2014 DISTRICT COURT WORKLOAD REVIEW – JUDICIAL RESOURCE NEEDS

Judicial District	CY2008	CY2009	CY2010	CY2011	CY2012	CY2013	Sparkline
13	1.67	2.21	3.1	1.77	2.91	3.75	
11	1.44	2.61	2.77	1.53	2.16	2.29	
4	0.53	1.28	1.37	1.47	1.89	2.02	
1	1.67	2.82	2.74	1.45	1.82	1.84	
8	0.93	1.28	1.06	0.94	1.27	1.67	
18	1.29	1.3	0.78	0.42	0.43	0.69	
9	0.47	0.58	0.54	0.46	0.55	0.68	
22	0.65	0.59	0.53	0.43	0.42	0.5	
5	0.51	0.57	0.61	0.57	0.4	0.48	
12	0.21	0.4	0.35	0.34	0.46	0.45	
21	0.38	0.31	0.36	0.29	0.24	0.4	
7	0.17	-0.09	0.07	0.12	0.22	0.34	
20	0.29	0.41	0.43	0.34	0.27	0.34	
19	0.22	0.26	0.37	0.38	0.35	0.32	
3	0.34	0.34	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.31	
6	0.25	0.32	0.32	0.27	0.33	0.24	
2	0.42	0.35	0.33	0.23	0.27	0.23	
17	0.02	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.19	0.18	
10	0.04	0.16	0.18	0.05	0	0.1	
16	0.04	0.14	0.12	0.01	0.1	0.06	
15	-0.15	-0.15	-0.12	-0.17	-0.11	-0.07	
14	-0.26	-0.22	-0.21	-0.2	-0.21	-0.22	

The District Court Council tracks and reviews judicial resource needs using case filing statistics and a weighted workload formula approved by the Council. The table on the left summarizes the results of each review year 2008-2013. The number represents the estimated number of judicial resources (FTE) needed to meet workload demands. The data is sorted on CY2013 data (largest to smallest). The sparkline is a line graph of the data for each district - a red marker marks the highest number recorded for the district. CY2013 values are also depicted on the map.

<.25	.26 – 1.0	1.1 – 3.75