

MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE
52nd LEGISLATURE - 1st SPECIAL SESSION

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE & CLAIMS

Call to Order: By Senator Judy Jacobson, Chairman, on January
16, 1992, at 9:10 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Judy Jacobson, Chairman (D)
Greg Jergeson, Vice Chairman (D)
Gary Aklestad (R)
Thomas Beck (R)
Esther Bengtson (D)
Don Bianchi (D)
Gerry Devlin (R)
Harry Fritz (D)
H.W. Hammond (R)
Ethel Harding (R)
Bob Hockett (D)
Thomas Keating (R)
Richard Manning (D)
Dennis Nathe (R)
Lawrence Stimatz (D)
Larry Tveit (R)
Eleanor Vaughn (D)
Mignon Waterman (D)
Cecil Weeding (D)

Members Excused: None

Staff Present: Teresa Olcott Cohea (LFA)

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and
discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Announcements/Discussion: Representative Kadas will present HJR2
for Representative Bardanouve.

HEARING ON HJR 2

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Mike Kadas, House District 55, said this
resolution asks for a study of various large funds that have not
been studied for quite awhile. He said Mr. Keith Colbo will
explain it further.

Mr. Keith Colbo, representing himself, said in drafting a resolution of this type it has been discussed with Director Brooke and with Dave Lewis, Executive Director of the State Board of Investments. He explained the purposes of drafting this resolution and its introduction. He said it is not a raid on licensing boards housed in the Department of Commerce. The balances held by the licensing boards have been reviewed and passed. They have been the subject of numerous legislative audits, and that is not the purpose of drafting this resolution. It is intended as a review of the assets of the various boards and commissions administered under the Department of Commerce in today's market. There is \$5 billion in the Department of Commerce for purposes of investment and administration of programs. Most of the funds are held by various organizations attached for administrative purposes to the Department of Commerce. The director of the department does not have direct control or authority over many of the boards and functions within the Department of Commerce. Mr. Colbo said they are proposing to look at these funds for the purposes of cash flow, alternate uses of the funds. It may be used as a one time kind of thing to be used programmatically within the various programs of the department. The joint resolution calls for the department to report to various committees of the legislature, and Mr. Colbo thought that was appropriate. He said if there were any usage for any of those funds following review it would be necessary to submit those usages to the next convening of the legislature in 1993. It is perhaps a one time thing that may assist the ending fund balance. It is not tax reform.

Proponents' Testimony:

Mr. Chuck Brooke, Director of the Department of Commerce said when the state is pressed to find alternative sources of funding to offset general fund revenue they have received numerous requests to analyze fund balances and management of funds, etc. This was an opportune time to give the director the direction to do the study of these funds and how they are managed and report back to the legislative committees.

Mr. Dave Lewis, Administrative Director of the Board of Investments said they are about \$4 billion of the \$5 billion mentioned in the resolution. He said they are all attached to the Department of Commerce for administrative purposes. He said they would be happy to participate with the department in this study. He said there are other boards that have resources that could be examined and discussion held about what can be done as far as maximizing use of the resources for the benefit of the state. He thinks there is a good opportunity to have the people who are involved in the daily running of the funds working with the department to sit down and go through these things very carefully and prepare for the next legislative session.

Opponents' Testimony:

None.

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Devlin asked Mr. Brooke to explain the kind of costs we are looking at.

Mr. Brooke said they have been doing it entirely with existing staff. He said they would probably be using several people from the budget group. There is no fiscal note attached. Mr. Brooke said the \$5 billion is not general fund money.

Senator Aklestad asked if, as Mr. Brooke just stated, none of the \$5 billion was general fund money and is not generated through our existing tax structure, is it placed on individual groups and entities.

Mr. Brooke deferred to Mr. Lewis, who said he has \$4 billion of that amount generated through retirement funds, coal tax, and trust investments. A significant amount of that money is with the Health Facilities Authority, for the bonds they have sold to finance construction around the state. The Montana State Board of Housing, and the State Lottery Board is associated with them. He said there is quite a pool of money from other sources. Twenty-two boards out of forty-five are professional and occupational licensing functions. As Mr. Colbo stated, that is not the focus of our study, but we certainly are going to look at those.

Senator Aklestad said his concern is that some of the funds are derived from fees from memberships and checkoffs, etcetera. He is concerned that we are going to start making raids on these when there is no general fund money or tax money involved. He hopes we would not try to keep balancing the state budget when we have raided about everything already. He hopes we are not going to get into those particular funds from fees or individuals, for instance, the hail board money that is not derived from taxation.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Bardanouve said it is a very unique situation to have department people come and say lets take a look at the operation. He asks support for the resolution.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HJR2Motion:

Senator Jergeson moved that HJR 2 be concurred in.

Discussion:

None.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

None.

Recommendation and Vote:

The motion carried unanimously. Senator Thayer will carry the bill.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 11Discussion:

Senator Jergeson said there is some concern about provisions of this bill granting power to the governor to reduce budgets in the legislature's absence. He said he has amendments to offer on the bill.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

Senator Jergeson moved his amendments. He said these amendments remove the 2 percent ending fund balance from the trigger mechanism so it would be triggered if there was going to be a projected deficit. On page 2, it would provide that the reductions that would be made by the Governor would not exceed a one percent general fund ending fund balance. The third change is at the end of the bill concerning an effective date of July 1, 1992. The concern that was expressed about the 2 percent ending fund balance being the trigger for the implementation of the bill by the governor was of concern because we are probably going to leave this special session of the legislature with a 1 percent ending fund balance. He believes that was the amount requested by the governor in his budget recommendations, and he said they would be caught in the paradox of giving the governor power to reduce budgets at a time when we have provided him with an ending fund balance in accordance with his budget message. He thinks the changes are appropriate for this bill.

Senator Keating asked for a few minutes to study the amendments, and Senator Jacobson agreed.

Senator Keating asked what the rationale was for the difference between 1% and 2%. 2 percent of the existing \$2 million balance is around \$18 million, which is roughly what the legislature recommended as an ending fund balance in the beginning. Now we are cutting that to roughly \$9 million, and he

is wondering what the rationale is for that.

Senator Jergeson said the rationale for that is that 1% is fairly close to the ending fund balance that was requested by the governor in his budget message. He thinks it is appropriate that a base line is established that is satisfactory as public policy while we are in session and it ought to be base line public policy if the governor is going to make reductions while we are gone.

Senator Keating asked the sponsor if it were possible to achieve a 2% ending fund balance, would that not go a long way towards offsetting supplementals that may occur suddenly in entitlement programs and that sort of thing.

Senator Jergeson said there are many ways to offset the potential for supplementals. One way would be to increase revenues and another would be to reduce spending. A third way, and one which Senator Jergeson thinks should be implemented while the legislature is not in session, would be that any administration ought to practice as tight a management as they possibly can in an effort, within that administration, to avoid the need or to reduce the need, for supplementals in any ensuing session of the Montana legislature.

Senator Keating responded by saying they anticipate always that the executive will be as efficient in their management as they can, but the point being, that the entitlements are beyond the control of both the executive and the legislative branch of state government and those things can occur quite suddenly prompted by most any change in the economy or federal decisions or whatever.

It would seem we have been hit with supplementals each of the bienniums and we are never really prepared for them. He thinks if we had a better spread within the ending fund balance, if it were achievable through efficient management, it would be a better buffer for us when we convene the following biennium.

Senator Jacobson said one of the things we have to take into account is that while we are trying to set up a bill to achieve what was previously in law but declared unconstitutional is difficult at best, and we may have to adjust the bill in a later session, but the previous language left no allowance for an ending fund balance. It simply allowed the governor to cut to the extent of the deficit. In this case, we are actually giving the Governor more leeway than in the previous language that was there before. In a situation where we are cutting budgets, it is probably not realistic to leave the same amount of ending fund balance in a regular session when you are not anticipating cutting budgets. We are here now, a year away from the next session, and this bill will kick in with Senator Jergeson's amendments July 1. That is 6 months away from a regular session. Senator Jacobson hopes there will be no catastrophe of that magnitude. Sometimes we do have supplementals and entitlements

and that is one of the things Senator Aklestad was trying to address with his bill. The Governor has asked for a very large amount of supplementals at this time, which is kind of unprecedented also. Senator Jacobson thinks we have covered some of the problems that we already know have occurred and this bill kicks in just a few months before next legislative session and maybe we need to revisit it when we come back.

Senator Keating said it is difficult for the administration to properly budget in deficit situations when there is no allowance for an ending fund balance. He pointed out that this is statutory language and is no longer boiler plate, and that goes beyond the next 6 months or next year. Senator Keating said we are talking about long range law for ensuing administrations and he is seeking some determination as to where budgeting levels are most achievable. He would like someone from the Office of Budget and Planning or the Department of Administration to comment regarding this since they are directly involved with the budgeting process.

Senator Jacobson said there has been some talk about the possibility of putting a termination date on the bill for the concerns Senator Keating has. She said we are in here in an 8 or 9 day session trying to substantially change state law and deal with constitutional problems, and said we do not know if the bill is written correctly. If an amendment is introduced to put a termination date on it, Senator Jacobson would have no objection with that.

Senator Keating said he did not have a feeling for a sunset. It doesn't matter whether it is sunsetted or not. Any ensuing legislature can address any issue. The issue of amending budgets between sessions is constantly before us and we are always trying to refine it. It depends on the reception by the public as well whether there is a challenge to this law.

Senator Keating said he would appreciate a comment from the Office of Budget Planning or the Department of Administration with regards to these amendments.

Mr. Bob Marks, Department of Administration said he wasn't prepared to comment on this, but looking at the construction of the amendment, it looks like the effect of the amendment on this bill with the effective date of July 1 this year would limit the options of the executive for all practical purposes to just 6 months, because the legislature will be back in town 6 months after the effective date of this bill. Mr. Marks said he was not sure if that was considered when the amendment was drafted, and that is some concern.

Senator Jacobson said the feeling of the people working on the amendment is when the Legislature is here in January, we certainly hope that what we have achieved will last at least until July 1, only a 6 month period. She said there shouldn't be

any compelling reason of a need for this, unless something catastrophic happens, until the next fiscal year.

Senator Jacobson said the legislature is here now, in January, and hopefully we will fix this problem that has occurred with the shortfall in capital gains and a few other areas. She said it was their feeling that nothing catastrophic would happen to change that this fiscal year, so they felt it was appropriate to have the bill begin fiscal year 92.

Mr. Marks said in looking back at the provisions on page 3, it appears that to delay that would be caused by the effective date of July 1, 1992 which means nothing could be done until then. Along with the process indicated on page 3 going through the finance committee, it appears that that narrows the calendar time that the executive would have time to act. He said the next legislature would be able to do some things to deal with budget problems for the biennium they are in as well as for the biennium they are called in to deal with.

Senator Jergeson said in response to the effective date, he would admit that is a concession on his part because he had suggested that this bill's effectiveness would not be allowed until 6 months after the adjournment of the legislature and it could not be implemented 3 months before any regular session and this year that wouldn't have left much time at all.

Senator Devlin said we left regular session the first of May and it wasn't even two months before we knew we were in trouble with income tax collections and other areas of revenue. If we were writing this in boiler plate, in the past we have always made it effective immediately and now we are changing this.

Senator Jacobson said Senator Devlin was correct, but although we were aware there might be a problem as early as January of last year while we were still in session, because we did not have the bill on accelerated income tax for estimated taxes in place, we had no way of checking that until December of that year. If the bill had been in place, we could have dealt with this problem during regular session.

Senator Devlin said if the accelerated tax would have been in, we would have been \$31 million further in the hole than what we are.

Senator Jacobson said she thinks there is a certain feeling that if we are truly doing our job, if the governor's budget he gave us when we came in is as accurate as we can find it, if our revenue estimates are accurate, surely this should hold up for 6 months.

Senator Aklestad asked Rep. Kadas about the termination date of June 30, 1996.

Rep. Kadas said that wasn't his idea but he is willing to accept that termination date although he doesn't think it is particularly necessary. He would prefer not to see the bill die because that wasn't in it.

Recommendation and Vote:

Senator Aklestad moved to divide the question. He would like to make amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 separate and 2 and 6 separate. He would like to address the 1 percent and the passage of the termination date, and those are 2 and 6.

Senator Jacobson asked if he wanted 1 and 6 voted on separately from 2,3,4, and 5.

Senator Devlin said number 3 refers back to number 2.

Senator Jacobson said 1 and 6. 2,3,4, and 5 are together.

Senator Aklestad's MOTION CARRIED.

Senator Jergeson said he introduced the amendment as a whole and supports the whole of the amendment and will support the amendment in its separate parts.

Senator Jacobson said we are voting on amendments 2,3,4 and 5.

Senator Aklestad would like to amend amendments 2,3,4 and 5. He said on item number 2, he would like to amend 1 per cent to 2 percent and have the variables to coincide with the 2 percent difference.

Senator Jacobson said Senator Aklestad had made a substitute motion to amend number 2 to change 1 percent to 2 percent.

Senator Aklestad said we are going to leave here with a \$9 to \$10 million fund balance which he thinks is adequate. He said he would like to remind the committee that we left with \$18 million fund balance last legislative session and now there is a \$106 million deficit. He thinks leaving here with a \$9 million fund balance for the governor to have to trigger in this mechanism is unrealistic in light with our growing budget problems within the state.

Senator Jacobson said in a regular session she would agree that 2 percent was not a realistic number but we are talking about a situation where a governor is going in and reducing budgets in order to meet a deficit. In the past there was no mechanism for leaving an ending fund balance, so we are giving him more leeway than any governor has ever had before.

The MOTION FAILED on a roll call vote.

Senator Jacobson said they are back to the original motion to amend amendments number 2, 3, 4 and 5.

The MOTION CARRIED on a roll call vote.

Senator Jacobson said they would now be on amendments number 1 and 6.

Senator Aklestad said he would like to address number 1 and 6 on striking July 1, 1992 and inserting on, passage and approval.

Senator Jacobson said if he wants to achieve what he wants to achieve, to vote no on the amendment. She said they would be voting on amendments 1 and 6 which changes the effective date from, on passage and approval, to July 1, 1992.

Senator Aklestad said he would like to be on record as trying to change that, so he would like to make a substitute motion.

Senator Jacobson explained that what he was trying to do was make a motion to do what is already in the bill.

Senator Aklestad said we are not dealing with the bill, we are dealing with an amendment to the bill and he is trying to make a substitute motion.

Senator Jacobson said that was true, but the effect of Senator Aklestad's substitute motion is to amend the bill to say, passage and approval, and the bill says, passage and approval.

The MOTION PASSED on a roll call vote.

Senator Bianchi moved to table this bill. On page 2, line 8, it is the responsibility of Appropriations and Finance and Claims to define what program is in the general appropriations act. That was not done during this special session. Now we are down to a conference committee of 6 people that is going to totally define what a program is to this legislature. Until he sees what a program is defined as, he cannot support this bill.

Senator Devlin said he has made a motion on tabling and he believes that is non-debatable. It has been discussed before and then the motion was made.


Senator Jacobson said Senator Devlin's point is well taken. She said the motion by Senator Bianchi is to table HB 11 as amended.

The MOTION FAILED on a roll call vote.

Senator Aklestad moved that HB 11 as amended be concurred in. The MOTION CARRIED on a roll call vote.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: Senator Jergeson moved to adjourn at 10:00 a.m.



JUDY JACOBSON, Chairman



LYNN STALEY, Secretary

JJ/lis

ROLL CALL

FINANCE AND CLAIMS

COMMITTEE

DATE 1/16/9 ✓

1st SPECIAL
52nd LEGISLATIVE SESSION

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR JACOBSON	✓		
SENATOR JERGESON	✓		
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓		
SENATOR BECK	✓		
SENATOR BENGTON	✓		
SENATOR BIANCHI	✓		
SENATOR DEVLIN	✓		
SENATOR FRANKLIN	✓		
SENATOR FRITZ	✓		
SENATOR HAMMOND	✓		
SENATOR HARDING	✓		
SENATOR HOCKETT	✓		
SENATOR KEATING	✓		
SENATOR NATHE	✓		
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓		

Each day attach to minutes.

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
January 16, 1992

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Finance and Claims having had under consideration House Joint Resolution No. 2 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Joint Resolution No. 2 be concurred in.

Signed: _____

Judy H. Jacobson
Judy H. Jacobson, Chair

JAC 1-16-92
And. Coord.

SB 1-16-92
Sec. of Senate

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

Page 1 of 1
January 16, 1992

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Finance and Claims having had under consideration House Bill No. 11 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 11 be amended and as so amended be concurred in:

1. Title, line 17.

Strike: "IMMEDIATE"

2. Page 2, lines 5 and 6.

Following: "revenue" on line 5

Strike: "NOT TO EXCEED"

Insert: "that ensures that"

Following: "PROJECTED"

Insert: "ending"

Following: "FUND"

Strike: remainder of line 5 through "DEFICIT" on line 6

Insert: "balance for the biennium will be at least 1% of all
general fund appropriations during the biennium"

3. Page 2, lines 17 and 18.

Following: "spending" on line 17

Strike: "in an amount"

Following: "revenue" on line 17

Strike: remainder of line 17 through "DEFICIT" on line 18

Insert: "as provided in subsection (1)(a)"

4. Page 4, line 13.

Following: "OF"

Strike: "THE"

5. Page 4, lines 14 through 17.

Following: "PROJECTED" on line 14

Insert: "negative"

Following: "BIENNIUM"

Strike: remainder of line 14 through "BIENNIUM" on line 17

6. Page 7, line 8.

Strike: "on passage and approval"

Insert: "July 1, 1992"

Signed:

Judy H. Jacobson
Judy H. Jacobson, Chair

JM 1-16-92
Md. Coord.

SB 1-16-92
Sec. of Senate

Amendments to House Bill No. 11
Third Reading Copy

Requested by Senator Jergeson
For the Committee on Finance and Claims

Prepared by Greg Petesch

January 16, 1992

SENATE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

EXHIBIT NO. 1

DATE 1/16/92

BILL NO. HB 11

1. Title, line 17.
Strike: "IMMEDIATE"

2. Page 2, lines 5 and 6.
Following: "revenue" on line 5
Strike: "NOT TO EXCEED"
Insert: "that ensures that"
Following: "PROJECTED"
Insert: "ending"
Following: "FUND"
Strike: remainder of line 5 through "DEFICIT" on line 6
Insert: "balance for the biennium will be at least 1% of all
general fund appropriations during the biennium"

3. Page 2, lines 17 and 18.
Following: "spending" on line 17
Strike: "in an amount"
Following: "revenue" on line 17
Strike: remainder of line 17 through "DEFICIT" on line 18
Insert: "as provided in subsection (1)(a)"

4. Page 4, line 13.
Following: "OF"
Strike: "THE"

5. Page 4, lines 14 through 17.
Following: "PROJECTED" on line 14
Insert: "negative"
Following: "BIENNIUM"
Strike: remainder of line 14 through "BIENNIUM" on line 17

6. Page 7, line 8.
Strike: "on passage and approval"
Insert: "July 1, 1992"

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE & CLAIMS

Date 1/16/93 House Bill No. 11 Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR JACOBSON		✓
SENATOR JERGESON		✓
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓	
SENATOR BECK	✓	
SENATOR BENGTON	✓	
SENATOR BIANCHI		✓
SENATOR DEVLIN	✓	
SENATOR FRANKLIN		✓
SENATOR FRITZ		✓
SENATOR HAMMOND	✓	
SENATOR HARDING	✓	
SENATOR HOCKETT		✓

Lynn Stealey
Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Senator Aklestad substitute motion
on amendment 2.
Motion failed

ROLL CALL VOTE (Cont'd)

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date _____ Bill No. _____ Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR KEATING	✓	
SENATOR NATHE	✓	
SENATOR STIMATZ		✓
SENATOR TVEIT	✓	
SENATOR VAUGHN		✓
SENATOR WATERMAN		✓
SENATOR WEEDING		✓

Secretary _____

Chairman _____

Motion: _____

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE & CLAIMS

Date 1/16/92 7:12 Bill No. 11 Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR JACOBSON	✓	
SENATOR JERGESON	✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓
SENATOR BECK		✓
SENATOR BENGTON	✓	
SENATOR BIANCHI	✓	
SENATOR DEVLIN		✓
SENATOR FRANKLIN	✓	
SENATOR FRITZ	✓	
SENATOR HAMMOND		✓
SENATOR HARDING		✓
SENATOR HOCKETT	✓	

Lynn Staley
Secretary

Chairman

Motion: _____
Senator Aklestad motion to divide question
on amendment 2, 3, 4, 5 & amend 2, 3, 4, 5
Carried

ROLL CALL VOTE (Cont'd)

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date _____ Bill No. _____ Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR KEATING		✓
SENATOR NATHE		✓
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓	
SENATOR TVEIT		✓
SENATOR VAUGHN	✓	
SENATOR WATERMAN	✓	
SENATOR WEEDING	✓	

Secretary _____

Chairman _____

Motion: _____

ROLL CALL VOTE (Cont'd)

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date _____ Bill No. _____ Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR KEATING		✓
SENATOR NATHE		✓
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓	
SENATOR TVEIT		✓
SENATOR VAUGHN	✓	
SENATOR WATERMAN	✓	
SENATOR WEEDING	✓	

Secretary _____

Chairman _____

Motion: _____

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE & CLAIMS

Date 1/16/92 NB Bill No. 11 Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR JACOBSON	✓	
SENATOR JERGESON	✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓
SENATOR BECK		✓
SENATOR BENGTON	✓	
SENATOR BIANCHI	✓	
SENATOR DEVLIN		✓
SENATOR FRANKLIN	✓	
SENATOR FRITZ	✓	
SENATOR HAMMOND		✓
SENATOR HARDING		✓
SENATOR HOCKETT	✓	

Lynn Sealey
Secretary

Chairman

Motion: _____

Senator Aklestad motion to divide the amendments
1 & 6 and vote on them separately!

Carried

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE & CLAIMS

Date 1/16/92 House Bill No. 11 Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR JACOBSON	✓	
SENATOR JERGSON	✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD		✓
SENATOR BECK		✓
SENATOR BENGTON		✓
SENATOR BIANCHI	✓	
SENATOR DEVLIN		✓
SENATOR FRANKLIN	✓	
SENATOR FRITZ	✓	
SENATOR HAMMOND		✓
SENATOR HARDING		✓
SENATOR HOCKETT		✓

Spur Staley
Secretary

Chairman

Motion: _____

Senator Bianchi motion to take HB 11 as amended. Motion Failed

ROLL CALL VOTE (Cont'd)

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date _____ Bill No. _____ Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR KEATING		✓
SENATOR NATHE		✓
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓	
SENATOR TVEIT		✓
SENATOR VAUGHN	✓	
SENATOR WATERMAN	✓	
SENATOR WEEDING		✓

Secretary _____

Chairman _____

Motion: _____

ROLL CALL VOTE

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE & CLAIMS

Date 1/16/92 House Bill No. 11 Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR JACOBSON	✓	
SENATOR JERGSON	✓	
SENATOR AKLESTAD	✓	
SENATOR BECK	✓	
SENATOR BENGTON	✓	
SENATOR BIANCHI		✓
SENATOR DEVLIN		✓
SENATOR FRANKLIN		✓
SENATOR FRITZ		✓
SENATOR HAMMOND	✓	
SENATOR HARDING	✓	
SENATOR HOCKETT	✓	

Lynn Staley
Secretary

Chairman

Motion: Senator Aklestad motion -
HB 11 as amended be concurred in
Motion Carried

ROLL CALL VOTE (Cont'd)

SENATE COMMITTEE FINANCE AND CLAIMS

Date _____ Bill No. _____ Time _____

NAME	YES	NO
SENATOR KEATING	✓	
SENATOR NATHE		✓
SENATOR STIMATZ	✓	
SENATOR TVEIT		✓
SENATOR VAUGHN	✓	
SENATOR WATERMAN		✓
SENATOR WEEDING	✓	

Secretary _____

Chairman _____

Motion: _____

