	

	<ol><li>Recommendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)</li></ol>	1711
)	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with with a release decision of Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	a release date,
	10. Recommendation from the PSA to Pretrial Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)	1000
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with with a release decision of Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)	a release date,
<u>Jail</u>	Detention Measures	
11)	Number of these closed cases for which the defendant was detained (not released) pretrial from jail	1274
Crit	teria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a relea	ase date entered.
	Number of closed cases for which detained defendants:	
	12. Were charged with a violent offense	447
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with date AND a charge with the violent flag.	out a release
	13. Were assessed as having the violence flag	441
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without date AND PSA scored the New Violent Criminal Activity equals YES.	out a release
	14. Were ordered to pay money bail	746
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without date, with a release decision, bond imposed checked and bond type = Cash	ut a release
	15. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 1	291
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed witho date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 1 (Passive Monitoring)	ut a release
	16. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 2	466
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed witho date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 2 (Active Monitoring)	ut a release
	17. Would have been on Pretrial Release Level 3	521

Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed without a release date, with a PSA Recommendation of Release Level 3 (Active Monitoring)

nber	of closed cases for which released defendants:				
18.	. Made all of their court appearances	3609			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed AND does not have any violations filed for any associated FTA's that result disposition of warrant. The client can have an FTA and a violation filed for the violation has a disposition of Warrant, the client is excluded from this I	ed in a violation the FTA. However, if			
19.	. Remained law-abiding (were not arrested for any new offense)	3404			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date AND does not have any new arrests entered in the infraction screen within the violations module.				
20.	. Had a new misdemeanor offense	489			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module with a charge class of misdemeanor.				
21.	Had a new felony offense	488			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module with a charge class of felony.				
22.	Had a new violent (misdemeanor or felony) offense	231			
	Criteria: Closed cases within the reporting period that had a PSA completed with a release date AND has a new arrest entered in the infraction screen, within the violations module with a charge category of violent.				

Report Prepared by:

Last Updated: 11-12-2018

Date: