Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park Ave., Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005 Phone: (406) 841-2976 Email: srdapplication@mt.gov



SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,) (Cause No. DC-11-2022-0000034
Plaintiff,)	Dawson County District Court
-VS-)]	Montana Seventh Judicial District
HEIDI LYNN BOYCE SIEGLE,)	DECISION
Defendant.) _)	

On September 17, 2024, the Defendant was sentenced to a commitment of five (5) years to the Department of Corrections, with two (2) years suspended, for the offense of <u>Count I</u>: Burglary, a Felony, in violation of §45-6-204, MCA. The Court ordered the Defendant screened for Passages to address her alcohol needs, followed by Pre-Release. The Defendant was to apply to and if accepted, successfully complete a Treatment Court Program once released from Department of Corrections custody.

The Defendant was given credit of twelve (12) days against the time served in jail prior to conviction pursuant to §46-18-403, MCA. The State filed a Motion to Dismiss Counts II and III with Prejudice, which the Court granted.

On April 4, 2025, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Passages Pre-Release Center and was represented by Teal Mittelstadt, Defense Counsel. The State was not represented. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that she understood this and stated that she wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

DATED this <u>15th</u> day of April, 2025.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

essica Fehr, Chair Hon

Hon. Matthew Cuffe, Member

Hon. Christopher Abbott, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this <u>15th</u> day of April, 2025, to:

Clerk of District Court – via email Heidi Lynn Boyce Siegle #3037219, Defendant Hon. Olivia Rieger – via email Teal Mittelstadt, Defense Counsel – via email State Office of the Public Defender Brett Irigoin, County Attorney – via email Board of Pardons and Parole – via email MWP - Records Dept. – via email

Shelly Smith, Office Administrator Sentence Review Division