HOUSE BILL 600

Introduced by Bradley, et al.

2/05	Introduced
2/05	Referred to Appropriations
2/05	First Reading
3/23	Hearing
3/23	Tabled in Committee

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 600
2	INTRODUCED BY
3	
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE
5	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE MAXIMUM BENEFIT AMOUNT SCHEDULE;
6	AMENDING SECTION 39-51-2204, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE
7	DATE."
8	
9	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:
10,	Section 1. Section 39-51-2204, MCA, is amended to read:
11	"39-51-2204. Maximum benefit amount. Any otherwise
12	eligible individual is entitled during the individual's
13	benefit year to a total amount of benefits equal to the
14	individual's weekly benefit amount, as calculated according
15	to 39-51-2201(1), times the number of full weeks of benefit
16	entitlement appearing in the following table in the line
17	which includes the individual's ratio of total base period
18	earnings to the highest quarter of earnings in the base
19	period:
20	Ratio of Total Base Period
21	Earnings to High Quarter Full Weeks
22	At Least But Less Than of Benefits
23	1.00 ±-25 1.35 8
24	1-25 1.36 1-50 1.55 10

1.75

12

±-50 1.56

25

1	1-75 <u>1.76</u>	2:00 1.95	14
2	2-00 1.96	2+25 2.15	16
3	2:25 2.16	2.50 <u>2.35</u>	18
4	2.50 2.36	2-75 2.55	20
5	2.75 2.56	3-00 2.75	22
6	3-00 <u>2.76</u>	3-25 2.95	24
7	3-25 2.96	·	26 "
8	NEW SECTION.	Section 2. Effective	date. [This act] is

effective July 1, 1991.

-End-

-2-

STATE OF MONTANA - FISCAL NOTE Form BD-15

In compliance with a written request, there is hereby submitted a Fiscal Note for HB0600, as introduced.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

"An act to revise the unemployment insurance maximum benefit amount schedule.

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. The estimate of fiscal impact is based on actual FY90 claimant data. A computer simulation was performed by recomputing all claimant's maximum benefit amounts based upon the criteria stated. The maximum benefit amount is equal to the calculated weekly benefit multiplied by the number of weeks of duration. This bill would change the calculation for determining the number of weeks duration. The number of claimants monetarily eligible does not change.
- 2. The average <u>potential</u> weeks duration increased from 20.465 to 21.306 weeks. The average <u>actual</u> duration of claimants is projected to be 14 weeks. Historical data indicates no direct relationship between the potential duration and the actual duration. Actual duration is more directly related to economic conditions. Actual average duration has never been more than 15 weeks even during the past recessions.
- 3. About 20% of claimants eligible for 12 weeks or fewer under current law would lose two weeks duration under the proposal. Most of these claimants (70%) actually claim all potential benefits. For purposes of this fiscal note, it is assumed that 70% of the decrease in potential benefits would be realized in actual payments. This resulting decrease in actual benefits paid is estimated to be about \$100,000 in FY92 and FY93, respectively.
- 4. 40% of claimants eligible for 14 weeks or more under current law gain at least two potential weeks duration under HB0600. Few of these claimants (20%) actually claim all potential benefits. The probability of actually claiming all potential benefits is inversely related to the number of weeks for which a claimant is eligible. Moreover, the increase in potential benefits under HB0600 is directly related to the number of weeks a claimant is potentially eligible. In summary, those who would be most likely to receive increased potential benefits under HB0600 would be least the likely to actually claim the increased benefits.
- 5. If all the increase in potential benefits were actually claimed, paid claims would increase by about \$1 million in FY92 and FY93, respectively.
- 6. For purposes of this fiscal note, three scenarios are defined:
 - a) "Low" assumes that only about 10% of the increase in potential benefits are used, offsetting the decrease in benefits among claimants eligible for 12 weeks or less.
 - b) "Intermediate" assumes that about 30% of the increase in potential benefits are used, offset by the decrease assumed in #3.
 - c) "High" assumes that 100% increase in potential benefits are used, offset by the decrease assumed in #3.

FISCAL IMPACT: (see page two)

ROD SUNDSTED, BUDGET DIRECTOR

DATE

Office of Budget and Program Planning

JERRY DRISCOLL, PRIMARY SPONSOR

DATE

Fiscal Note for <u>HB0600</u>, as introduced

HB 600

Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0600</u>, as introduced. Form BD-15 Page 2

FISCAL IMPACT:

Expenditures:

		FY92		FY93		
	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference	Current Law	Proposed Law	Difference
"Low"	43,000,000	43,000,000	0	42,000,000	42,000,000	0
"Intermediate"	43,000,000	43,200,000	200,000	42,000,000	42,200,000	200,000
"High"	43,000,000	43,900,000	900,000	42,000,000	42,900,000	900,000

TECHNICAL NOTES:
The high ratio for each schedule does not equal the low ratio for subsequent schedules, making the eligibility for claimants who fall in between schedules unclear.

HB 0600/02 APPROVED BY COMMITTEE ON LABOR & EMPLOYMENT RELATIONS

1	ı	OUSE BILL NO. 600			
2					
_	INTRODUCED BY DRISCOLL				
3					
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT	ENTITLED: "AN A	CT TO REVISE THE		
5	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	CE MAXIMUM BENEFIT	AMOUNT SCHEDULE;		
6	AMENDING SECTION 39-5	L-2204, MCA; AND PRO	VIDING AN EFFECTIVE		
7	DATE."				
8					
9	BE IT ENACTED BY THE I	LEGISLATURE OF THE S	TATE OF MONTANA:		
10	Section 1. Section	on 39-51-2204, MCA,	is amended to read:		
11	*39-51-2204. Max	imum benefit am oun	t. Any otherwise		
12	eligible individual	is entitled durin	g the individual's		
13	benefit year to a tota	al amount of benef	its equal to the		
14	individual's weekly	penefit amount, as c	alculated according		
15	to 39-51-2201(1), time	es the number of ful	l weeks of benefit		
16	entitlement appearing	g in the followin	g table in the line		
17	which includes the in-	dividual's ratio of	total base period		
18	earnings to the his	ghest quarter of e	arnings in the base		
19	period:				
20	Ratio of Total	l Base Period			
21	Earnings to !	High Quarter	Full Weeks		
22	At Least	But Less Than	of Benefits		
23	1-00	1-25 <u>1-35</u>	8		
24	1-25 <u>1-36</u>	1-50 <u>1-55</u>	±0		
25	1.50 1.56 1.00	1.75	12		

Δ		
Montana	Legislative	Council

1	1-75 1-76 1.75	2-00 1.95	14
2	2-00 1-96 1.95	2.25 <u>2.15</u>	16
3	2-25 2-16 2.15	2. 50 <u>2.35</u>	18
4	2-50 <u>2-36</u> 2.35	2.75 2.55	20
5	2+75 <u>2+56</u> 2.55	3-00 <u>2.75</u>	22
6	3-00 <u>2-76</u> 2.75	3-25 <u>2.95</u>	24
7	3-25 <u>2-96</u> 2.95		26"
8	NEW SECTION. SEC	TION 2. COORDINATIO	N INSTRUCTION. IF
9	HOUSE BILL NO. 256 IS	PASSED AND APPROVED	AND IF IT INCLUDES
10	A SECTION THAT AMENDS	39-51-2204, THEN THE	SCHEDULE OF THE
11	INDIVIDUAL'S RATIO OF	TOTAL BASE PERIOD EA	RNINGS IN [SECTION
12	1 OF THIS ACT] REI	PLACES THE SCHEDULE C	F THE INDIVIDUAL'S
13	RATIO OF TOTAL BASE PI	ERIOD EARNINGS IN HOU	SE BILL NO. 256.
14	NEW SECTION. Sec	tion 3. Effective da	te. [This act] is
15	effective July 1, 199	1.	

-End-

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 600			
2	INTRODUCED BY DRISCOLL			
3				
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT TO REVISE THE			
5	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE MAXIMUM BENEFIT AMOUNT SCHEDULE;			
6	AMENDING SECTION 39-51-2204, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE			
7	DATE."			
8				
9	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:			
10	Section 1. Section 39-51-2204, MCA, is amended to read:			
11	*39-51-2204. Maximum benefit amount. Any otherwise			
12	eligible individual is entitled during the individual's			
13	benefit year to a total amount of benefits equal to the			
14	individual's weekly benefit amount, as calculated according			
15	to 39-51-2201(1), times the number of full weeks of benefit			
16	entitlement appearing in the following table in the line			
17	which includes the individual's ratio of total base period			
18	earnings to the highest quarter of earnings in the base			
19	period:			
20	Ratio of Total Base Period			
21	Earnings to High Quarter Full Weeks			
22	At Least But Less Than of Benefits			
23	1788 1725 <u>1735</u> 8			
24	1-25 <u>1-36</u>			
25	1.75 12			

1	1:75 1:76 1.75	2-00 1.95	14
2	2:00 1:96 1.95	2.25 2.15	16
3	2-25 2-16 2.15	2.50 2.35	18
4	2.50 2.36 2.35	2.75 2.55	20
5	2.75 <u>2.56</u> 2.55	3.00 2.75	22
6	3-00 2-76 2.75	3-25 <u>2.95</u>	24
7	3-25 2-96 2.95		26"
8	NEW SECTION. SECT	ION 2. COORDINATI	ON INSTRUCTION. I
9	HOUSE BILL NO. 256 IS I	PASSED AND APPROVED	AND IF IT INCLUDES
10	A SECTION THAT AMENDS	39-51-2204, THEN TH	E SCHEDULE OF THE
11	INDIVIDUAL'S RATIO OF	TOTAL BASE PERIOD E	ARNINGS IN [SECTION
12	1 OF THIS ACT REPI	LACES THE SCHEDULE	OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S
13	RATIO OF TOTAL BASE PER	RIOD EARNINGS IN HO	USE BILL NO. 256.
14	NEW SECTION. Sect	ion 3. Effective d	ate. [This act] is
15	effective July 1, 1991		

-End-

THIRD READING

-2-

HB 600