MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE
53rd LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION

SUBCOMMITTEE FOR SELECT COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL FINANCE

Call to Order: By Sen. Chet Blaylock, Chair, on April 6, 1993, at 7:00 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:
Sen. Chet Blaylock, Chair (D)
Sen. Bob Brown (R)
Sen. John Hertel (R)
Sen. Spook Stang (D)
Sen. Daryl Toews (R)
Sen. Fred Van Valkenburg (D)
Sen. Mignon Waterman (D)

Members Excused: None.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Eddye McClure, Legislative Council
Sylvia Kinsey, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:
Subcommittee on House Bill 667

DISCUSSION:

Chair Blaylock said we would continue on HB 667, and asked Senator Stang if he was ready to report on the weighted average concept.

Weighted Average:

Senator Stang said the Guaranteed Tax Base (GTB) on the weighted average shows, if you look at the area below 80%, it totally equalizes. It is shown that it does benefit small schools, and it benefits the small schools on their retirement. (exhibit 1) He handed out sheets on the weighted GTB analysis. (exhibit 2) The purpose of this bill is to equalize education, and if the weighted GTB truly equalizes, there are always winners and losers in the equalization process, and the small schools felt they were the losers in the last process which hasn't truly equalized or we wouldn't be back on this today. He said he would stick with his

proposal to use the weighted GTB for the GTB and to give the high schools the 800 stop loss.

Senator Brown, referring to exhibit 1, asked if this indicates the 800 stop loss and was told no, that was on retirement. Senator Stang said this does save the state another $790,000.

Chair Blaylock said he believed the committee had decided yesterday we were not going to do retirement. Senator Stang said the only thing is, if we are going to use the weighted GTB in the general fund, he could not see why you wouldn't want to use it in the capital outlay and the retirement to be consistent. It would seem foolish to him to agree the weighted GTB equalizes in the general fund but not use it in the other areas. He believed it should be included in all areas where we use GTB.

Senator Waterman asked if we had a cost of living adjustment (COLA) run on what this does if you use the weighted ANB for retirement, capital outlay and general fund. Mr. Gillett, Legislative Auditor's office said no, they had not done that. The actual dollars there are small enough, and in each case, the difference in cost is not terribly large and usually the weighted GTB is a little on the less expensive side, but there are many different factors that enter into this.

Senator Waterman said you are talking about over all, and she was asking about district by district. She wanted to know the impact district by district. Mr. Gillett said he had not done it district by district in capital outlay. He said the pattern is consistent, that small schools benefit from weighted GTB.

Senator Stang said the fiscal note on his bill that was drafted the same as Senator Blaylock's bill was $800,000 less. Senator Waterman said she knew in aggregate it was cheaper. Senator Stang said it would be hard to do on a district by district level because we don't know which districts are going to levy in the future, and it would depend on which districts levy. They just used an average when they did the fiscal note on that.

Senator Brown said we made a decision last evening not to get into the retirement area or the transportation area. He said he needed to know whether we can proceed in this new concept for school financing and apply the weighted GTB to it and not do it in the area of retirement and transportation. He said it seemed to him it would be possible, but he was not sure it would be consistent.

Andy Merrill, Legislative Council, said Senator Stang had this run done so you would use the same GTB sections in the code and the same procedures and same calculations for retirement as you would for this new way of doing the general fund GTB. It would probably be much appreciated by OPI and all the school people, if you were to choose this and have the same concept going for retirement as the general fund and you wouldn't have to double up

on all the sections in the code. You would have two different sets of GTB type sections going if you didn't do it the same. It really does not have as much to do with the issue you rejected about rolling retirement into the equalization formula. It would be a type of equalization to match what you were doing in the general fund, but not as large a concept as trying to analyze and fully equalize retirement, which you did reject yesterday. It would just be keeping consistency in the way we think about GTB.

Senator Toews asked how we arrive at the weighted number. He said he believed he understood what it does, but asked how we get there. Don Waldron, Montana Rural Education Association (MREA) said probably the way to look at it is that we are taking a relationship of the ANB to the tax evaluation of a district and putting that against the state in the same terms and coming up with a ratio. He said that is really the way it works out in the long run. When you use that ratio, you are looking at the ability to pay and the number of students.

Senator Brown asked if classroom unit is a factor in the calculation and Mr. Waldron said no, it is not.

Senator Waterman said she was not sure she was ready to roll in the weighted ANB at this point, she was still a little concerned about the over all impact as you do it in all three areas as to what it does to schools. Her sense is that it is more of a taxpayer equity than it is a school equalization issue and she was not sure the courts would guarantee a tax equity. While she was not certain she was ready to do them, she believed it was important to do all three the same and not have two different kinds of guaranteed tax base. If we are going to do this, let's keep it as simple as we can.

Senator Stang said that was his intent. If you want to just put this in the general fund you do not have the impact you have if you use all three, but you don't have consistency. Without consistency, it gives them another reason to go back and say "why didn't you use this in retirement, why didn't you use this in capital outlay?". He believed if the concept is a good concept and a viable concept, it should eventually used in all the areas of the GTB. If it is not a good concept, it should not be used in any of them. It does come down to a large versus small issue and it is the issue that put the sponsor of HB 28, Representative Schye, off of his bill because he believed HB 28 moved in the other direction. He said Representative Schye was the sponsor of HB 28 and fought vehemently to try to kill that bill after it was amended because it did not do what he wanted it to do. It didn't equalize, it penalized.

Senator Brown said he was not sure, based on this information, where we go from here. We need to understand how the weighted concept works with the stop loss that goes down from 1,000 to 800. We need to understand those two things in combination before we can vote on this concept.

Senator Stang said retirement does not have anything to do with the stop loss. He said we had a sheet (exhibit 2) which shows the weighted average with the stop loss.

Mr. Gillett explained (exhibit 2) the spread sheet and said in several different ways it provides information on the effects of using the weighted GTB system. The first set of 3 columns takes the current HB 667 scenario with the current GTB system and converts it to weighted GTB and shows you in the third column the difference in mills by district that makes.

Senator Brown said in reading this, then Beaverhead County High School is now 20.24 and with the weighted GTB the millage would go up or down. Mr. Gillett said it would go up by 0.88 mills. Senator Brown said, then the difference is about a mill increase. Mr. Gillett pointed to Lima Elementary and showed how the millage goes down.

Mr. Gillett said the second set of three columns, show the pure effects of GTB, assumes the general fund balance be reappropriated and non levy revenue was used. It assumed for the piece of the budget that is funded by GTB. If you look down the lists, you will notice in the weighted GTB column which is the 2nd one in the 2nd set of 3, in the elementaries, the highest mill levy you will see there is 52.75 and in the high schools the highest you will see is 29.76. What happens under the weighted GTB system, is that when you get to the guaranteed level, every district, regardless of size, would have exactly the same mill levy across that portion of the budget that is funded with GTB. Under the current system, you will notice that situation does not exist.

Mr. Gillett said the third set of three columns have HB 667 with Representative Kadas non-levy revenue in under both current and weighted GTB systems. The last three are probably the ones that are germane to Senator Stang's motion, and those deal in the first column of the current GTB and 1,000 stop loss and what the mill levies would be to get across the 40% portion of the budget that is funded with guaranteed mills. The second column has weighted GTB and an 800 stop loss, so it is the combined mill effect of those two.

Tom Biladeau said he would like to address the question of retirements and weighting of GTB as well as some notions on the stop gaps. He said there are a number of different objectives we seek to meet as we do school finance reform and equity is one. Equity in terms of spending disparities and in terms of taxpayer efforts which are two aspects of equity issues in finance. There is also the question of sufficiency, whether or not there are adequate dollars available to fund the system of schools we want for everybody in the state as well as an issue of simplicity and continuity. Whether or not we can understand how the system works and whether or not it works over a period of time. We have to keep all four of those objectives in mind as you do school

finance.

Chair Blaylock said that is a good point, but if we are going to roll all this in for continuity, there has to be an increased cost and probably a substantial one.

Mr. Biladeau said he would like to talk about the notion of weighting retirement to the GTB. MEA believes, and he believed that was in accord with most of the educational community, that the House wisely excluded retirement from HB 667 for a number of different reasons. First we believe we do not have the money to do a fully adequate job of equalizing all those items. Secondly, HB 28 provided GTB support for retirement system based on state wide average GTB value. That has not yet been tested in court and is the fundamental reason why many argue that this system is not the system found unconstitutional by the Court in February of '89 and indeed we may have a system that passes muster when you analyze it in respect to school system funding over all. He asked the committee to turn their attention to the little spread sheet. (exhibit 3) It addresses the issue of equity, not equity plus sufficiency, continuity and simplicity, but just equity. It does so both in respect to spending and taxpayer effort; it equalizes to the middle. He said the top tables represent the disparity ratios in spending in the current method we have, if you take out special ed and 874, based on fiscal '93 budgets. When you compare those disparity ratios comparing the 5th to the 95th and assume all districts would spend, at the minimum, you have current spending disparities running from over 124% down to a disparity of about 35%. The Courts, to the degree to which they identified a target for us, identified a 25% disparity level as being acceptable. Nothing in here comes as close to that. If you look at the middle table, that is HB 667 implemented next year in terms of disparity levels within these size groupings. The disparity ratios have significantly improved, they dropped in the smallest elementary category from 2.24 down to 1.82. We have improved that disparity ratio significantly, however it still does not meet what is commonly understood to be a disparity test in terms of spending. We have not met equity tests. On the bottom you can see the continued improvement that may be possible if you phase in some sort of GTB over time, something that was dismissed rather quickly by the committee yesterday but MEA believes is absolutely necessary if we are going to put a system into place that equalizes it's spending over a period of time.

Mr. Biladeau said in respect to retirement, if you look at these disparity ratios presently, just in respect to the size groupings of districts and the general fund you will notice the largest districts are the ones that most closely approximate those tests of disparity. The disparity between the 5th and 95th is the narrowest among those districts. If you actually look at millage effort, the taxpayer effort as well, those largest districts tend to have not only the highest mill efforts in respect to supporting the general fund as well as their other funds, but they also have the least disparity among their millage efforts.

As you begin to look at that and discuss weighting you can do that with the agreement made on an 800 stop loss, they see it as appropriate. The data they supplied to the House Select Committee indicated you are pushing those largest districts up against their 100% cap to a greater degree than you are the smaller size category districts. If you tie an 800 stop loss with the high schools to a weighted GTB, you are essentially trading approximately 7 additional mills to the high schools and the elementary combined largest districts for about 1-2% additional potential growth for those largest districts. You give them a bit more room to move and you allow them the capacity to grow to the same degree you do smaller districts. They think that is an exceptional trade. As you move beyond the general fund an argument can be made that it would be nice and consistent to apply the same GTB calculation basis for retirement, capital and you could get imaginative and apply it to transportation. The fact is that some of those costs are not ANB driven. Retirement is not an ANB driven cost, it is a payroll driven cost. Similarly, transportation is not an ANB driven cost, as capital is not exclusively an ANB driven cost. He said they would argue in accord with the bill as it came over from the House, and given the restraints of budget etc. we have in front of us, should keep this bill narrowly focused on the general fund. (He said the MEA has not taken a position on GTB for the general fund) He said we need to do something with capital which needs to be taken care of, but we do not need to expand 667 into the retirement area and would argue against it. It does not make good sense to take a non ANB driven cost and somehow weight it to an ANB and then roll it into this bill in the same process.

Senator Stang asked if we don't use the weighted GTB for capital outlay and retirement, how difficult and what kind of form are we going to come up with. Are we going to end up with a 42 page form we will have to fill out, or is it feasible to do this without making it too complicated if we make it a weighted GTB in the general fund and not use it outside the general fund.

Jan Thomson said neither formula will result in more paper work for the district. It will result in more paper work going out of our office because if you use the same weighted GTB you will have one GTB level for the general fund because it is guaranteed at 200% or 195% of the state average and you will have another GTB for capital outlay if it is on the weighted formula which she assumed would not be at the 200%. If you leave retirement the way it is, you will have a third GTB that is on a whole different concept than the general fund and capital outlay. That means we would be certifying three different valuations to school districts in the spring and trying to enable them to identify which GTB is used for which purpose. What the committee needs to do is to make a determination of which GTB formula they believe truly achieves what GTB is divined to do, which is to equalize the ability to raise revenue in a school district. Whether that is on per student basis or some ratio of foundation program to taxable value, that is policy decision by you. We would prefer,

and she believed school districts would prefer, one concept applied to all three mechanisms.

Senator Stang asked why would there be three different concepts if we are using the same concept for capital outlay and retirement and only the weighted GTB in the general fund. Ms. Thomson said if you are using the same concept for capital outlay and retirement, then you would only have two. As she had heard the committee say, you would have the weighted GTB for the general fund, which is at 200% then if you leave retirement as it is, you will have that GTB method on the current system and you would tie capital outlay to either of the others you will have two systems. If you tie it to the weighted average and you don't guarantee it at the level that is in the general fund, you will have a third valuation for capital outlay.

Motion: Senator Stang moved we do the weighted average GTB for all three funds and the 800 stop loss.

Discussion: Senator Stang said Mr. Biladeau's arguments about the per pupil part are really nice, he did say that the MEA has not taken a position on weighted average and they have. The MEA lobbied heavily against the weighted average when it was on the Senate floor. The MEA has taken a position and it is a position that many of the school teachers in the smaller districts did not appreciate when they found out about it. It appeared the MEA had decided which side to pick in this fight. He appreciated the information given, but would have a difficult time letting it sink in because of the position they took earlier in the session on the weighted average. He believed it was a good concept and did equalize as shown in the middle part of the graph.

Senator Waterman said the change in stop loss is needed and did not believe the formula as it now stands is fair to the larger schools. This bill should be kept as simple as possible and use the same guaranteed tax base for all three funds. She said she was not really comfortable with the weighted formula and the bottom line needs to be what this does for kids, and she was not sure in the long run the weighted tax base is in the best interest of kids regardless of whether it is a big district or a small district.

Senator Hertel said he would like to have someone explain a little more about the stop loss. Mr. Gillett said the basic concept of the stop loss, which deals only with high schools, you start with $4900 per student for the first student in budget authority, for the second you get $.50 less, the third you get $.50 less than the second and that is how the model works. As it exists right now, down to the 1,000 student you keep reducing by $.50 each student, so for that 1,000 student you would get $4900 minus $500 and for every student after that you would get the same amount you did for the 1,000th student. If you switch to 800, you simply reduce to the 800 level which would be $400 off the $4900 and every student after that would get the same amount

as the 800th student.

Senator Waterman said that is $100 per student difference from 800 and up. Mr. Gillett said that would provide an additional maximum budget authority at the 100% line which would also drive an increase at the 80% line in the model for any school with an ANB or more pupils.

Senator Waterman said it is by district, so when you get to a district like Missoula or Billings where they have three high schools, you are talking about a significant difference. She was told Billings has about 4750 students, and pointed out you are taking about a big difference here.

Mr. Gillett said the other issue is that same effect would happen for any elementary district with more than 800 junior high students because they are also funded at that 4900 amount.

Senator Waterman said she would like to see this last for more than a few years, and as more and more schools hit that 800 mark, if we don't fix this now we will be back to fix it then.

Senator Brown said before we vote on this motion he would like to understand how we can make this more simple. We have heard from Tom Biladeau that we want to focus just on the general fund and that is the way to keep it simple, and that was what he thought was decided last evening. Now we are hearing that for consistency sake we need to simplify things by applying the same concept to all three funds, even though they may have no relationship to ANB.

Representative Kadas said he tended to agree with Senator Waterman that you just need to go either-or. In the case of whether you are basing it on ANB or not and whether you apply that to retirement, the current GTB is based on ANB and we are applying that to retirement. He believed it was as fair as we have been able to get it and thought it was fair with respect to capital too. He said you need to be consistent, either use the weighted GTB for all three cases, or you do not, for all three cases.

Chair Blaylock said he had kept out of the debate but would be consistent. He did not like the idea of the weighted GTB.

Vote: Senator Stang's motion that we do the weighted average GTB for all three funds and the 800 stop loss CARRIED, Senator Blaylock voting no, Senator Van Valkenburg absent.

Special Education

Chair Blaylock said he had asked Mr. Gillett and Ms. Nielson to work on language for this issue.

Ms. Nielson said the language would be very complex but she had

the issues and depending on the decisions the committee makes, she will get together some language for these people to work on. She said she had looked at the statutes and some of them would have to be changed. She presented the committee with a sheet listing the problem and three options. (exhibit 4)

Ms. Nielson read the problem and said by pooling data with Mr. Gillett they had come up with three different kinds of options and read them to the committee. She said while option 1 would be great, it was fantasy and said the recommendation she would have for the committee, and this was not necessarily Mr. Gillett's recommendation, was option 2. She would prefer you add money to this bill, but option 2 allows districts to continue to access GTB aid as they have been doing in order to provide the whole contribution for special education. It does it within the context of the bill you have now. She said she did not recommend option 3 at this time, although, if this concept passes and this concept of 40-40-20 is implemented, then in the next session you may come back and determine you want to structure the special education one to match. At this time, she believed the special education programs are fragile enough, and people are taking too large leap right now to look at redistributing the funds in a different fashion. One of the things that concerned people working on the special education commission, was a desperate need for a phase-in from where they are now to where they may be with the considerations of what GTB might do, might look like and how it might inter-play with the rest of the kinds of funding. She believed it might be wise for two reasons, 1) for programmatic reasons, to retain similar structure and allow us to redistribute and see if it works for one year. 2) the option to change the special education, or the concerns in Senator Halligan's bill, does not go into effect until the second year. You would have some real steps to take to do what should be done in the first year and then what to do in the second year. She said if the committee goes to option 2, it would work with what we have now and by the second year would just flow into it.

Bob Runkel, Director of Special Ed, OPI, said the committee should keep in mind that what Ms. Nielson was saying about the differential impact on low income districts having, perhaps, a higher proportion of special education needs, is an important concept. The second concept he wished to bring across was that whichever option is selected, we must keep in mind that when it comes time for decisions in local districts to generate additional money to cover the special ed costs, it is not always a real popular thing. One of the things he felt was needed, was to help insulate some of our special education students from that appearance of a direct conflict and competition with general education funds. Some of those kids are not real popular, they are very high cost kids and some of them are emotionally disturbed. As a result, the old system we had as well as the work of the commission, helped to create that insulation. It helped to create a uniformity of commitment across districts to provide a local support for special education and whatever option

you choose, he believed that level of protection needs to continue.

Chair Blaylock said Ms. Nielson recommended option 2 which would be $5 million GTB money into that. He asked if that option would do the least amount of harm to the special ed kids. Mr. Runkel said from what he understood, he believed that would be the most viable alternative right now. While option 1 appealed to him, mixing reality with the needs of the kids, he felt option 2 was a workable option.

Senator Waterman asked if she was correct that we had just saved some money by our last action, about $800,000 in retirement. She was told it also cost some money because of the stop loss.

Senator Stang said in the general fund the stop loss and the weighted GTB were almost a wash. It was somewhere between $100,000 and $200,000 and he did not remember which way it went, as to whether it was saved or lost. The only difference would be the retirement and what we do in the capital outlay. He was not sure, but seemed to recall it was about $800,000 over SB 32 under the same method as that bill.

Senator Waterman said if we choose option 2, we probably do not have to go as low as 179% because there is probably about $1.5 million there.

Senator Blaylock said unless the amendments the House put on SB 32 are changed, it is not $600,000 anymore, it is $2 million plus for the biennium.

Senator Stang said we have to do something with Special Education, and in looking at the three options, we go to option 2 first because it costs less money. Option 3 looks nice because it doesn't cost the state much money but it will cost the local taxpayers more money. His concern is the same as expressed by Mr. Runkel and Ms. Nielson, which is the fact that those kids are not too popular at home. We have some special ed kids in St. Regis and for whatever the reason, those kids are not popular at home, not because they are not nice kids but because they are costing the local taxpayers more money. He said he had always been an advocate that the special ed costs of this state should be borne by the state government and not by the local taxpayers. It just happens that those kids have moved to that district for whatever reason, and in Great Falls a lot of them move there because of the special ed program. In light of the fact that we probably can't afford option # 1, he would probably support option # 2, knowing we have to do something and can not just leave it hang.

Motion: Senator Stang moved we put option # 2 (exhibit 4) in HB 667

Discussion: Senator Waterman asked if it is fair to assume you

are going to coordinate this to SB 348, but assumed this would be done.

Addition to Motion: Senator Stang said it would be a part of the motion to coordinate this with SB 348.

Discussion: Senator Stang said he believed that was the idea with option 2. Ms. Nielson said that was correct.

Senator Waterman asked if there is something we have to do to increase the base in this, or is that just a given. Ms. Nielson said the special education dollars Senator Waterman is referring to is in HB 2.

Larry Fasbender, representing the Great Falls schools, said he was still thinking about the last action and what it did. Representative Kadas said he really thought option 3 was a little better for two reasons. 1) It matches the existing structure you are adopting and from that perspective it would be simpler to administer and to articulate. 2) In option # 3 the total amount of state dollars is about equal to what we are currently putting into special ed. Option 3 does leverage some additional local dollars through the GTB, and he believed that was fine. Option 2 leverages local dollars through the GTB, as well, but if you choose option 2 and you are dealing in a zero-some game, which he believed was being done in terms of the total dollars that are available here, you will have to take state dollars from everyone else and put them into special ed. Maybe that is an acceptable choice, but he was inclined, for simplicity reasons, to stick with option 3.

Mr. Gillett said he and Ms. Nielson worked on this last night. She is the brains, he said he was the computer jockey.

Chair Blaylock said between option 2 and option 3, how much are we going to raise taxes at the local level. Mr. Gillett said he believed the total number of dollars, state and local, are the same between the two, it is just that on the one you average the state dollars across the entire population of regular and special ed and in the other you hold special ed within itself at it's current funding. Ms. Nielson said currently we provide probably over $3 million GTB. She pointed to the $3 million that got moved over and is used in general ed right now, and in option 2 we are putting it back in special ed again; we are tapping into some of that money they had before. If you were to choose option 3, she would agree that it might match better and it might be easier to administer, but the other part is that you would have to do two of them because you will have to do something next year before you move to this for the second year. Senator Halligan's bill does not take effect until the second year of the biennium.

Senator Waterman asked what happens if you put Senator Halligan's bill into effect immediately and Ms. Nielson said she did not believe school districts are ready for that change in the funding

system. It is a very different funding distribution for special education and she believed you would find they would rather have you do nothing than do that because they are not ready for it.

Vote: Senator Stang's motion to adopt option 2 and coordinate it with SB 348 CARRIED with Senator Toews voting no, Senator Van Valkenburg absent.

Members from Finance and Claims and another committee had to go to their committees for executive action. Senator Stang said he had a series of questions from OPI on what happens to protested tax dollars.

Chair Blaylock said the committee should come back to finish HB 667 at 3 P.M. or on adjournment of the Senate.

(exhibit 5) was passed around for members to view.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment: 8:15 a.m.

Chet Blaylock
CHET BLAYLOCK, Chair

Sylvia Kinsey
SYLVIA KINSEY, Secretary

CB/sk

7AM

ROLL CALL

SENATE COMMITTEE Subcommittee on HB 667 DATE 4/6/93

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Senator Blaylock	✓		
Senator Brown	✓		
Senator Waterman	✓		
Senator Hertel	✓		
Senator Van Valkenburg	✓		
Senator Stang	✓		
Senator Toews	✓		

F08

Attach to each day's minutes

ROLL CALL VOTE

Vote # 1

SENATE COMMITTEE SUBCOMMITTEE ON HB 667 BILL NO. HB 667

DATE 4/2/93 TIME 7 ~~a.m.~~ 7:47 A.M. P.M.

NAME	YES	NO
Senator Van Valkenburg	ab	
Senator Brown	✓	
Senator Waterman	✓	
Senator Hertel	✓	
Senator Stang	✓	
Senator Toews	✓	
Senator Blaylock		✓

Sylvia Kinsey
SECRETARY

Chet Blaylock
CHAIR

MOTION: Stang's [illegible] 7 6 + B [illegible]

Motion for Weighted 6 + B on all three + 800 Stop [illegible]

F09

Exhibit 4-6-93 7AM

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB SYSTEM ON RETIREMENT
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY & LEVEL
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)

STNG97.WK1
05-Apr-93
11:38 PM

RESTATEMENT OF FY 93 RETIREMENT FUNDS

CO NUM	COUNTY	LEV-EL	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT GUARANTEE PERCENT (121%) CHANGE IN MILLS TO WTD GTB	CHANGE IN SUBSIDY TO WTD GTB
01	BEAVERHEAD	1	1276	-0.50	$7,686
01	BEAVERHEAD	2	495	-0.29	$4,900
02	BIG HORN	1	1655	-0.06	$1,639
02	BIG HORN	2	577	-0.18	$4,931
03	BLAINE	1	1078	-0.40	$5,462
03	BLAINE	2	443	-1.12	$15,752
04	BROADWATER	1	515	0.00	$0
04	BROADWATER	2	192	0.00	$0
05	CARBON	1	1110	-1.89	$30,868
05	CARBON	2	531	-2.11	$36,831
06	CARTER	1	154	0.00	$0
06	CARTER	2	50	0.00	$0
07	CASCADE	1	10154	1.63	($149,072)
07	CASCADE	2	3779	1.40	($126,609)
08	CHOTEAU	1	788	0.00	$0
08	CHOTEAU	2	357	0.00	$0
09	CUSTER	1	1491	-0.40	$5,796
09	CUSTER	2	627	0.59	($8,386)
10	DANIELS	1	318	0.00	$0
10	DANIELS	2	158	-9.25	$60,522
11	DAWSON	1	1314	-0.37	$6,894
11	DAWSON	2	563	-0.06	$1,106
12	DEER LODGE	1	1096	-0.14	$1,171
12	DEER LODGE	2	539	0.61	($5,222)
13	FALLON	1	519	0.00	$0
13	FALLON	2	206	-2.61	$25,564
14	FERGUS	1	1666	-1.37	$30,686
14	FERGUS	2	641	-2.21	$48,408
15	FLATHEAD	1	8662	-0.18	$18,751
15	FLATHEAD	2	3556	1.10	($112,242)
16	GALLATIN	1	5863	0.15	($11,402)
16	GALLATIN	2	2119	0.40	($31,297)
17	GARFIELD	1	228	-0.60	$2,911
17	GARFIELD	2	93	0.00	$0
18	GLACIER	1	2259	0.04	($853)
18	GLACIER	2	605	0.00	$0
19	GOLDEN VALLEY	1	104	0.00	$0
19	GOLDEN VALLEY	2	49	-1.25	$5,959
20	GRANITE	1	353	0.00	$0
20	GRANITE	2	174	0.00	$0
21	HILL	1	2603	-0.07	$2,142
21	HILL	2	987	-0.58	$17,395
22	JEFFERSON	1	1232	0.00	$0
22	JEFFERSON	2	410	0.00	$0
23	JUDITH BASIN	1	326	0.00	$0
23	JUDITH BASIN	2	137	-2.47	$21,419
24	LAKE	1	3078	-0.31	$9,073
24	LAKE	2	1232	-0.39	$12,120
25	LEWIS & CLARK	1	6734	1.08	($74,140)
25	LEWIS & CLARK	2	2505	1.61	($106,045)
26	LIBERTY	1	374	0.00	$0
26	LIBERTY	2	140	0.00	$0
27	LINCOLN	1	2647	-0.07	$2,126
27	LINCOLN	2	1118	0.21	($6,364)
28	MADISON	1	695	0.00	$0
28	MADISON	2	333	-0.60	$11,295
29	MCCONE	1	265	0.00	$0
29	MCCONE	2	138	0.00	$0
30	MEAGHER	1	200	0.00	$0

Ex 1
4-6-93
HB-667

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB SYSTEM ON RETIREMENT
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY & LEVEL
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)

STNG97.WK1
05-Apr-93
11:38 PM

RESTATEMENT OF FY 93 RETIREMENT FUNDS

CO NUM	COUNTY	LEV-EL	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT GUARANTEE PERCENT (121%) CHANGE IN MILLS TO WTD GTB	CHANGE IN SUBSIDY TO WTD GTB
30	MEAGHER	2	103	0.00	$0
31	MINERAL	1	621	-0.69	$6,478
31	MINERAL	2	245	-1.38	$12,808
32	MISSOULA	1	9727	0.72	($86,018)
32	MISSOULA	2	3626	2.04	($239,706)
33	MUSSELSHELL	1	559	-0.79	$5,353
33	MUSSELSHELL	2	246	-0.78	$5,215
34	PARK	1	1528	-0.70	$17,308
34	PARK	2	630	-0.63	$14,999
35	PETROLEUM	1	70	0.00	$0
35	PETROLEUM	2	38	-15.84	$28,715
36	PHILLIPS	1	714	0.00	$0
36	PHILLIPS	2	347	-0.01	$178
37	PONDERA	1	1055	-1.13	$17,014
37	PONDERA	2	395	-2.25	$33,479
38	POWDER RIVER	1	253	0.00	$0
38	POWDER RIVER	2	133	-0.80	$5,119
39	POWELL	1	782	-0.44	$5,767
39	POWELL	2	298	-0.02	$241
40	PRAIRIE	1	163	0.00	$0
40	PRAIRIE	2	90	-2.05	$7,780
41	RAVALLI	1	3582	-0.24	$8,101
41	RAVALLI	2	1507	-0.33	$11,043
42	RICHLAND	1	1601	-0.48	$10,141
42	RICHLAND	2	768	-0.60	$13,423
43	ROOSEVELT	1	1946	-0.44	$11,234
43	ROOSEVELT	2	698	-1.81	$44,978
44	ROSEBUD	1	1888	0.00	$0
44	ROSEBUD	2	711	0.00	$0
45	SANDERS	1	1203	0.00	$0
45	SANDERS	2	540	-1.04	$25,169
46	SHERIDAN	1	670	-1.11	$13,356
46	SHERIDAN	2	301	-2.71	$33,863
47	SILVER BOW	1	3858	1.39	($66,164)
47	SILVER BOW	2	1458	2.02	($92,470)
48	STILLWATER	1	1022	0.00	$0
48	STILLWATER	2	441	-2.51	$51,368
49	SWEET GRASS	1	385	0.00	$0
49	SWEET GRASS	2	199	-0.87	$7,298
50	TETON	1	897	-1.78	$27,593
50	TETON	2	391	-2.71	$43,494
51	TOOLE	1	770	0.00	$0
51	TOOLE	2	302	0.00	$0
52	TREASURE	1	130	0.00	$0
52	TREASURE	2	50	0.00	$0
53	VALLEY	1	1179	0.00	$0
53	VALLEY	2	465	-0.92	$22,929
54	WHEATLAND	1	313	0.00	$0
54	WHEATLAND	2	139	-1.08	$8,676
55	WIBAUX	1	161	0.00	$0
55	WIBAUX	2	77	-0.18	$777
56	YELLOWSTONE	1	14676	1.31	($244,734)
56	YELLOWSTONE	2	5662	1.63	($315,335)
TOTALS					($790,752)

exh 2 - 4-6-93
HB 669

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

					FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO			KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT			COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM		
											1000 STOP LOSS	800 STOP LOSS	
COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
BEAVERHEAD	BEAVERHEAD CO HS	20.24	21.12	0.88	26.11	27.25	1.14	17.99	18.68	0.69	20.24	21.12	0.88
BEAVERHEAD	DILLON ELEM	37.35	37.34	-0.01	48.65	48.64	-0.01	32.40	32.23	-0.17	37.35	37.34	-0.01
BEAVERHEAD	GRANT ELEM	25.63	25.63	0.00	34.98	34.98	0.00	26.51	26.51	0.00	25.63	25.63	0.00
BEAVERHEAD	JACKSON ELEM	33.91	30.53	-3.37	50.16	45.17	-4.99	30.30	27.14	-3.16	33.91	30.53	-3.37
BEAVERHEAD	LIMA ELEM	32.45	25.64	-6.81	66.76	52.75	-14.01	27.10	21.31	-5.80	32.45	25.64	-6.81
BEAVERHEAD	LIMA H S	34.69	18.36	-16.33	49.25	26.07	-23.18	29.02	15.28	-13.74	34.69	18.36	-16.33
BEAVERHEAD	POLARIS ELEM	30.69	28.43	-2.26	35.24	32.64	-2.60	32.02	24.64	-7.38	30.69	28.43	-2.26
BEAVERHEAD	REICHLE ELEM	18.92	16.16	-2.76	61.75	52.75	-9.00	16.93	14.39	-2.54	18.92	16.16	-2.76
BEAVERHEAD	WISDOM ELEM	22.72	22.15	-0.57	53.96	52.61	-1.35	19.08	18.51	-0.57	22.72	22.15	-0.57
BEAVERHEAD	WISE RIVER ELEM	29.55	26.78	-2.76	48.20	43.69	-4.51	26.22	23.64	-2.57	29.55	26.78	-2.76
BIG HORN	COMMUNITY ELEM	14.56	14.56	0.00	30.63	30.63	0.00	14.77	14.77	0.00	14.56	14.56	0.00
BIG HORN	HARDIN ELEM	45.51	46.09	0.58	50.08	50.72	0.64	38.02	38.31	0.29	45.51	46.09	0.58
BIG HORN	HARDIN H S	8.59	8.79	0.20	29.09	29.76	0.67	7.25	7.38	0.13	8.59	8.79	0.20
BIG HORN	LODGE GRASS ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.62	52.75	-1.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BIG HORN	LODGE GRASS H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.68	29.76	-3.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BIG HORN	PLENTY COUPS HS	47.67	26.92	-20.75	50.39	28.46	-21.94	39.80	22.36	-17.44	47.67	26.92	-20.75
BIG HORN	PRYOR ELEM	74.72	52.29	-22.43	75.38	52.75	-22.63	62.43	43.46	-18.97	74.72	52.29	-22.43
BIG HORN	SQUIRREL CRK ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.05	2.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BIG HORN	WYOLA ELEM	69.45	51.17	-18.28	71.60	52.75	-18.85	58.11	42.60	-15.52	69.45	51.17	-18.28
BLAINE	BEAR PAW ELEM	13.48	13.48	0.00	34.01	34.01	0.00	13.84	13.84	0.00	13.48	13.48	0.00
BLAINE	CHINOOK ELEM	8.18	7.71	-0.47	55.98	52.75	-3.23	23.80	22.32	-1.49	8.18	7.71	-0.47
BLAINE	CHINOOK H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.00	29.76	-2.24	11.10	10.27	-0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00
BLAINE	CLEVELAND ELEM	12.92	12.92	0.00	17.06	17.06	0.00	14.31	14.31	0.00	12.92	12.92	0.00
BLAINE	HARLEM ELEM	40.86	40.14	-0.71	46.56	45.75	-0.81	34.39	33.62	-0.78	40.86	40.14	-0.71
BLAINE	HARLEM H S	15.71	13.62	-2.08	28.46	24.69	-3.77	13.86	11.96	-1.90	15.71	13.62	-2.08
BLAINE	HAYS-LODGE POLE ELEM	48.46	47.27	-1.18	54.07	52.75	-1.32	40.46	39.27	-1.19	48.46	47.27	-1.18
BLAINE	HAYS-LODGE POLE H S	30.56	21.74	-8.82	33.57	23.88	-9.68	25.52	18.06	-7.45	30.56	21.74	-8.82
BLAINE	LLOYD ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.44	20.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
BLAINE	N HARLEM COLONY ELEM	46.83	33.56	-13.27	53.71	38.50	-15.22	39.30	28.02	-11.28	46.83	33.56	-13.27
BLAINE	TURNER ELEM	49.05	38.49	-10.55	67.21	52.75	-14.46	41.40	32.32	-9.07	49.05	38.49	-10.55
BLAINE	TURNER H S	42.55	20.81	-21.74	60.84	29.76	-31.08	35.72	17.38	-18.34	42.55	20.81	-21.74
BLAINE	ZURICH ELEM	15.92	15.92	0.00	46.98	46.98	0.00	20.62	20.73	0.11	15.92	15.92	0.00
BROADWATER	BROADWATER CO HS	16.76	15.50	-1.26	25.54	23.62	-1.92	14.56	13.40	-1.17	16.76	15.50	-1.26
BROADWATER	TOWNSEND ELEM	30.04	29.03	-1.01	39.42	38.09	-1.33	25.09	24.11	-0.97	30.04	29.03	-1.01
CARBON	BELFRY ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.13	52.75	-11.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CARBON	BELFRY H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	48.97	29.76	-19.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CARBON	BOYD ELEM	21.04	15.56	-5.48	45.31	33.51	-11.80	19.38	14.26	-5.12	21.04	15.56	-5.48
CARBON	BRIDGER ELEM	24.83	22.04	-2.79	59.42	52.75	-6.67	30.10	26.59	-3.52	24.83	22.04	-2.79
CARBON	BRIDGER H S	13.73	9.87	-3.86	41.41	29.76	-11.65	20.94	14.97	-5.97	13.73	9.87	-3.86
CARBON	EDGAR ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.33	42.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CARBON	FROMBERG ELEM	45.16	37.06	-8.10	64.28	52.75	-11.53	38.92	31.78	-7.14	45.16	37.06	-8.10

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
CARBON	FROMBERG H S	30.32	20.11	-10.21	44.87	29.76	-15.12	26.16	17.26	-8.90	30.32	20.11	-10.21
CARBON	JACKSON ELEM	52.58	43.82	-8.76	55.11	45.93	-9.18	44.77	37.11	-7.65	52.58	43.82	-8.76
CARBON	JOLIET ELEM	35.80	32.46	-3.35	51.97	47.11	-4.86	30.62	27.61	-3.00	35.80	32.46	-3.35
CARBON	JOLIET H S	22.70	19.23	-3.46	29.88	25.32	-4.56	19.86	16.75	-3.12	22.70	19.23	-3.46
CARBON	LUTHER ELEM	33.35	29.35	-4.00	57.20	50.34	-6.87	29.07	25.45	-3.62	33.35	29.35	-4.00
CARBON	RED LODGE ELEM	41.02	39.39	-1.63	46.60	44.74	-1.85	35.83	34.22	-1.60	41.02	39.39	-1.63
CARBON	RED LODGE H S	24.40	22.22	-2.18	26.77	24.37	-2.40	21.40	19.38	-2.02	24.40	22.22	-2.18
CARBON	ROBERTS ELEM	53.54	41.22	-12.31	67.51	51.99	-15.53	45.82	35.10	-10.72	53.54	41.22	-12.31
CARBON	ROBERTS H S	33.79	19.30	-14.49	48.75	27.85	-20.90	28.67	16.30	-12.38	33.79	19.30	-14.49
CARTER	ALBION ELEM	8.46	8.46	0.00	23.50	23.50	0.00	8.46	8.46	0.00	8.46	8.46	0.00
CARTER	ALZADA ELEM	3.09	3.09	0.00	8.85	8.85	0.00	3.09	3.09	0.00	3.09	3.09	0.00
CARTER	CARTER CO H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.75	24.75	0.00	9.98	9.96	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
CARTER	EKALAKA ELEM	10.89	9.25	-1.64	62.12	52.75	-9.37	11.54	9.75	-1.79	10.89	9.25	-1.64
CARTER	HAMMOND-HAWKS HOME	26.35	26.35	0.00	38.59	38.59	0.00	26.64	26.64	0.00	26.35	26.35	0.00
CARTER	JOHNSTON ELEM	20.09	20.09	0.00	20.72	20.72	0.00	20.09	20.09	0.00	20.09	20.09	0.00
CARTER	PINE HILL-PLAINVW EL	6.17	4.80	-1.37	53.32	41.47	-11.85	5.15	3.99	-1.17	6.17	4.80	-1.37
CARTER	RIDGE ELEM	62.10	36.20	-25.90	90.49	52.75	-37.74	63.79	30.89	-32.90	62.10	36.20	-25.90
CASCADE	BELT ELEM	38.03	35.25	-2.78	56.92	52.75	-4.16	33.29	30.69	-2.59	38.03	35.25	-2.78
CASCADE	BELT H S	20.32	15.66	-4.67	38.63	29.76	-8.87	18.79	14.40	-4.39	20.32	15.66	-4.67
CASCADE	CASCADE ELEM	35.33	32.86	-2.46	51.76	48.16	-3.61	31.22	28.89	-2.32	35.33	32.86	-2.46
CASCADE	CASCADE H S	22.18	18.69	-3.49	35.30	29.74	-5.56	19.27	16.15	-3.12	22.18	18.69	-3.49
CASCADE	CENTERVILLE EL	43.97	41.13	-2.85	56.40	52.75	-3.65	37.56	34.95	-2.61	43.97	41.13	-2.85
CASCADE	CENTERVILLE H S	23.94	18.57	-5.37	36.32	28.17	-8.15	20.43	15.76	-4.67	23.94	18.57	-5.37
CASCADE	DEEP CREEK ELEM	5.44	4.80	-0.64	59.81	52.75	-7.06	5.44	3.99	-1.46	5.44	4.80	-0.64
CASCADE	GREAT FALLS EL	44.05	48.49	4.45	47.91	52.75	4.84	37.88	41.49	3.61	44.05	48.51	4.46
CASCADE	GREAT FALLS H S	20.34	24.44	4.10	24.76	29.76	4.99	18.16	21.71	3.55	20.34	24.52	4.19
CASCADE	SIMMS H S	31.48	27.65	-3.83	33.88	29.76	-4.12	27.46	24.00	-3.47	31.48	27.65	-3.83
CASCADE	SUN RIVER VALLEY ELM	43.69	43.92	0.23	52.48	52.75	0.28	37.81	37.81	0.00	43.69	43.92	0.23
CASCADE	ULM ELEM	36.50	34.28	-2.21	56.15	52.75	-3.40	31.60	29.53	-2.07	36.50	34.28	-2.21
CASCADE	VAUGHN ELEM	54.81	50.43	-4.38	56.72	52.19	-4.53	45.97	42.08	-3.89	54.81	50.43	-4.38
CHOTEAU	BIG SANDY ELEM	25.89	25.89	0.00	46.57	46.57	0.00	27.73	26.09	-1.64	25.89	25.89	0.00
CHOTEAU	BIG SANDY H S	15.98	13.46	-2.52	35.32	29.76	-5.57	18.03	13.77	-4.26	15.98	13.46	-2.52
CHOTEAU	CARTER ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.41	12.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CHOTEAU	FT BENTON ELEM	40.95	38.92	-2.04	55.51	52.75	-2.76	35.79	33.84	-1.95	40.95	38.92	-2.04
CHOTEAU	FT BENTON H S	24.43	22.22	-2.21	32.72	29.76	-2.96	21.75	19.68	-2.07	24.43	22.22	-2.21
CHOTEAU	GERALDINE ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.58	49.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CHOTEAU	GERALDINE H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.78	29.76	-4.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CHOTEAU	HIGHWOOD ELEM	2.47	2.16	-0.31	60.23	52.75	-7.48	4.96	4.32	-0.64	2.47	2.16	-0.31
CHOTEAU	HIGHWOOD H S	0.98	0.59	-0.39	49.44	29.76	-19.68	1.69	1.01	-0.68	0.98	0.59	-0.39
CHOTEAU	KNEES ELEM	8.01	8.01	0.00	16.80	16.80	0.00	8.57	8.57	0.00	8.01	8.01	0.00
CHOTEAU	LOMA ELEM	1.31	1.31	0.00	13.64	13.64	0.00	2.18	2.18	0.00	1.31	1.31	0.00

EX 2
4-6-93
HB-667

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

					FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO			KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT			COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM		
											1000 STOP LOSS	800 STOP LOSS	
COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
CHOTEAU	WARRICK ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.28	18.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CUSTER	COTTONWOOD EL	50.40	43.52	-6.88	61.09	52.75	-8.34	42.31	36.35	-5.96	50.40	43.52	-6.88
CUSTER	CUSTER CO H S	18.45	19.87	1.41	27.64	29.76	2.12	16.79	17.98	1.19	18.45	19.87	1.41
CUSTER	HKT-BASIN SPR CRK EL	16.98	16.98	0.00	31.20	31.20	0.00	17.08	16.81	-0.27	16.98	16.98	0.00
CUSTER	KINSEY ELEM	36.50	35.41	-1.09	54.37	52.75	-1.62	31.26	30.17	-1.09	36.50	35.41	-1.09
CUSTER	KIRCHER ELEM	17.76	17.76	0.00	48.49	48.49	0.00	16.53	16.11	-0.43	17.76	17.76	0.00
CUSTER	MILES CITY ELEM	39.72	39.82	0.11	52.47	52.60	0.14	34.57	34.49	-0.09	39.72	39.82	0.11
CUSTER	MOON CREEK EL	6.07	6.07	0.00	10.92	10.92	0.00	6.15	6.15	0.00	6.07	6.07	0.00
CUSTER	S H-FOSTER CRK ELEM	17.10	17.10	0.00	41.89	41.89	0.00	19.25	16.67	-2.57	17.10	17.10	0.00
CUSTER	S Y ELEM	15.69	15.69	0.00	20.26	20.26	0.00	15.74	13.63	-2.12	15.69	15.69	0.00
CUSTER	TRAIL CREEK EL	22.39	22.39	0.00	24.49	24.49	0.00	22.39	21.56	-0.83	22.39	22.39	0.00
CUSTER	TWIN BUTTES EL	11.33	11.33	0.00	41.85	41.85	0.00	11.34	11.34	0.00	11.33	11.33	0.00
CUSTER	WHITNEY CRK EL	37.28	28.71	-8.57	64.30	49.51	-14.79	37.57	24.03	-13.54	37.28	28.71	-8.57
DANIELS	FLAXVILLE ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.10	52.75	-12.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DANIELS	FLAXVILLE H S	4.64	2.23	-2.41	61.94	29.76	-32.18	4.55	2.18	-2.38	4.64	2.23	-2.41
DANIELS	PEERLESS ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	78.82	52.75	-26.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DANIELS	PEERLESS H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.11	29.76	-33.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DANIELS	SCOBEY ELEM	11.59	10.95	-0.65	55.86	52.75	-3.11	13.18	12.38	-0.80	11.59	10.95	-0.65
DANIELS	SCOBEY H S	6.40	5.03	-1.37	37.88	29.76	-8.12	9.00	7.03	-1.97	6.40	5.03	-1.37
DAWSON	BLOOMFIELD ELEM	12.47	12.47	0.00	41.69	41.69	0.00	15.87	15.66	-0.21	12.47	12.47	0.00
DAWSON	DAWSON CO H S	9.84	10.39	0.56	28.17	29.76	1.59	12.44	13.07	0.63	9.84	10.39	0.56
DAWSON	DEER CREEK ELEM	5.57	5.57	0.00	21.02	21.02	0.00	7.01	7.01	0.00	5.57	5.57	0.00
DAWSON	GLENDIVE ELEM	33.78	33.93	0.14	50.84	51.06	0.22	31.46	31.44	-0.03	33.78	33.93	0.14
DAWSON	LINDSAY ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.56	25.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DAWSON	RICHEY ELEM	11.43	8.82	-2.61	68.35	52.75	-15.60	15.34	11.78	-3.56	11.43	8.82	-2.61
DAWSON	RICHEY H S	18.32	10.92	-7.40	49.92	29.76	-20.17	19.12	11.34	-7.78	18.32	10.92	-7.40
DEER LODGE	ANACONDA ELEM	15.78	15.68	-0.11	53.11	52.75	-0.36	15.07	14.89	-0.18	15.78	15.68	-0.11
DEER LODGE	ANACONDA H S	4.49	4.76	0.27	28.04	29.76	1.71	4.51	4.76	0.25	4.49	4.76	0.27
FALLON	BAKER ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.09	52.75	-1.33	28.09	27.25	-0.83	0.00	0.00	0.00
FALLON	BAKER H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.15	29.76	-3.39	7.65	6.84	-0.82	0.00	0.00	0.00
FALLON	FERTILE PRAIRIE EL	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.71	11.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FALLON	PLEVNA ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.57	52.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FALLON	PLEVNA H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.33	29.76	-23.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FERGUS	AYERS ELEM	17.09	11.81	-5.29	56.89	39.29	-17.60	14.27	9.81	-4.47	17.09	11.81	-5.29
FERGUS	COTTONWOOD ELEM	43.19	25.24	-17.95	78.92	46.12	-32.80	36.06	20.96	-15.10	43.19	25.24	-17.95
FERGUS	DEERFIELD ELEM	25.87	20.91	-4.96	62.69	50.67	-12.02	21.60	17.37	-4.23	25.87	20.91	-4.96
FERGUS	DENTON ELEM	38.41	32.97	-5.44	61.45	52.75	-8.70	34.04	29.07	-4.97	38.41	32.97	-5.44
FERGUS	DENTON H S	28.73	18.08	-10.65	47.17	29.69	-17.49	25.16	15.75	-9.41	28.73	18.08	-10.65
FERGUS	FERGUS H S	24.80	25.78	0.98	27.55	28.63	1.09	21.65	22.38	0.74	24.80	25.78	0.98
FERGUS	GRASS RANGE EL	23.13	19.96	-3.17	61.14	52.75	-8.38	19.85	17.04	-2.81	23.13	19.96	-3.17
FERGUS	GRASS RANGE H S	20.54	10.39	-10.15	58.83	29.76	-29.07	19.10	9.61	-9.49	20.54	10.39	-10.15

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
FERGUS	KING COLONY EL	7.33	7.33	0.00	17.32	17.32	0.00	7.33	7.33	0.00	7.33	7.33	0.00
FERGUS	LEWISTOWN ELEM	44.44	44.35	-0.09	48.32	48.22	-0.09	38.26	37.99	-0.27	44.44	44.35	-0.09
FERGUS	MAIDEN ELEM	1.19	1.19	0.00	31.08	31.08	0.00	1.61	1.61	0.00	1.19	1.19	0.00
FERGUS	MOORE ELEM	49.86	42.15	-7.71	62.40	52.75	-9.65	41.75	35.11	-6.64	49.86	42.15	-7.71
FERGUS	MOORE H S	38.78	24.99	-13.79	46.18	29.76	-16.42	33.21	21.29	-11.92	38.78	24.99	-13.79
FERGUS	ROY ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.32	52.75	-12.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FERGUS	ROY H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	98.89	29.76	-69.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FERGUS	SPRING CRK COLONY EL	26.30	14.80	-11.50	93.73	52.75	-40.98	26.03	12.29	-13.74	26.30	14.80	-11.50
FERGUS	WINIFRED ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.76	52.75	-8.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
FERGUS	WINIFRED H S	10.55	5.96	-4.59	52.70	29.76	-22.94	9.77	5.49	-4.28	10.55	5.96	-4.59
FLATHEAD	BATAVIA ELEM	51.67	45.50	-6.17	59.90	52.75	-7.15	44.07	38.61	-5.46	51.67	45.50	-6.17
FLATHEAD	BIGFORK ELEM	44.66	43.20	-1.45	47.63	46.08	-1.55	38.23	36.80	-1.43	44.66	43.20	-1.45
FLATHEAD	BIGFORK H S	19.42	19.33	-0.10	21.60	21.49	-0.11	17.03	16.86	-0.17	19.42	19.33	-0.10
FLATHEAD	CAYUSE PRAIRIE ELEM	49.69	47.74	-1.95	53.80	51.69	-2.11	42.20	40.33	-1.86	49.69	47.74	-1.95
FLATHEAD	COLUMBIA FALLS ELEM	40.72	41.35	0.62	48.69	49.43	0.75	35.07	35.42	0.35	40.72	41.35	0.62
FLATHEAD	COLUMBIA FALLS H S	17.86	19.58	1.72	23.58	25.85	2.27	15.77	17.20	1.43	17.86	19.58	1.72
FLATHEAD	CRESTON ELEM	47.06	48.04	0.98	51.67	52.75	1.08	40.83	41.47	0.64	47.06	48.04	0.98
FLATHEAD	DEER PARK ELEM	56.10	48.94	-7.17	60.48	52.75	-7.72	47.61	41.31	-6.30	56.10	48.94	-7.17
FLATHEAD	EVERGREEN ELEM	46.70	46.07	-0.63	51.27	50.58	-0.69	40.04	39.29	-0.74	46.70	46.07	-0.63
FLATHEAD	FAIR-MONT-EGAN ELEM	52.11	47.20	-4.91	55.42	50.20	-5.22	44.52	40.12	-4.40	52.11	47.20	-4.91
FLATHEAD	FLATHEAD H S	21.23	24.99	3.76	25.28	29.76	4.48	18.69	21.88	3.20	21.23	25.04	3.81
FLATHEAD	HELENA FLATS EL	44.73	41.44	-3.29	54.69	50.67	-4.02	38.52	35.50	-3.02	44.73	41.44	-3.29
FLATHEAD	KALISPELL ELEM	36.86	37.90	1.04	51.30	52.75	1.45	33.04	33.80	0.76	36.86	37.90	1.04
FLATHEAD	KILA ELEM	43.67	45.30	1.63	50.17	52.05	1.88	37.88	39.09	1.21	43.67	45.30	1.63
FLATHEAD	MARION ELEM	50.98	43.87	-7.11	61.30	52.75	-8.55	43.57	37.30	-6.27	50.98	43.87	-7.11
FLATHEAD	OLNEY-BISSELL ELEM	52.90	47.89	-5.01	57.27	51.84	-5.42	45.22	40.73	-4.49	52.90	47.89	-5.01
FLATHEAD	PLEASANT VALLEY ELEM	28.34	28.34	0.00	31.02	31.02	0.00	29.02	29.02	0.00	28.34	28.34	0.00
FLATHEAD	SOMERS ELEM	48.46	46.93	-1.53	53.38	51.69	-1.69	42.35	40.80	-1.55	48.46	46.93	-1.53
FLATHEAD	SWAN RIVER EL	19.25	17.88	-1.37	56.80	52.75	-4.05	16.25	15.01	-1.24	19.25	17.88	-1.37
FLATHEAD	WEST GLACIER ELEM	18.23	18.23	0.00	36.76	36.76	0.00	18.85	18.85	0.00	18.23	18.23	0.00
FLATHEAD	WEST VALLEY EL	46.94	44.27	-2.67	49.39	46.58	-2.81	40.27	37.78	-2.49	46.94	44.27	-2.67
FLATHEAD	WHITEFISH ELEM	31.38	31.11	-0.26	47.24	46.84	-0.40	27.08	26.71	-0.37	31.38	31.11	-0.26
FLATHEAD	WHITEFISH H S	17.34	18.36	1.02	25.57	27.08	1.51	15.17	15.99	0.81	17.34	18.36	1.02
GALLATIN	AMSTERDAM ELEM	26.35	26.35	0.00	41.40	41.40	0.00	28.97	28.97	0.00	26.35	26.35	0.00
GALLATIN	ANDERSON ELEM	52.44	49.64	-2.80	55.52	52.56	-2.96	45.03	42.41	-2.62	52.44	49.64	-2.80
GALLATIN	BELGRADE ELEM	44.64	45.04	0.40	47.17	47.60	0.43	38.34	38.48	0.15	44.64	45.04	0.40
GALLATIN	BELGRADE H S	19.75	20.45	0.70	21.79	22.57	0.77	17.13	17.64	0.52	19.75	20.45	0.70
GALLATIN	BOZEMAN ELEM	36.69	38.75	2.07	49.94	52.75	2.82	32.56	34.22	1.66	36.69	38.75	2.07
GALLATIN	BOZEMAN H S	20.34	23.36	3.03	25.90	29.76	3.86	18.65	21.32	2.67	20.34	23.40	3.07
GALLATIN	COTTONWOOD EL	40.90	30.24	-10.65	45.14	33.38	-11.76	36.11	26.57	-9.54	40.90	30.24	-10.65
GALLATIN	GALLATIN GTWY ELEM	47.22	43.66	-3.56	57.05	52.75	-4.30	41.57	38.24	-3.33	47.22	43.66	-3.56

Ex 2
4-6-93
HB 667

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

					FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO			KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT			COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM		
											1000 STOP LOSS	800 STOP LOSS	
COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
GALLATIN	LA MOTTE ELEM	31.95	31.42	-0.53	53.65	52.75	-0.90	28.36	27.74	-0.62	31.95	31.42	-0.53
GALLATIN	MALMBORG ELEM	24.32	24.32	0.00	28.68	28.68	0.00	24.45	24.45	0.00	24.32	24.32	0.00
GALLATIN	MANHATTAN ELEM	44.25	41.24	-3.01	46.95	43.76	-3.19	38.07	35.30	-2.77	44.25	41.24	-3.01
GALLATIN	MANHATTAN H S	24.49	22.30	-2.19	26.53	24.15	-2.37	21.43	19.41	-2.02	24.49	22.30	-2.19
GALLATIN	MONFORTON EL	51.27	46.78	-4.49	56.46	51.52	-4.94	43.79	39.75	-4.04	51.27	46.78	-4.49
GALLATIN	OPHIR ELEM	12.30	12.30	0.00	19.92	19.92	0.00	13.07	13.07	0.00	12.30	12.30	0.00
GALLATIN	PASS CREEK ELEM	25.80	23.34	-2.46	31.38	28.38	-2.99	23.38	19.39	-4.00	25.80	23.34	-2.46
GALLATIN	SPRINGHILL EL	62.74	49.80	-12.94	65.28	51.82	-13.46	52.39	41.37	-11.02	62.74	49.80	-12.94
GALLATIN	THREE FORKS EL	38.99	36.80	-2.19	50.32	47.50	-2.83	33.92	31.85	-2.07	38.99	36.80	-2.19
GALLATIN	THREE FORKS H S	23.68	19.75	-3.94	32.55	27.14	-5.41	20.63	17.11	-3.52	23.68	19.75	-3.94
GALLATIN	W YELLOWSTONE ELEM	30.67	27.40	-3.27	59.05	52.75	-6.30	29.67	26.37	-3.30	30.67	27.40	-3.27
GALLATIN	W YELLOWSTONE H S	19.53	13.82	-5.71	42.04	29.76	-12.28	20.35	14.33	-6.02	19.53	13.82	-5.71
GALLATIN	WILLOW CREEK EL	36.03	31.03	-5.01	53.42	45.99	-7.42	36.62	26.19	-10.43	36.03	31.03	-5.01
GALLATIN	WILLOW CREEK HS	48.09	20.55	-27.54	60.20	25.72	-34.48	48.84	17.34	-31.50	48.09	20.55	-27.54
GARFIELD	BENZIEN ELEM	29.85	19.72	-10.13	51.56	34.06	-17.50	24.93	16.38	-8.55	29.85	19.72	-10.13
GARFIELD	BIG DRY CREEK ELEM	14.96	14.96	0.00	37.88	37.88	0.00	15.87	15.87	0.00	14.96	14.96	0.00
GARFIELD	BLACKFOOT ELEM	17.33	17.33	0.00	24.90	24.90	0.00	17.33	17.33	0.00	17.33	17.33	0.00
GARFIELD	COHAGEN ELEM	20.49	18.48	-2.01	52.51	47.36	-5.16	17.14	15.35	-1.78	20.49	18.48	-2.01
GARFIELD	GARFIELD CO H S	26.91	20.87	-6.04	38.37	29.76	-8.61	24.37	18.81	-5.57	26.91	20.87	-6.04
GARFIELD	JORDAN ELEM	41.91	36.43	-5.48	60.30	52.42	-7.89	35.71	30.88	-4.83	41.91	36.43	-5.48
GARFIELD	KESTER ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.27	52.75	-37.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
GARFIELD	PINE GROVE ELEM	24.20	17.35	-6.86	31.09	22.28	-8.81	20.53	14.64	-5.89	24.20	17.35	-6.86
GARFIELD	ROSS ELEM	70.41	33.43	-36.98	111.11	52.75	-58.36	58.80	27.77	-31.03	70.41	33.43	-36.98
GARFIELD	SAND SPRINGS EL	11.30	11.30	0.00	18.66	18.66	0.00	11.43	11.43	0.00	11.30	11.30	0.00
GARFIELD	VAN NORMAN ELEM	31.67	31.67	0.00	34.77	34.77	0.00	31.74	31.28	-0.46	31.67	31.67	0.00
GLACIER	BROWNING ELEM	28.61	29.27	0.66	48.49	49.61	1.12	25.88	26.34	0.46	28.61	29.27	0.66
GLACIER	BROWNING H S	12.32	12.42	0.11	21.86	22.05	0.19	11.60	11.64	0.04	12.32	12.42	0.11
GLACIER	CUT BANK ELEM	20.91	20.62	-0.29	52.04	51.32	-0.73	28.26	27.73	-0.54	20.91	20.62	-0.29
GLACIER	CUT BANK H S	12.05	11.76	-0.30	30.51	29.76	-0.76	21.19	20.56	-0.63	12.05	11.76	-0.30
GLACIER	E GLACIER PARK ELEM	19.27	19.20	-0.06	52.92	52.75	-0.17	16.09	15.95	-0.13	19.27	19.20	-0.06
GLACIER	MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTAF	37.09	33.16	-3.93	51.58	46.12	-5.46	31.02	27.59	-3.43	37.09	33.16	-3.93
GOLDEN VALLEY	LAVINA ELEM	50.83	45.32	-5.51	59.16	52.75	-6.41	51.48	38.76	-12.72	50.83	45.32	-5.51
GOLDEN VALLEY	LAVINA H S	41.04	17.03	-24.01	50.14	20.80	-29.34	35.67	14.62	-21.05	41.04	17.03	-24.01
GOLDEN VALLEY	RYEGATE ELEM	22.49	22.49	0.00	33.38	33.38	0.00	24.02	24.02	0.00	22.49	22.49	0.00
GOLDEN VALLEY	RYEGATE H S	32.81	22.00	-10.81	44.39	29.76	-14.63	34.61	19.27	-15.33	32.81	22.00	-10.81
GRANITE	DRUMMOND ELEM	29.28	25.31	-3.97	61.02	52.75	-8.27	25.41	21.86	-3.56	29.28	25.31	-3.97
GRANITE	DRUMMOND H S	8.74	6.80	-1.94	34.79	27.07	-7.72	7.41	5.73	-1.67	8.74	6.80	-1.94
GRANITE	GRANITE H S	22.93	16.93	-6.00	40.30	29.76	-10.54	20.24	14.87	-5.37	22.93	16.93	-6.00
GRANITE	HALL ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.50	42.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRANITE	PHILIPSBURG EL	31.13	29.32	-1.81	56.01	52.75	-3.26	27.90	26.14	-1.76	31.13	29.32	-1.81
HILL	BLUE SKY ELEM	32.51	31.61	-0.90	54.25	52.75	-1.50	30.30	27.97	-2.34	32.51	31.61	-0.90

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
HILL	BLUE SKY HIGH	5.26	3.96	-1.31	39.58	29.76	-9.82	8.95	5.59	-3.36	5.26	3.96	-1.31
HILL	BOX ELDER ELEM	53.44	48.74	-4.70	56.03	51.10	-4.93	44.92	40.76	-4.16	53.44	48.74	-4.70
HILL	BOX ELDER H S	46.14	28.54	-17.59	47.18	29.19	-17.99	38.65	23.79	-14.86	46.14	28.54	-17.59
HILL	COTTONWOOD ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.81	24.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HILL	DAVEY ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.74	52.75	-6.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HILL	GILDFORD COLONY ELEM	30.41	23.10	-7.30	48.14	36.57	-11.57	25.58	19.33	-6.24	30.41	23.10	-7.30
HILL	HAVRE ELEM	33.06	33.81	0.75	50.56	51.71	1.15	30.66	31.19	0.54	33.06	33.81	0.75
HILL	HAVRE H S	7.58	8.33	0.75	21.87	24.05	2.18	8.17	8.94	0.77	7.58	8.33	0.75
HILL	K-G ELEM	27.42	27.42	0.00	46.87	46.87	0.00	29.69	27.76	-1.93	27.42	27.42	0.00
HILL	K-G HIGH SCHOOL	11.87	8.50	-3.37	41.57	29.76	-11.82	14.78	8.79	-5.99	11.87	8.50	-3.37
HILL	ROCKY BOY ELEM	43.46	42.74	-0.72	46.42	45.65	-0.77	36.33	35.55	-0.78	43.46	42.74	-0.72
HILL	ROCKY BOY H S	12.51	9.39	-3.12	37.32	28.01	-9.30	10.47	7.82	-2.65	12.51	9.39	-3.12
JEFFERSON	BASIN ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.92	12.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
JEFFERSON	BOULDER ELEM	50.68	46.82	-3.86	57.10	52.75	-4.35	42.36	38.93	-3.43	50.68	46.82	-3.86
JEFFERSON	CARDWELL ELEM	10.76	10.76	0.00	13.22	13.22	0.00	10.83	10.83	0.00	10.76	10.76	0.00
JEFFERSON	CLANCY ELEM	47.87	45.87	-2.00	55.05	52.75	-2.30	42.00	40.04	-1.96	47.87	45.87	-2.00
JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON H S	21.92	20.98	-0.93	27.87	26.69	-1.19	19.01	18.11	-0.90	21.92	20.98	-0.93
JEFFERSON	MONTANA CITY ELEM	43.94	41.18	-2.76	56.29	52.75	-3.54	38.54	35.93	-2.61	43.94	41.18	-2.76
JEFFERSON	WHITEHALL ELEM	36.01	34.47	-1.55	45.57	43.61	-1.96	31.64	30.13	-1.52	36.01	34.47	-1.55
JEFFERSON	WHITEHALL H S	14.08	12.79	-1.29	26.55	24.12	-2.43	12.59	11.37	-1.21	14.08	12.79	-1.29
JUDITH BASIN	GEYSER ELEM	26.57	23.05	-3.52	60.81	52.75	-8.06	23.27	20.08	-3.19	26.57	23.05	-3.52
JUDITH BASIN	GEYSER H S	33.52	16.40	-17.12	60.17	29.43	-30.74	28.97	14.10	-14.87	33.52	16.40	-17.12
JUDITH BASIN	HOBSON ELEM	37.55	37.55	0.00	48.14	48.14	0.00	39.61	36.06	-3.55	37.55	37.55	0.00
JUDITH BASIN	HOBSON H S	40.23	23.98	-16.25	49.92	29.76	-20.17	35.16	20.85	-14.31	40.23	23.98	-16.25
JUDITH BASIN	RAYNESFORD ELEM	30.33	26.91	-3.42	59.45	52.75	-6.70	26.62	23.50	-3.12	30.33	26.91	-3.42
JUDITH BASIN	STANFORD ELEM	36.01	32.00	-4.01	55.49	49.31	-6.19	31.26	27.64	-3.63	36.01	32.00	-4.01
JUDITH BASIN	STANFORD H S	29.86	19.53	-10.33	45.50	29.76	-15.75	25.88	16.83	-9.04	29.86	19.53	-10.33
LAKE	ARLEE ELEM	38.19	35.76	-2.43	50.29	47.08	-3.20	32.45	30.22	-2.22	38.19	35.76	-2.43
LAKE	ARLEE H S	10.66	9.31	-1.35	16.92	14.77	-2.15	9.08	7.88	-1.19	10.66	9.31	-1.35
LAKE	CHARLO ELEM	41.95	39.23	-2.71	56.40	52.75	-3.65	35.27	32.82	-2.45	41.95	39.23	-2.71
LAKE	CHARLO H S	37.12	26.68	-10.45	40.83	29.34	-11.49	32.06	22.92	-9.14	37.12	26.68	-10.45
LAKE	POLSON ELEM	36.82	36.79	-0.03	46.70	46.66	-0.04	31.13	30.95	-0.19	36.82	36.79	-0.03
LAKE	POLSON H S	17.09	17.86	0.77	21.31	22.26	0.95	14.79	15.38	0.58	17.09	17.86	0.77
LAKE	RONAN ELEM	10.86	10.94	0.08	30.71	30.94	0.23	9.61	9.64	0.02	10.86	10.94	0.08
LAKE	RONAN H S	1.26	1.29	0.03	8.06	8.25	0.18	1.47	1.50	0.03	1.26	1.29	0.03
LAKE	ST IGNATIUS ELEM	35.91	34.98	-0.93	54.16	52.75	-1.41	30.25	29.31	-0.94	35.91	34.98	-0.93
LAKE	ST IGNATIUS H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.25	12.84	-1.41	0.36	0.32	-0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
LAKE	SWAN LAKE-SALMON ELEM	27.19	27.19	0.00	28.19	28.19	0.00	27.39	27.39	0.00	27.19	27.19	0.00
LAKE	UPPER WEST SHORE ELEM	8.08	8.08	0.00	11.40	11.40	0.00	8.08	8.08	0.00	8.08	8.08	0.00
LAKE	VALLEY VIEW ELEM	35.31	30.49	-4.82	40.11	34.63	-5.47	29.48	25.33	-4.15	35.31	30.49	-4.82
LEWIS & CLARK	AUCHARD CRK ELEM	6.18	5.39	-0.79	27.50	23.98	-3.52	5.16	4.47	-0.68	6.18	5.39	-0.79

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

					FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO			KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT			COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM		
											1000 STOP LOSS	800 STOP LOSS	
COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
LEWIS & CLARK	AUGUSTA ELEM	45.42	38.86	-6.57	61.67	52.75	-8.92	39.07	33.25	-5.82	45.42	38.86	-6.57
LEWIS & CLARK	AUGUSTA H S	20.32	10.28	-10.04	58.83	29.76	-29.07	18.41	9.26	-9.14	20.32	10.28	-10.04
LEWIS & CLARK	CRAIG ELEM	11.60	11.60	0.00	15.03	15.03	0.00	11.60	11.60	0.00	11.60	11.60	0.00
LEWIS & CLARK	E HELENA ELEM	43.85	43.97	0.11	46.15	46.27	0.12	37.42	37.33	-0.10	43.85	43.97	0.11
LEWIS & CLARK	HELENA ELEM	43.78	46.99	3.21	49.15	52.75	3.60	38.48	41.09	2.61	43.78	46.99	3.22
LEWIS & CLARK	HELENA H S	21.72	25.82	4.10	25.04	29.76	4.72	19.36	22.89	3.53	21.72	25.87	4.15
LEWIS & CLARK	KESSLER ELEM	35.21	37.85	2.63	44.47	47.80	3.33	30.63	32.76	2.12	35.21	37.85	2.63
LEWIS & CLARK	LINCOLN ELEM	44.75	41.61	-3.14	55.41	51.52	-3.89	38.39	35.51	-2.88	44.75	41.61	-3.14
LEWIS & CLARK	LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL	41.71	24.61	-17.10	48.30	28.50	-19.80	35.64	20.92	-14.72	41.71	24.61	-17.10
LEWIS & CLARK	TRINITY ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.21	14.21	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LEWIS & CLARK	WOLF CREEK ELEM	2.69	2.69	0.00	12.68	12.68	0.00	3.18	3.18	0.00	2.69	2.69	0.00
LIBERTY	CHESTER ELEM	19.24	17.92	-1.33	55.40	51.58	-3.82	22.89	21.20	-1.69	19.24	17.92	-1.33
LIBERTY	CHESTER H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.08	29.76	-7.32	11.29	8.85	-2.44	0.00	0.00	0.00
LIBERTY	J-I ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.88	52.75	-2.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LIBERTY	J-I HIGH SCHOOL	9.97	7.51	-2.46	39.48	29.76	-9.73	13.66	8.55	-5.11	9.97	7.51	-2.46
LIBERTY	LIBERTY ELEM SCHOOL	36.43	27.68	-8.75	41.50	31.53	-9.97	33.33	25.19	-8.14	36.43	27.68	-8.75
LIBERTY	WHITLASH ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.94	25.94	0.00	4.87	4.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LINCOLN	EUREKA ELEM	36.40	35.45	-0.95	47.01	45.78	-1.23	31.79	30.80	-0.99	36.40	35.45	-0.95
LINCOLN	FORTINE ELEM	28.15	28.67	0.52	51.80	52.75	0.95	23.51	23.82	0.31	28.15	28.67	0.52
LINCOLN	LIBBY ELEM	39.56	40.16	0.59	51.97	52.75	0.78	35.17	35.52	0.34	39.56	40.16	0.59
LINCOLN	LIBBY H S	20.08	21.54	1.46	27.73	29.74	2.01	18.34	19.57	1.23	20.08	21.54	1.46
LINCOLN	LINCOLN CO H S	17.58	17.36	-0.22	22.12	21.84	-0.28	15.41	15.13	-0.27	17.58	17.36	-0.22
LINCOLN	MCCORMICK ELEM	18.48	15.40	-3.08	60.06	50.06	-10.01	15.75	13.06	-2.69	18.48	15.40	-3.08
LINCOLN	SYLVANITE ELEM	53.17	42.21	-10.96	61.96	49.18	-12.78	44.70	35.30	-9.40	53.17	42.21	-10.96
LINCOLN	TREGO ELEM	29.14	29.48	0.34	52.14	52.75	0.61	25.19	25.35	0.16	29.14	29.48	0.34
LINCOLN	TROY ELEM	40.98	38.84	-2.14	50.03	47.41	-2.61	35.37	33.35	-2.02	40.98	38.84	-2.14
LINCOLN	TROY H S	21.82	20.76	-1.06	26.73	25.43	-1.30	18.90	17.88	-1.01	21.82	20.76	-1.06
LINCOLN	YAAK ELEM	45.14	38.11	-7.03	62.48	52.75	-9.73	39.07	32.82	-6.25	45.14	38.11	-7.03
MADISON	ALDER ELEM	32.75	31.20	-1.56	41.41	39.44	-1.97	29.33	26.91	-2.42	32.75	31.20	-1.56
MADISON	ENNIS ELEM	22.98	22.98	0.00	35.14	35.14	0.00	24.12	24.12	0.00	22.98	22.98	0.00
MADISON	ENNIS H S	19.41	18.43	-0.99	31.35	29.76	-1.59	19.41	15.99	-3.42	19.41	18.43	-0.99
MADISON	HARRISON ELEM	43.74	35.30	-8.45	62.41	50.36	-12.05	37.57	30.16	-7.41	43.74	35.30	-8.45
MADISON	HARRISON H S	34.59	18.31	-16.28	49.52	26.21	-23.31	29.79	15.69	-14.10	34.59	18.31	-16.28
MADISON	SHERIDAN ELEM	23.92	21.94	-1.98	45.82	42.02	-3.80	20.01	18.25	-1.75	23.92	21.94	-1.98
MADISON	SHERIDAN H S	20.14	15.92	-4.22	31.63	25.00	-6.63	17.72	13.93	-3.79	20.14	15.92	-4.22
MADISON	TWIN BRIDGES ELEM	33.86	30.31	-3.54	58.92	52.75	-6.17	29.46	26.24	-3.22	33.86	30.31	-3.54
MADISON	TWIN BRIDGES H S	19.37	14.30	-5.07	40.30	29.76	-10.54	16.85	12.38	-4.47	19.37	14.30	-5.07
MCCONE	CIRCLE ELEM	11.92	10.84	-1.08	58.00	52.75	-5.25	14.92	13.50	-1.42	11.92	10.84	-1.08
MCCONE	CIRCLE H S	13.50	11.65	-1.85	34.48	29.76	-4.72	13.52	11.61	-1.91	13.50	11.65	-1.85
MCCONE	PRAIRIE ELK ELEM	9.89	9.89	0.00	16.46	16.46	0.00	9.93	9.93	0.00	9.89	9.89	0.00
MCCONE	SOUTHVIEW ELEM	19.54	19.54	0.00	21.66	21.66	0.00	20.14	20.14	0.00	19.54	19.54	0.00

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

					FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO			KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT			COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM		
											1000 STOP LOSS	800 STOP LOSS	
COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
MCCONE	VIDA ELEM	3.99	3.99	0.00	15.65	15.65	0.00	5.47	5.47	0.00	3.99	3.99	0.00
MEAGHER	LENNEP ELEM	3.84	3.84	0.00	4.47	4.47	0.00	3.93	3.93	0.00	3.84	3.84	0.00
MEAGHER	RINGLING ELEM	6.38	6.38	0.00	6.93	6.93	0.00	6.46	6.46	0.00	6.38	6.38	0.00
MEAGHER	WHT SULPHUR SPGS ELEM	42.21	39.14	-3.07	56.88	52.75	-4.13	38.43	35.45	-2.97	42.21	39.14	-3.07
MEAGHER	WHT SULPHUR SPGS HS	27.31	22.17	-5.14	36.65	29.76	-6.89	24.25	19.29	-4.96	27.31	22.17	-5.14
MINERAL	ALBERTON ELEM	46.11	41.00	-5.11	59.33	52.75	-6.58	39.43	34.88	-4.55	46.11	41.00	-5.11
MINERAL	ALBERTON H S	34.45	22.69	-11.76	45.18	29.76	-15.43	29.71	19.46	-10.24	34.45	22.69	-11.76
MINERAL	ST REGIS ELEM	41.00	36.79	-4.21	58.79	52.75	-6.04	35.56	31.74	-3.82	41.00	36.79	-4.21
MINERAL	ST REGIS H S	28.25	18.20	-10.04	46.18	29.76	-16.42	24.58	15.76	-8.82	28.25	18.20	-10.04
MINERAL	SUPERIOR ELEM	45.96	44.03	-1.93	55.06	52.75	-2.31	40.42	38.52	-1.90	45.96	44.03	-1.93
MINERAL	SUPERIOR H S	28.99	24.66	-4.33	33.90	28.84	-5.06	25.15	21.28	-3.86	28.99	24.66	-4.33
MISSOULA	BONNER ELEM	50.17	48.74	-1.44	54.31	52.75	-1.55	43.01	41.57	-1.45	50.17	48.74	-1.44
MISSOULA	CLINTON ELEM	52.13	48.59	-3.54	56.59	52.75	-3.84	44.33	41.10	-3.22	52.13	48.59	-3.54
MISSOULA	DESMET SCHOOL	57.46	50.38	-7.08	60.17	52.75	-7.42	48.51	42.31	-6.20	57.46	50.38	-7.08
MISSOULA	FRENCHTOWN ELEM	33.40	32.92	-0.47	51.19	50.46	-0.73	28.60	27.91	-0.69	33.40	32.92	-0.47
MISSOULA	FRENCHTOWN H S	13.46	13.13	-0.33	30.50	29.76	-0.74	11.86	11.51	-0.35	13.46	13.13	-0.33
MISSOULA	HELLGATE ELEM	43.55	43.86	0.31	46.41	46.74	0.33	36.95	37.02	0.07	43.55	43.86	0.31
MISSOULA	LOLO ELEM	50.93	50.44	-0.49	53.26	52.75	-0.51	43.60	42.96	-0.64	50.93	50.44	-0.49
MISSOULA	MISSOULA ELEM	44.44	48.45	4.01	48.38	52.75	4.37	38.70	41.98	3.28	44.44	48.46	4.02
MISSOULA	MISSOULA H S	22.02	26.46	4.45	24.76	29.76	5.00	19.44	23.24	3.81	22.02	26.52	4.50
MISSOULA	POTOMAC ELEM	47.61	40.70	-6.91	61.71	52.75	-8.96	40.43	34.38	-6.05	47.61	40.70	-6.91
MISSOULA	SEELEY LAKE ELEM	43.06	40.04	-3.02	52.53	48.85	-3.68	37.60	34.78	-2.81	43.06	40.04	-3.02
MISSOULA	SUNSET ELEM	33.26	29.70	-3.55	48.20	43.05	-5.15	34.07	25.28	-8.79	33.26	29.70	-3.55
MISSOULA	SWAN VALLEY ELEM	16.79	14.55	-2.23	60.84	52.75	-8.09	14.02	12.09	-1.93	16.79	14.55	-2.23
MISSOULA	TARGET RANGE ELEM	43.03	41.41	-1.62	46.62	44.86	-1.76	36.87	35.30	-1.57	43.03	41.41	-1.62
MISSOULA	WOODMAN ELEM	16.33	13.41	-2.92	64.23	52.75	-11.47	13.69	11.18	-2.50	16.33	13.41	-2.92
MUSSELSHELL	MELSTONE ELEM	9.01	7.12	-1.89	66.76	52.75	-14.01	31.29	24.59	-6.69	9.01	7.12	-1.89
MUSSELSHELL	MELSTONE H S	26.14	16.17	-9.97	48.10	29.76	-18.34	32.19	19.81	-12.38	26.14	16.17	-9.97
MUSSELSHELL	MUSSELSHELL ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.56	38.56	0.00	26.40	26.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MUSSELSHELL	ROUNDUP ELEM	43.64	41.78	-1.86	47.92	45.87	-2.04	39.07	37.21	-1.86	43.64	41.78	-1.86
MUSSELSHELL	ROUNDUP H S	18.99	17.61	-1.38	26.24	24.33	-1.91	21.22	19.58	-1.64	18.99	17.61	-1.38
PARK	ARROWHEAD ELEM	27.30	27.30	0.00	44.39	44.39	0.00	30.29	29.90	-0.39	27.30	27.30	0.00
PARK	COOKE CITY ELEM	31.21	31.21	0.00	33.93	33.93	0.00	32.47	32.47	0.00	31.21	31.21	0.00
PARK	GARDINER ELEM	49.04	43.81	-5.24	55.31	49.40	-5.91	43.21	38.40	-4.81	49.04	43.81	-5.24
PARK	GARDINER H S	35.17	25.28	-9.90	40.36	29.01	-11.36	31.31	22.38	-8.93	35.17	25.28	-9.90
PARK	LIVINGSTON ELEM	43.82	43.43	-0.38	53.22	52.75	-0.46	38.56	38.03	-0.53	43.82	43.43	-0.38
PARK	PARK H S	19.68	20.56	0.88	28.48	29.76	1.28	18.19	18.91	0.72	19.68	20.56	0.88
PARK	PINE CREEK ELEM	33.70	29.66	-4.05	43.26	38.06	-5.19	28.14	24.64	-3.51	33.70	29.66	-4.05
PARK	RICHLAND ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.19	52.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PARK	SPRINGDALE ELEM	14.24	14.24	0.00	15.45	15.45	0.00	14.41	14.41	0.00	14.24	14.24	0.00
PARK	SHIELDS VALLEY HIGH SCH	14.43	11.19	-3.24	38.37	29.76	-8.61	13.08	10.09	-2.99	14.43	11.19	-3.24

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

Ex 2
4-6-93
HB-667

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
PARK	SHIELDS VLY ELEM SCH DIST	26.38	24.93	-1.44	55.80	52.75	-3.05	22.03	20.71	-1.31	26.38	24.93	-1.44
PETROLEUM	WINNETT ELEM	15.73	12.65	-3.08	65.61	52.75	-12.86	23.26	18.61	-4.66	15.73	12.65	-3.08
PETROLEUM	WINNETT H S	13.79	7.40	-6.39	55.44	29.76	-25.68	19.07	10.18	-8.89	13.79	7.40	-6.39
PHILLIPS	DODSON ELEM	4.30	3.53	-0.77	64.24	52.75	-11.49	4.04	3.30	-0.74	4.30	3.53	-0.77
PHILLIPS	DODSON H S	10.96	6.72	-4.24	48.53	29.76	-18.77	11.71	7.15	-4.57	10.96	6.72	-4.24
PHILLIPS	LANDUSKY ELEM	6.29	6.29	0.00	7.35	7.35	0.00	6.33	6.33	0.00	6.29	6.29	0.00
PHILLIPS	MALTA ELEM	37.08	36.10	-0.97	54.17	52.75	-1.42	34.25	33.18	-1.07	37.08	36.10	-0.97
PHILLIPS	MALTA H S	21.56	20.45	-1.11	31.29	29.68	-1.61	20.04	18.91	-1.13	21.56	20.45	-1.11
PHILLIPS	SACO ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.09	44.09	0.00	18.59	18.48	-0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPS	SACO H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.74	29.76	-13.99	15.60	8.82	-6.79	0.00	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPS	SECOND CRK ELEM	16.22	16.22	0.00	32.06	32.06	0.00	16.50	16.50	0.00	16.22	16.22	0.00
PHILLIPS	WHITEWATER ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.79	28.79	0.00	7.32	7.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PHILLIPS	WHITEWATER H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.98	29.76	-11.23	10.25	6.18	-4.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
PONDERA	BRADY ELEM	33.19	33.19	0.00	45.99	45.99	0.00	35.61	33.94	-1.68	33.19	33.19	0.00
PONDERA	BRADY H S	35.89	21.97	-13.92	42.40	25.95	-16.45	35.38	18.63	-16.75	35.89	21.97	-13.92
PONDERA	CONRAD ELEM	25.20	24.61	-0.59	54.02	52.75	-1.27	28.70	27.89	-0.82	25.20	24.61	-0.59
PONDERA	CONRAD H S	11.84	11.28	-0.57	31.26	29.76	-1.50	16.07	15.22	-0.85	11.84	11.28	-0.57
PONDERA	DUPUYER ELEM	43.76	40.76	-3.00	54.76	51.01	-3.76	38.68	35.84	-2.84	43.76	40.76	-3.00
PONDERA	HEART BUTTE	43.15	27.81	-15.34	46.18	29.76	-16.42	36.05	23.11	-12.94	43.15	27.81	-15.34
PONDERA	HEART BUTTE ELEM	6.15	5.78	-0.37	15.26	14.34	-0.92	5.16	4.83	-0.34	6.15	5.78	-0.37
PONDERA	MIAMI ELEM	29.75	26.18	-3.57	56.90	50.07	-6.83	25.25	22.10	-3.15	29.75	26.18	-3.57
PONDERA	VALIER ELEM	41.01	39.39	-1.63	54.47	52.31	-2.16	35.38	33.80	-1.58	41.01	39.39	-1.63
PONDERA	VALIER H S	31.72	22.91	-8.82	41.21	29.76	-11.45	27.88	20.03	-7.85	31.72	22.91	-8.82
POWDER RIVER	BELLE CREEK EL	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.76	22.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
POWDER RIVER	BIDDLE ELEM	25.65	23.25	-2.40	45.22	40.99	-4.23	24.91	22.46	-2.45	25.65	23.25	-2.40
POWDER RIVER	BILLUP ELEM	26.29	20.13	-6.16	68.91	52.75	-16.15	26.50	16.85	-9.65	26.29	20.13	-6.16
POWDER RIVER	BROADUS ELEM	21.32	19.19	-2.13	58.61	52.75	-5.86	21.53	19.28	-2.25	21.32	19.19	-2.13
POWDER RIVER	HORKAN CRK ELEM	34.61	21.65	-12.96	69.10	43.23	-25.87	29.26	18.21	-11.05	34.61	21.65	-12.96
POWDER RIVER	POWDER RVR CO DIST HS	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.78	29.76	-5.03	10.57	9.00	-1.57	0.00	0.00	0.00
POWDER RIVER	SO STACEY ELEM	36.24	22.98	-13.26	83.19	52.75	-30.44	33.14	19.27	-13.88	36.24	22.98	-13.26
POWELL	AVON ELEM	14.09	14.09	0.00	20.33	20.33	0.00	14.69	14.69	0.00	14.09	14.09	0.00
POWELL	DEER LODGE ELEM	31.86	31.37	-0.49	53.57	52.75	-0.82	28.69	28.10	-0.59	31.86	31.37	-0.49
POWELL	ELLISTON ELEM	37.18	37.18	0.00	40.39	40.39	0.00	37.94	37.11	-0.83	37.18	37.18	0.00
POWELL	GARRISON ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.33	26.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
POWELL	GOLD CREEK ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.46	14.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
POWELL	HELMVILLE ELEM	30.20	30.20	0.00	43.15	43.15	0.00	30.61	30.61	0.00	30.20	30.20	0.00
POWELL	OVANDO ELEM	45.79	40.30	-5.50	58.31	51.31	-7.00	40.09	35.10	-5.00	45.79	40.30	-5.50
POWELL	POWELL CO H S	17.41	17.26	-0.15	30.01	29.76	-0.26	15.85	15.64	-0.22	17.41	17.26	-0.15
PRAIRIE	TERRY ELEM	10.45	9.41	-1.04	58.56	52.75	-5.81	10.28	9.22	-1.07	10.45	9.41	-1.04
PRAIRIE	TERRY H S	13.03	10.00	-3.03	38.76	29.76	-9.01	11.80	9.01	-2.79	13.03	10.00	-3.03
RAVALLI	CORVALLIS ELEM	46.36	44.81	-1.55	51.83	50.10	-1.73	39.98	38.45	-1.53	46.36	44.81	-1.55

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
RAVALLI	CORVALLIS H S	19.28	19.03	-0.25	22.19	21.90	-0.29	16.58	16.28	-0.30	19.28	19.03	-0.25
RAVALLI	DARBY ELEM	42.68	40.16	-2.52	48.69	45.82	-2.87	37.35	34.97	-2.38	42.68	40.16	-2.52
RAVALLI	DARBY H S	23.17	20.80	-2.37	27.35	24.55	-2.80	20.15	17.99	-2.15	23.17	20.80	-2.37
RAVALLI	FLORENCE-CARLTON ELEM	40.82	39.81	-1.01	49.78	48.55	-1.23	34.78	33.75	-1.03	40.82	39.81	-1.01
RAVALLI	FLORENCE-CARLTON HS	22.20	20.10	-2.10	28.28	25.60	-2.68	19.12	17.22	-1.90	22.20	20.10	-2.10
RAVALLI	HAMILTON ELEM	24.10	23.71	-0.39	47.42	46.66	-0.77	22.31	21.83	-0.47	24.10	23.71	-0.39
RAVALLI	HAMILTON H S	10.64	11.03	0.39	21.45	22.23	0.78	9.85	10.15	0.31	10.64	11.03	0.39
RAVALLI	LONE ROCK ELEM	40.34	42.63	2.29	49.92	52.75	2.83	35.02	36.82	1.79	40.34	42.63	2.29
RAVALLI	STEVENSVILLE EL	32.74	31.80	-0.94	46.72	45.38	-1.34	28.60	27.63	-0.96	32.74	31.80	-0.94
RAVALLI	STEVENSVILLE HS	12.43	12.67	0.24	22.27	22.70	0.43	10.94	11.09	0.15	12.43	12.67	0.24
RAVALLI	VICTOR ELEM	39.27	36.50	-2.76	52.33	48.65	-3.68	35.74	33.05	-2.69	39.27	36.50	-2.76
RAVALLI	VICTOR H S	27.70	20.54	-7.16	38.18	28.32	-9.87	25.75	19.00	-6.75	27.70	20.54	-7.16
RICHLAND	BRORSON ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.97	26.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICHLAND	FAIRVIEW ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.56	52.75	-5.81	16.46	14.75	-1.71	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICHLAND	FAIRVIEW H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.54	29.76	-3.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICHLAND	LAMBERT ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.97	52.75	-10.22	0.60	0.50	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICHLAND	LAMBERT H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.00	29.76	-24.24	9.77	5.36	-4.42	0.00	0.00	0.00
RICHLAND	RAU ELEM	3.74	3.77	0.04	52.25	52.75	0.50	15.59	15.66	0.07	3.74	3.77	0.04
RICHLAND	SAVAGE ELEM	33.03	29.40	-3.63	55.97	49.82	-6.15	40.46	35.82	-4.63	33.03	29.40	-3.63
RICHLAND	SAVAGE H S	8.32	4.21	-4.11	58.83	29.76	-29.07	30.58	15.39	-15.19	8.32	4.21	-4.11
RICHLAND	SIDNEY ELEM	10.56	10.51	-0.06	53.03	52.75	-0.28	21.75	21.52	-0.23	10.56	10.51	-0.06
RICHLAND	SIDNEY H S	6.93	7.35	0.42	28.05	29.76	1.71	17.41	18.38	0.96	6.93	7.35	0.42
ROOSEVELT	BAINVILLE ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.90	30.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROOSEVELT	BAINVILLE H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.61	29.76	-15.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROOSEVELT	BROCKTON ELEM	57.74	49.66	-8.08	61.34	52.75	-8.58	48.44	41.45	-6.99	57.74	49.66	-8.08
ROOSEVELT	BROCKTON H S	45.39	27.05	-18.33	48.58	28.96	-19.62	38.03	22.55	-15.48	45.39	27.05	-18.33
ROOSEVELT	CULBERTSON ELEM	27.07	25.58	-1.49	55.82	52.75	-3.07	28.06	26.38	-1.68	27.07	25.58	-1.49
ROOSEVELT	CULBERTSON H S	14.57	11.18	-3.38	38.76	29.76	-9.01	17.12	13.07	-4.05	14.57	11.18	-3.38
ROOSEVELT	FROID ELEM	8.84	7.40	-1.44	63.04	52.75	-10.29	20.91	17.40	-3.50	8.84	7.40	-1.44
ROOSEVELT	FROID H S	19.82	11.06	-8.76	53.33	29.76	-23.58	24.81	13.77	-11.04	19.82	11.06	-8.76
ROOSEVELT	FRONTIER ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.86	52.75	-6.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROOSEVELT	POPLAR ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.31	52.75	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROOSEVELT	POPLAR H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.61	29.76	-2.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROOSEVELT	WOLF POINT ELEM	23.63	23.68	0.06	46.48	46.59	0.11	21.73	21.67	-0.06	23.63	23.68	0.06
ROOSEVELT	WOLF POINT H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.85	29.76	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROSEBUD	ASHLAND ELEM	29.23	25.05	-4.18	50.47	43.25	-7.22	26.50	22.59	-3.91	29.23	25.05	-4.18
ROSEBUD	BIRNEY ELEM	15.21	12.49	-2.72	64.22	52.75	-11.47	16.84	13.77	-3.08	15.21	12.49	-2.72
ROSEBUD	COLSTRIP ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.78	8.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROSEBUD	COLSTRIP H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.78	5.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROSEBUD	FORSYTH ELEM	28.08	26.75	-1.33	55.38	52.75	-2.63	25.53	24.20	-1.34	28.08	26.75	-1.33
ROSEBUD	FORSYTH H S	6.47	6.19	-0.28	26.02	24.88	-1.14	9.61	9.14	-0.47	6.47	6.19	-0.28

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
ROSEBUD	LAME DEER ELEM	46.71	46.75	0.03	52.71	52.75	0.04	39.84	39.66	-0.18	46.71	46.75	0.03
ROSEBUD	ROCK SPRING ELEM	3.98	3.98	0.00	13.35	13.35	0.00	4.11	4.11	0.00	3.98	3.98	0.00
ROSEBUD	ROSEBUD ELEM	40.44	34.21	-6.23	62.37	52.75	-9.61	35.86	30.18	-5.68	40.44	34.21	-6.23
ROSEBUD	ROSEBUD H S	21.84	13.45	-8.39	48.32	29.76	-18.57	24.14	12.35	-11.79	21.84	13.45	-8.39
SANDERS	CAMAS PRAIRIE ELEM	7.23	7.23	0.00	21.25	21.25	0.00	7.25	7.25	0.00	7.23	7.23	0.00
SANDERS	DIXON ELEM	43.51	42.61	-0.90	53.87	52.75	-1.12	36.33	35.40	-0.94	43.51	42.61	-0.90
SANDERS	HOT SPRINGS ELEM	47.83	41.88	-5.96	59.72	52.28	-7.44	40.78	35.52	-5.26	47.83	41.88	-5.96
SANDERS	HOT SPRINGS H S	30.31	21.68	-8.64	40.70	29.10	-11.60	25.90	18.43	-7.48	30.31	21.68	-8.64
SANDERS	NOXON ELEM	39.77	39.77	0.00	44.79	44.79	0.00	40.50	39.62	-0.87	39.77	39.77	0.00
SANDERS	NOXON H S	18.30	18.30	0.00	19.51	19.51	0.00	18.51	18.51	0.00	18.30	18.30	0.00
SANDERS	PARADISE ELEM	49.80	48.87	-0.93	53.76	52.75	-1.01	43.04	42.01	-1.02	49.80	48.87	-0.93
SANDERS	PLAINS ELEM	33.23	31.06	-2.17	52.21	48.80	-3.41	29.61	27.53	-2.08	33.23	31.06	-2.17
SANDERS	PLAINS H S	21.34	19.16	-2.18	32.70	29.36	-3.34	18.74	16.74	-2.00	21.34	19.16	-2.18
SANDERS	THOMPSON FALLS ELEM	44.70	42.64	-2.06	51.45	49.08	-2.37	38.97	36.98	-1.99	44.70	42.64	-2.06
SANDERS	THOMPSON FALLS H S	23.72	21.98	-1.74	26.50	24.55	-1.95	20.46	18.86	-1.60	23.72	21.98	-1.74
SANDERS	TROUT CRK ELEM	8.19	8.19	0.00	29.25	29.25	0.00	9.17	9.17	0.00	8.19	8.19	0.00
SHERIDAN	HIAWATHA ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.39	52.75	-6.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHERIDAN	MEDICINE LK EL	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.33	52.75	-6.58	5.54	4.90	-0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHERIDAN	MEDICINE LK H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.30	29.76	-10.54	16.18	11.89	-4.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHERIDAN	OUTLOOK ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	73.55	52.75	-20.80	1.58	1.13	-0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHERIDAN	OUTLOOK H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.22	29.76	-42.46	18.17	7.45	-10.72	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHERIDAN	PLENTYWOOD ELEM	37.12	35.53	-1.58	55.10	52.75	-2.35	38.56	36.72	-1.83	37.12	35.53	-1.58
SHERIDAN	PLENTYWOOD H S	13.92	12.45	-1.47	33.27	29.76	-3.52	20.08	17.87	-2.22	13.92	12.45	-1.47
SHERIDAN	WESTBY ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.31	52.75	-11.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SHERIDAN	WESTBY H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.91	29.76	-28.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SILVER BOW	BUTTE ELEM	38.32	40.79	2.46	49.57	52.75	3.18	34.73	36.77	2.04	38.32	40.79	2.46
SILVER BOW	BUTTE H S	18.29	21.17	2.88	25.71	29.76	4.05	16.74	19.27	2.54	18.29	21.24	2.95
SILVER BOW	DIVIDE ELEM	51.72	45.35	-6.37	58.84	51.60	-7.25	49.23	38.09	-11.14	51.72	45.35	-6.37
SILVER BOW	MELROSE ELEM	23.47	20.47	-3.00	60.49	52.75	-7.74	24.09	20.90	-3.19	23.47	20.47	-3.00
SILVER BOW	RAMSAY ELEM	33.56	33.56	0.00	38.58	38.58	0.00	34.00	34.00	0.00	33.56	33.56	0.00
STILLWATER	ABSAROKEE ELEM	35.89	33.47	-2.42	56.57	52.75	-3.82	31.17	28.92	-2.25	35.89	33.47	-2.42
STILLWATER	ABSAROKEE H S	19.75	16.86	-2.88	29.18	24.92	-4.26	17.25	14.66	-2.60	19.75	16.86	-2.88
STILLWATER	COLUMBUS ELEM	41.16	39.95	-1.21	49.68	48.22	-1.46	35.96	34.72	-1.24	41.16	39.95	-1.21
STILLWATER	COLUMBUS H S	22.90	19.93	-2.97	30.60	26.63	-3.97	20.12	17.42	-2.70	22.90	19.93	-2.97
STILLWATER	FISHTAIL ELEM	10.37	10.37	0.00	18.96	18.96	0.00	10.49	10.49	0.00	10.37	10.37	0.00
STILLWATER	MOLT ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.82	23.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STILLWATER	NYE ELEM	28.32	28.32	0.00	34.65	34.65	0.00	29.33	29.33	0.00	28.32	28.32	0.00
STILLWATER	PARK CITY ELEM	24.64	22.81	-1.82	51.00	47.23	-3.77	20.81	19.17	-1.64	24.64	22.81	-1.82
STILLWATER	PARK CITY H S	17.31	14.37	-2.94	29.53	24.51	-5.02	15.20	12.55	-2.65	17.31	14.37	-2.94
STILLWATER	RAPELJE ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.70	37.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STILLWATER	RAPELJE H S	14.33	11.46	-2.87	37.22	29.76	-7.46	16.20	10.76	-5.44	14.33	11.46	-2.87

Ex 2
4-6-93
HB-667

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT: HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 1000 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM: 800 STOP LOSS HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
STILLWATER	REEDPOINT ELEM	21.60	19.15	-2.45	59.49	52.75	-6.74	23.36	17.20	-6.15	21.60	19.15	-2.45
STILLWATER	REEDPOINT H S	25.42	10.85	-14.57	52.40	22.36	-30.04	25.14	9.45	-15.69	25.42	10.85	-14.57
SWEET GRASS	BIG TIMBER ELEM	40.35	38.09	-2.26	52.30	49.37	-2.93	34.86	32.74	-2.12	40.35	38.09	-2.26
SWEET GRASS	BRIDGE ELEM	7.68	7.68	0.00	16.21	16.21	0.00	8.08	8.08	0.00	7.68	7.68	0.00
SWEET GRASS	GREYCLIFF ELEM	15.35	15.35	0.00	44.46	44.46	0.00	15.52	13.90	-1.62	15.35	15.35	0.00
SWEET GRASS	MCLEOD ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.91	28.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SWEET GRASS	MELVILLE ELEM	23.36	23.36	0.00	33.30	33.30	0.00	23.95	23.95	0.00	23.36	23.36	0.00
SWEET GRASS	SWEET GRASS CO HS	28.40	26.44	-1.97	31.97	29.76	-2.21	24.95	23.10	-1.85	28.40	26.44	-1.97
TETON	BYNUM ELEM	15.09	14.29	-0.80	41.45	39.25	-2.20	13.10	12.34	-0.76	15.09	14.29	-0.80
TETON	CHOTEAU ELEM	44.31	42.33	-1.98	54.50	52.06	-2.44	38.72	36.80	-1.93	44.31	42.33	-1.98
TETON	CHOTEAU H S	20.99	18.67	-2.32	33.45	29.76	-3.69	20.86	18.47	-2.40	20.99	18.67	-2.32
TETON	DUTTON ELEM	26.81	26.81	0.00	46.72	46.72	0.00	28.65	26.87	-1.78	26.81	26.81	0.00
TETON	DUTTON H S	23.25	18.26	-4.99	37.89	29.76	-8.14	25.24	16.47	-8.78	23.25	18.26	-4.99
TETON	FAIRFIELD ELEM	30.99	28.18	-2.81	58.02	52.75	-5.27	26.57	24.03	-2.54	30.99	28.18	-2.81
TETON	FAIRFIELD H S	20.23	17.52	-2.71	31.26	27.07	-4.19	17.43	15.02	-2.41	20.23	17.52	-2.71
TETON	GOLDEN RIDGE ELEM	25.48	23.62	-1.86	41.34	38.32	-3.02	21.34	19.68	-1.66	25.48	23.62	-1.86
TETON	GREENFIELD ELEM	40.24	31.98	-8.26	66.38	52.75	-13.63	35.36	27.96	-7.40	40.24	31.98	-8.26
TETON	PENDROY ELEM	5.62	5.62	0.00	21.99	21.99	0.00	11.68	11.68	0.00	5.62	5.62	0.00
TETON	POWER ELEM	53.85	46.87	-6.98	60.61	52.75	-7.86	46.00	39.83	-6.17	53.85	46.87	-6.98
TETON	POWER H S	40.91	24.86	-16.05	47.58	28.91	-18.67	35.20	21.28	-13.92	40.91	24.86	-16.05
TOOLE	GALATA ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.20	9.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOOLE	SHELBY ELEM	25.10	24.28	-0.82	54.53	52.75	-1.78	25.97	25.00	-0.98	25.10	24.28	-0.82
TOOLE	SHELBY H S	3.16	2.96	-0.20	31.77	29.76	-2.02	7.40	6.89	-0.51	3.16	2.96	-0.20
TOOLE	SUNBURST ELEM	3.98	3.67	-0.30	57.09	52.75	-4.33	24.36	22.39	-1.97	3.98	3.67	-0.30
TOOLE	SUNBURST H S	6.22	4.90	-1.33	37.82	29.76	-8.07	15.67	12.21	-3.46	6.22	4.90	-1.33
TREASURE	HYSHAM ELEM	34.60	34.60	0.00	42.43	42.43	0.00	35.64	35.64	0.00	34.60	34.60	0.00
TREASURE	HYSHAM H S	26.52	21.79	-4.73	36.22	29.76	-6.46	27.83	18.99	-8.84	26.52	21.79	-4.73
VALLEY	FRAZER ELEM	44.72	39.77	-4.96	57.83	51.42	-6.41	37.61	33.27	-4.34	44.72	39.77	-4.96
VALLEY	FRAZER H S	32.48	22.99	-9.50	35.55	25.16	-10.39	33.10	19.46	-13.64	32.48	22.99	-9.50
VALLEY	FT PECK ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	63.30	52.75	-10.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
VALLEY	GLASGOW ELEM	35.60	35.27	-0.33	53.25	52.75	-0.50	33.40	32.92	-0.48	35.60	35.27	-0.33
VALLEY	GLASGOW H S	15.55	15.32	-0.23	30.20	29.76	-0.44	15.79	15.48	-0.31	15.55	15.32	-0.23
VALLEY	HINSDALE ELEM	0.98	0.98	0.00	31.44	31.44	0.00	4.03	4.03	0.00	0.98	0.98	0.00
VALLEY	HINSDALE H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.87	29.76	-1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
VALLEY	LUSTRE ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.90	35.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
VALLEY	NASHUA ELEM	51.62	44.91	-6.72	60.64	52.75	-7.89	44.39	38.42	-5.97	51.62	44.91	-6.72
VALLEY	NASHUA H S	31.99	22.16	-9.83	42.96	29.76	-13.20	27.74	19.12	-8.63	31.99	22.16	-9.83
VALLEY	OPHEIM ELEM	3.56	3.56	0.00	42.46	42.46	0.00	4.69	4.69	0.00	3.56	3.56	0.00
VALLEY	OPHEIM H S	3.59	2.58	-1.01	41.35	29.76	-11.59	4.57	2.73	-1.84	3.59	2.58	-1.01
WHEATLAND	HARLOWTON ELEM	38.40	34.71	-3.69	55.65	50.31	-5.34	33.60	30.22	-3.38	38.40	34.71	-3.69
WHEATLAND	HARLOWTON H S	23.22	18.87	-4.35	36.62	29.76	-6.87	20.74	16.77	-3.98	23.22	18.87	-4.35

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF SENATOR STANG
WEIGHTED GTB ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

STAN96.WK1
04/04/93
07:14 PM

					FUND BALANCE REAPPROPRIATED AND NON LEVY AT ZERO			KADAS NON LEVY AMENDMENT			COMBINED EFFECT OF CHANGE IN STOP LOSS AND GTB SYSTEM		
											1000 STOP LOSS	800 STOP LOSS	
COUNTY	DISTRICT	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. CURRENT GTB	HB667 MILLS TO MAND. BUDG. WEIGHTED GTB	DIFFERENCE
WHEATLAND	JUDITH GAP ELEM	54.27	45.83	-8.44	59.98	50.66	-9.32	45.60	38.31	-7.29	54.27	45.83	-8.44
WHEATLAND	JUDITH GAP H S	45.00	21.22	-23.79	56.34	26.56	-29.77	37.59	17.63	-19.96	45.00	21.22	-23.79
WHEATLAND	SHAWMUT ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	47.51	43.64	-3.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WHEATLAND	TWO DOT ELEM	1.90	1.90	0.00	6.18	6.18	0.00	1.99	1.99	0.00	1.90	1.90	0.00
WIBAUX	WIBAUX ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.96	52.75	-6.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WIBAUX	WIBAUX H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.83	29.76	-11.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	BILLINGS ELEM	41.05	45.22	4.17	47.88	52.75	4.87	35.77	39.21	3.43	41.05	45.25	4.20
YELLOWSTONE	BILLINGS H S	19.65	23.81	4.16	23.95	29.02	5.07	17.09	20.60	3.51	19.65	23.08	3.43
YELLOWSTONE	BLUE CREEK ELEM	24.81	25.65	0.84	51.03	52.75	1.72	20.78	21.37	0.59	24.81	25.65	0.84
YELLOWSTONE	BROADVIEW ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.05	18.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	BROADVIEW H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.76	21.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	CANYON CRK ELEM	48.34	44.78	-3.56	52.10	48.27	-3.83	41.30	38.06	-3.24	48.34	44.78	-3.56
YELLOWSTONE	CUSTER ELEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	57.38	52.75	-4.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	CUSTER H S	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.84	29.76	-31.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	ELDER GROVE ELEM	43.77	40.32	-3.45	52.17	48.06	-4.11	37.20	34.09	-3.11	43.77	40.32	-3.45
YELLOWSTONE	ELYSIAN ELEM	18.03	18.03	0.00	23.65	23.65	0.00	18.43	18.43	0.00	18.03	18.03	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	HUNTLEY PROJ ELEM	41.20	40.04	-1.16	51.08	49.64	-1.44	35.29	34.12	-1.17	41.20	40.04	-1.16
YELLOWSTONE	HUNTLEY PROJ HS	23.04	21.13	-1.90	32.44	29.76	-2.68	19.86	18.13	-1.74	23.04	21.13	-1.90
YELLOWSTONE	INDEPENDENT ELEM	34.99	36.98	1.99	46.91	49.57	2.67	29.21	30.72	1.50	34.99	36.98	1.99
YELLOWSTONE	LAUREL ELEM	42.66	42.38	-0.28	53.10	52.75	-0.35	36.82	36.39	-0.43	42.66	42.38	-0.28
YELLOWSTONE	LAUREL H S	13.26	14.14	0.89	20.88	22.28	1.40	11.55	12.26	0.71	13.26	14.14	0.89
YELLOWSTONE	LOCKWOOD ELEM	39.51	39.86	0.36	48.93	49.37	0.44	33.58	33.71	0.13	39.51	39.86	0.36
YELLOWSTONE	MORIN ELEM	2.29	2.29	0.00	29.82	29.82	0.00	2.33	2.33	0.00	2.29	2.29	0.00
YELLOWSTONE	PIONEER ELEM	36.43	37.00	0.57	51.79	52.60	0.81	31.08	31.40	0.32	36.43	37.00	0.57
YELLOWSTONE	SHEPHERD ELEM	34.52	32.93	-1.59	37.35	35.63	-1.72	29.39	27.89	-1.50	34.52	32.93	-1.59
YELLOWSTONE	SHEPHERD H S	26.69	25.31	-1.37	31.37	29.76	-1.61	23.04	21.74	-1.30	26.69	25.31	-1.37

MEA FY93 GENERAL FUND DISPARITY RATIOS OF AUDITOR'S "RESTATED BUDGETS" 04/05

FY93 ELEMENTARY: $/ANB					FY93 SECONDARY: $/ANB				
DISTRICT SIZE	MINIMUM 5TH %	CAP 95TH %	HB667 DIFFERENCE $	RATIO	SIZE	MINIMUM 5TH %	CAP 95TH %	HB667 DIFFERENCE $	RATIO
E1. <=9	3,577	8,013	4,436	2.24	S1. <=24	8,591	24,900	16,309	2.90
E2. 10-17	2,593	10,167	7,574	3.92	S2. 25-40	7,599	15,637	8,038	2.06
E3. 18-40	2,317	7,112	4,795	3.07	S3. 41-100	5,287	11,694	6,407	2.21
E4. 41-100	2,986	7,816	4,830	2.62	S4. 101-200	4,166	8,919	4,753	2.14
E5. 101-300	2,904	4,499	1,595	1.55	S5. 201-300	3,688	6,412	2,724	1.74
E6. >300	2,801	3,787	986	1.35	S6. 301-600	3,304	6,028	2,724	1.82
					S7. >600	3,542	5,218	1,676	1.47

FY94 "MINIMUM" = HB667 FORMULA DETERMINED MINIMUM, I.E. THE 80% TARGET LEVEL (ADJUSTED FOR 5TH %TILE)
FY94 "CAP" = FY93 GF$/ANB (-SP.ED-PL874) IF SAME IS GREATER THAN HB667 MINIMUM (ADJUSTED FOR 95TH %TILE)

MEA HB667 IN FY94 -- DISPARITY RATIOS* (1000 HS Stopless) 04/05

FY94 ELEMENTARY: $/ANB					FY94 SECONDARY: $/ANB				
DISTRICT SIZE	MINIMUM 5TH %	CAP 95TH %	HB667 DIFFERENCE $	RATIO	SIZE	MINIMUM 5TH %	CAP 95TH %	HB667 DIFFERENCE $	RATIO
E1. <=9	4,399	8,014	3,615	1.82	S1. <=24	10,582	24,900	14,318	2.35
E2. 10-17	3,646	10,167	6,521	2.79	S2. 25-40	8,237	15,637	7,400	1.90
E3. 18-40	3,209	7,112	3,903	2.22	S3. 41-100	5,604	11,694	6,090	2.09
E4. 41-100	3,002	7,816	4,814	2.60	S4. 101-200	4,706	8,919	4,213	1.90
E5. 101-300	3,162	4,499	1,337	1.42	S5. 201-300	4,416	6,412	1,996	1.45
E6. >300	2,971	3,787	816	1.27	S6. 301-600	4,087	6,028	1,941	1.47
					S7. >600	3,598	5,218	1,620	1.45

FY94 "MINIMUM" = HB667 FORMULA DETERMINED MINIMUM, I.E. THE 80% TARGET LEVEL (ADJUSTED FOR 5TH %TILE)
FY94 "CAP" = FY93 GF$/ANB (-SP.ED-PL874) IF SAME IS GREATER THAN HB667 MINIMUM (ADJUSTED FOR 95TH %TILE)

MEA HB667 + GUARANTEED ANNUAL BASE ADJUSTMENTS OF +4% BEGINNING FY95 04/05
DISPARITY RATIOS IN FISCAL YEAR 2000

FY2000 ELEMENTARY: $/ANB					FY2000 SECONDARY: $/ANB				
DISTRICT SIZE	MINIMUM 5TH %	CAP 95TH %	HB667 DIFFERENCE $	RATIO	SIZE	MINIMUM 5TH %	CAP 95TH %	HB667 DIFFERENCE $	RATIO
E1. <=9	5,566	8,014	2,448	1.44	S1. <=24	13,390	24,900	11,510	1.86
E2. 10-17	4,613	10,167	5,554	2.20	S2. 25-40	10,422	15,637	5,215	1.50
E3. 18-40	4,060	7,112	3,052	1.75	S3. 41-100	7,091	11,694	4,603	1.65
E4. 41-100	3,798	7,816	4,018	2.06	S4. 101-200	5,955	8,919	2,964	1.50
E5. 101-300	4,001	4,499	498	1.12	S5. 201-300	5,588	6,412	824	1.15
E6. >300	3,759	3,787	28	1.01	S6. 301-600	5,171	6,028	857	1.17
					S7. >600	4,553	5,218	665	1.15

GUARANTEED ANNUAL BASE ADJUSTMENT = +4% PER YEAR TO FY94 MIMIMUM BEGINNING FY95 (6YRS ADJUSTMENTS=+26.5%)
FY2000 "CAP"=FY93 GF$/ANB (-SP.ED-PL874) IF SAME IS GREATER THAN HB667 MINIMUM (ADJUSTED FOR 95TH %TILE)

699 EM

Ex 4
4-6-93
HB667

HB 667 - Special Education Issue

Problem: Districts with special education programs lose the current ability to provide additional special education funding through local permissive levies with GTB support.

Option 1: Increase state funding in HB667 to extend the permissive range structure to include a ratio of 65% state special education allowable cost payments, 25% permissive range with GTB support; and 10% local tax base.

10% $4.9M
25% $12.2M ($6.3M GTB)
65% $31.8M

TO IMPLEMENT: requires an additional $6.3M per year

Option 2: Revise the current GTB HB667 value to extend the permissive range for special education purposes using the ratios as stated in Option 1. to incorporate the current concept but redistribute the dollars in the permissive levy GTB support.

10% $4.9M
25% $12.2M ($5. M GTB)
65% $31.8M

TO IMPLEMENT: reduce GTB value from 195% to 179%

Option 3: Restructure special education funding ratios to reflect the HB667 ratios: 40%, 40%, 20%, thereby reducing direct state aid & increasing GTB permissive range.

20% $10.6 M
40% $21.3 M (12.3 GTB)
40% $21.3 M

TO IMPLEMENT: reduce direct state special ed allowable cost payment by $10 M, increase GTB aid to approximately $12.3 M

ehb5

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED
LISTING OF SPREADSHEET DATA ELEMENT ASSUMPTIONS

27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

		ELEMENTARY	HIGH SCHOOL
BASE ENTITLEMENT		$18,000	$200,000
PER STUDENT ALLOCATION		$3,500	$4,900
PER STUDENT REDUCTION FACTOR		$0.20	$0.50
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE - BASE			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE - BASE			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE - BASE			20.00%
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			20.00%
MILL GUARANTEE PERCENT OF CURRENT 'GUARANTEED MILL VALUE			161%
REQUIRED LOW SPENDER GROWTH			20.00%
REQUIRED HIGH SPENDER REDUCTION			0.00%
ESTIMATED DISTRICT BUDGET GROWTH			0.00%
MAXIMUM PER STUDENT REDUCTION ANB		2000	1000
CURRENT FY 93 GF BUDGETS	$554,984,866		
TOTAL SIMULATED GF BUDGETS	$557,900,095		
DIFFERENCE IN GF BUDGETS	$2,915,229	$1,562,331	$1,352,898
OLD STATE SUPPORT	$383,833,738		
NEW STATE SUPPORT	$356,424,811		
STATE SUPPORT DIFFERENCE	($27,408,927)	($20,271,452)	($7,137,475)
STATE EQUALIZATION %	89.31%		

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
BEAVERHEAD	BEAVERHEAD CO HS	458	$1,853,089	$1,812,844	$1,832,975	$1,873,220	$20,131	-6.34	77.02%		
BEAVERHEAD	DILLON ELEM	1,048	$3,170,993	$3,029,658	$3,060,671	$3,202,006	$31,013	4.38	77.68%		
BEAVERHEAD	GRANT ELEM	26	$77,670	$77,670	$79,566	$79,566	$1,896	11.24	73.04%		
BEAVERHEAD	JACKSON ELEM	25	$76,774	$76,774	$78,289	$78,289	$1,516	14.67	74.25%		
BEAVERHEAD	LIMA ELEM	75	$299,565	$291,106	$291,106	$299,565	$0	34.15	81.20%		
BEAVERHEAD	LIMA H S	37	$284,223	$281,167	$285,888	$288,944	$4,721	15.93	75.24%		
BEAVERHEAD	POLARIS ELEM	12	$36,557	$36,557	$38,844	$38,844	$2,286	6.75	64.75%		
BEAVERHEAD	REICHLE ELEM	19	$71,667	$71,667	$71,667	$71,667	$0	17.81	84.85%	*	
BEAVERHEAD	WISDOM ELEM	45	$140,000	$140,000	$140,048	$140,048	$48	22.72	79.89%		
BEAVERHEAD	WISE RIVER ELEM	26	$77,796	$77,796	$79,666	$79,666	$1,870	16.84	73.13%	*	
BIG HORN	COMMUNITY ELEM	30	$87,716	$87,716	$89,839	$89,839	$2,123	8.97	73.09%	*	
BIG HORN	HARDIN ELEM	1,135	$4,793,690	$3,322,875	$3,339,264	$4,810,079	$16,389	9.03	83.99%	*	
BIG HORN	HARDIN H S	382	$2,719,397	$2,141,945	$2,141,945	$2,719,397	$0	8.59	104.08%	*	**
BIG HORN	LODGE GRASS ELEM	385	$2,751,602	$1,777,977	$1,777,977	$2,751,602	$0	0.00	110.61%	*	
BIG HORN	LODGE GRASS H S	153	$1,919,482	$1,392,922	$1,392,922	$1,919,482	$0	0.00	130.54%	*	
BIG HORN	PLENTY COUPS HS	42	$616,704	$315,433	$317,205	$618,476	$1,773	5.16	87.52%		
BIG HORN	PRYOR ELEM	42	$300,865	$185,799	$185,799	$300,865	$0	17.37	87.71%		
BIG HORN	SQUIRREL CRK ELEM	9	$70,006	$70,006	$70,006	$70,006	$0	1.23	141.45%	*	**
BIG HORN	WYOLA ELEM	54	$483,491	$229,057	$229,057	$483,491	$0	16.71	90.75%		
BLAINE	BEAR PAW ELEM	18	$70,888	$70,888	$70,888	$70,888	$0	19.90	87.55%		
BLAINE	CHINOOK ELEM	337	$1,220,000	$1,196,218	$1,196,218	$1,220,000	$0	17.43	88.28%		
BLAINE	CHINOOK H S	198	$1,090,000	$1,066,206	$1,066,206	$1,090,000	$0	6.56	92.04%		
BLAINE	CLEVELAND ELEM	13	$52,749	$52,749	$52,749	$52,749	$0	14.24	83.09%		
BLAINE	HARLEM ELEM	409	$2,000,000	$1,159,828	$1,180,820	$2,020,992	$20,992	5.30	83.47%	*	**
BLAINE	HARLEM H S	141	$1,085,320	$633,340	$648,426	$1,100,406	$15,086	9.74	82.25%		
BLAINE	HAYS-LODGE POLE ELEM	153	$1,054,223	$688,289	$688,289	$1,054,223	$0	-10.31	109.64%		
BLAINE	HAYS-LODGE POLE H S	72	$755,346	$386,798	$397,682	$766,230	$10,884	-5.23	83.28%		
BLAINE	LLOYD ELEM	4	$34,527	$34,527	$34,527	$34,527	$0	9.72	107.90%	*	**
BLAINE	N HARLEM COLONY ELEM	10	$37,024	$35,233	$36,665	$38,456	$1,432	-3.94	70.20%	*	
BLAINE	TURNER ELEM	66	$340,216	$337,530	$337,530	$340,216	$0	29.36	105.64%		
BLAINE	TURNER H S	32	$304,451	$304,451	$304,451	$304,451	$0	13.15	85.39%		
BLAINE	ZURICH ELEM	68	$207,188	$205,462	$205,462	$207,188	$0	16.39	80.53%	*	**
BROADWATER	BROADWATER CO HS	192	$804,056	$788,535	$811,889	$827,410	$23,354	5.71	72.13%		
BROADWATER	TOWNSEND ELEM	515	$1,375,000	$1,338,020	$1,394,282	$1,431,262	$56,262	16.24	69.44%		
CARBON	BELFRY ELEM	81	$650,000	$639,676	$639,676	$650,000	$0	43.10	169.14%	*	**
CARBON	BELFRY H S	49	$655,200	$655,200	$655,200	$655,200	$0	21.37	149.07%	*	**
CARBON	BOYD ELEM	11	$36,732	$34,890	$36,950	$38,793	$2,060	12.44	66.50%		
CARBON	BRIDGER ELEM	163	$620,131	$597,602	$597,602	$620,131	$0	17.59	86.14%	*	
CARBON	BRIDGER H S	74	$564,068	$548,002	$548,002	$564,068	$0	14.37	97.71%		
CARBON	EDGAR ELEM	19	$107,640	$107,640	$107,640	$107,640	$0	21.40	127.44%	*	**
CARBON	FROMBERG ELEM	110	$425,422	$411,333	$411,333	$425,422	$0	-0.73	81.32%		
CARBON	FROMBERG H S	60	$419,491	$416,124	$416,124	$419,491	$0	11.62	84.49%		
CARBON	JACKSON ELEM	17	$56,967	$56,967	$57,969	$57,969	$1,002	7.47	74.82%		
CARBON	JOLIET ELEM	214	$684,765	$669,284	$678,873	$694,354	$9,589	11.77	76.13%		
CARBON	JOLIET H S	128	$607,995	$597,161	$609,430	$620,264	$12,270	2.73	74.37%		
CARBON	LUTHER ELEM	22	$75,063	$73,789	$74,224	$75,497	$435	24.56	78.46%	*	
CARBON	RED LODGE ELEM	392	$1,157,663	$1,122,677	$1,146,214	$1,181,200	$23,537	8.69	74.50%		
CARBON	RED LODGE H S	177	$775,047	$751,745	$770,918	$794,220	$19,173	3.12	73.35%		
CARBON	ROBERTS ELEM	81	$322,563	$316,716	$317,294	$323,141	$578	15.34	79.72%	*	
CARBON	ROBERTS H S	43	$316,347	$315,035	$317,668	$318,980	$2,633	13.89	77.50%	*	

Ex 5

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
CARTER	ALBION ELEM	10	$33,448	$33,448	$35,237	$35,237	$1,789	8.46	66.50%	*	**
CARTER	ALZADA ELEM	16	$57,676	$57,676	$57,977	$57,977	$301	3.09	78.37%		
CARTER	CARTER CO H S	50	$498,500	$494,514	$494,514	$498,500	$0	-11.90	111.18%	*	
CARTER	EKALAKA ELEM	84	$454,272	$454,253	$454,253	$454,272	$0	21.23	120.91%	*	
CARTER	HAMMOND-HAWKS HOME	22	$75,200	$75,200	$75,353	$75,353	$153	26.35	79.36%	*	
CARTER	JOHNSTON ELEM	5	$23,646	$23,646	$24,596	$24,596	$950	20.09	69.29%		
CARTER	PINE HILL-PLAINVW EL	13	$44,000	$44,000	$45,358	$45,358	$1,358	6.17	71.45%	*	**
CARTER	RIDGE ELEM	4	$27,193	$27,193	$27,193	$27,193	$0	73.37	84.98%	*	
CASCADE	BELT ELEM	232	$811,470	$787,386	$787,386	$811,470	$0	15.89	83.25%		
CASCADE	BELT H S	91	$640,957	$615,786	$615,786	$640,957	$0	5.13	95.80%		
CASCADE	CASCADE ELEM	220	$700,876	$679,381	$687,203	$708,698	$7,822	0.66	77.07%		
CASCADE	CASCADE H S	125	$668,174	$646,681	$646,724	$668,217	$44	0.92	80.50%		
CASCADE	CENTERVILLE EL	243	$832,346	$795,443	$795,443	$832,346	$0	-4.45	81.31%		
CASCADE	CENTERVILLE H S	93	$517,677	$505,398	$508,888	$521,167	$3,490	-3.99	78.27%		
CASCADE	DEEP CREEK ELEM	6	$41,310	$41,310	$41,310	$41,310	$0	38.62	105.93%	*	**
CASCADE	GREAT FALLS EL	8,904	$29,651,889	$26,788,837	$26,788,837	$29,651,889	$0	-2.33	88.31%		
CASCADE	GREAT FALLS H S	3,321	$15,756,700	$14,398,964	$14,398,964	$15,756,700	$0	-9.59	95.96%		
CASCADE	SIMMS H S	149	$809,195	$787,952	$787,952	$809,195	$0	-13.20	85.55%		
CASCADE	SUN RIVER VALLEY ELM	268	$1,010,994	$971,673	$971,673	$1,010,994	$0	57.31	96.12%		
CASCADE	ULM ELEM	102	$382,388	$365,181	$365,181	$382,388	$0	32.38	89.01%		
CASCADE	VAUGHN ELEM	179	$613,972	$587,169	$587,953	$614,756	$784	-6.93	80.29%		
CHOTEAU	BIG SANDY ELEM	181	$792,742	$776,594	$776,594	$792,742	$0	18.47	102.48%	*	
CHOTEAU	BIG SANDY H S	90	$713,979	$691,843	$691,843	$713,979	$0	15.02	107.99%	*	
CHOTEAU	CARTER ELEM	7	$55,435	$55,435	$55,435	$55,435	$0	3.43	130.45%	*	**
CHOTEAU	FT BENTON ELEM	365	$1,275,450	$1,240,861	$1,240,861	$1,275,450	$0	-7.65	85.41%		
CHOTEAU	FT BENTON H S	176	$948,825	$930,645	$930,645	$948,825	$0	2.68	88.44%		
CHOTEAU	GERALDINE ELEM	118	$588,483	$576,974	$576,974	$588,483	$0	11.76	114.91%	*	**
CHOTEAU	GERALDINE H S	43	$502,850	$502,850	$502,850	$502,850	$0	9.66	122.57%	*	**
CHOTEAU	HIGHWOOD ELEM	93	$580,309	$565,458	$565,458	$580,309	$0	33.65	138.78%	*	
CHOTEAU	HIGHWOOD H S	48	$410,130	$405,264	$405,264	$410,130	$0	-0.81	93.32%	*	
CHOTEAU	KNEES ELEM	9	$54,080	$50,296	$50,296	$54,080	$0	6.66	101.51%	*	**
CHOTEAU	LOMA ELEM	10	$51,886	$51,886	$51,886	$51,886	$0	-3.19	97.91%	*	
CHOTEAU	WARRICK ELEM	5	$39,335	$39,335	$39,335	$39,335	$0	10.78	110.81%	*	**
CUSTER	COTTONWOOD EL	20	$88,420	$88,420	$88,420	$88,420	$0	72.45	100.52%	*	
CUSTER	CUSTER CO H S	627	$2,778,753	$2,643,062	$2,643,062	$2,778,753	$0	-16.04	83.95%		
CUSTER	HKT-BASIN SPR CRK EL	9	$32,189	$32,189	$33,670	$33,670	$1,481	9.52	68.03%		
CUSTER	KINSEY ELEM	43	$140,638	$137,256	$137,256	$140,638	$0	20.30	81.91%	*	
CUSTER	KIRCHER ELEM	47	$153,922	$149,629	$149,629	$153,922	$0	20.29	82.50%	*	**
CUSTER	MILES CITY ELEM	1,320	$4,297,114	$3,993,041	$3,994,427	$4,296,500	$1,386	-16.87	81.04%		
CUSTER	MOON CREEK EL	13	$32,000	$32,000	$35,758	$35,758	$3,758	6.07	56.32%		
CUSTER	S H-FOSTER CRK ELEM	8	$32,847	$32,847	$33,637	$33,637	$790	17.10	73.13%		
CUSTER	S Y ELEM	10	$26,500	$26,500	$29,679	$29,679	$3,179	15.69	56.01%		
CUSTER	TRAIL CREEK EL	11	$32,144	$32,144	$34,753	$34,753	$2,609	5.78	61.52%		
CUSTER	TWIN BUTTES EL	4	$32,022	$32,022	$32,022	$32,022	$0	32.33	100.07%	*	**
CUSTER	WHITNEY CRK EL	6	$30,000	$30,000	$30,240	$30,240	$240	37.28	77.54%	*	**
DANIELS	FLAXVILLE ELEM	39	$282,836	$277,350	$277,350	$282,836	$0	25.59	124.81%	*	**
DANIELS	FLAXVILLE H S	31	$294,925	$293,573	$293,573	$294,925	$0	4.38	83.54%	*	**
DANIELS	PEERLESS ELEM	47	$367,887	$357,022	$357,022	$367,887	$0	37.67	132.54%	*	**
DANIELS	PEERLESS H S	30	$364,495	$359,839	$359,839	$364,495	$0	6.42	103.72%	*	**
DANIELS	SCOBEY ELEM	232	$978,317	$951,117	$951,117	$978,317	$0	10.73	101.87%	*	**

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
DANIELS	SCOBEY H S	97	$787,365	$773,932	$773,932	$787,365	$0	14.88	114.71%	*	**
DAWSON	BLOOMFIELD ELEM	25	$81,120	$81,120	$81,766	$81,766	$646	11.25	77.55%	*	**
DAWSON	DAWSON CO H S	516	$2,619,850	$2,397,688	$2,397,688	$2,619,850	$0	-17.50	90.84%		
DAWSON	DEER CREEK ELEM	23	$88,868	$83,277	$83,277	$88,868	$0	3.90	85.42%	*	**
DAWSON	GLENDIVE ELEM	1,179	$3,838,614	$3,495,278	$3,509,611	$3,852,947	$14,333	-3.44	80.24%		
DAWSON	LINDSAY ELEM	16	$75,712	$75,712	$75,712	$75,712	$0	8.62	102.35%	*	**
DAWSON	RICHEY ELEM	71	$444,454	$437,716	$437,716	$444,454	$0	38.14	124.81%	*	**
DAWSON	RICHEY H S	47	$410,155	$410,155	$410,155	$410,155	$0	18.55	95.44%	*	
DEER LODGE	ANACONDA ELEM	1,096	$3,910,175	$3,567,928	$3,567,928	$3,910,175	$0	6.46	86.26%	*	
DEER LODGE	ANACONDA H S	539	$2,618,508	$2,408,394	$2,408,394	$2,618,508	$0	1.85	87.91%	*	**
FALLON	BAKER ELEM	415	$1,815,031	$1,760,944	$1,760,944	$1,815,031	$0	18.42	108.68%		
FALLON	BAKER H S	165	$1,492,474	$1,471,612	$1,471,612	$1,492,474	$0	-2.44	145.95%		
FALLON	FERTILE PRAIRIE EL	10	$40,926	$40,926	$41,220	$41,220	$293	0.00	77.79%		
FALLON	PLEVNA ELEM	94	$649,911	$625,151	$625,151	$649,911	$0	0.21	149.57%	*	
FALLON	PLEVNA H S	41	$512,415	$512,415	$512,415	$512,415	$0	4.31	127.95%	*	
FERGUS	AYERS ELEM	9	$33,280	$33,280	$34,543	$34,543	$1,263	9.50	69.79%	*	
FERGUS	COTTONWOOD ELEM	6	$28,746	$28,746	$29,236	$29,236	$490	43.19	74.97%	*	**
FERGUS	DEERFIELD ELEM	15	$54,992	$54,992	$55,270	$55,270	$278	20.49	78.42%	*	**
FERGUS	DENTON ELEM	126	$480,063	$473,425	$473,425	$480,063	$0	14.37	85.11%		
FERGUS	DENTON H S	53	$373,182	$366,663	$366,772	$373,291	$109	16.19	80.19%		
FERGUS	FERGUS H S	441	$1,855,057	$1,806,258	$1,814,989	$1,863,788	$8,731	-13.94	78.93%		
FERGUS	GRASS RANGE EL	96	$358,525	$346,949	$346,949	$358,525	$0	19.51	82.58%	*	**
FERGUS	GRASS RANGE H S	34	$369,795	$366,541	$366,541	$369,795	$0	13.25	100.06%	*	
FERGUS	KING COLONY EL	5	$31,987	$31,987	$31,987	$31,987	$0	11.71	90.11%	*	
FERGUS	LEWISTOWN ELEM	1,138	$3,516,805	$3,278,433	$3,315,605	$3,553,977	$37,172	0.71	77.79%		
FERGUS	MAIDEN ELEM	7	$37,856	$37,856	$37,856	$37,856	$0	8.24	89.08%	*	**
FERGUS	MOORE ELEM	99	$399,215	$393,566	$393,566	$399,215	$0	12.74	88.63%		
FERGUS	MOORE H S	56	$396,740	$391,091	$391,091	$396,740	$0	6.22	82.78%		
FERGUS	ROY ELEM	46	$309,173	$306,431	$306,431	$309,173	$0	11.87	141.12%	*	**
FERGUS	ROY H S	15	$373,507	$373,507	$373,507	$373,507	$0	14.23	136.59%	*	**
FERGUS	SPRING CRK COLONY EL	4	$31,987	$31,987	$31,987	$31,987	$0	73.07	99.96%		
FERGUS	WINIFRED ELEM	115	$495,988	$494,632	$494,632	$495,988	$0	24.25	98.33%	*	**
FERGUS	WINIFRED H S	42	$394,116	$392,760	$392,760	$394,116	$0	19.63	96.90%	*	**
FLATHEAD	BATAVIA ELEM	140	$558,109	$530,936	$530,936	$558,109	$0	56.50	88.45%		
FLATHEAD	BIGFORK ELEM	587	$1,774,445	$1,697,789	$1,726,930	$1,803,586	$29,141	3.68	75.75%		
FLATHEAD	BIGFORK H S	306	$1,137,382	$1,107,995	$1,154,567	$1,183,954	$46,572	0.60	69.42%		
FLATHEAD	CAYUSE PRAIRIE ELEM	257	$841,088	$802,554	$804,588	$843,122	$2,034	8.74	79.96%		
FLATHEAD	COLUMBIA FALLS ELEM	1,649	$5,165,132	$4,740,498	$4,779,294	$5,203,928	$38,796	4.81	78.93%		
FLATHEAD	COLUMBIA FALLS H S	764	$2,925,370	$2,789,187	$2,839,008	$2,975,191	$49,821	2.51	75.63%		
FLATHEAD	CRESTON ELEM	79	$260,213	$244,510	$244,510	$260,213	$0	5.14	84.05%		
FLATHEAD	DEER PARK ELEM	97	$379,244	$364,774	$364,774	$379,244	$0	57.03	86.82%		
FLATHEAD	EVERGREEN ELEM	716	$2,350,700	$2,148,460	$2,159,809	$2,362,049	$11,349	-9.81	79.83%		
FLATHEAD	FAIR-MONT-EGAN ELEM	146	$490,034	$474,931	$477,890	$492,993	$2,958	5.76	78.59%		
FLATHEAD	FLATHEAD H S	1,957	$7,613,591	$7,414,348	$7,414,348	$7,613,591	$0	-3.75	82.22%		
FLATHEAD	HELENA FLATS EL	199	$663,315	$636,533	$639,755	$666,537	$3,222	-7.09	79.11%	*	
FLATHEAD	KALISPELL ELEM	2,488	$8,298,957	$7,499,338	$7,499,338	$8,298,957	$0	5.89	83.08%		
FLATHEAD	KILA ELEM	105	$320,624	$304,964	$305,476	$321,136	$512	8.71	80.27%		
FLATHEAD	MARION ELEM	100	$397,923	$379,643	$379,643	$397,923	$0	19.02	86.57%	*	
FLATHEAD	OLNEY-BISSELL ELEM	96	$336,742	$318,730	$319,422	$337,434	$692	18.68	80.20%		
FLATHEAD	PLEASANT VALLEY ELEM	9	$33,318	$33,318	$34,573	$34,573	$1,255	5.13	69.86%		

Ex 5

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
FLATHEAD	SOMERS ELEM	356	$1,139,945	$1,102,892	$1,105,699	$1,142,752	$2,807	15.33	79.73%		
FLATHEAD	SWAN RIVER EL	132	$481,410	$463,405	$463,405	$481,410	$0	36.26	86.30%	*	
FLATHEAD	WEST GLACIER ELEM	64	$256,743	$201,996	$201,996	$256,743	$0	14.05	86.64%	*	
FLATHEAD	WEST VALLEY EL	257	$796,511	$767,426	$779,542	$808,627	$12,116	2.58	75.99%		
FLATHEAD	WHITEFISH ELEM	1,185	$3,596,742	$3,376,904	$3,427,733	$3,647,571	$50,829	11.37	76.65%		
FLATHEAD	WHITEFISH H S	529	$2,136,036	$2,055,594	$2,080,038	$2,160,480	$24,445	-3.94	77.09%		
GALLATIN	AMSTERDAM ELEM	50	$176,311	$176,311	$176,311	$176,311	$0	18.84	91.47%		
GALLATIN	ANDERSON ELEM	153	$509,125	$489,948	$490,176	$509,353	$228	3.53	80.46%		
GALLATIN	BELGRADE ELEM	1,229	$3,730,655	$3,474,842	$3,520,009	$3,775,822	$45,167	3.80	77.35%		
GALLATIN	BELGRADE H S	426	$1,616,186	$1,522,884	$1,577,049	$1,670,351	$54,165	0.75	71.52%		
GALLATIN	BOZEMAN ELEM	3,138	$9,800,463	$9,230,640	$9,230,640	$9,800,463	$0	7.10	82.69%		
GALLATIN	BOZEMAN H S	1,309	$5,793,711	$5,622,775	$5,622,775	$5,793,711	$0	-0.93	90.80%		
GALLATIN	COTTONWOOD EL	11	$34,820	$34,820	$36,894	$36,894	$2,074	4.43	65.31%		
GALLATIN	GALLATIN GTWY ELEM	126	$425,136	$414,102	$414,102	$425,136	$0	7.33	80.43%		
GALLATIN	LA MOTTE ELEM	49	$151,696	$151,696	$151,696	$151,696	$0	14.98	80.15%		
GALLATIN	MALMBORG ELEM	8	$32,482	$32,482	$33,345	$33,345	$863	13.53	72.50%		
GALLATIN	MANHATTAN ELEM	365	$1,116,178	$1,063,075	$1,088,427	$1,141,530	$25,351	2.60	74.11%		
GALLATIN	MANHATTAN H S	177	$773,283	$747,814	$767,773	$793,242	$19,959	0.37	73.11%		
GALLATIN	MONFORTON EL	208	$710,535	$682,495	$684,521	$712,561	$2,026	6.88	79.72%		
GALLATIN	OPHIR ELEM	54	$185,808	$185,808	$185,808	$185,808	$0	7.81	89.89%		
GALLATIN	PASS CREEK ELEM	17	$44,084	$44,084	$47,663	$47,663	$3,579	25.80	61.52%	*	
GALLATIN	SPRINGHILL EL	14	$52,995	$52,995	$53,113	$53,113	$118	11.67	79.29%	*	
GALLATIN	THREE FORKS EL	264	$831,534	$796,960	$807,542	$842,116	$10,582	16.72	76.77%		
GALLATIN	THREE FORKS H S	120	$595,473	$593,019	$599,924	$602,378	$6,905	1.51	76.55%		
GALLATIN	W YELLOWSTONE ELEM	143	$634,843	$601,892	$601,892	$634,843	$0	13.96	99.06%		
GALLATIN	W YELLOWSTONE H S	71	$595,572	$582,737	$582,737	$595,572	$0	9.57	106.45%		
GALLATIN	WILLOW CREEK EL	34	$156,924	$150,467	$153,085	$159,542	$2,619	15.52	75.65%		
GALLATIN	WILLOW CREEK HS	16	$207,180	$203,810	$207,582	$210,952	$3,772	15.15	74.88%		
GARFIELD	BENZIEN ELEM	8	$28,646	$28,646	$30,276	$30,276	$1,630	29.85	65.83%	*	
GARFIELD	BIG DRY CREEK ELEM	6	$32,501	$32,501	$32,501	$32,501	$0	18.12	83.34%	*	**
GARFIELD	BLACKFOOT ELEM	7	$26,780	$26,780	$28,223	$28,223	$1,443	17.33	66.41%	*	
GARFIELD	COHAGEN ELEM	25	$78,959	$78,959	$80,038	$80,038	$1,079	20.49	75.91%	*	**
GARFIELD	GARFIELD CO H S	93	$534,793	$527,236	$527,236	$534,793	$0	7.09	80.89%		
GARFIELD	JORDAN ELEM	138	$492,433	$480,463	$480,846	$492,816	$383	14.66	80.14%		
GARFIELD	KESTER ELEM	6	$39,418	$39,418	$39,418	$39,418	$0	43.95	101.08%	*	**
GARFIELD	PINE GROVE ELEM	10	$27,089	$27,089	$30,150	$30,150	$3,061	14.25	56.90%		
GARFIELD	ROSS ELEM	4	$27,750	$27,750	$27,750	$27,750	$0	100.25	86.72%		
GARFIELD	SAND SPRINGS EL	6	$28,646	$28,646	$29,156	$29,156	$510	11.30	74.77%	*	
GARFIELD	VAN NORMAN ELEM	18	$55,953	$55,953	$57,718	$57,718	$1,765	25.80	71.28%		
GLACIER	BROWNING ELEM	1,445	$10,000,000	$4,131,521	$4,163,423	$10,031,902	$31,902	6.56	89.31%	*	
GLACIER	BROWNING H S	340	$4,000,000	$1,231,785	$1,279,378	$4,047,593	$47,593	-0.60	87.89%	*	**
GLACIER	CUT BANK ELEM	733	$2,764,443	$2,220,174	$2,227,853	$2,772,122	$7,679	-2.00	82.32%	*	
GLACIER	CUT BANK H S	265	$1,875,897	$1,349,545	$1,349,545	$1,875,897	$0	-14.29	93.45%	*	
GLACIER	E GLACIER PARK ELEM	57	$354,003	$225,935	$225,935	$354,003	$0	-24.27	102.54%	*	**
GLACIER	MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTA	24	$75,150	$75,150	$76,432	$76,432	$1,281	14.16	74.97%	*	
GOLDEN VALLEY	LAVINA ELEM	51	$245,039	$232,133	$232,133	$245,039	$0	29.70	91.14%		
GOLDEN VALLEY	LAVINA H S	24	$209,959	$206,194	$215,749	$219,514	$9,555	11.55	68.34%		
GOLDEN VALLEY	RYEGATE ELEM	53	$298,667	$290,298	$290,298	$298,667	$0	17.07	108.80%	*	
GOLDEN VALLEY	RYEGATE H S	25	$303,636	$303,636	$303,636	$303,636	$0	12.89	94.19%	*	
GRANITE	DRUMMOND ELEM	129	$483,324	$469,738	$469,738	$483,324	$0	17.45	83.29%	*	

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
GRANITE	DRUMMOND H S	94	$502,275	$498,982	$502,932	$508,225	$5,950	4.96	76.57%	*	
GRANITE	GRANITE H S	80	$521,306	$497,005	$497,005	$521,306	$0	5.42	84.80%		
GRANITE	HALL ELEM	29	$120,570	$120,570	$120,570	$120,570	$0	6.34	100.96%	*	**
GRANITE	PHILIPSBURG EL	195	$686,094	$654,067	$654,067	$686,094	$0	1.53	83.83%		
HILL	BLUE SKY ELEM	141	$516,924	$508,546	$508,546	$516,924	$0	16.88	88.26%		
HILL	BLUE SKY HIGH	33	$474,274	$474,274	$474,274	$474,274	$0	10.72	131.22%	*	
HILL	BOX ELDER ELEM	142	$947,853	$463,779	$465,631	$949,705	$1,852	4.39	88.31%	*	
HILL	BOX ELDER H S	51	$611,586	$355,125	$355,982	$612,443	$857	10.83	86.78%	*	**
HILL	COTTONWOOD ELEM	39	$250,000	$224,539	$224,539	$250,000	$0	-6.42	139.03%	*	**
HILL	DAVEY ELEM	5	$46,020	$43,178	$43,178	$46,020	$0	0.00	120.03%		
HILL	GILDFORD COLONY ELEM	12	$40,822	$38,791	$40,631	$42,662	$1,840	-4.63	68.79%		
HILL	HAVRE ELEM	1,840	$5,716,273	$5,398,874	$5,412,315	$5,729,714	$13,441	2.30	80.14%		
HILL	HAVRE H S	789	$2,888,128	$2,753,601	$2,828,588	$2,963,115	$74,987	6.58	73.25%	*	
HILL	K-G ELEM	83	$429,660	$421,708	$421,708	$429,660	$0	47.16	117.32%		
HILL	K-G HIGH SCHOOL	30	$413,746	$413,746	$413,746	$413,746	$0	6.32	119.31%		
HILL	ROCKY BOY ELEM	341	$1,826,585	$964,867	$982,583	$1,844,301	$17,716	2.01	84.66%	*	
HILL	ROCKY BOY H S	84	$823,975	$470,009	$473,585	$827,550	$3,575	-19.67	85.86%	*	**
JEFFERSON	BASIN ELEM	10	$62,020	$62,020	$62,020	$62,020	$0	0.00	117.04%	*	**
JEFFERSON	BOULDER ELEM	231	$861,788	$814,321	$814,321	$861,788	$0	-4.74	86.43%		
JEFFERSON	CARDWELL ELEM	51	$150,106	$144,141	$146,712	$152,677	$2,571	6.87	75.50%		
JEFFERSON	CLANCY ELEM	366	$1,218,542	$1,198,612	$1,198,612	$1,218,542	$0	9.63	82.86%		
JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON H S	235	$1,035,213	$1,001,165	$1,014,972	$1,049,020	$13,807	6.13	76.47%		
JEFFERSON	MONTANA CITY ELEM	199	$819,286	$811,441	$811,441	$819,286	$0	-10.06	100.61%		
JEFFERSON	WHITEHALL ELEM	375	$1,085,273	$1,061,603	$1,087,392	$1,111,062	$25,789	9.10	73.49%		
JEFFERSON	WHITEHALL H S	175	$761,494	$740,488	$760,372	$781,378	$19,884	8.70	72.97%		
JUDITH BASIN	GEYSER ELEM	67	$305,652	$298,708	$298,708	$305,652	$0	36.84	101.79%	*	
JUDITH BASIN	GEYSER H S	32	$285,955	$283,297	$283,686	$286,344	$389	11.59	79.71%	*	
JUDITH BASIN	HOBSON ELEM	96	$409,191	$401,391	$401,391	$409,191	$0	10.77	90.90%		
JUDITH BASIN	HOBSON H S	47	$408,883	$406,288	$406,288	$408,883	$0	21.20	94.57%		
JUDITH BASIN	RAYNESFORD ELEM	23	$83,385	$82,371	$82,371	$83,385	$0	19.66	83.84%	*	
JUDITH BASIN	STANFORD ELEM	140	$463,376	$459,186	$463,094	$467,284	$3,908	21.45	77.55%		
JUDITH BASIN	STANFORD H S	58	$412,410	$412,410	$412,410	$412,410	$0	18.87	85.32%		
LAKE	ARLEE ELEM	272	$1,142,702	$823,396	$835,252	$1,154,558	$11,856	-2.71	81.16%	*	
LAKE	ARLEE H S	145	$833,728	$496,198	$541,803	$879,333	$45,605	-8.95	70.75%	*	
LAKE	CHARLO ELEM	197	$712,401	$679,472	$679,472	$712,401	$0	32.85	85.53%		
LAKE	CHARLO H S	74	$491,401	$445,073	$445,858	$492,186	$785	5.04	81.01%		
LAKE	POLSON ELEM	1,049	$3,137,865	$2,959,889	$3,005,900	$3,183,876	$46,011	7.77	76.44%		
LAKE	POLSON H S	463	$1,688,161	$1,627,998	$1,688,834	$1,748,997	$60,836	1.57	70.66%		
LAKE	RONAN ELEM	1,077	$3,978,816	$2,408,748	$2,576,645	$4,146,714	$167,898	-8.76	73.65%	*	
LAKE	RONAN H S	382	$1,888,203	$892,689	$1,039,818	$2,035,331	$147,128	-11.10	67.15%	*	
LAKE	ST IGNATIUS ELEM	421	$1,590,357	$1,401,508	$1,401,508	$1,590,357	$0	24.08	86.86%		
LAKE	ST IGNATIUS H S	168	$1,187,748	$524,136	$581,898	$1,245,510	$57,763	-21.12	74.15%		
LAKE	SWAN LAKE-SALMON ELEM	18	$65,294	$65,294	$65,294	$65,294	$0	6.01	80.64%		
LAKE	UPPER WEST SHORE ELEM	24	$62,000	$62,000	$65,911	$65,911	$3,911	8.08	64.65%	*	
LAKE	VALLEY VIEW ELEM	20	$55,264	$55,264	$58,285	$58,285	$3,021	35.31	66.26%		
LEWIS & CLARK	AUCHARD CRK ELEM	21	$48,228	$48,228	$53,216	$53,216	$4,988	6.18	58.19%		
LEWIS & CLARK	AUGUSTA ELEM	105	$421,448	$421,448	$421,448	$421,448	$0	14.61	90.40%	*	
LEWIS & CLARK	AUGUSTA H S	34	$351,492	$333,949	$333,949	$351,492	$0	13.52	91.57%	*	**
LEWIS & CLARK	CRAIG ELEM	9	$39,707	$39,707	$39,707	$39,707	$0	-0.21	80.23%		
LEWIS & CLARK	E HELENA ELEM	1,024	$2,935,797	$2,865,066	$2,912,694	$2,983,425	$47,628	3.47	75.53%		

Ex 5 HB 661

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
LEWIS & CLARK	HELENA ELEM	5,110	$17,133,670	$16,144,114	$16,144,114	$17,133,670	$0	4.06	89.84%		
LEWIS & CLARK	HELENA H S	2,425	$11,354,905	$10,729,262	$10,729,262	$11,354,905	$0	-2.52	96.67%		
LEWIS & CLARK	KESSLER ELEM	289	$791,164	$768,990	$778,580	$800,754	$9,590	5.16	76.75%		
LEWIS & CLARK	LINCOLN ELEM	149	$506,251	$479,799	$481,219	$507,671	$1,421	5.65	79.94%		
LEWIS & CLARK	LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL	46	$330,945	$330,945	$332,737	$332,737	$1,792	9.15	78.31%		
LEWIS & CLARK	TRINITY ELEM	14	$50,686	$50,686	$51,266	$51,266	$580	0.00	76.54%	*	**
LEWIS & CLARK	WOLF CREEK ELEM	13	$49,315	$49,315	$49,609	$49,609	$295	-5.95	78.14%		
LIBERTY	CHESTER ELEM	240	$809,318	$772,388	$774,560	$811,490	$2,173	14.50	79.87%	*	
LIBERTY	CHESTER H S	98	$697,978	$697,978	$697,978	$697,978	$0	3.35	102.97%		
LIBERTY	J-I ELEM	113	$686,197	$674,998	$674,998	$686,197	$0	40.72	139.18%	*	**
LIBERTY	J-I HIGH SCHOOL	42	$523,848	$519,188	$519,188	$523,848	$0	14.09	127.76%	*	**
LIBERTY	LIBERTY ELEM SCHOOL	12	$35,924	$35,924	$38,337	$38,337	$2,413	0.20	63.91%		
LIBERTY	WHITLASH ELEM	9	$36,915	$34,309	$35,366	$37,972	$1,057	0.00	72.88%		
LINCOLN	EUREKA ELEM	521	$1,724,305	$1,491,209	$1,518,057	$1,751,153	$26,848	6.53	77.32%		
LINCOLN	FORTINE ELEM	76	$234,804	$229,439	$229,439	$234,804	$0	21.28	81.30%		
LINCOLN	LIBBY ELEM	1,465	$4,977,162	$4,472,509	$4,472,509	$4,977,162	$0	-18.15	83.14%		
LINCOLN	LIBBY H S	603	$2,822,838	$2,450,379	$2,450,535	$2,822,994	$156	-19.51	82.15%		
LINCOLN	LINCOLN CO H S	289	$1,132,370	$1,064,144	$1,106,562	$1,174,788	$42,418	2.74	70.62%		
LINCOLN	MCCORMICK ELEM	17	$60,000	$60,000	$60,396	$60,396	$396	18.48	77.96%	*	
LINCOLN	SYLVANITE ELEM	14	$52,320	$51,320	$51,773	$52,773	$453	16.36	77.63%		
LINCOLN	TREGO ELEM	69	$208,056	$208,056	$208,056	$208,056	$0	15.87	80.32%	*	
LINCOLN	TROY ELEM	467	$1,605,348	$1,402,467	$1,421,404	$1,624,285	$18,937	-3.97	78.30%		
LINCOLN	TROY H S	226	$1,021,478	$941,540	$960,382	$1,040,320	$18,842	-3.96	75.68%		
LINCOLN	YAAK ELEM	18	$65,982	$65,982	$65,982	$65,982	$0	27.23	81.49%		
MADISON	ALDER ELEM	29	$80,470	$80,470	$83,483	$83,483	$3,013	14.00	69.91%		
MADISON	ENNIS ELEM	241	$880,276	$861,008	$861,008	$880,276	$0	13.00	91.66%		
MADISON	ENNIS H S	117	$670,602	$660,908	$660,908	$670,602	$0	10.70	86.02%		
MADISON	HARRISON ELEM	79	$290,000	$289,045	$290,731	$291,686	$1,686	26.99	78.24%		
MADISON	HARRISON H S	37	$285,804	$282,076	$286,616	$290,344	$4,539	15.21	75.47%		
MADISON	SHERIDAN ELEM	187	$546,432	$540,770	$556,523	$562,185	$15,753	23.54	72.07%		
MADISON	SHERIDAN H S	99	$504,643	$491,558	$502,475	$515,560	$10,916	6.06	74.10%		
MADISON	TWIN BRIDGES ELEM	159	$597,000	$575,957	$575,957	$597,000	$0	18.70	85.83%		
MADISON	TWIN BRIDGES H S	80	$545,000	$541,464	$541,464	$545,000	$0	12.90	91.76%		
MCCONE	CIRCLE ELEM	229	$833,464	$789,423	$789,423	$833,464	$0	11.59	83.32%		
MCCONE	CIRCLE H S	138	$726,408	$705,718	$705,718	$726,408	$0	3.34	81.42%		
MCCONE	PRAIRIE ELK ELEM	6	$29,120	$29,120	$29,536	$29,536	$416	9.89	75.74%	*	**
MCCONE	SOUTHVIEW ELEM	11	$36,260	$36,260	$38,046	$38,046	$1,786	3.87	67.35%	*	
MCCONE	VIDA ELEM	19	$85,292	$85,292	$85,292	$85,292	$0	6.35	100.98%	*	
MEAGHER	LENNEP ELEM	12	$32,666	$32,666	$35,731	$35,731	$3,065	2.16	59.56%		
MEAGHER	RINGLING ELEM	5	$37,317	$37,317	$37,317	$37,317	$0	3.38	105.12%	*	
MEAGHER	WHT SULPHUR SPGS ELEM	183	$755,144	$741,779	$741,779	$755,144	$0	12.89	98.99%		
MEAGHER	WHT SULPHUR SPGS HS	103	$602,576	$596,373	$596,373	$602,576	$0	11.28	85.08%		
MINERAL	ALBERTON ELEM	164	$590,596	$590,596	$590,596	$590,596	$0	-9.68	84.31%	*	
MINERAL	ALBERTON H S	59	$446,918	$446,918	$446,918	$446,918	$0	5.22	91.54%	*	
MINERAL	ST REGIS ELEM	153	$577,506	$539,703	$539,703	$577,506	$0	-11.14	84.25%		
MINERAL	ST REGIS H S	56	$454,766	$437,098	$437,098	$454,766	$0	-5.31	92.56%		
MINERAL	SUPERIOR ELEM	304	$1,102,642	$1,049,461	$1,049,461	$1,102,642	$0	-14.80	87.62%	*	
MINERAL	SUPERIOR H S	130	$653,396	$653,396	$655,966	$655,966	$2,570	-11.19	78.77%	*	
MISSOULA	BONNER ELEM	423	$1,462,873	$1,377,409	$1,377,409	$1,462,873	$0	-6.14	84.11%		
MISSOULA	CLINTON ELEM	236	$827,999	$785,373	$785,373	$827,999	$0	-8.35	82.45%		

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
MISSOULA	DESMET SCHOOL	104	$425,041	$410,428	$410,428	$425,041	$0	31.97	91.38%		
MISSOULA	FRENCHTOWN ELEM	624	$1,946,347	$1,880,221	$1,890,696	$1,956,822	$10,475	16.85	78.84%		
MISSOULA	FRENCHTOWN H S	266	$1,408,094	$1,395,793	$1,395,793	$1,408,094	$0	2.29	93.99%		
MISSOULA	HELLGATE ELEM	920	$2,741,449	$2,577,794	$2,617,353	$2,781,008	$39,559	1.68	76.55%		
MISSOULA	LOLO ELEM	625	$2,003,765	$1,940,229	$1,940,229	$2,003,765	$0	-3.82	81.45%		
MISSOULA	MISSOULA ELEM	5,819	$19,595,900	$18,299,085	$18,299,085	$19,595,900	$0	10.21	90.87%		
MISSOULA	MISSOULA H S	3,360	$15,368,799	$14,417,416	$14,417,416	$15,368,799	$0	0.77	94.95%		
MISSOULA	POTOMAC ELEM	107	$421,910	$421,910	$421,910	$421,910	$0	52.75	88.75%		
MISSOULA	SEELEY LAKE ELEM	214	$666,897	$666,897	$673,354	$673,354	$6,457	3.44	77.04%		
MISSOULA	SUNSET ELEM	11	$44,882	$39,999	$41,037	$45,920	$1,038	0.74	74.82%		
MISSOULA	SWAN VALLEY ELEM	70	$325,923	$325,923	$325,923	$325,923	$0	87.10	106.29%	*	
MISSOULA	TARGET RANGE ELEM	507	$1,540,853	$1,451,119	$1,481,060	$1,570,794	$29,941	3.19	75.13%		
MISSOULA	WOODMAN ELEM	67	$320,795	$320,795	$320,795	$320,795	$0	89.69	103.55%	*	**
MUSSELSHELL	MELSTONE ELEM	65	$322,590	$310,655	$310,655	$322,590	$0	68.82	99.46%		
MUSSELSHELL	MELSTONE H S	51	$387,957	$387,957	$387,957	$387,957	$0	56.10	86.35%		
MUSSELSHELL	MUSSELSHELL ELEM	20	$96,709	$94,317	$94,317	$96,709	$0	11.16	107.03%	*	
MUSSELSHELL	ROUNDUP ELEM	474	$1,469,267	$1,381,728	$1,406,245	$1,493,784	$24,517	1.14	75.91%		
MUSSELSHELL	ROUNDUP H S	195	$864,133	$812,414	$833,298	$885,017	$20,884	-2.61	73.89%		
PARK	ARROWHEAD ELEM	53	$163,792	$163,792	$163,792	$163,792	$0	19.94	80.60%		
PARK	COOKE CITY ELEM	7	$32,034	$32,034	$32,427	$32,427	$393	21.40	76.31%	*	
PARK	GARDINER ELEM	167	$699,620	$545,510	$550,021	$704,131	$4,511	12.99	81.48%	*	
PARK	GARDINER H S	74	$650,827	$441,917	$443,334	$652,244	$1,417	13.17	84.69%	*	**
PARK	LIVINGSTON ELEM	1,059	$3,530,717	$3,273,989	$3,273,989	$3,530,717	$0	-7.64	81.84%		
PARK	PARK H S	463	$2,092,365	$1,947,279	$1,947,279	$2,092,365	$0	-7.90	81.72%		
PARK	PINE CREEK ELEM	22	$62,745	$62,745	$65,389	$65,389	$2,644	29.87	68.86%		
PARK	RICHLAND ELEM	11	$48,592	$48,592	$48,592	$48,592	$0	7.03	86.02%	*	**
PARK	SPRINGDALE ELEM	10	$35,465	$35,465	$36,851	$36,851	$1,386	2.39	69.54%		
PARK	SHIELDS VALLEY HIGH SCH	93	$600,921	$587,597	$587,597	$600,921	$0	12.03	90.11%	*	
PARK	SHIELDS VLY ELEM SCH DIS	199	$721,620	$695,327	$695,327	$721,620	$0	41.55	87.38%	*	
PETROLEUM	WINNETT ELEM	70	$359,020	$356,851	$356,851	$359,020	$0	18.67	107.87%	*	
PETROLEUM	WINNETT H S	38	$372,628	$362,030	$362,030	$372,628	$0	27.46	93.99%	*	**
PHILLIPS	DODSON ELEM	89	$484,938	$422,733	$422,733	$484,938	$0	19.20	102.34%	*	**
PHILLIPS	DODSON H S	50	$483,336	$483,336	$483,336	$483,336	$0	25.41	108.76%	*	
PHILLIPS	LANDUSKY ELEM	8	$33,315	$33,315	$34,011	$34,011	$696	3.29	73.95%		
PHILLIPS	MALTA ELEM	463	$1,607,805	$1,558,869	$1,558,869	$1,607,805	$0	9.84	86.69%		
PHILLIPS	MALTA H S	222	$1,049,894	$1,018,831	$1,019,150	$1,050,213	$319	4.75	80.38%		
PHILLIPS	SACO ELEM	91	$551,185	$531,826	$531,826	$551,185	$0	20.11	130.22%		
PHILLIPS	SACO H S	37	$537,638	$537,638	$537,638	$537,638	$0	28.59	141.12%		
PHILLIPS	SECOND CRK ELEM	7	$35,864	$33,224	$33,379	$36,019	$155	13.50	79.80%		
PHILLIPS	WHITEWATER ELEM	56	$446,224	$441,964	$441,964	$446,224	$0	-1.73	157.60%		
PHILLIPS	WHITEWATER H S	38	$415,489	$411,230	$411,230	$415,489	$0	-6.53	106.51%		
PONDERA	BRADY ELEM	61	$385,937	$375,078	$375,078	$385,937	$0	24.97	115.50%	*	
PONDERA	BRADY H S	37	$280,433	$280,433	$285,301	$285,301	$4,868	17.91	74.89%		
PONDERA	CONRAD ELEM	560	$1,983,000	$1,871,713	$1,871,713	$1,983,000	$0	-0.41	86.63%	*	
PONDERA	CONRAD H S	227	$1,222,314	$1,182,836	$1,182,836	$1,222,314	$0	0.56	91.29%	*	
PONDERA	DUPUYER ELEM	31	$101,230	$99,037	$99,455	$101,648	$418	1.68	79.04%		
PONDERA	HEART BUTTE	56	$690,096	$380,994	$380,994	$690,096	$0	7.46	88.17%	*	**
PONDERA	HEART BUTTE ELEM	177	$1,074,773	$311,845	$363,925	$1,126,854	$52,081	-28.93	76.23%	*	
PONDERA	MIAMI ELEM	22	$74,636	$73,550	$74,032	$75,118	$483	24.45	78.22%	*	**
PONDERA	VALIER ELEM	204	$668,576	$642,045	$642,721	$669,252	$676	8.17	80.31%		

HB-661 X5

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
PONDERA	VALIER H S	75	$495,725	$495,725	$495,725	$495,725	$0	10.79	87.57%		
POWDER RIVER	BELLE CREEK EL	12	$122,000	$122,000	$122,000	$122,000	$0	0.00	203.38%	*	**
POWDER RIVER	BIDDLE ELEM	26	$75,003	$75,003	$77,432	$77,432	$2,429	23.96	71.08%	*	
POWDER RIVER	BILLUP ELEM	6	$33,280	$33,280	$33,280	$33,280	$0	35.49	85.34%	*	
POWDER RIVER	BROADUS ELEM	196	$915,098	$874,207	$874,207	$915,098	$0	4.74	105.44%		
POWDER RIVER	HORKAN CRK ELEM	7	$30,160	$30,160	$30,927	$30,927	$767	34.61	72.78%	*	
POWDER RIVER	POWDER RVR CO DIST HS	133	$1,075,326	$1,060,524	$1,060,524	$1,075,326	$0	8.68	124.73%	*	
POWDER RIVER	SO STACEY ELEM	6	$32,263	$32,263	$32,263	$32,263	$0	41.93	82.73%	*	**
POWELL	AVON ELEM	38	$88,054	$88,054	$94,581	$94,581	$6,527	3.70	62.69%	*	
POWELL	DEER LODGE ELEM	651	$2,386,768	$2,198,045	$2,198,045	$2,386,768	$0	-21.49	88.41%		
POWELL	ELLISTON ELEM	24	$76,542	$76,542	$77,545	$77,545	$1,003	13.08	76.07%		
POWELL	GARRISON ELEM	15	$54,841	$54,404	$54,800	$55,237	$396	0.00	77.89%		
POWELL	GOLD CREEK ELEM	11	$43,590	$35,829	$37,701	$45,462	$1,872	0.00	70.76%	*	**
POWELL	HELMVILLE ELEM	21	$72,644	$72,644	$72,748	$72,748	$104	19.67	79.54%		
POWELL	OVANDO ELEM	22	$74,669	$74,669	$74,928	$74,928	$259	26.86	78.91%	*	
POWELL	POWELL CO H S	298	$1,455,088	$1,402,774	$1,402,774	$1,455,088	$0	-11.91	86.08%		
PRAIRIE	TERRY ELEM	163	$659,350	$650,271	$650,271	$659,350	$0	25.66	94.69%	*	**
PRAIRIE	TERRY H S	90	$561,568	$554,514	$554,514	$561,568	$0	12.10	86.92%	*	**
RAVALLI	CORVALLIS ELEM	669	$2,190,578	$2,036,848	$2,050,046	$2,203,776	$13,199	4.23	79.21%		
RAVALLI	CORVALLIS H S	288	$1,098,390	$1,062,368	$1,104,380	$1,140,402	$42,012	3.36	70.11%		
RAVALLI	DARBY ELEM	382	$1,231,124	$1,132,009	$1,152,271	$1,251,386	$20,262	-0.29	76.27%		
RAVALLI	DARBY H S	165	$733,465	$713,759	$731,285	$750,991	$17,526	6.03	73.52%		
RAVALLI	FLORENCE-CARLTON ELEM	488	$1,444,576	$1,444,576	$1,459,691	$1,459,691	$15,115	9.08	76.82%		
RAVALLI	FLORENCE-CARLTON HS	172	$834,116	$756,005	$770,476	$848,587	$14,471	1.98	76.20%		
RAVALLI	HAMILTON ELEM	899	$2,690,097	$2,575,851	$2,615,935	$2,730,181	$40,084	-6.15	76.16%		
RAVALLI	HAMILTON H S	430	$1,588,964	$1,522,821	$1,579,998	$1,646,141	$57,177	-8.08	70.74%		
RAVALLI	LONE ROCK ELEM	163	$507,457	$475,186	$475,186	$507,457	$0	10.88	82.10%		
RAVALLI	STEVENSVILLE EL	790	$2,338,408	$2,255,473	$2,298,622	$2,381,557	$43,149	6.14	75.08%		
RAVALLI	STEVENSVILLE HS	371	$1,435,482	$1,351,586	$1,398,642	$1,482,538	$47,056	1.98	71.71%		
RAVALLI	VICTOR ELEM	191	$610,039	$593,900	$599,972	$616,111	$6,072	3.49	77.36%		
RAVALLI	VICTOR H S	81	$476,836	$461,822	$464,702	$479,716	$2,880	14.81	78.60%		
RICHLAND	BRORSON ELEM	11	$75,420	$75,420	$75,420	$75,420	$0	0.00	133.51%	*	**
RICHLAND	FAIRVIEW ELEM	199	$914,987	$895,239	$895,239	$914,987	$0	11.82	106.55%		
RICHLAND	FAIRVIEW H S	156	$1,100,582	$1,082,664	$1,082,664	$1,100,582	$0	7.97	112.73%		
RICHLAND	LAMBERT ELEM	83	$540,205	$536,637	$536,637	$540,205	$0	25.55	142.22%	*	**
RICHLAND	LAMBERT H S	40	$480,152	$478,962	$478,962	$480,152	$0	26.52	121.01%	*	**
RICHLAND	RAU ELEM	67	$230,576	$230,576	$230,576	$230,576	$0	23.65	91.48%	*	
RICHLAND	SAVAGE ELEM	131	$436,948	$431,646	$434,749	$440,051	$3,103	22.43	77.99%		
RICHLAND	SAVAGE H S	34	$384,567	$384,567	$384,567	$384,567	$0	27.30	104.98%	*	
RICHLAND	SIDNEY ELEM	1,110	$3,923,730	$3,746,254	$3,746,254	$3,923,730	$0	11.18	88.86%		
RICHLAND	SIDNEY H S	538	$2,343,614	$2,276,276	$2,276,276	$2,343,614	$0	-7.51	82.77%	*	
ROOSEVELT	BAINVILLE ELEM	77	$533,804	$528,802	$528,802	$533,804	$0	3.78	146.81%	*	**
ROOSEVELT	BAINVILLE H S	31	$487,215	$484,738	$484,738	$487,215	$0	3.05	137.58%	*	**
ROOSEVELT	BROCKTON ELEM	90	$656,301	$319,456	$319,456	$656,301	$0	4.48	89.38%		
ROOSEVELT	BROCKTON H S	47	$626,594	$338,029	$339,185	$627,750	$1,156	5.86	87.39%		
ROOSEVELT	CULBERTSON ELEM	233	$909,404	$817,912	$817,912	$909,404	$0	-3.83	88.47%		
ROOSEVELT	CULBERTSON H S	90	$591,064	$569,990	$569,990	$591,064	$0	0.70	89.55%		
ROOSEVELT	FROID ELEM	78	$420,788	$420,788	$420,788	$420,788	$0	28.06	118.86%	*	
ROOSEVELT	FROID H S	41	$373,855	$366,664	$366,664	$373,855	$0	18.02	91.70%	*	
ROOSEVELT	FRONTIER ELEM	124	$581,316	$558,515	$558,515	$581,316	$0	35.48	106.03%	*	

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
ROOSEVELT	POPLAR ELEM	644	$3,952,000	$3,762,881	$3,762,881	$3,952,000	$0	28.86	151.14%	*	**
ROOSEVELT	POPLAR H S	179	$2,400,000	$2,328,122	$2,328,122	$2,400,000	$0	-2.85	210.34%	*	**
ROOSEVELT	WOLF POINT ELEM	700	$2,741,798	$1,966,831	$1,997,833	$2,772,800	$31,002	12.77	80.90%	*	
ROOSEVELT	WOLF POINT H S	310	$1,571,999	$1,523,932	$1,523,932	$1,571,999	$0	6.26	90.18%	*	**
ROSEBUD	ASHLAND ELEM	101	$475,500	$317,780	$325,844	$483,564	$8,064	-4.68	79.88%		
ROSEBUD	BIRNEY ELEM	16	$66,000	$66,000	$66,000	$66,000	$0	20.05	89.22%		
ROSEBUD	COLSTRIP ELEM	916	$4,796,868	$4,641,099	$4,641,099	$4,796,868	$0	2.10	129.69%		
ROSEBUD	COLSTRIP H S	450	$3,164,049	$3,111,912	$3,111,912	$3,164,049	$0	0.61	131.47%		
ROSEBUD	FORSYTH ELEM	443	$1,620,434	$1,503,614	$1,503,614	$1,620,434	$0	-1.92	86.05%		
ROSEBUD	FORSYTH H S	233	$984,610	$953,603	$975,392	$1,006,399	$21,789	6.47	74.04%		
ROSEBUD	LAME DEER ELEM	325	$2,132,558	$1,015,793	$1,015,793	$2,132,558	$0	1.27	90.74%		
ROSEBUD	ROCK SPRING ELEM	4	$32,055	$32,055	$32,055	$32,055	$0	10.72	100.18%		
ROSEBUD	ROSEBUD ELEM	83	$364,442	$353,797	$353,797	$364,442	$0	24.25	95.07%		
ROSEBUD	ROSEBUD H S	28	$300,887	$300,887	$300,887	$300,887	$0	8.14	89.28%		
SANDERS	CAMAS PRAIRIE ELEM	7	$48,852	$32,522	$32,817	$49,147	$295	7.23	83.55%	*	**
SANDERS	DIXON ELEM	47	$347,000	$160,808	$160,808	$347,000	$0	-29.19	94.17%	*	**
SANDERS	HOT SPRINGS ELEM	146	$527,730	$503,866	$504,430	$528,294	$564	-0.92	80.38%	*	
SANDERS	HOT SPRINGS H S	73	$461,138	$439,013	$440,232	$462,357	$1,219	10.13	79.92%	*	
SANDERS	NOXON ELEM	176	$680,936	$665,420	$665,420	$680,936	$0	17.43	89.97%		
SANDERS	NOXON H S	108	$521,218	$514,998	$528,208	$534,428	$13,210	7.10	72.96%		
SANDERS	PARADISE ELEM	48	$157,445	$157,445	$157,445	$157,445	$0	6.70	84.75%		
SANDERS	PLAINS ELEM	304	$1,002,224	$941,963	$951,222	$1,011,483	$9,259	-2.59	78.07%		
SANDERS	PLAINS H S	165	$810,522	$794,656	$796,002	$811,868	$1,346	-8.70	79.78%		
SANDERS	THOMPSON FALLS ELEM	385	$1,209,208	$1,172,870	$1,183,543	$1,219,881	$10,673	-0.37	77.74%		
SANDERS	THOMPSON FALLS H S	194	$833,886	$813,171	$833,135	$853,850	$19,964	-0.11	73.48%		
SANDERS	TROUT CRK ELEM	90	$396,558	$396,558	$396,558	$396,558	$0	15.40	119.37%	*	**
SHERIDAN	HIAWATHA ELEM	16	$124,925	$124,925	$124,925	$124,925	$0	0.00	168.87%	*	**
SHERIDAN	MEDICINE LK EL	164	$820,744	$803,761	$803,761	$820,744	$0	37.90	114.39%	*	**
SHERIDAN	MEDICINE LK H S	80	$634,613	$628,235	$628,235	$634,613	$0	6.95	106.34%	*	
SHERIDAN	OUTLOOK ELEM	53	$382,324	$374,371	$374,371	$382,324	$0	23.87	132.47%	*	**
SHERIDAN	OUTLOOK H S	24	$325,883	$325,883	$325,883	$325,883	$0	9.85	102.65%	*	
SHERIDAN	PLENTYWOOD ELEM	361	$1,202,083	$1,158,040	$1,158,040	$1,202,083	$0	-1.90	81.44%		
SHERIDAN	PLENTYWOOD H S	162	$1,020,518	$1,000,586	$1,000,586	$1,020,518	$0	-4.15	101.32%		
SHERIDAN	WESTBY ELEM	76	$667,322	$628,305	$628,305	$667,322	$0	-15.95	170.71%	*	**
SHERIDAN	WESTBY H S	35	$634,459	$628,760	$628,760	$634,459	$0	-14.22	168.34%	*	
SILVER BOW	BUTTE ELEM	3,686	$15,048,630	$13,967,776	$13,967,776	$15,048,630	$0	8.14	105.71%		
SILVER BOW	BUTTE H S	1,458	$8,225,248	$7,611,602	$7,611,602	$8,225,248	$0	0.31	109.98%	*	
SILVER BOW	DIVIDE ELEM	13	$50,094	$50,094	$50,233	$50,233	$139	4.12	79.13%		
SILVER BOW	MELROSE ELEM	21	$80,757	$80,757	$80,757	$80,757	$0	10.00	88.30%	*	
SILVER BOW	RAMSAY ELEM	138	$508,012	$488,529	$488,529	$508,012	$0	13.24	84.57%		
STILLWATER	ABSAROKEE ELEM	261	$935,108	$912,740	$912,740	$935,108	$0	20.52	86.16%		
STILLWATER	ABSAROKEE H S	132	$626,892	$605,482	$619,182	$640,592	$13,700	5.12	74.15%		
STILLWATER	COLUMBUS ELEM	398	$1,253,165	$1,179,037	$1,192,401	$1,266,529	$13,364	-3.38	77.63%		
STILLWATER	COLUMBUS H S	143	$711,168	$669,396	$678,817	$720,589	$9,421	0.21	76.87%		
STILLWATER	FISHTAIL ELEM	35	$92,566	$92,566	$96,513	$96,513	$3,948	3.55	68.75%		
STILLWATER	MOLT ELEM	10	$67,881	$67,881	$67,881	$67,881	$0	-2.57	128.10%	*	**
STILLWATER	NYE ELEM	4	$32,062	$32,062	$32,062	$32,062	$0	36.51	100.20%		
STILLWATER	PARK CITY ELEM	231	$737,207	$708,343	$718,259	$747,123	$9,916	15.78	76.53%	*	
STILLWATER	PARK CITY H S	118	$567,484	$551,481	$565,145	$581,148	$13,664	5.67	73.49%	*	
STILLWATER	RAPELJE ELEM	46	$367,441	$359,552	$359,552	$367,441	$0	15.85	149.57%	*	**

Ex 5
HB-667

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
STILLWATER	RAPELJE H S	27	$305,397	$297,514	$297,514	$305,397	$0	5.20	89.82%	*	**
STILLWATER	REEDPOINT ELEM	37	$290,698	$261,265	$261,265	$290,698	$0	43.48	121.11%		
STILLWATER	REEDPOINT H S	21	$210,163	$204,602	$212,129	$217,690	$7,527	16.58	70.60%		
SWEET GRASS	BIG TIMBER ELEM	321	$1,034,403	$991,776	$1,000,060	$1,042,687	$8,284	-0.74	78.16%		
SWEET GRASS	BRIDGE ELEM	8	$28,468	$28,468	$30,134	$30,134	$1,666	7.68	65.52%		
SWEET GRASS	GREYCLIFF ELEM	25	$79,413	$79,413	$80,401	$80,401	$988	15.35	76.25%	*	**
SWEET GRASS	MCLEOD ELEM	7	$43,675	$43,675	$43,675	$43,675	$0	9.09	102.77%	*	**
SWEET GRASS	MELVILLE ELEM	24	$81,940	$81,940	$81,940	$81,940	$0	14.24	80.38%		
SWEET GRASS	SWEET GRASS CO HS	199	$960,051	$943,162	$943,162	$960,051	$0	-7.30	81.21%		
TETON	BYNUM ELEM	35	$94,342	$94,342	$97,934	$97,934	$3,593	1.88	69.76%	*	
TETON	CHOTEAU ELEM	317	$1,043,105	$1,000,003	$1,001,665	$1,044,767	$1,662	-5.08	80.15%		
TETON	CHOTEAU H S	158	$984,883	$972,223	$972,223	$984,883	$0	-0.45	100.43%		
TETON	DUTTON ELEM	107	$451,317	$437,625	$437,625	$451,317	$0	17.49	96.57%		
TETON	DUTTON H S	44	$440,690	$435,730	$435,730	$440,690	$0	9.21	104.90%		
TETON	FAIRFIELD ELEM	212	$773,865	$720,101	$720,101	$773,865	$0	1.84	82.39%	*	**
TETON	FAIRFIELD H S	140	$691,307	$665,171	$673,118	$699,254	$7,947	-1.92	77.07%	*	
TETON	GOLDEN RIDGE ELEM	30	$83,951	$81,514	$84,877	$87,314	$3,363	5.98	69.66%	*	
TETON	GREENFIELD ELEM	68	$263,465	$261,411	$261,411	$263,465	$0	21.30	80.56%	*	**
TETON	PENDROY ELEM	12	$47,904	$47,904	$47,921	$47,921	$17	-1.07	79.89%		
TETON	POWER ELEM	116	$419,990	$410,320	$410,320	$419,990	$0	-1.93	81.42%		
TETON	POWER H S	49	$346,400	$345,342	$346,596	$347,654	$1,253	-0.29	78.91%		
TOOLE	GALATA ELEM	16	$114,400	$114,400	$114,400	$114,400	$0	0.00	154.64%	*	**
TOOLE	SHELBY ELEM	528	$1,894,540	$1,824,123	$1,824,123	$1,894,540	$0	-4.85	88.40%		
TOOLE	SHELBY H S	206	$1,283,060	$1,257,256	$1,257,256	$1,283,060	$0	4.06	104.77%	*	
TOOLE	SUNBURST ELEM	226	$849,091	$816,186	$816,186	$849,091	$0	9.48	88.29%	*	
TOOLE	SUNBURST H S	96	$800,021	$790,758	$790,758	$800,021	$0	16.24	118.10%	*	
TREASURE	HYSHAM ELEM	130	$478,727	$468,158	$468,158	$478,727	$0	15.56	85.37%		
TREASURE	HYSHAM H S	50	$474,503	$463,986	$463,986	$474,503	$0	15.81	104.31%		
VALLEY	FRAZER ELEM	104	$832,240	$349,783	$350,900	$833,357	$1,117	1.12	89.93%	*	
VALLEY	FRAZER H S	35	$651,679	$268,263	$274,003	$657,419	$5,740	16.79	87.12%	*	**
VALLEY	FT PECK ELEM	17	$255,250	$255,250	$255,250	$255,250	$0	124.17	329.47%	*	**
VALLEY	GLASGOW ELEM	712	$2,951,458	$2,875,735	$2,875,735	$2,951,458	$0	6.41	105.21%		
VALLEY	GLASGOW H S	285	$1,752,000	$1,714,145	$1,714,145	$1,752,000	$0	-4.51	108.54%		
VALLEY	HINSDALE ELEM	68	$387,392	$378,885	$378,885	$387,392	$0	15.14	116.17%	*	
VALLEY	HINSDALE H S	31	$434,979	$433,662	$433,662	$434,979	$0	10.80	123.23%	*	
VALLEY	LUSTRE ELEM	59	$280,597	$271,473	$271,473	$280,597	$0	3.15	120.28%	*	
VALLEY	NASHUA ELEM	134	$523,963	$489,685	$489,685	$523,963	$0	-6.48	84.60%		
VALLEY	NASHUA H S	67	$495,969	$466,854	$466,854	$495,969	$0	7.46	89.15%		
VALLEY	OPHEIM ELEM	85	$537,258	$520,481	$520,481	$537,258	$0	17.41	127.83%	*	**
VALLEY	OPHEIM H S	47	$515,861	$515,861	$515,861	$515,861	$0	12.46	120.03%	*	**
WHEATLAND	HARLOWTON ELEM	202	$691,305	$659,284	$663,214	$695,235	$3,930	4.32	78.94%		
WHEATLAND	HARLOWTON H S	109	$648,456	$640,027	$640,027	$648,456	$0	4.42	87.68%		
WHEATLAND	JUDITH GAP ELEM	92	$324,434	$322,768	$324,410	$326,076	$1,642	12.26	78.50%		
WHEATLAND	JUDITH GAP H S	30	$260,478	$258,812	$262,534	$264,200	$3,723	-3.83	75.82%		
WHEATLAND	SHAWMUT ELEM	11	$40,312	$40,312	$41,288	$41,288	$976	0.00	73.09%	*	**
WHEATLAND	TWO DOT ELEM	8	$45,017	$45,017	$45,017	$45,017	$0	1.58	97.88%	*	
WIBAUX	WIBAUX ELEM	161	$895,448	$860,293	$860,293	$895,448	$0	14.02	124.62%	*	**
WIBAUX	WIBAUX H S	77	$659,663	$659,663	$659,663	$659,663	$0	13.49	114.56%	*	**
YELLOWSTONE	BILLINGS ELEM	10,251	$33,812,312	$30,507,396	$30,507,396	$33,812,312	$0	14.13	87.50%		
YELLOWSTONE	BILLINGS H S	4,613	$17,698,841	$16,340,774	$16,392,211	$17,750,278	$51,437	1.85	80.30%		

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SORT SEQUENCE: BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
SOURCE: OFFICE OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (UNAUDITED)
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED

SCHYE83.WK1
27-Mar-93
12:25 PM

COUNTY	DISTRICT	TOTAL ANB	CURRENT FY93 GEN. FUND BUDG REQU.	CURRENT FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 GENERAL FUND BUDGET LESS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET MINUS CURRENT FY93 BUDGET	CHANGE IN MILLS TO RESTATED FY93 BUDGET	RESTATED FY93 BUDGET AS A % OF MAX G.F. BUDGET PLUS 874 & SP ED	* SPENT 95% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET	** SPENT 85% OR LESS OF FY92 BUDGET
YELLOWSTONE	BLUE CREEK ELEM	98	$290,433	$290,433	$290,433	$290,433	$0	19.15	80.66%	*	
YELLOWSTONE	BROADVIEW ELEM	71	$513,000	$496,360	$496,360	$513,000	$0	5.12	143.26%	*	**
YELLOWSTONE	BROADVIEW H S	41	$508,000	$493,657	$493,657	$508,000	$0	8.62	122.46%	*	**
YELLOWSTONE	CANYON CRK ELEM	210	$675,381	$652,146	$659,461	$682,696	$7,315	2.96	77.22%		
YELLOWSTONE	CUSTER ELEM	70	$408,840	$402,805	$402,805	$408,840	$0	15.56	124.39%	*	**
YELLOWSTONE	CUSTER H S	32	$421,800	$421,800	$421,800	$421,800	$0	14.64	118.30%	*	**
YELLOWSTONE	ELDER GROVE ELEM	191	$597,375	$594,911	$601,914	$604,378	$7,003	5.58	76.52%		
YELLOWSTONE	ELYSIAN ELEM	96	$294,684	$288,200	$288,200	$294,684	$0	11.16	81.95%		
YELLOWSTONE	HUNTLEY PROJ ELEM	479	$1,508,287	$1,442,402	$1,453,429	$1,519,314	$11,027	7.62	78.40%		
YELLOWSTONE	HUNTLEY PROJ HS	184	$901,503	$882,984	$882,984	$901,503	$0	-3.37	81.09%	*	
YELLOWSTONE	INDEPENDENT ELEM	164	$453,717	$453,717	$457,266	$457,266	$3,549	13.43	77.59%	*	
YELLOWSTONE	LAUREL ELEM	1,279	$4,144,375	$3,930,256	$3,930,256	$4,144,375	$0	-4.85	81.20%		
YELLOWSTONE	LAUREL H S	570	$2,009,140	$1,963,510	$2,036,715	$2,082,345	$73,205	3.04	70.41%		
YELLOWSTONE	LOCKWOOD ELEM	1,152	$3,545,309	$3,330,165	$3,357,925	$3,573,069	$27,760	4.85	78.51%		
YELLOWSTONE	MORIN ELEM	32	$151,335	$107,619	$107,619	$151,335	$0	0.52	87.17%	*	**
YELLOWSTONE	PIONEER ELEM	73	$218,000	$218,000	$218,076	$218,076	$76	16.00	79.89%	*	
YELLOWSTONE	SHEPHERD ELEM	510	$1,334,655	$1,294,805	$1,360,715	$1,400,565	$65,910	9.69	67.65%		
YELLOWSTONE	SHEPHERD H S	222	$1,058,765	$1,022,019	$1,022,019	$1,058,765	$0	-18.13	80.66%		
TOTALS		151,124	$612,288,962	$554,984,866	$557,900,095	$615,204,211	$2,915,229		87.68%		

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED
LISTING OF SPREADSHEET DATA ELEMENT ASSUMPTIONS

27-Mar-93
12:48 PM

		ELEMENTARY	HIGH SCHOOL
BASE ENTITLEMENT		$16,000	$200,000
PER STUDENT ALLOCATION		$3,500	$4,900
PER STUDENT REDUCTION FACTOR		$0.20	$0.50
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE - BASE			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE - BASE			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE - BASE			20.00%
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			20.00%
MILL GUARANTEE PERCENT OF CURRENT 'GUARANTEED MILL VALUE			161%
REQUIRED LOW SPENDER GROWTH			20.00%
REQUIRED HIGH SPENDER REDUCTION			0.00%
ESTIMATED DISTRICT BUDGET GROWTH			0.00%
MAXIMUM PER STUDENT REDUCTION ANB		2000	1000
CURRENT FY 93 GF BUDGETS	$554,984,666		
TOTAL SIMULATED GF BUDGETS	$557,900,095		
DIFFERENCE IN GF BUDGETS	$2,915,229	$1,562,331	$1,352,898
OLD STATE SUPPORT	$383,833,738		
NEW STATE SUPPORT	$356,424,811		
STATE SUPPORT DIFFERENCE	($27,408,927)	($20,271,452)	($7,137,475)
STATE EQUALIZATION %	89.31%		

CHANGE FROM FY93 BUDGET TO NEW BUDGET					
	BUDGET DOWN		BUDGET UP		
	MORE THAN 2%	FROM < 0 TO 2%	FROM 0 TO 2%	FROM 2 TO 4%	MORE THAN 4%
ELEM	0	0	299	24	31
HS	0	0	132	27	5
TOTAL SCHOOLS	0	0	431	51	36
ELEM ANB	0	0	103,611	2,220	2,679
HS ANB	0	0	35,035	6,554	1,025
TOTAL ANB	0	0	138,646	8,774	3,704

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED
LISTING OF SPREADSHEET DATA ELEMENT ASSUMPTIONS

Ex 5
HB-667

27-Mar-93
12:46 PM

		ELEMENTARY	HIGH SCHOOL
BASE ENTITLEMENT		$18,000	$200,000
PER STUDENT ALLOCATION		$3,500	$4,900
PER STUDENT REDUCTION FACTOR		$0.20	$0.50
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE – BASE			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE – BASE			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE – BASE			20.00%
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE – PER STUDENT			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE – PER STUDENT			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE – PER STUDENT			20.00%
MILL GUARANTEE PERCENT OF CURRENT 'GUARANTEED MILL VALUE			161%
REQUIRED LOW SPENDER GROWTH			20.00%
REQUIRED HIGH SPENDER REDUCTION			0.00%
ESTIMATED DISTRICT BUDGET GROWTH			0.00%
MAXIMUM PER STUDENT REDUCTION ANB		2000	1000
CURRENT FY 93 GF BUDGETS	$554,984,866		
TOTAL SIMULATED GF BUDGETS	$557,900,095		
DIFFERENCE IN GF BUDGETS	$2,915,229	$1,562,331	$1,352,898
OLD STATE SUPPORT	$383,833,738		
NEW STATE SUPPORT	$356,424,811		
STATE SUPPORT DIFFERENCE	($27,408,927)	($20,271,452)	($7,137,475)
STATE EQUALIZATION %	89.31%		

MILL CHANGE TO SIMULATED GENERAL FUND MAXIMUM BUDGET					
	MILLS DOWN		MILLS UP		
NUMBER OF SCHOOLS/ANB	MORE THAN 10	FROM 0 TO 10	FROM 0 TO 10	MORE THAN 10 LESS THAN 20	MORE THAN 20
ELEM	11	57	110	97	79
HS	14	31	70	41	8
TOTAL SCHOOLS	25	88	180	138	87
ELEM ANB	4,602	24,785	44,332	28,708	6,083
HS ANB	3,970	14,706	21,363	2,229	346
TOTAL ANB	8,572	39,491	65,695	30,937	6,429

OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
AT THE REQUEST OF REPRESENTATIVE SCHYE
SCHOOL FUNDING ANALYSIS
SPECIAL ED ANB NOT INCLUDED
LISTING OF SPREADSHEET DATA ELEMENT ASSUMPTIONS

27-Mar-93
12:49 PM

		ELEMENTARY	HIGH SCHOOL
BASE ENTITLEMENT		$18,000	$200,000
PER STUDENT ALLOCATION		$3,500	$4,900
PER STUDENT REDUCTION FACTOR		$0.20	$0.50
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE - BASE			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE - BASE			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE - BASE			20.00%
STATE SUPPORT PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			40.00%
GUARANTEE PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			40.00%
UNSUBSIDIZED PERCENTAGE - PER STUDENT			20.00%
MILL GUARANTEE PERCENT OF CURRENT 'GUARANTEED MILL VALUE			161%
REQUIRED LOW SPENDER GROWTH			20.00%
REQUIRED HIGH SPENDER REDUCTION			0.00%
ESTIMATED DISTRICT BUDGET GROWTH			0.00%
MAXIMUM PER STUDENT REDUCTION ANB		2000	1000
CURRENT FY 93 GF BUDGETS	$554,984,866		
TOTAL SIMULATED GF BUDGETS	$557,900,095		
DIFFERENCE IN GF BUDGETS	$2,915,229	$1,562,331	$1,352,898
OLD STATE SUPPORT	$383,833,738		
NEW STATE SUPPORT	$356,424,811		
STATE SUPPORT DIFFERENCE	($27,408,927)	($20,271,452)	($7,137,475)
STATE EQUALIZATION %	89.31%		

NEW BUDGET ANALYSIS			
NUMBER OF SCHOOLS/ANB	BELOW MINIMUM	BETWEEN MIN AND MAX	ABOVE MAXIMUM
ELEM	160	117	77
HS	67	54	43
TOTAL SCHOOLS	227	171	120
ELEM ANB	40,353	56,952	11,205
HS ANB	17,532	19,685	5,397
TOTAL ANB	57,885	76,637	16,602

DATE 4-6-93

SENATE COMMITTEE ON Sub Com - HB 667

BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY:

HB 667 AM

Name	Representing	Bill No.	Check One Support	Oppose
Don Waldron	MREA	HB 667	✓	
Ken Halvorson	Opheim S.D.	667		
Carol McIlvain	Butte Dist #1	661	—	

VISITOR REGISTER

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY

F16